

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Dynamic Images In Signatures](#)

Omniscient - August 22, 2011, 4:39 pm

In order to clarify our stance on dynamic images in profiles I've added the following to the Profiles [Help Docs](#).

Are we allowed dynamic sigs such as counters or image rotators?

No. You're not allowed to use dynamic sigs on HF. They can be abused and normally slow the loading of pages. So we ask that you do not use dynamic images in your sig. This rule also applies to avatars.

So this is now clear to those using counters and image rotators. Warnings for profile violation are 10% for one week. And staff regularly suspends a members signature for up to a month for violations.

Fishing™ - August 22, 2011, 4:43 pm

I understand that rotating signatures are not allowed, and ones linking to PHP scripts. Does that include ones like my "chat-room" signature which links to a .png image, not a script (but changes, nevertheless)?

Eternity - August 22, 2011, 5:07 pm

Wait.. are you talking about .gifs? Or the ones that change every time you re-load.

Ui Hirasawa - August 22, 2011, 5:09 pm

(Aug 22, 2011 05:07 PM)Eternity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Wait.. are you talking about .gifs? Or the ones that change every time you re-load.

He's talking about the ones that when you refresh the page it changes.

Cyber-Security - August 22, 2011, 5:09 pm

(Aug 22, 2011 05:07 PM)Eternity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Wait.. are you talking about .gifs? Or the ones that change every time you re-load.

I'm wondering the exact same thing. GIFs are dynamic images.

carlos_matos - August 22, 2011, 5:15 pm

So can we have .GIFs as avatars or no?

Oh well, good update.

Existence - August 22, 2011, 5:16 pm

Will do, I never even had one in the first place so I'm all good.

You also might want to add that it's not allowed in avatars either.

Punisher - August 22, 2011, 5:17 pm

.GIFs are not dynamic images. They're animated images.

They don't use a script to load the image, it's just an image.

Cyber-Security - August 22, 2011, 5:20 pm

(Aug 22, 2011 05:17 PM)Sickshot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) .GIFs are not dynamic images. They're animated images.

They don't use a script to load the image, it's just an image.
Dynamic is another word for constantly moving/changing so it can be classified as a GIF.

SoMuchMudkip - August 22, 2011, 5:21 pm

So I guess I should change my signature.

Omniscient - August 22, 2011, 5:23 pm

Quote:I'm wondering the exact same thing. GIFs are dynamic images.

Animated gif's are NOT dynamic images.

Cyber-Security - August 22, 2011, 5:24 pm

(Aug 22, 2011 05:23 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Animated gif's are NOT dynamic images.
Oh, my bad, I thought they were. Sorry. ?

Cody8295 - August 22, 2011, 5:25 pm

(Aug 22, 2011 04:39 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) In order to clarify our stance on dynamic images in profiles I've added the following to the Profiles [Help Docs](#).

Are we allowed dynamic sigs such as counters or image rotators?

No. You're not allowed to use dynamic sigs on HF. They can be abused and normally slow the loading of pages. So we ask that you do not use dynamic images in your sig. This rule also applies to avatars.

So this is now clear to those using counters and image rotators. Warnings for profile violation are 10% for one week. And staff regularly suspends a members signature for up to a month for violations.

Thank you for making a more clear response to my thread. I appreciate it.

SoMuchMudkip - August 22, 2011, 5:32 pm

I really wish this wasn't the case. I enjoyed having multiple images as signatures. Imo it showed all the creators that I valued their work and was a refreshing item when I or anyone else looked at my profile.

Qwazz - August 22, 2011, 5:52 pm

Good to see this one finally official. When you think about it there's so much room for abuse with them especially it it's a rotating one.

Omniscient - August 22, 2011, 5:59 pm

(Aug 22, 2011 05:32 PM)Kn1ght Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I really wish this wasn't the case. I enjoyed having multiple images as signatures. Imo it showed all the creators that I valued their work and was a refreshing item when I or anyone else looked at my profile.

For a long time they were allowed but you can blame the counters in sigs for this new rule. That's one-step too far.

Saged - August 22, 2011, 6:39 pm

Bleh. A rule I simply don't agree with. I've seen several, not abused, and it didn't slow down the

page a damn bit.

evan248 - August 22, 2011, 7:03 pm

i think this is a good thing. should cut down on loading times :D

Omniscient - August 22, 2011, 7:03 pm

I don't like it either but it's become necessary because I can see everyone putting counters in their sigs. And I get complaints and questions all the time about dynamic images that are used to steal IPs. Then there are those with rotating sigs and they can easily be written to avoid staff and occasionally display images we don't allow such as adult or even oversized images.

Overall this is a good rule.

ormond32176 - August 22, 2011, 7:08 pm

I would have to say that this one makes sense. I was getting kinda pissed when I would get pop ups on every other page from some shitty scripted signature trying to 'steal this' or 'log that'.

Lith - August 22, 2011, 7:09 pm

He's talking about scripts made in PHP that choose a random image in directory x to display.

Kotei - August 22, 2011, 7:51 pm

I thought this rule was always clear and obvious. ._.

Baked - August 22, 2011, 8:40 pm

I actually liked the idea of dynamic sigs, but it does cause a lot of loading.

I'm neutral on this decision.

SlimDickShady - August 22, 2011, 8:49 pm

If it's only just now official then why have members been getting warned for it if it wasn't against the rules?

Tasemu - August 22, 2011, 9:43 pm

I find this bitter sweet. I hate when people chucked my work in with a bunch of others after asking me to make them signature (Lookin at YOU Kn1ght). But i also like showing off more than 1 sig i made for myself lol.

KaNe - August 22, 2011, 11:52 pm

(Aug 22, 2011 09:43 PM)Tasemu Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I find this bitter sweet. I hate when people chucked my work in with a bunch of others after asking me to make them signature (Lookin at YOU Kn1ght). But i also like showing off more than 1 sig i made for myself lol.

I used to have a rotating sig, and I had it because I wanted everyones work showed off. Plus I didn't know which one to pick out of all of them, so I used all of them. But I guess this is a good rule, its a shame we have such immature members who take stuff too far.

Grammar Rays - August 23, 2011, 2:20 am

I counter this rule and propose that counters are banned and shall be reported as 'Profile Violation'.

Nude images will be reported (as normal).

I disagree with rotating images/signatures being banned. Hopefully Omniscient will consider my proposal.

Sweat Heart - August 23, 2011, 3:22 am

(Aug 22, 2011 05:15 PM)Irise Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So can we have .GIFs as avatars or no?

Oh well, good update.

Let me get this straight, you don't like the update (you didn't read it BTW .GIF aren't PHP sigs) and non the less you say it's a good update?

KaNe - August 23, 2011, 4:22 am

(Aug 23, 2011 03:22 AM)Sweat Heart Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Let me get this straight, you don't like the update (you didn't read it BTW .GIF aren't PHP sigs) and non the less you say it's a good update?

I don't like this update, but it's definitely a decent update. You don't have to like it to agree it's for the best.

N3w_2_H@Ck1n™ - August 23, 2011, 4:26 am

I don't mind this rule at all, I haven't changed my sig image for over a year lol. Definitely not dynamic. :P

Infinit? - August 23, 2011, 4:56 am

Good to know that it clarifies a few things, mainly about the whole .gif confusion. I've seen a few staff members with the image rotators going on, which is why I thought it was allowed again.

Cheers Omni

sneezing panda - August 24, 2011, 12:24 am

What do counters do anyway? Just show ho many people have seen an image?