

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [The Biggest scam E-book sold over at HF-Ultimate Unknown CPA Exploit : Pages of Gold](#)

Sun Tzu - June 14, 2011, 5:51 am

You are damn yes the Unknown Ultimate exploit, seriously dawg i have to know this where you born yesterday? Like you totally don't know what stuff goes around you? huh? Your method which you claim to have discovered is exactly not yours man this is the same method as CPAbuser at BHT

The E-book:-

Code

<http://www.anonym.to/?http://www.blackhatteam.com/f45/cpabuser-earning-with-cpa-made-easy-raving-reviews-31014.html>

If you guys don't believe this I will show you the proof just Pm me.

And worse these guys just rehashed the old version and what not, even though they rehashed everything they quite of lost their brains over it I mean these guys couldn't even use their tiny speck of their insolent brain to figure out how to exploit CPA, and they say "I found out an Exploit" . Dumb shit. To be honest shit like that can easily be figured out. You guys really think that CPAlead was born yesterday? no they are the longest standing CPA group and do you think that you could exploit them like easily then think again.

Secondly the reviewers, This is what i sawCode

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?pid=10800607#pid10800607>

Prosperity you retard haven't you ever seen a method like this i mean this is a public method of filling up your own surveys, you don't even know that? What experience in E-Book reviewing do you really have huh? You are just some stupid who doesn't know the ground basics on e-books.

Lastly, The selection of reviewers,to the E-book reviewers group head.

You guys should know that almost 90% of the e-book reviewers don't know a cinch about e-books i've seen pretty much lot of shitty reviews being given i mean like they don't know ground basics on E-book reviewing and such they don't even know whether an e-book is rehashed or not clearly if they don't know the above They aren't fit for e-book reviewing in my honest opinion

Why i care about this:

I'am a veteran e-book'er who buys e-books from Warriorforum,Digitalpoint etc., where the birth of HQ e-books take place. I for a fact know whether an e-book is rehashed,duplicated etc., for my experience in the field of e-books is enormous. So pretty much i know my e-books. Also The whole style of E-book reviewer recruiting method should be changed,revamped else stuff's like these shall follow and pretty much this would be the case

"A scammer is just an e-book away"

Guns & Roses comments now:-

(Jun 14, 2011 06:09 AM)Guns N Roses Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have been asking people who have got paid to upload proofs to upload their pics. Don't know why haven't they done that.

And regarding the don't get me started comment, I know I have been subtle every time and have been answering questions all along.

If you want you can surely chargeback as the gentle man suggests. But you would be blocked from all future updates.

I have just lost 1 chargeback, and that because I forgot to upload proof. This item is safeguarded by paypal's terms. So give it a try, if you will.

I still maintain that I earn a lot using this. That's why I am not too keen on selling and proving myself. Its not my loss at all. This money is nothing in front of what I earn. if anyone doubts this, come on MSN. I would show you via TV.

So all in all, I am not keen to sell this anymore. But I am still willing to support and update as I promised. Rest is up to you guys.

My question is that why do you need to sell an so called "exploit" of yours if your clearly making money out of it and that too at an exorbitant price? If you are clearly earning loads from it? This is the truth people learn from this.

Their e-book of unknown exploit.

(Jun 14, 2011 10:39 AM)DarkLordShivam Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I Agree with you.

Have a look==> [Video: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LBP5qpw6xhE>]

New find:-The latest retard to hit the block

Code <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1380033>

Code <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1386833> Guess what he is trying to resell this rehashed e-book, People never learn do they?

A member who has bought this e-book has proposed the following i suggest people who feel that they have been scammed can do the same.

(Jun 17, 2011 03:31 AM)Stonehelm Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I've just opened a PayPal dispute. I suggest everyone else who got scammed out of 75\$ do the same.
[/discuss/](#)

Marko - June 14, 2011, 6:19 am

I wish I can 5 star this thread but ratings are disabled.

Sun Tzu - June 14, 2011, 6:24 am

(Jun 14, 2011 06:19 AM)Marko Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I wish I can 5 star this thread but ratings are disabled.
Thanks mate. I only hope that this serves as an eye opener for many members who buy e-books from rehashers.

Dawn of War - June 14, 2011, 6:40 am

I personally found a leaked copy of it and it was Complete CRAP! Outdated, Patched, Failed. It seems like an interesting idea, But overall fails...

~exa - June 14, 2011, 6:40 am

This is why you shouldn't buy here in the first place. Thanks for finding this heaven.

BigG - June 14, 2011, 6:43 am

I bought this eBook and I agree with you completely. I have said this over 3 times but my post kept getting deleted for 'flaming'.

Professor 0110 - June 14, 2011, 6:46 am

This should be seriously moved in the scammers section. A great find, OP!

Sun Tzu - June 14, 2011, 6:49 am

(Jun 14, 2011 06:43 AM)??? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I bought this eBook and I agree with you completely. I have said this over 3 times but my post kept getting deleted for 'flaming'.

Finally an reviewer stating the fact the same thing happened to me and Truth hurt we were trying to state the truth But what happened? Ours got deleted as well.

(Jun 14, 2011 06:40 AM)~exa Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is why you shouldn't buy here in the first place. Thanks for finding this heaven.

That's why i never buy anything from here. Always hit up at Warrior forum if i ever need one.

(Jun 14, 2011 06:46 AM)Professor 0110 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This should be seriously moved in the scammers section. A great find, OP!

Thanks mate i also want this to be viewed by all of the members here so they don't make another mistake like this and seriously the reviewer recruitment needs a change also.

BigG - June 14, 2011, 7:02 am

I must add that there are tons of eBooks on HF that are in fact great.

Rokrvabhav - June 14, 2011, 7:06 am

Dammit. This ebook is being trolled allover the internet. I didn't knew he was a scammer.

At this link someone leached this ebook, and read the last person post on it

<http://www.moneymakerdiscussion.com/forum/request-e-book-here/45736-req-ultimate-unknown-cpa-exploit-earn-unlimited-dollars.html>

Chakalaka - June 14, 2011, 7:09 am

I think their team deserve big scam report for public method selling if they wont give money back. Good what i bough this method with alertpay i reversed my money to my account.

Sun Tzu - June 14, 2011, 7:13 am

(Jun 14, 2011 07:02 AM)??? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I must add that there are tons of eBooks on HF that are in fact great.

Only a selected few eye, a selected few not all.

(Jun 14, 2011 07:09 AM)Skype™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think their team deserve big scam report for public method selling if they wont give money back. Good what i bough this method with alertpay i reversed my money to my account.

Correctly said there Skype wish more people who got scamed by Guns & Roses will also support this thread here.

VoucherMaster - June 14, 2011, 7:24 am

I found a working method to earn money, at least according to me.

I tested it here with 3 members on HF, no one knew about it(look n the ub3r thread).

I released the Ebook, and am still supporting the buyers.

I still maintain that it works, look in the thread, people have said that they have got money in their banks. Somehow they are not replying to my PM's now.

How is this a scam tell me if I am doing everything I am supposed to do as the owner of the Ebook? I never banned a single person from the HWID for asking quetions. I accepted my faults and tried to correct them, and would correct them in the future versions.

I am completely suttle to my buyers.

Then how is this a scam, just explain.

(Jun 14, 2011 07:09 AM)Skype™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think their team deserve big scam report for public method selling if they wont give money back. Good what i bough this method with alertpay i reversed my money to my account.

And you said that someone else reversed that's why it got reversed. Isn't this a scam?

Its funny how its all very convenient when you do it, right?

At least mine was not intentional and I am still supporting everyone.

Sun Tzu - June 14, 2011, 7:43 am

(Jun 14, 2011 07:24 AM)Guns N Roses Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I found a working method to earn money, at least according to me.

I tested it here with 3 members on HF, no one knew about it(look n the ub3r thread).

I released the Ebook, and am still supporting the buyers.

I still maintain that it works, look in the thread, people have said that they have got money in their banks. Somehow they are not replying to my PM's now.

How is this a scam tell me if I am doing everything I am supposed to do as the owner of the Ebook? I never banned a single person from the HWID for asking quetions. I accepted my faults and tried to correct them, and would correct them in the future versions.

I am completely subtle to my buyers.

Then how is this a scam, just explain.

And you said that someone else reversed that's why it got reversed. Isn't this a scam?

Its funny how its all very convenient when you do it, right?

At least mine was not intentional and I am still supporting everyone.

1]According to you? Research it the next time before you sell another one.

2]Where is the proof that they are earning?

3]Scam you ask well here is the explanation:-

--->Trying to sell over a product or e-book already present over at the internet

---->Trying to sell away a free known method known to public.

-->Trying to own a method which is not even your (i.e Not claiming resale rights)

4] Evading any questions asked by others at your sales thread. Me and Truth hurts who constantly where questioning you for answers.

5]Also not refunding members who know that this was an public method example:- Truth Hurts and many more.

This my friend is the perfect scam.

VoucherMaster - June 14, 2011, 7:52 am

(Jun 14, 2011 07:43 AM)??????<=>???? ?™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

1]According to you? Research it the next time before you sell another one.

2]Where is the proof that they are earning?

3]Scam you ask well here is the explanation:-

--->Trying to sell over a product or e-book already present over at the internet

---->Trying to sell away a free known method known to public.

-->Trying to own a method which is not even your (i.e Not claiming resale rights)

4] Evading any questions asked by others at your sales thread. Me and Truth hurts who constantly where questioning you for answers.

5]Also not refunding members who know that this was an public method example:- Truth Hurts and many more.

This my friend is the perfect scam.>>>I shall PM them again for the proof.

>>>Well, its not at all leeched, it has been written by me, completely.

>>>Regarding resale right, i told you, I made it, even the update was there in the other Ebook or what? I decided doing updates even before selling a single copy. Be accusistive, don't be outright blind.

>>> I have answered all questions. Truth Hurts claim that he speaks the truth always. Well, if he does, he shall tell you. I have had multiple chats with him and I have always told him you are always welcome to ask questions, if they are proper questins with no otherwise intentions. Only the comments which were breaking the rules were being deleted. And we don't delete the comments, the staff does. We just report them.

>>>There was a no refund policy from the starting itself. Still I refunded a couple of people in the beginning. I can't go on doing this.

I told ya, accusations are very nice and all. But trust me, you would forget everything if you come this side. At least I still stand by my product and support it, when i can easily close the thread, as I have got no sales for about a month. But I never intended that, and would never do that.

Rest is as I said, upto you, whichever way you want to take it.

Sun Tzu - June 14, 2011, 8:20 am

(Jun 14, 2011 07:52 AM)Guns N Roses Wrote: [CITE](#) >>>I shall PM them again for the proof.

>>>Well, its not at all leeched, it has been written by me, completely.

>>>Regarding resale right, i told you, I made it, even the update was there in the other Ebook or what? I decided doing updates even before selling a single copy. Be accusistive, don't be outright blind.

>>> I have answered all questions. Truth Hurts claim that he speaks the truth always. Well, if he does, he shall tell you. I have had multiple chats with him and I have always told him you are always welcome to ask questions, if they are proper questins with no otherwise intentions. Only the comments which were breaking the rules were being deleted. And we don't delete the comments, the staff does. We just report them.

>>>There was a no refund policy from the starting itself. Still I refunded a couple of people in the beginning. I can't go on doing this.

I told ya, accusations are very nice and all. But trust me, you would forget everything if you come this side. At least I still stand by my product and support it, when i can easily close the thread, as I have got no sales for about a month. But I never intended that, and would never do that.

Rest is as I said, upto you, whichever way you want to take it.

---> I'll see them soon.

--->Well all rehashers say this one, I still say that i have the proof that this is rehashed brother+ 3/4 of HF know that this method is an Public one many will testify to this and say that yes, this is an public method.

---> Truth hurts will be in this thread pretty sooner mate.

No sales right because people knew that this was an public method that's why your E-Book you you claim to be yours had a steep downfall in sales pretty much fits the puzzle here.

Guns I hold no personal grudge against you don't ever take me as your enemy, this is my stand against people who do this type of rehashing and stuff.

I'll will be doing this against every e-book author who try to rehash something from someone else without the rights.

VoucherMaster - June 14, 2011, 8:51 am

(Jun 14, 2011 08:20 AM)??????<=>???? ?™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) ---> I'll see them soon.

--->Well all rehashers say this one, I still say that i have the proof that this is rehashed brother+ 3/4 of HF know that this method is an Public one many will testify to this and say that yes, this is an public method.

---> Truth hurts will be in this thread pretty sooner mate.

No sales right because people knew that this was an public method that's why your E-Book you you claim to be yours had a steep downfall in sales pretty much fits the puzzle here.

Guns I hold no personal grudge against you don't ever take me as your enemy, this is my stand against people who do this type of rehashing and stuff.

I'll will be doing this against every e-book author who try to rehash something from someone else without the rights.

I appreciate it mate. I am not angry even as much as a pinch of salt. As long as you guys are not intentionally flaming, I am okay with it.

And please, this is NOT rehashed. What do you expect me to do? Say that it is rehashed when it is not?

That's the beauty of the whole situation. It reminds me of the movie Shutter Island if you have seen it.

Ask Joey, I wrote the Ebook after consulting him, i told him the method and asked him whether I should make a book or not. I made it only after he said yes.

This book has my language. Look at the v1 and the update. They seem to be written by the same person. That is the way I write. Moreover, I tested it to make sure everything is fine before releasing it. The testing was done almost a month before I released the book. You can look at the testing thread yourself.

Sun Tzu - June 14, 2011, 9:50 am

(Jun 14, 2011 08:51 AM)Guns N Roses Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I appreciate it mate. I am not angry even as much as a pinch of salt. As long as you guys are not intentionally flaming, I am okay with it.

And please, this is NOT rehashed. What do you expect me to do? Say that it is rehashed when it is not?

That's the beauty of the whole situation. It reminds me of the movie Shutter Island if you have seen it.

Ask Joey, I wrote the Ebook after consulting him, i told him the method and asked him whether I should make a book or not. I made it only after he said yes.

This book has my language. Look at the v1 and the update. They seem to be written by the same person. That is the way I write. Moreover, I tested it to make sure everything is fine before releasing it. The testing was done almost a month before I released the book. You can look at the testing thread yourself.

The proof to as why i stated that your e-book is rehashed,
Code <http://www.#/?d=VZB3DL83>This is my paid copy.?

VoucherMaster - June 14, 2011, 9:54 am

(Jun 14, 2011 09:50 AM)??????<=>???? ?™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The proof to as why i stated that your e-book is rehashed,

Code <http://www.#!/?d=VZB3DL83>This is my paid copy.?

Also Joey? he doesn't have any experience in this field so its idiocrisy that you consulted this over with him, You should have preferred some one more knowledgeble like me. Also him being a reviewer here is the greatest joke IMHO he doesn't deserve this. The Idea may be the same, but the book has been written by me in my language.

More than one people can thing about the same thing, like how algebra was discovered in two different continents by different people, at the same time, who know nothing about each other.

I am not denying the fact the method was present before. I am just saying that it was new to me, and also to the people I it on.

BigG - June 14, 2011, 10:34 am

(Jun 14, 2011 09:54 AM)Guns N Roses Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) More than one people can thing about the same thing, like how algebra was discovered in two different continents by different people, at the same time, who know nothing about each other.

Very well said, I will remember this one.

Sun Tzu - June 14, 2011, 10:36 am

(Jun 14, 2011 09:54 AM)Guns N Roses Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The Idea may be the same, but the book has been written by me in my language.

More than one people can thing about the same thing, like how algebra was discovered in two different continents by different people, at the same time, who know nothing about each other.

I am not denying the fact the method was present before. I am just saying that it was new to me, and also to the people I it on.

The idea maybe the same? The idea is the same. Written in your language? Rehashing is exclusive to the person who rehashes it that's the ability of a rehasher which is to write another version of something.

In real life yes the algebra stuff works, But on the internet how does it go? Is it the same? It is not so! google, google, google also this is known as the research part you should know to find out if your concept has been out their in the wild, Failing to do so results in pawnage like this. It was new to you? Again that's why i say that you should research it over and over again.

Robbin in the Hood - June 14, 2011, 10:37 am

??????

Secondly the reviewers, This is what i sawCode

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?pid=10800607#pid10800607>

Prosperity you retard havent you ever seen a method like this i mean this is a public method of filling up your own surveys, you don't even know that? What experience in E-Book reviewing do you really have huh? You are just some stupid who doesn't know the ground basics on e-books.

[u Wrote:Lastly, The selection of reviewers,to the E-book reviewers group head.[/u]

You guys should know that almost 90% of the e-book reviewers don't know a cinch about e-books i've seen pretty much lot of shitty reviews being given i mean like they don't know ground basics on E-book reviewing and such they don't even know whether an e-book is rehashed or not clearly if they don't know the above They aren't fit for e-book reviewing in my honest opinion

My favorite part.

Lol, i agree 125%.

Ebook reviews are just a scam.

IMO

OP ownd. Get ready for gang reviewer -rep op , they will do it.

irrationalByte - June 14, 2011, 10:39 am

I Agree with you.

Have a look==> [Video: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LBP5qpw6xhE>]

Robbin in the Hood - June 14, 2011, 10:41 am

Just found this too in his sales thread.

Pass Candy Wrote: This does not involve facebook at all does it or any apps e.g. ineoapp,etc also how many pages does the ebook have?

No facebook nothing. Its a method you would never have heard of. <-- OP wrote that on sales thread. Lol ownt.

Pages are around 20.

Sun Tzu - June 14, 2011, 11:12 am

(Jun 14, 2011 10:37 AM)oxytec™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) My favorite part.

Lol, i agree 125%.

Ebook reviews are just a scam.

IMO

OP ownd. Get ready for gang reviewer -rep op , they will do it.

Everyone will agree to this, -rep i don't care even if 10-15 reviewers come up and Gang rep me given $10 \times 3 = 30$ or $15 \times 3 = 45$ so 30-60 -reps which i really don't care, If i ever cared about -reps i wouldn't have created this thread plus, i'm ready to face what-ever consequences that come my way, plus if they do -rep me it would prove my fact right about shitty reviewers.

Truth Hurts - June 14, 2011, 10:15 pm

I love how he didnt even request to close this thread.

Guns, the truth is, you dont care anymore and you never did.

You just scammed innocent people.

Fuck you.

I wish i could get my money back.

riwanda - June 15, 2011, 1:03 am

Guns, you shouldn't have been a dick to sforzando. You got owned, son.

Industry - June 15, 2011, 1:11 am

If you're trying to say reviewers are useless, I could have told you that. I wrote an eBook that was all fake shit but because it had good grammar and layout, It got a good review.

Sorry for your loss. Lesson learned : Don't buy eBooks.

Truth Hurts - June 15, 2011, 1:41 am

(Jun 15, 2011 01:11 AM)Industrialized™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If you're trying to say reviewers are useless, I could have told you that. I wrote an eBook that was all fake shit but because it had good grammar and layout, It got a good review.

Sorry for your loss. Lesson learned : Don't buy eBooks.
Yup, lesson learned.

Quoted for truth.

riwanda - June 15, 2011, 2:00 am

Anyone notice how 75% of Guns' rep all come unconditionally from other users claiming Guns to be their "Indian bro"?

All I know is that I'm Indian from now on.

This reminds me of police corruption. Reputation, Ub3r, and a garbage e-book review for a garbage e-book combined with censorship (deleting posts) allowed him to continue his scam. I'm glad Truth Hurts and Heaven<->Hell don't give a damn about reputation and are going out of their way to destroy this kid.

Sun Tzu - June 15, 2011, 2:24 am

(Jun 15, 2011 01:11 AM)Industrialized™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If you're trying to say reviewers are useless, I could have told you that. I wrote an eBook that was all fake shit but because it had good grammar and layout, It got a good review.

Sorry for your loss. Lesson learned : Don't buy eBooks.

As you stated it is 100% the fact it is just to prove how noobish the reviewers get.

(Jun 15, 2011 02:00 AM)riwanda Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Anyone notice how 75% of Guns' rep all come unconditionally from other users claiming Guns to be their "Indian bro"?

All I know is that I'm Indian from now on.

This reminds me of police corruption. Reputation, Ub3r, and a garbage e-book review for a garbage e-book combined with censorship (deleting posts) allowed him to continue his scam. I'm glad Truth Hurts and Heaven<->Hell don't give a damn about reputation and are going out of their way to destroy this kid.

Yes, quite so. I'm not here to damage any one personally i'm here to serve justice.

Truth Hurts - June 15, 2011, 3:15 am

Yeah I dont give a shit I hate that he scammed us all and is getting away with it...he has the nerve to still sell the ebook, if anyone is stupid enough to keep on buying this..get your head checked.

iStorm - June 15, 2011, 5:52 am

I bought this garbage and I look back on it like "WTF did I buy."

LOL nice find.

▣ **Nick™** ▣ - June 15, 2011, 6:05 am

(Jun 14, 2011 09:50 AM)??????<=>???? ?™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The proof to as why i stated that your e-book is rehashed,

Code <http://www.#!/?d=VZB3DL83>This is my paid copy.?

Also Joey? he doesn't have any experience in this field so its idiocrisy that you consulted this over with him, You should have preferred some one more knowledgeble like me. Also him being a reviewer here is the greatest joke IMHO he doesn't deserve this.

Thanks for the upload, mind sharing the pass to the file? ?

Anyways good find. If it's legit it's sad that you all have been scammed.

flAmingw0rm - June 15, 2011, 6:07 am

Code

http://www.google.com/#sclient=psy&hl=en&source=hp&q=Ultimate+Unknown+CPA+Exploit+%3A+Pages+of+Gold+&aq=f&aqi=&aql=&oq=&pbx=1&bav=on.2,or.r_gc.r_pw.&fp=4fb2a7fa4afe0265&biw=1024&bih=677

What else should I say?

Thrill_Fap - June 15, 2011, 9:14 am

(Jun 15, 2011 06:07 AM)flAmingw0rm Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Code

http://www.google.com/#sclient=psy&hl=en&source=hp&q=Ultimate+Unknown+CPA+Exploit+%3A+Pages+of+Gold+&aq=f&aqi=&aql=&oq=&pbx=1&bav=on.2,or.r_gc.r_pw.&fp=4fb2a7fa4afe0265&biw=1024&bih=677

What else should I say? Look at the total results avaiable :

About 571,000 results (0.08 seconds)

anit1212 - June 15, 2011, 12:23 pm

(Jun 15, 2011 06:07 AM)flAmingw0rm Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Code

http://www.google.com/#sclient=psy&hl=en&source=hp&q=Ultimate+Unknown+CPA+Exploit+%3A+Pages+of+Gold+&aq=f&aqi=&aql=&oq=&pbx=1&bav=on.2,or.r_gc.r_pw.&fp=4fb2a7fa4afe0265&biw=1024&bih=677

What else should I say?

Code <http://www.#!/file/x9cTTXP>

What else should you say now?

Fatality - June 15, 2011, 4:20 pm

I love this thread. I actually applaud Guns for making so much money on this method lol. I dont know how he did it. It just shows that a "good" review from the reviewers and some "vouches" can make a lot of sales even when the eBook is shitty.

I would love to know the Official Reviewers way of reviewing.

affykaye - June 15, 2011, 5:51 pm

social proof+hungry buyers=\$\$\$\$

even if this was a piece of shit, you can learn something from it. There's warriors making 5 figures a month who don't know how to make a dime outside of WSO's because they know how to market rehashed crap and they follow the above formula.

Go make some money, this model works, guns and roses proved it with his POS turdbook. But i urge you to at least try and add some value, even if the method is rehashed, spin it so the customer can see how it was well worth their money. Doing what guns did is a one shot deal, he wont be able to sell anything anymore. Smart guys will rehash valuable content, build a list of those who bought their ebook and then continuously sell to those same people. If they did it right, they'll have repeat buyers every time.

Sun Tzu - June 15, 2011, 7:19 pm

(Jun 15, 2011 05:51 PM)affykaye Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) social proof+hungry buyers=\$\$\$\$

even if this was a piece of shit, you can learn something from it. There's warriors making 5 figures a month who don't know how to make a dime outside of WSO's because they know how to market rehashed crap and they follow the above formula.

Go make some money, this model works, guns and roses proved it with his POS turdbook. But i urge you to at least try and add some value, even if the method is rehashed, spin it so the customer can see how it was well worth their money. Doing what guns did is a one shot deal, he wont be able to sell anything anymore. Smart guys will rehash valuable content, build a list of those who bought their ebook and then continuously sell to those same people. If they did it right, they'll have repeat buyers every time.

Dime out of WSO's? are you even kidding me? These guys rock the world of IM in every aspect and in real life as well. So you are stating that rehashed crap is good and better? Well the answer for this would be that you could go to any customer and ask "Are you ready to buy some rehashed crap that i made?" answer would be "NO" from any one you meet Let alone some few who would find even rehashed shit as interesting as you.

If you are stating that this works for everyone why are not the customers showing proof clearly any CPALead expert will say that this method is bullshit, It won't work cos he is still missing out on a few things for the fact he didn't consider some advanced things all Blackhatters implement, He rehashed to nothing more than the original content himself. As you could clearly see now he isn't getting buyers for he has failed their expectations as a writer he is now seen as a rehasher in the public eye.

Given your post count of 3 i'm sure you don't know anything about how e-Books in HF run and assuming also this is a double account by someone else.

(Jun 15, 2011 04:20 PM)Fatality Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I love this thread. I actually applaud Guns for making so much money on this method lol. I dont know how he did it. It just shows that a "good" review from the reviewers and some "vouches" can make a lot of sales even when the eBook is shitty.

I would love to know the Official Reviewers way of reviewing.
That's the hype they gave to the e-book by using the two words "Unknown","Exploit" now that's what i called marketing tactics.

Reviewers and their recruitment is just idiotic now-a-days.

affykaye - June 15, 2011, 7:38 pm

(Jun 15, 2011 07:19 PM)??????<=>???? ?™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Dime out of WSO's? are you even kidding me? These guys rock the world of IM in every aspect and in real life as well. So you are stating that rehashed crap is good and better? Well the answer for this would be that you could go to any customer and ask "Are you ready to buy some rehashed crap that i made?" answer would be "NO" from any one you meet Let alone some few who would find even rehashed shit as interesting as you.

If you are stating that this works for everyone why are not the customers showing proof clearly any CPALead expert will say that this method is bullshit, It won't work cos he is still missing out on a few things for the fact he didn't consider some advanced things all Blackhatters implement, He rehashed to nothing more than the original content himself. As you could clearly see now he isn't getting buyers for he has failed their expectations as a writer he is now seen as a rehasher in the public eye.

Given your post count of 3 i'm sure you don't know anything about how e-Books in HF run and assuming also this is a double account by someone else.

That's the hype they gave to the e-book by using the two words "Unknown", "Exploit" now that's what i called marketing tactics.lol..dude im on your side. Guns and roses is a douche for scamming people, and if he's an honest guy he would refund everyone who requests it. But obviously that wont happen, so I'm just saying that there's a still a valuable marketing lesson to be learned here. Rehashing ebooks is very lucrative, and I stand by my comment that there's warriors who make a living solely through WSO's using the same model that guns and roses has. They're just not complete dumbasses and know how to spin methods that have been beaten to death (basic seo, traffic generation, etc) to make an easy 5 figures a month while building a lucrative buyers list in the process.

Sun Tzu - June 15, 2011, 7:50 pm

(Jun 15, 2011 07:38 PM)affykaye Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) lol..dude im on your side. Guns and roses is a douche for scamming people, and if he's an honest guy he would refund everyone who requests it. But obviously that wont happen, so I'm just saying that there's a still a valuable marketing lesson to be learned here. Rehashing ebooks is very lucrative, and I stand by my comment that there's warriors who make a living solely through WSO's using the same model that guns and roses has. They're just not complete dumbasses and know how to spin methods that have been beaten to death (basic seo, traffic generation, etc) to make an easy 5 figures a month while building a lucrative buyers list in the process.

I'm sorry i accused you of something else man my apologies. Yes rehashing is quite lucrative and the fact that it still goes on In WSO, its a whole lot a different now they are masters in creating a course they do spin the method so well that it works for them perfectly and also make a astounding video proof of it also most of the WSO i buy mostly are Video based format that's +1 for how eventhough they spin a method they make it to work as that's their elite skill.

hav0c - June 16, 2011, 12:07 am

Wonderful work OP.

People like you should be included in the Reviewers team.

Chakalaka - June 16, 2011, 3:03 am

A lot of reviewers are corrupted they review ebooks and say what its really good but when you buy it you see what is public shitty method.

Sun Tzu - June 16, 2011, 3:57 am

(Jun 16, 2011 03:03 AM)Skype™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) A lot of reviewers are corrupted they review ebooks and say what its really good but when you buy it you see what is public shitty method.

Exactly skype! also they don't have any knowledge on what they review too.

Truth Hurts - June 16, 2011, 5:12 am

(Jun 15, 2011 05:52 AM)GSteinz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I bought this garbage and I look back on it like "WTF

did I buy."

LOL nice find.

Is that why you're trying to sell it in the ebook section?

Fail.

(Jun 16, 2011 03:03 AM)Skype™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) A lot of reviewers are corrupted they review ebooks and say what its really good but when you buy it you see what is public shitty method.

Sadly, there are NO honest reviewers, it seems anyone with proper grammar and can form full sentences are the ones who are chosen to review.

Its Deja Vu in the market section.

Fucking sick of it.

Halo 4 - June 16, 2011, 7:25 am

I think every Reviewer should go, and replace them with people who actually know a little something about eBooks and they can tell whether the eBook they are reviewing is a public method.

All this grammar shit etc, is a load of bullshit.

Dan36 - June 16, 2011, 1:54 pm

(Jun 16, 2011 07:25 AM)Halo 4 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think every Reviewer should go, and replace them with people who actually know a little something about eBooks and they can tell whether the eBook they are reviewing is a public method.

All this grammar shit etc, is a load of bullshit.

That's why it so damn ironic. I use to put stock into the official reviews, but now I just see it as some people using wordhippo in order to sound scholarly xD. The reason I put it like that, is they have a part for grammar while one reviewer said his native language isn't even English lmao. Reviewing should go beyond just being in control of the language (which some aren't), but knowing different methods.

I have been in internet marketing for years, primarily CPA and affiliate marketing. The reason I brought up the above is they would save members here so much money if they could call out an author when it's a same public method. When I originally came here I was looking for new methods and everything was basic ideas of things I already knew while reviewers were commending it.

Also, the ironic thing with this eBook is he worded it right off the bat as a basic exploit. Once he started talking about CTR + getting banned it should have been realized that he was talking about doing your own surveys.

That is fraud and if you use a dynamic ip, similar to the one you registered with, they can see the whole dynamic ip pool you have used to complete offers. I think everyone should charge back to try and limit his paypal, but sometimes reading between the lines is critical.

You guys really can't crack him though on it. The reason it's tricky is he said you are buying a CPA exploit. While it's not even an exploit per-say...but a trick to get some credited surveys, it hardly works out on a large scale due to it's sheer nature. However, it's not like he took your money and ran...he still did give you an eBook that detailed how to complete your own surveys.

If there is a rule about not being able to re-write an eBook about public methods...then hell...I

should be refunded on just about every book I have bought from here lol. This should be a learning experience for some people :(

riwanda - June 16, 2011, 3:04 pm

I think I'll just sell an e-book on how to make \$500 a day and instruct people to upload a video on YouTube with a link to their CPA offer. At least it works...

SIM-599222 - June 16, 2011, 3:25 pm

(Jun 16, 2011 03:57 AM)??????<=>???? ?™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Exactly skype! also they don't have any knowledge on what they review too.

You should start an e-book authenticity group! It could be official, the e-book gets reviewed by 2 reviewers, and then it is given to an authenticity checker.

When the checker makes sure that the e-book is in fact legit, they post that it is legit, if it's not legit, then they post that it is not legit and close the thread.

SIM-524734 - June 16, 2011, 4:21 pm

I very much vouch for this thread... I bought his piece of shit ebook, found out that I can't do it because I don't have a dynamic, then I hired 5 "workers" guess what? Turns out the fucking method doesn't even work! Then I get my license terminated because I was running teamviewer to show my "worker" how to do it...

sonex - June 16, 2011, 7:14 pm

Hopefully someone posted this, I feel sorry for the ones who actually bought this.

Sun Tzu - June 16, 2011, 8:01 pm

(Jun 16, 2011 07:25 AM)Halo 4 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think every Reviewer should go, and replace them with people who actually know a little something about eBooks and they can tell whether the eBook they are reviewing is a public method.

All this grammar shit etc, is a load of bullshit.

Exactly! The system is all corrupted you know they think that grammar always rules but they are wrong.

(Jun 16, 2011 01:54 PM)Dan36 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That's why it so damn ironic. I use to put stock into the official reviews, but now I just see it as some people using wordhippo in order to sound scholarly xD. The reason I put it like that, is they have a part for grammar while one reviewer said his native language isn't even English lmao. Reviewing should go beyond just being in control of the language (which some aren't), but knowing different methods.

I have been in internet marketing for years, primarily CPA and affiliate marketing. The reason I brought up the above is they would save members here so much money if they could call out an author when it's a same public method. When I originally came here I was looking for new methods and everything was basic ideas of things I already knew while reviewers were commending it.

Also, the ironic thing with this eBook is he worded it right off the bat as a basic exploit. Once he started talking about CTR + getting banned it should have been realized that he was talking about doing your own surveys.

That is fraud and if you use a dynamic ip, similar to the one you registered with, they can see the whole dynamic ip pool you have used to complete offers. I think everyone should charge back to try and limit his paypal, but sometimes reading between the lines is critical.

You guys really can't crack him though on it. The reason it's tricky is he said you are buying a CPA exploit. While it's not even an exploit per-say...but a trick to get some credited surveys, it hardly works out on a large scale due to it's sheer nature. However, it's not like he took your money and ran...he still did give you an eBook that detailed how to complete your own surveys.

If there is a rule about not being able to re-write an eBook about public methods...then hell...I should be refunded on just about every book I have bought from here lol. This should be a learning experience for some people :(

The second IM'er to hit this thread ? what you say

Code "If there is a rule about not being able to re-write an e-book about public methods.... then hell... I should be refunded I get your point but in the IM'er sense the courses,videos,podcasts we get are something a lot different from here although they use public methods they have crazy, innovative ideas that always click through even though they are public.

Code I have been in internet marketing for years, primarily CPA and affiliate marketing. The reason I brought up the above is they would save members here so much money if they could call out an author when it's a same public method. When I originally came here I was looking for new methods and everything was basic ideas of things I already knew while reviewers were commending it.

You can't expect anything from an non-experienced person can you? So is the same with the reviewers here.

(Jun 16, 2011 03:25 PM)Lamborghini Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You should start an e-book authenticity group! It could be official, the e-book gets reviewed by 2 reviewers, and then it is given to an authenticity checker.

When the checker makes sure that the e-book is in fact legit, they post that it is legit, if it's not legit, then they post that it is not legit and close the thread.

Well basically this is a good idea but considering the time it takes for "Authenticity checker" to investigate on it would be quite time consuming Although this could be averted if there are "Authenticity Checker" type people there in the E-Book Reviewers group meaning knowledgeable people with experience should be there in the e-book reviewers group.

Cracked - June 17, 2011, 12:49 am

Why is nobody saying anything about Joey Tribbiani? Correct me If I'm wrong, but isn't he an official reviewer who FULLY supported and promoted Guns N' Roses pathetic excuse for an ebook? I believe he answered customer questions and even sold the ebook himself?

[IMG]<http://img580.imageshack.us/img580/6597/loljoey.png>[/IMG]

Looks that way to me. An official reviewer saying "I guarantee you won't regret it." I'm sure that helped sales TREMENDOUSLY.

High Definition - June 17, 2011, 1:21 am

(Jun 17, 2011 12:49 AM)Cracked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why is nobody saying anything about Joey Tribbiani? Correct me If I'm wrong, but isn't he an official reviewer who FULLY supported and promoted Guns N' Roses pathetic excuse for an ebook? I believe he answered customer questions and even sold the ebook himself?

[IMG]<http://img580.imageshack.us/img580/6597/loljoey.png>[/IMG]

Looks that way to me. An official reviewer saying "I guarantee you won't regret it." I'm sure that

helped sales TREMENDOUSLY.

He was not an official reviewer at the time he posted that.

And to those who're just saying bullshit about the group, where is your brain have gone? How you're expecting each person to know everything? Sure the people of the Reviewers group are expected to know the things but why you're expecting them to know everything? At the time Prosperity posted the review, he was just a newbie to the group. It might be mistake on his part to review such expensive E-book at his stage but people talking shit about COMPLETE group is not tolerable.

If you'll see like that, every reviewer will be found guilty of posting a non accurate review at some point. That is the reason why the default number of reviews has been made 2 so as to increase the accuracy. Most of the time, the thread starter just includes the good review and ignores the bad one. It happened to me lots of time but what can I do? I've no power to ask them to include my review also. Here it comes to reader discretion to browse through all the pages and read both the reviews.

No one can accuse the group to give inaccurate reviews every time but you must understand that giving accurate reviews every time is not just possible. We're also humans and we'll surely make mistakes. What we try to do is keep them minimum.

Truth Hurts - June 17, 2011, 1:22 am

Joey T only became a reviewer a week ago, nonetheless, I don't blame you.

He was part of the stupid ebook.

Joey Tribbiani - June 17, 2011, 1:23 am

(Jun 17, 2011 12:49 AM)Cracked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why is nobody saying anything about Joey Tribbiani? Correct me If I'm wrong, but isn't he an official reviewer who FULLY supported and promoted Guns N' Roses pathetic excuse for an ebook? I believe he answered customer questions and even sold the ebook himself?

[IMG]<http://img580.imageshack.us/img580/6597/loljoey.png>[/IMG]

Looks that way to me. An official reviewer saying "I guarantee you won't regret it." I'm sure that helped sales TREMENDOUSLY.

I became an official reviewer only a week ago. Also, I have listed my reasons for supporting the ebook to heaven hell. You can read it on Guns' sale thread as well.

Also, I did not take part in writing the ebook, neither did I sell it myself. I was only for support i.e if the customers had any questions regarding the method.

Cracked - June 17, 2011, 1:30 am

(Jun 17, 2011 01:23 AM)Joey Tribbiani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I became an official reviewer only a week ago. Also, I have listed my reasons for supporting the ebook to heaven hell. You can read it on Guns' sale thread as well.

Also, I did not take part in writing the ebook, neither did I sell it myself. I was only for support i.e if the customers had any questions regarding the method.

Alright, thanks for clearing that up. I wasn't trying to bash you, I was simply asking a question. Don't take it the wrong way.

Sun Tzu - June 17, 2011, 3:22 am

(Jun 17, 2011 01:21 AM)High Definition Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) He was not an official reviewer at the time he posted that.

And to those who're just saying bullshit about the group, where is your brain have gone? How you're expecting each person to know everything? Sure the people of the Reviewers group are expected to know the things but why you're expecting them to know everything? At the time Prosperity posted the review, he was just a newbie to the group. It might be mistake on his part to review such expensive E-book at his stage but people talking shit about COMPLETE group is not tolerable.

If you'll see like that, every reviewer will be found guilty of posting a non accurate review at some point. That is the reason why the default number of reviews has been made 2 so as to increase the accuracy. Most of the time, the thread starter just includes the good review and ignores the bad one. It happened to me lots of time but what can I do? I've no power to ask them to include my review also. Here it comes to reader discretion to browse through all the pages and read both the reviews.

No one can accuse the group to give inaccurate reviews every time but you must understand that giving accurate reviews every time is not just possible. We're also humans and we'll surely make mistakes. What we try to do is keep them minimum.

Code And to those who're just saying bullshit about the group, where is your brain have gone? How you're expecting each person to know everything? Sure the people of the Reviewers group are expected to know the things but why you're expecting them to know everything?

I'm not expecting you guys to know about cooking i'm expecting you guys to know about E-Book Is that hard? If you guys don't even have the Brain to research whether the E-Book has been rehashed or uses any other previous method that are posted in public then for what the hell are you guys even there in the reviewers group

Code At the time Prosperity posted the review, he was just a newbie to the group. It might be mistake on his part to review such expensive E-book at his stage but people talking shit about COMPLETE group is not tolerable. Newbie? get real your "Reviewer" Just let a guy scam people for \$75 for a copy each you think that's cool? I'd pose a question to you Would allow a "newbie-who doesn't have any knowledge" to ride a plane(i.e) would you let him pilot the plane? would you? with your relatives your family and all? And what happens if he steers the plane into hill and crashes the plane all your family members die(This is just a very imaginatory situation this situation is just said so as you feel the pain of what others feel this story is not meant to hurt you but show just you the feelings that most of us have for this idiotic system) And the officials from the management comes over to say "We are sorry that your family died We just let a Newbie ride the plane so sorry" How would you feel to hear stuff like this from them huh?

Code If you'll see like that, every reviewer will be found guilty of posting a non accurate review at some point. That is the reason why the default number of reviews has been made 2 so as to increase the accuracy. Most of the time, the thread starter just includes the good review and ignores the bad one. It happened to me lots of time but what can I do? I've no power to ask them to include my review also. Here it comes to reader discretion to browse through all the pages and read both the reviews.

First part of reply, My answer to you: You guys should be accurate that's what reviewing is supposed to be. With every inaccuracy you are making waste to people who might just buy it if you understand that

Secong part, I do agree you only can do your best the rest is up to the buyers.

Code No one can accuse the group to give inaccurate reviews every time but you must understand that giving accurate reviews every time is not just possible. We're also humans and we'll surely make mistakes. What we try to do is keep them minimum.

Not me but the buyers are entitled to accuse the bullshit review by the "reviewers" and you can't deny it. Keeping it minimum? You can totally eradicate it by keeping knowledgeable people who really know about E-Books that where the cure for your group really lies, Rather than having people

who like write in absolute splendid english and have no knowledge on e-books. You can make mistake is some other things but here you are wasting the money of people who take reviews on an e-book greatly so your "Reviewers" here and their reviews matter a lot.

Want i put forth here is that the system of recruitment of the reviewers has to change for the good Take in people who are as knowledgeable in E-Books not just some people who could just spit out fluid english. Only then would these "Mistakes" you say will be totally eradicated.

Stonehelm - June 17, 2011, 3:31 am

I've just opened a PayPal dispute. I suggest everyone else who got scammed out of 75\$ do the same.

Sun Tzu - June 17, 2011, 3:48 am

(Jun 17, 2011 03:31 AM)Stonehelm Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I've just opened a PayPal dispute. I suggest everyone else who got scammed out of 75\$ do the same.

I've added your post up my main page thanks! Hope more will follow this up, Especially those who have been scammed.

High Definition - June 17, 2011, 5:40 am

Code I'm not expecting you guys to know about cooking i'm expecting you guys to know about E-Book Is that hard? If you guys don't even have the Brain to research whether the E-Book has been rehashed or uses any other previous method that are posted in public then for what the hell are you guys even there in the reviewers group

If you go an see the E-book section, there are E-books even concerning the topics you've brought up. You expect us to know about "E-book". An E-book contains material from large variety of topics. Its not as small as you're saying "E-book". Its like saying, you're going to school and you've a Book. If you don't know how to solve trigonometry, don't know about history, don't know about Quantum physics, don't know about Digital signal processing, don't know about Microprocessors, then why are you going to school? You don't know about the contents of the Book. Is it feasible to have a compulsory knowledge of everything I stated? According to you, yes, because they are a part of book.

Code Newbie? get real your "Reviewer" Just let a guy scam people for \$75 for a copy each you think that's cool? I'd pose a question to you Would allow a "newbie-who doesn't have any knowledge" to ride a plane(i.e) would you let him pilot the plane? would you? with your relatives your family and all? And what happens if he steers the plane into hill and crashes the plane all your family members die(This is just a very imaginatory situation this situation is just said so as you feel the pain of what others feel this story is not meant to hurt you but show just you the feelings that most of us have for this idiotic system) And the officials from the management comes over to say "We are sorry that your family died We just let a Newbie ride the plane so sorry" How would you feel to hear stuff like this from them huh?

Everyone have to start from somewhere. I admit this part of the Reviewers is not good but still, judging the whole group based upon one person is just not intelligent I would say. And nothing can be done for that. If not this one, some other E-book will be the victi m of a non-accurate review. We cannot get inside someone's brain to see what they know and what they don't until they show their work.

Code First part of reply, My answer to you: You guys should be accurate that's what reviewing is supposed to be. With every inaccuracy you are making waste to people who might just buy it if you understand that

Secong part, I do agree you only can do your best the rest is up to the buyers.

People like you and others only name their E-book "Make \$100 in an hour". No description of what

it is while requesting the review either. How do you think we're suppose to know what the E-book involves? Suppose I am not experienced with CPA and you named your E-book like that. I'll request the review and later will find that its a CPA E-book. What should I do? I cannot return the E-book because Reviewers strictly give out only 2 copies to keep the information as limited as possible. Even I am not willing to, but I've to review it. Obviously having less knowledge doesn't mean the review gonna be shitty. I would do my best to research but it would be obviously less as compared to someone experienced.

Your claim of providing accurate review 100% of time is just not possible. Even a machine cannot produce an exact same result again, we're still humans.

Code Not me but the buyers are entitled to accuse the bullshit review by the "reviewers" and you can't deny it. Keeping it minimum? You can totally eradicate it by keeping knowledgeable people who really know about E-Books that where the cure for your group really lies, Rather than having people who like write in absolute splendid english and have no knowledge on e-books. You can make mistake is some other things but here you are wasting the money of people who take reviews on an e-book greatly so your "Reviewers" here and their reviews matter a lot.

No one can have 100% knowledge in respective field. To my knowledge, every reviewer takes the E-book in which they are knowledgeable except if the case is like I stated above. In no way it can be totally eradicated, whatever you do, there will be inaccuracy. Reviewers are not chosen solely on their English, however its one of the required things which you can actually see and judge at the sight. You say we must keep knowledgeable persons. Please enlist some ways we can decide if the person is really knowledgeable or not? I'll ask you, Can you code in C++? You'll say yes, and I've to agree. Most I'll do is take a small test asking you make a "Hello World" program. You don't expect me to ask you make a complete operating system to prove your knowledge or do you? Your true knowledge will come out only after you'll be put on regular work and you'll fail. In such cases, there are demotions in the Reviewers too. But you must sacrifice some regular work in order to get the quality persons. If you're judging every review based upon one, then I would say its just your negativity. You could judge the review based up good one's too, but you're judging others with just one bad review.

Code Want i put forth here is that the system of recruitment of the reviewers has to change for the good Take in people who are as knowledgeable in E-Books not just some people who could just spit out fluid english. Only then would these "Mistakes" you say will be totally eradicated.

I guess above answered this question as well.

Sun Tzu - June 17, 2011, 6:59 am

(Jun 17, 2011 05:40 AM)High Definition Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Code I'm not expecting you guys to know about cooking i'm expecting you guys to know about E-Book Is that hard? If you guys don't even have the Brain to research whether the E-Book has been rehashed or uses any other previous method that are posted in public then for what the hell are you guys even there in the reviewers group

If you go an see the E-book section, there are E-books even concerning the topics you've brought up. You expect us to know about "E-book". An E-book contains material from large variety of topics. Its not as small as you're saying "E-book". Its like saying, you're going to school and you've a Book. If you don't know how to solve trigonometry, don't know about history, don't know about Quantum physics, don't know about Digital signal processing, don't know about Microprocessors, then why are you going to school? You don't know about the contents of the Book. Is it feasible to have a compulsory knowledge of everything I stated? According to you, yes, because they are a part of book.

Code Newbie? get real your "Reviewer" Just let a guy scam people for \$75 for a copy each you

think that's cool? I'd pose a question to you Would allow a "newbie-who doesn't have any knowledge" to ride a plane(i.e)

[quote='High Definition' pid='12746897' dateline='1308314427']

[code]I'm not expecting you guys to know about cooking i'm expecting you guys to know about E-Book Is that hard? If you guys don't even have the Brain to research whether the E-Book has been rehashed or uses any other previous method that are posted in public then for what the hell are you guys even there in the reviewers group

If you go and see the E-book section, there are E-books even concerning the topics you've brought up. You expect us to know about "E-book". An E-book contains material from large variety of topics. Its not as small as you're saying "E-book". Its like saying, you're going to school and you've a Book. If you don't know how to solve trigonometry, don't know about history, don't know about Quantum physics, don't know about Digital signal processing, don't know about Microprocessors, then why are you going to school? You don't know about the contents of the Book. Is it feasible to have a compulsory knowledge of everything I stated? According to you, yes, because they are a part of book.

Code Newbie? get real your "Reviewer" Just let a guy scam people for \$75 for a copy each you think that's cool? I'd pose a question to you Would allow a "newbie-who doesn't have any knowledge" to ride a plane(i.e) would you let him pilot the plane? would you? with your relatives your family and all? And what happens if he steers the plane into hill and crashes the plane all your family members die(This is just a very imaginary situation this situation is just said so as you feel the pain of what others feel this story is not meant to hurt you but show just you the feelings that most of us have for this idiotic system) And the officials from the management comes over to say "We are sorry that your family died We just let a Newbie ride the plane so sorry" How would you feel to hear stuff like this from them huh?

Everyone have to start from somewhere. I admit this part of the Reviewers is not good but still, judging the whole group based upon one person is just not intelligent I would say. And nothing can be done for that. If not this one, some other E-book will be the victim of a non-accurate review. We cannot get inside someone's brain to see what they know and what they don't until they show their work.

Code First part of reply, My answer to you: You guys should be accurate that's what reviewing is supposed to be. With every inaccuracy you are making waste to people who might just buy it if you understand that

Second part, I do agree you only can do your best the rest is up to the buyers.

People like you and others only name their E-book "Make \$100 in an hour". No description of what it is while requesting the review either. How do you think we're suppose to know what the E-book involves? Suppose I am not experienced with CPA and you named your E-book like that. I'll request the review and later will find that its a CPA E-book. What should I do? I cannot return the E-book because Reviewers strictly give out only 2 copies to keep the information as limited as possible. Even I am not willing to, but I've to review it. Obviously having less knowledge doesn't mean the review gonna be shitty. I would do my best to research but it would be obviously less as compared to someone experienced.

Your claim of providing accurate review 100% of time is just not possible. Even a machine cannot produce an exact same result again, we're still humans.

Code Not me but the buyers are entitled to accuse the bullshit review by the "reviewers" and you can't deny it. Keeping it minimum? You can totally eradicate it by keeping knowledgeable people who really know about E-Books that where the cure for your group really lies, Rather than having people who like write in absolute splendid english and have no knowledge on e-books. You can make mistake is some other things but here you are wasting the money of people who take reviews on an e-book greatly so your "Reviewers" here and their reviews matter a lot.

No one can have 100% knowledge in respective field. To my knowledge, every reviewer takes the

E-book in which they are knowledgeable except if the case is like I stated above. In no way it can be totally eradicated, whatever you do, there will be inaccuracy. Reviewers are not chosen solely on their English, however its one of the required things which you can actually see and judge at the sight. You say we must keep knowledgeable persons. Please enlist some ways we can decide if the person is really knowledgeable or not? I'll ask you, Can you code in C++? You'll say yes, and I've to agree. Most I'll do is take a small test asking you make a "Hello World" program. You don't expect me to ask you make a complete operating system to prove your knowledge or do you? Your true knowledge will come out only after you'll be put on regular work and you'll fail. In such cases, there are demotions in the Reviewers too. But you must sacrifice some regular work in order to get the quality persons. If you're judging every review based upon one, then I would say its just your negativity. You could judge the review based up good one's too, but you're judging others with just one bad review.

Code Want i put forth here is that the system of recruitment of the reviewers has to change for the good Take in people who are as knowledgeable in E-Books not just some people who could just spit out fluid english. Only then would these "Mistakes" you say will be totally eradicated.

I guess above answered this question as well.

Code If you go an see the E-book section, there are E-books even concerning the topics you've brought up. You expect us to know about "E-book". An E-book contains material from large variety of topics. Its not as small as you're saying "E-book". Its like saying, you're going to school and you've a Book. If you don't know how to solve trigonometry, don't know about history, don't know about Quantum physics, don't know about Digital signal processing, don't know about Microprocessors, then why are you going to school? You don't know about the contents of the Book. Is it feasible to have a compulsory knowledge of everything I stated? According to you, yes, because they are a part of book. Yes they do they contain a lot of topics if you don't know about what "Niche" the particular e-book has then why do you review what you don't know? You go to a school to learn, You go to an organisation to work and I presume this isn't your learning ground since Virtual+Real money is involved in it Its a tough job to live upto.

Code Everyone have to start from somewhere. I admit this part of the Reviewers is not good but still, judging the whole group based upon one person is just not intelligent I would say. And nothing can be done for that. If not this one, some other E-book will be the victim of a non-accurate review. We cannot get inside someone's brain to see what they know and what they don't until they show their work.

"Everyone starts from somewhere else" totally true i presume that somewhere must be the place where you should think before joining the E-Book reviewers group. Clearly, I have respect for some reviewers but not all. So the loophole with the people of your group will still go on is that what your saying?

Code people like you and others only name their E-Book "Make \$100 in an hour" Where did you ever see me do that? In the VIP giveaway section? That e-book that i was giving away im supposed to put up the title as it is when it was sold and not put it as "How to get High and how to get jailed" Its the title of the WSO for god sake learn to read properly, Rather than that i've never sold an e-book.

Code How do you think we're suppose to know what the E-book involves? Suppose I am not experienced with CPA and you named your E-book like that. I'll request the review and later will find that its a CPA E-book. What should I do? I cannot return the E-book because Reviewers strictly give out only 2 copies to keep the information as limited as possible. Even I am not willing to, but I've to review it. Obviously having less knowledge doesn't mean the review gonna be shitty. I would do my best to research but it would be obviously less as compared to someone experienced."How do you think we're suppose to know what the E-book involves?"

Are you serious ever read the thing that you guys give out the official reviewer request here it goes

Code Next, copy the entire form and paste it as the PM body.

Code:
E-book Name:
Thread Link:
Download Link:
Password:
Additional Information:

Should a field remain empty, please put N/A or leave it blank.

Make sure that the download link and password (if included) is working. Your review will get delayed if you do not.

Once your e-book has been issued to a Reviewer, a post notification will be in your thread saying, "Pending review by the official Review Team. This post will be updated with a full review within 48 hours."

Only 2 Reviewers will be given that e-book and will provide an unbiased, accurate report of your e-book within 48 hours. If we fail to meet this, please do not hesitate to PM the previous leader you have PMed for a clarification.

The above template is sent over to leaders ain't it they are supposed to know what method it is if they know the method then they should be handing it out to the guys who are experienced in the particular niche

Secondly,

That's what i say for an reviewer before hand exposure is a must and saying that "I don't know this shit"after an e-book is received is just pure dumbness. Exposure is what all the reviewers need.

Code No one can have 100% knowledge in respective field. To my knowledge, every reviewer takes the E-book in which they are knowledgeable except if the case is like I stated above. In no way it can be totally eradicated, whatever you do, there will be inaccuracy. Reviewers are not chosen solely on their English, however its one of the required things which you can actually see and judge at the sight. Quite frankly some people do have the 95% knowledge in their respective field

Code You say we must keep knowledgeable persons. Please enlist some ways we can decide if the person is really knowledgeable or not?1]Keep a mock test few, Ask'em to list out what are the methods which they know,the sub-methods,their previous experience,Ask if they are IM'ers for IM'ers are more knowledgeable than the reveiwers,There experiences at topnotch places like Warriorforum digital point etc.,

2]Run them on a probation period

3]Segregate them according to their abilities

4]Voila you have the perfect candidates to the group.

Code I'll ask you, Can you code in C++? You'll say yes, and I've to agree. Most I'll do is take a small test asking you make a "Hello World" program. You don't expect me to ask you make a complete operating system to prove your knowledge or do you? Your true knowledge will come out only after you'll be put on regular work and you'll fail. In such cases, there are demotions in the Reviewers too. But you must sacrifice some regular work in order to get the quality persons. If you're judging every review based upon one, then I would say its just your negativity. You could judge the review based up good one's too, but you're judging others with just one bad review.Niche here is programming so you should be asking him to know fully about programming it would be idiotic to ask him about the OS let alone if the OS ever comes to play then it must be Dependencies that he should know about,Framework,Mutlithreaded execution in a programming

I have good respect for some reviewers not all you could say that's negativity but that me that's who i'am.

You also ask how would we find knowledgeable persons?

I clearly see that i find myself knowledgeable in the field of Monetizing,General life,Exploit/Blackhat type e-books. Also how many people do you find creating threads like this, finding out e-books like this, state'ing out truth like this, Very very rare. Rarely do you see people like me But are the official reviewers ready to take up talents like me? That's the question.

streetracer608 - June 17, 2011, 7:40 am

Ive bought 4-5 ebooks on here that had great review (4-5) and all of them were pretty much stupid. They were common knowledge or something you could have found on google. I dont trust the reviewers anymore because of the money wasted on these simple topics

Sun Tzu - June 17, 2011, 8:56 pm

(Jun 17, 2011 07:40 AM)streetracer608 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ive bought 4-5 ebooks on here that had great review (4-5) and all of them were pretty much stupid. They were common knowledge or something you could have found on google. I dont trust the reviewers anymore because of the money wasted on these simple topics

I feel sorry for you mate but it is as pathetic as the review gets here.

Chakalaka - June 18, 2011, 2:10 am

(Jun 17, 2011 07:40 AM)streetracer608 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ive bought 4-5 ebooks on here that had great review (4-5) and all of them were pretty much stupid. They were common knowledge or something you could have found on google. I dont trust the reviewers anymore because of the money wasted on these simple topics

You are right.But i think it's time to change reviewers on hackforums and find peoples who really understand what they say and can say truth about ebooks.No offence reviewers but some of you are retarded and asskisers.Ty ?

Sun Tzu - June 18, 2011, 11:23 am

(Jun 18, 2011 02:10 AM)Skype™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You are right.But i think it's time to change reviewers on hackforums and find peoples who really understand what they say and can say truth about ebooks.No offence reviewers but some of you are retarded and asskisers.Ty ?

Hope for the best Skype, Keep our fingers crossed for any such event.?

Darkwin - June 18, 2011, 11:29 am

I agree, i think it is time for HF to change reviewers... Wow this is crazy, just imagine how much money the seller made.

Sun Tzu - June 18, 2011, 11:41 am

(Jun 18, 2011 11:29 AM)Darkwin Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I agree, i think it is time for HF to change reviewers... Wow this is crazy, just imagine how much money the seller made.

On a rough estimate they made about like 50+ sales(Very minimum to state infact)

50*\$75=\$3750

60*\$75=\$4500

.

.

..

This is just a rough estimate of what they might have accomplished if we get the real figures,Only god knows.

aljurians - June 18, 2011, 3:50 pm

I'm glad his ebook was leaked .when i refunded it. haha
he even negative rep me for ruining his thread when in fact he is not replying to my pm's about giving me the ebook that i bought..
and now I'm not surprised this is a bullsh*t crap ebook..
For those others who got scammed by him you can now file for a dispute...
He even asked other people to negative rep someone for giving him neg rep.
He got a temporary banned for doing that..

Here is a helpful tip for filing a dispute: So now be careful with those you bought ebook.

...From Digital Point

Truth Behind Real Earnings
Pure Black Hat
Scamming Exposed

Including:

Fake paypal proofs (Enough of it! This time you will learn how to make them)

Killing Disputes in paypal (Yes i am gonna tell you how to get rid of disputes in paypal)

Convincing people to buy their products and much more! (Pure blackhat tricks are involved in selling stuff on internet)

Sales Page/Thread @ DP:

Code <http://forums.digitalpoint.com/showthread.php?t=2028881>

Download:

Code <http://www.mediafire.com/?umkyzc4cj2crf4o>

Thanks to seomind of BHT

Sun Tzu - June 18, 2011, 8:35 pm

(Jun 18, 2011 03:50 PM)aljurians Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm glad his ebook was leaked .when i refunded it. haha

he even negative rep me for ruining his thread when in fact he is not replying to my pm's about giving me the ebook that i bought..

and now I'm not surprised this is a bullsh*t crap ebook..

For those others who got scammed by him you can now file for a dispute...

He even asked other people to negative rep someone for giving him neg rep.

He got a temporary banned for doing that..

Hope to see more of you guys come up raise up to this scam thanks.

Paradoxum - June 19, 2011, 12:06 am

(Jun 18, 2011 03:50 PM)aljurians Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm glad his ebook was leaked .when i refunded it. haha

he even negative rep me for ruining his thread when in fact he is not replying to my pm's about giving me the ebook that i bought..

and now I'm not surprised this is a bullsh*t crap ebook..
For those others who got scammed by him you can now file for a dispute...
He even asked other people to negative rep someone for giving him neg rep.
I remember helping this guy get a refund. ?

Sun Tzu - June 19, 2011, 9:46 am

(Jun 19, 2011 12:06 AM)Paradoxum Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I remember helping this guy get a refund. ?
Wow now that's something i didn't now.

Goldex - June 19, 2011, 10:24 am

Well that's surprising, never knew about this. Why isn't he trying to shut down this thread?

I guess my eBook is #1 now.

Joey Tribbiani - June 19, 2011, 10:28 am

(Jun 19, 2011 10:24 AM)Dordelicious Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well that's surprising, never knew about this.
Why isn't he trying to shut down this thread?

I guess my eBook is #1 now.

He is banned. ?

He told me on phone that he will close/junk the sales thread. ?

P.S. Congrats to you! ?

Sun Tzu - June 19, 2011, 11:59 am

(Jun 19, 2011 10:24 AM)Dordelicious Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well that's surprising, never knew about this.
Why isn't he trying to shut down this thread?

I guess my eBook is #1 now.

The HWID your e-book had was cracked long ago if you should know and its not the best of HWID protection there is so revamp the protection if you care.

Goldex - June 19, 2011, 12:47 pm

(Jun 19, 2011 11:59 AM)??????<=>???? ?™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The HWID your e-book had was cracked long ago if you should know and its not the best of HWID protection there is so revamp the protection if you care.

Don't worry about that mate, I have gotten a better protection a long time ago. Now it's almost impossible to crack, and leaking got even harder.

Sine - June 19, 2011, 1:13 pm

(Jun 19, 2011 10:24 AM)Dordelicious Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well that's surprising, never knew about this.
Why isn't he trying to shut down this thread?

I guess my eBook is #1 now.

lolz I was going to write that I bought a real shitty worthless e-book for 25\$ telling me quite nothing.

The only good thing at the e-book was the protection^^

And then I saw your post ?

sry but I simply can't understand why it is so well rated

Anyway GREAT Thead!

Goldex - June 19, 2011, 1:43 pm

(Jun 19, 2011 01:13 PM)Sine Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) lolz I was going to write that I bought a real shitty worthless e-book for 25\$ telling me quite nothing.

The only good thing at the e-book was the protection^^

And then I saw your post ?

sry but I simply can't understand why it is so well rated

Anyway GREAT Thead!

That's only your opinion mate. I have over 20 vouches, 5 of which are payment proofs. And you haven't even tried the method yet, so don't comment. ?

Forchieve - June 19, 2011, 2:43 pm

(Jun 19, 2011 01:43 PM)Dordelicious Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That's only your opinion mate. I have over 20 vouches, 5 of which are payment proofs. And you haven't even tried the method yet, so don't comment. ?

thats what they all say, and then it usually ends up being common sense or a public method.

Sun Tzu - June 19, 2011, 9:30 pm

(Jun 19, 2011 01:13 PM)Sine Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) lolz I was going to write that I bought a real shitty worthless e-book for 25\$ telling me quite nothing.

The only good thing at the e-book was the protection^^

And then I saw your post ?

sry but I simply can't understand why it is so well rated

Anyway GREAT Thead!

Might be that it didn't fit your niche also some 11yr guys would find Dordelicious's e-book interesting given it uses "steam" but everyone has their own ideology.

(Jun 19, 2011 02:43 PM)Forchieve Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) thats what they all say, and then it usually ends up being common sense or a public method.

Although dordelicious's e-book isn't a public method its just commonsense rather than a buyer paying money for the e-book they pay for using his commonsense to earn money. Also stating that his method is Forum-Inclusive means it works only on forums.

higore - June 22, 2011, 5:04 am

Hello,

I was one of the first who bought this ebook for 50\$.

I thought that it was a big scam since i get paid today (2 month after).

Amount : 300€

tubby1 - June 24, 2011, 4:37 am

(Jun 14, 2011 10:37 AM)GangGreen™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) My favorite part.

Lol, i agree 125%.

Ebook reviews are just a scam.

IMO

OP ownd. Get ready for gang reviewer -rep op , they will do it.

eBook reviewers are are teenagers, don't expect anything different. ?

[O]wned - June 24, 2011, 5:36 am

That sucks to be scammed by a free method...Good luck getting you're money back!

TryVariational - July 5, 2011, 12:40 pm

Going to this for the truth.

Fully agree with you OP. I was one of the first buyers.

His method is absolute shit and the fact that people had to pay \$50/\$75 for it is a scam. Method is public and even a complete nub can figure it out.

Really dissapointed.

Sun Tzu - July 5, 2011, 6:46 pm

(Jul 5, 2011 12:40 PM)l3abyKnight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Going to this for the truth.

Fully agree with you OP. I was one of the first buyers.

His method is absolute shit and the fact that people had to pay \$50/\$75 for it is a scam. Method is public and even a complete nub can figure it out.

Really dissapointed.

Finally more people are turning out against this e-book.

Xenochrist - August 26, 2011, 7:50 am

This shit is rampant around here. I had a similar situation where I exposed an ebook seller. I didn't speak up though in fear of him taking back the money he refunded me. This was a long time ago, probably a few months before this happened though.

Sun Tzu - August 26, 2011, 8:24 am

(Aug 26, 2011 07:50 AM)Uprising Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This shit is rampant around here. I had a similar situation where I exposed an ebook seller. I didn't speak up though in fear of him taking back the money he refunded me. This was a long time ago, probably a few months before this happened though.

It's stuff like these that still go rampant for a long time, I sincerely hope Omniscient looks over stuff's like these.

junkyardog55 - August 27, 2011, 12:48 pm

Well it worked for me though. Only took 3 fucking months for it to come.

But then again i made \$200 in like 3 days lol

Heres the check that came in randomly. And my account got deactivated.

<http://imageshack.us/f/16/imag0007cl.jpg/>