

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Remove the whole Reviewers team](#)

Xenochrist - August 25, 2011, 7:45 pm

Strictly for ebooks! Not for any product sold in the Marketplace!

Strictly for ebooks! Not for any product sold in the Marketplace!

Strictly for ebooks! Not for any product sold in the Marketplace!

Strictly for ebooks! Not for any product sold in the Marketplace!

(Aug 25, 2011 06:28 PM)Itachi Uchiha Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Reviewers job aren't to test the ebooks.

Just to review the possibility of it working.

This post made me think more clearly about this problem. Okay, I have bought many, many ebooks and I can tell you that 9/10 time, the ebook is not up to standards as what the official reviewer has made in his review. This leads to sort of "false" reviews.

So how about dissolving the entire Reviewers group, and start a new group named something such as "Testers". These are people that actually test the ebook and gives their opinion on the methods included within.

Why? Because with the current system, the official Reviewers only describe the possibilities of the ebook actually working. They don't test it themselves so how can they know if the ebook works or not? If there was a "Testers" group, the review of ebooks would be much more accurate.

I understand testing could take some time, and that's why I recommend sending your ebook to testers before you put your sales thread up. This would also save a lot of non-working/stolen/saturated ebooks from being sold here.

Nihility - August 25, 2011, 7:46 pm

We don't need to replace it.

How about dissolve it entirely?

n0tReanimation - August 25, 2011, 7:47 pm

Yeah seriously.

Them folks have to just simply get out of here.

There's always been false reviews and people who've applied for Reviewers simply social engineered their way in to simply become bad reviewers.

Riseing - August 25, 2011, 7:48 pm

Yea useless group most of them write good reviews all the time anyways.

Monstah - August 25, 2011, 7:49 pm

Ya almost nobody writes a bad review because they have no idea about what the ebook is even talking about, so just assume it will work

big hecks - August 25, 2011, 7:50 pm

They're probably scared of getting -rep if they give bad reviews.

Eruditus - August 25, 2011, 7:50 pm

I kind of agree with you.

Yes stuff like grammar is needed for something to be a good book but a book with good grammar and a method which does not work is useless.

I don't like that it requires you to be ub3r to join either.

Xenochrist - August 25, 2011, 7:50 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 07:49 PM)M?tt Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ya almost nobody writes a bad review because they have no idea about what the ebook is even talking about, so just assume it will work

THIS is why I wanted to become a Reviewer. but I was denied. Oh well. But anyways, you're right. I have never once seen a bad review. I have bought many, upon many bad ebooks but I've never seen an actual bad review.

Baked - August 25, 2011, 7:53 pm

I agree too, it's kind of pointless.

Or expand it to the whole marketplace.

Eruditus - August 25, 2011, 7:56 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 07:53 PM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I agree too, it's kind of pointless.

Or expand it to the whole marketplace.

Yea. It'd be great to have the reviewers actually review items sold like crypters, hosting services.

Xenochrist - August 25, 2011, 7:59 pm

Okay, so.. get rid of Reviewers for ebooks, and add testers for everything. I kinda like it.

Validity - August 25, 2011, 7:59 pm

I don't think removing the reviewers group is a good idea, although I do understand your concerns and I agree with a lot of them. Think about it, having these "official" reviews are better than nothing. Also, I don't think this "testers" thing is a good idea. It seems like way too much work for the group and with the amount of eBook Sales Threads coming in it seems virtually impossible. Nice idea though.

I think what we should be doing rather than skipping straight to the idea of disbanding the Reviewers entirely is brainstorming about different ways we can improve the accuracy and quality of the reviews being distributed. Like I said, these "official" reviews may not always be the most accurate, but IMO it's better to have them than not to have them at all.

Bigandrewgold - August 25, 2011, 8:03 pm

Well I do not think that we should have a group that reviews/tests everything in the market, because then everyone would want to be in the reviewers for the free stuff.

Bad Blood - August 25, 2011, 8:04 pm

1. Testers will never happen. Suggested and denied a few times already.

2. The only real problem with the Reviewers is that members are never removed for writing inaccurate/'lazy' reviews. If the leaders checked them once in a while this wouldn't be a problem. Reviewers would put more effort into their reviews.

Xenochrist - August 25, 2011, 8:05 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 07:59 PM)Validity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't think removing the reviewers group is a good idea, although I do understand your concerns and I agree with a lot of them. Think about it, having these "official" reviews are better than nothing. Also, I don't think this "testers" thing is a good idea. It seems like way too much work for the group and with the amount of eBook Sales Threads coming in it seems virtually impossible. Nice idea though.

I think what we should be doing rather than skipping straight to the idea of disbanding the Reviewers entirely is brainstorming about different ways we can improve the accuracy and quality of the reviews being distributed. Like I said, these "official" reviews may not always be the most accurate, but IMO it's better to have them than not to have them at all.

I don't think the Testers is too bad of an idea. You said it would be too much work, but I disagree. That's why the Testers would have to be users who are dedicated to HF and willing to spend a good chunk of their time actually doing their job (testing products sold on HF). These people would volunteer themselves, so even if it is a lot of work, I don't think it's a problem as these people chose to do the job.

I just think there's no way to make the official reviews more accurate, other than actually testing the ebook yourself. Which is where this new group would come into play. I see what you're getting at though. Maybe you're right, maybe it would be too much work. Writing a review takes a short time to complete, but reviewing it would take a few days. And you would need really dedicated users if they even wanted to try testing more than 1 ebook at once. They may have their hands full. So you're right.

(Aug 25, 2011 08:03 PM)Bigandrewgold Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well I do not think that we should have a group that reviews/tests everything in the market, because then everyone would want to be in the reviewers for the free stuff.

You are implying that this isn't already the case with the Reviewers group?

Chief™ - August 25, 2011, 8:07 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 07:59 PM)Validity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't think removing the reviewers group is a good idea, although I do understand your concerns and I agree with a lot of them. Think about it, having these "official" reviews are better than nothing. Also, I don't think this "testers" thing is a good idea. It seems like way too much work for the group and with the amount of eBook Sales Threads coming in it seems virtually impossible. Nice idea though.

I think what we should be doing rather than skipping straight to the idea of disbanding the Reviewers entirely is brainstorming about different ways we can improve the accuracy and quality of the reviews being distributed. Like I said, these "official" reviews may not always be the most accurate, but IMO it's better to have them than not to have them at all.

So basically, your saying we should let the reviewers keep giving the inaccurate reviews, causing people to spend there money thinking that it will work?

Bigandrewgold - August 25, 2011, 8:08 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 08:05 PM)Uprising Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You are implying that this isn't already the case with the Reviewers group?

But it would be even more so because of the possibility of getting say an advance fud crypter that costs 70 bucks for free as opposed to a 5 dollar ebook.

KRB - August 25, 2011, 8:08 pm

They payed 2,000 \$ for a group

They can do as they please

Iceberg. - August 25, 2011, 8:08 pm

Heres my bit on it, reviewers should stay, the rule about members not in the "official" team not being able to review ebooks should be removed. So, here is what this solves. You no longer feel obligated to get an official review as a seller. As a buyer you can see reviews of people who have actually purchased the ebook. This is also somewhat off topic, but I believe you should be able to get vouches and testimony's by that I mean. Vouches "prove" that you have had successful deals in the past, it has nothing to do with your current product. A testimony is something written by the consumer that has purchased the product. People seem to merge the two, when they have 2 completely different meanings. If you have 10 friends on hf you have 10 vouches, but if your ebook/product is crap, you shouldn't acquire sales just because you are getting friends to say that you have had good deals in the past. That is just my view on it.

Chief™ - August 25, 2011, 8:09 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 08:08 PM)KRB Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) They payed 2,000 \$ for a group

They can do as they please

They didn't pay 2000 dollars for the group O.o

Iceberg. - August 25, 2011, 8:12 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 08:08 PM)KRB Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) They payed 2,000 \$ for a group

They can do as they please

I was not aware of this, I thought omni created the group to help the ebook marketplace. ?

Xenochrist - August 25, 2011, 8:12 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 08:08 PM)Bigandrewgold Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) But it would be even more so because of the possibility of getting say an advance fud crypter that costs 70 bucks for free as opposed to a 5 dollar ebook.

It shouldn't be too much of a loss for the seller, right? Because if it gets a good review by the tester, then it would up their sales. But I agree a bit, and that's why I was leaning more towards just ebook testers.

?

(Aug 25, 2011 08:12 PM)iceberg11hack Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I was not aware of this, I thought omni created the group to help the ebook marketplace. ?

I believe so. The Reviewers was here long before the ability to create your own group for \$2000.

Bad Blood - August 25, 2011, 8:14 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 08:05 PM)Uprising Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You are implying that this isn't already the case with the Reviewers group?

If anyone wants to join the Reviewers team to get free eBooks, don't bother. One out of every 25, probably more, are worth reading. A good majority of the eBooks are things that sellers scrapped together to make a quick buck.

So no. Anyone who is in the Reviewers to get free eBooks would have more then likely left it.

n0tReanimation - August 25, 2011, 8:14 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 08:12 PM)Uprising Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It shouldn't be too much of a loss for the seller, right? Because if it gets a good review by the tester, then it would up their sales. But I agree a bit, and that's why I was leaning more towards just ebook testers.

?

Maybe you missed something Biohazard posted.

I remember that HF Testers were trying to be created and Omni banned the OP and disbanded it.

So Testers will never happen.

Iceberg - August 25, 2011, 8:15 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 08:12 PM)Uprising Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It shouldn't be too much of a loss for the seller, right? Because if it gets a good review by the tester, then it would up their sales. But I agree a bit, and that's why I was leaning more towards just ebook testers.

?

You are caught between a rock and a hard place, people don't care nearly as much about a \$5 product, if you lose \$5 you lose \$5. But if you buy something for \$75 you expect it to be good. So basically someone needs to give, it is a matter of if it's going to be the buyer (not sure of the products "goodness" lol) or the seller (giving away a \$75 product for free)

Mr. 9 - August 25, 2011, 8:16 pm

I support the replacing of the reviewers with the testers lol seems like a more useful group

Analog - August 25, 2011, 8:17 pm

Agreed my brother, I think when you buy an ebook, it's at your own risk. I personally hardly depend on buying an ebook on what the reviewer said.

Qwazz - August 25, 2011, 8:17 pm

Why would you ever believe an ebook actually does what it advertises? Once a method is saturated enough it's no longer as effective.

Nostre - August 25, 2011, 8:18 pm

I'm sure a group named Testers will be ordered to test .exe programs also, etc. I'm positive Omni will just close this suggestion, but good luck.

Chief™ - August 25, 2011, 8:18 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 08:17 PM)Raptured Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Agreed my brother, I think when you buy an ebook, it's at your own risk. I personally hardly depend on buying an ebook on what the reviewer said.

Sorry to say, but A LOT of people will base their decision solely on the reviews. If it says good things, more people will buy it. If it says bad things, no one will buy it.

Xenochrist - August 25, 2011, 8:21 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 08:18 PM)?Chief™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Sorry to say, but A LOT of people will base their decision solely on the reviews. If it says good things, more people will buy it. If it says bad things, no one will buy it.

Especially when the ebook is something tempting such as "Make \$100/day [AUTOPILOT][NEW]"... if that has an exceptionally good review, I'm going to be more tempted to buy it than if it had a bad review.

Eruditus - August 25, 2011, 8:22 pm

I think the concept of the tester's idea is good but could remain being called the reviewers if put into place.

If they were to test most of the stuff sold on the marketplace like crypters, booters and hosting services then it'd clear up a lot of the scams and crappy products/services sold.

I don't like that the current Reviewers group only accept ub3rs.

Xenochrist - August 25, 2011, 8:23 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 08:17 PM)Qwazz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why would you ever believe an ebook actually does what it advertises? Once a method is saturated enough it's no long as effective.

I don't believe an ebook actually does what it advertises. Hence my suggestion for the "testers" group. But okay? Also not every method can be saturated. I don't see why that fact matters here though.

SIM-599222 - August 25, 2011, 8:38 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 07:50 PM)Uprising Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) THIS is why I wanted to become a Reviewer. but I was denied. Oh well. But anyways, you're right. I have never once seen a bad review. I have bought many, upon many bad ebooks but I've never seen an actual bad review.

The reviewers review the method. I've seen many reviews that tell you that the possibility of making money from a certain e-book is low. However, I do believe some reviews are corrupt. So I'm sort of 50/50 on this.

Wolves - August 25, 2011, 8:40 pm

Omni will not be happy with this thread. -_-

The Reviewers can't go out and try each e-book, you know why? It often involves a lot of time to actually do probably about half the methods or costs money to do the methods.

Quite frankly, being a review isn't as easy or as good as people crack it up to be, especially if your shaky on the subject.

The Reviewers has made a great impact on the marketplace for weeding out e-books that are forbidden by rule as well as showing if it is a waste of money.

If it weren't for us, members would waste money every day on shitty e-books more than they do now.

Xenochrist - August 25, 2011, 8:41 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 08:38 PM)Lamborghini Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The reviewers review the method. I've seen many reviews that tell you that the possibility of making money from a certain e-book is low. However, I do believe some reviews are corrupt. So I'm sort of 50/50 on this.

Of course they review the method, but they don't test it. That's what my suggestion is about. Why review something if you haven't tested it, just read about it?

D?p?aved™ - August 25, 2011, 8:41 pm

It's a good idea, and I see where you are coming from, but like you said testing takes time. Some of these methods take weeks to do. If the reviewers was disbanned and a new group was created there would need to be a new eBook marketplace rule which states that you must send an official tester/reviewer a copy of your eBook for testing purposes and they will report back as soon as they see the method working. If they wait too long with the eBook to try the method their method could possibly be released by someone else.

Impurity - August 25, 2011, 8:41 pm

I think before you go and say the entire group needs to be destroyed you should stop and think about some things. The current review template doesn't allow reviewers to give accurate reviews, we have to use the notes section for that. Sure, we may have a complete shit for an eBook but there's perfect grammar, therefore it gets a 5 stars. While I cannot speak for all reviewers, I know most reviewers only request certain eBooks that they are knowledgeable in. Seems to me you got a bad review and are butt hurt about it, that's usually what causes these threads.

So no, dissolving the Reviewers group is such a terrible idea. It prevents so many users from being scammed. I reviewed an eBook once that was a notepad and the author charged 50\$ for it...if I didn't review it, people would have purchased it, wasting their money.

Eruditus - August 25, 2011, 8:44 pm

@Impurity.

Obviously I think what the OP is trying to say instead of dissolving it, expanding the reviewers. Maybe they could take a look at hosting services and review them, products like booters and crypters.

It would prevent more scams in the marketplace.

As you said, someone charged \$50 for a notepad written guide. People charge \$1 for shit hosting services, reviewers, if were to expand would actually stop users from buying services which do not advertise correctly.

Xenochrist - August 25, 2011, 8:45 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 08:40 PM)Wolves Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Omni will not be happy with this thread. -_-

The Reviewers can't go out and try each e-book, you know why? It often involves a lot of time to actually do probably about half the methods or costs money to do the methods.

Quite frankly, being a review isn't as easy or as good as people crack it up to be, especially if your shaky on the subject.

The Reviewers has made a great impact on the marketplace for weeding out e-books that are forbidden by rule as well as showing if it is a waste of money.

If it weren't for us, members would waste money every day on shitty e-books more than they do now.

Well with the amount of "get rich quick" ebooks, I don't see how it would take a long time to review the method. Most ebooks state that you'll see money coming in right away, and they always claim how easy their method is. So if it is truly easy, it shouldn't take too long to test, right?

I never stated being a Reviewer was easy or that they're purposely giving false reviews. I just think it's hard to accurately review something without testing it 100%.

With the Reviewers still around, people still waste money every day on shitty ebooks. Of course it would happen more often if there were no Reviewers... but... in my experience, lots of Reviewers do a bad job at reviewing their ebooks. And again, it's not all their fault. (Paragraph #2) and I am not trying to insult the group either, that's not what this thread is for. I appreciate the job they do.

Impurity - August 25, 2011, 8:45 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 08:44 PM)Cymraeg Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) @Impurity.

Obviously I think what the OP is trying to say instead of dissolving it, expanding the reviewers. Maybe they could take a look at hosting services and review them, products like booters and crypters.

It would prevent more scams in the marketplace.

May be a misunderstanding then. If that's the case then this thread has been created so many times, and it's been denied every time. Omni doesn't want to involve an official group with the sales on HF. He said there will never be a booter/malware review team as it's just unsafe.

Wolves - August 25, 2011, 8:47 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 08:44 PM)Cymraeg Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) @Impurity.

Obviously I think what the OP is trying to say instead of dissolving it, expanding the reviewers. Maybe they could take a look at hosting services and review them, products like booters and crypters.

It would prevent more scams in the marketplace.

As you said, someone charged \$50 for a notepad written guide. People charge \$1 for shit hosting services, reviewers, if were to expand would actually stop users from buying services which do not advertise correctly.

Omni has stated that the Reviews will always only be for e-books.

Now I imagine there would be a way to get around this that people don't think of.

They could make an ebook that says, "The best crypter around and how to use it." With a download, license, and detailed guide to their crypter.

Whether this could be done for sure, I have no idea, but it seems like a simple loophole.

Kn1gh7 - August 25, 2011, 8:48 pm

I agree with this thread.

I was once a reviewer but was later removed by Phire Nuk3r, when I tried to explain to him, Omni got in a fight with which caused a ban.

Skill wont even respond to any of my PM's and Paradoxum just doesn't want to mess up with his 'leadership'.

Riggs - August 25, 2011, 8:48 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 08:04 PM)BioHaza?d™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 1. Testers will never happen. Suggested and denied a few times already.

Where? I just did quite an in-depth search and didn't find any sources that attest to this statement.

(Aug 25, 2011 08:04 PM)BioHaza?d™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 2. The only real problem with the Reviewers is that members are never removed for writing inaccurate/'lazy' reviews. If the leaders checked them once in a while this wouldn't be a problem. Reviewers would put more effort into their reviews.

I wouldn't say it's the entire group, more likely just a large majority.

Xenochrist - August 25, 2011, 8:49 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 08:41 PM)Impurity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think before you go and say the entire group needs to be destroyed you should stop and think about some things. The current review template doesn't allow reviewers to give accurate reviews, we have to use the notes section for that. Sure, we may have a complete shit for an eBook but there's perfect grammar, therefore it gets a 5 stars. While I cannot speak for all reviewers, I know most reviewers only request certain eBooks that they are knowledgeable in. Seems to me you got a bad review and are butt hurt about it, that's usually what causes these threads.

So no, dissolving the Reviewers group is such a terrible idea. It prevents so many users from being scammed. I reviewed an eBook once that was a notepad and the author charged 50\$ for it...if I didn't review it, people would have purchased it, wasting their money.

Bad review and got butthurt about it? Lol.

Sure. I got butthurt about it and stopped purchasing ebooks all together, several months ago. I have bought tons of ebooks. But what's the point when reviewers can't even properly review an ebook without implementing the methods? Giving opinions on the methods and whether they may work or not is not giving the ebook a legit review.

I have thought for a few months a way to combat bad reviews. I think this is it.

Also @ the dude above who said I wasn't for dissolvoving the current Reviewers group. I am. I would prefer if this "testers" was just for ebooks as I think if you introduced anything sold on the

Marketplace to the "testers" group, it would bring too much work to the group.

Rhythm - August 25, 2011, 8:51 pm

Way back when I was a Reviewer, I supported this exact cause. Unfortunately, the 48 hour limit does not allow Reviewers to test the methodology behind the eBooks. There are some ebooks that take time to work, so we cannot review them without waiting several days-weeks in between submission and review.

(Aug 25, 2011 08:04 PM)BioHaza?d™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 2. The only real problem with the Reviewers is that members are never removed for writing inaccurate/'lazy' reviews. If the leaders checked them once in a while this wouldn't be a problem. Reviewers would put more effort into their reviews. I've noticed this. They're more concerned with activity (how often you post a review) than how good your reviews are.

Validity - August 25, 2011, 8:52 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 08:07 PM)?Chief™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So basically, your saying we should let the reviewers keep giving the inaccurate reviews, causing people to spend there money thinking that it will work?

Not necessarily. I think too many people are under the implication that nearly all of the reviews are terribly inaccurate, which isn't the case. Sure, there may be flaws in the current review template of which cause slight inaccuracies every once in awhile but I personally think that even though things could probably be done to improve the Review process, they aren't doing a completely terrible job.

There is always room for improvement, though.

Baked - August 25, 2011, 8:53 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 08:48 PM)Riggs Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Where? I just did quite an in-depth search and didn't find any sources that attest to this statement.

I wouldn't say it's the entire group, more likely just a large majority.

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1420440>

But I think Omni should change his mind, the marketplace is hectic.

Impurity - August 25, 2011, 8:53 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 08:49 PM)Uprising Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Bad review and got butthurt about it? Lol.

Sure. I got butthurt about it and stopped purchasing ebooks all together, several months ago. I have bought tons of ebooks. But what's the point when reviewers can't even properly review an ebook without implementing the methods? Giving opinions on the methods and whether they may work or not is not giving the ebook a legit review.

You realize that we have 48 hours to read the entire eBook and write a review on it, while that may seem like plenty of time, it's really not. Plus why should we have to shell out investment money to try shitty methods. Most of the eBooks I review are the same public method, but I can't just give them all 1 stars as their layout was legitimately good. You have to be able to read a review correctly.

Your suggesting that reviewers spend their own time and money reviewing what's mostly public methods. Yeah, not happening.

Kotei - August 25, 2011, 8:54 pm

One of the reasons why I left The Reviewers was because I posted a harsh, but honest review, it was an overall rating of 2/5, I got raged at. ._.

Daniel Faraday - August 25, 2011, 8:56 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 08:05 PM)Uprising Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You are implying that this isn't already the case with the Reviewers group?

Testing a program and reviewing an eBook are on very different levels.

Not just in the free stuff aspect, but in a wide range of factors.

Tunasty - August 25, 2011, 8:59 pm

They just want free shit, so i guess its another way of getting stuff.

Xenochrist - August 25, 2011, 9:00 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 08:53 PM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1420440>

But I think Omni should change his mind, the marketplace is hectic.

Ugh. My thread is strictly for ebooks only. Not other products sold here in the Marketplace. Updated OP as some people were getting confused.

(Aug 25, 2011 08:54 PM)Oldies Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) One of the reasons why I left The Reviewers was because I posted a harsh, but honest review, it was an overall rating of 2/5, I got raged at. ._.More proof of the reasons some Reviewers may give a better review than what is deserved.

(Aug 25, 2011 08:53 PM)Impurity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You realize that we have 48 hours to read the entire eBook and write a review on it, while that may seem like plenty of time, it's really not. Plus why should we have to shell out investment money to try shitty methods. Most of the eBooks I review are the same public method, but I can't just give them all 1 stars as their layout was legitimately good. You have to be able to read a review correctly.

Your suggesting that reviewers spend their own time and money reviewing what's mostly public methods. Yeah, not happening.Okay, it's not enough time. Then why not complain to Omni to get that time extended instead of still giving reviews although you aren't given enough time to make a proper review? Seems like you guys aren't doing anything when something could be done to fix the problem.

Your last sentence was funny though. You guys volunteered to become reviewers so if you're complaining about spending your own time to do this job, maybe it's not the job for you. And about the \$\$ thing.. well, I don't know.

Bad Blood - August 25, 2011, 9:01 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 08:48 PM)Riggs Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Where? I just did quite an in-depth search and didn't find any sources that attest to this statement.

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1420440&pid=13120068#pid13120068>

There you go.

"This idea won't ever happen. We're not going to vouch for products or test them. "

pushl %ebp - August 25, 2011, 9:02 pm

The reviewers is just a group members joined to get free shit. I think they should be removed.

Xenochrist - August 25, 2011, 9:03 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 09:01 PM)BioHaza?d™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1420440&pid=13120068#pid13120068>

There you go.

"This idea won't ever happen. We're not going to vouch for products or test them. "

Why do you guys keep posting this? This has nothing to do with my suggestion. Read the OP again.

High Definition - August 25, 2011, 9:12 pm

Dude, some methods take like 1-2 months to show the results. So if you love to get your E-book completely reviewed and tested, there is an additional info field in the review request form. Write you want a complete test results in the review and wait for 2 months before selling your E-book ok? I am sure leaders won't mind it.

The methods that could be tested in feasible time ARE tested before the review. You forget that reviews are to be posted within 48 hours.

Also, most of the people just misunderstand the review template. An E-book is not original doesn't means that it should be rated 1/5 in layout section even if its layout is good. Ratings are provided independently in each sections. Now, its your job to read the review carefully and understand what reviewer said about it instead of looking to overall rating. There is a constraint on the reviewer. He has to provide most information about the E-book with minimal disclosure of the method used. I know most people thinks like "AH, reviewer, they get e-books for free. What a great group.", its not like that. It isn't that easy what you think. And another note is, if the E-book is shitty for you, it doesn't mean its shitty for everyone. Saying "Use google to find", and exact steps with screenshot on how to find something on google are different things though the final result would be the same.

Most people saying "Yes, disband the group" are either jelly because of not getting accepted into reviewers or got bad review in past.

Validity - August 25, 2011, 9:12 pm

(Aug 25, 2011 09:02 PM)nu11byte Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The reviewers is just a group members joined to get free shit. I think they should be removed.

I respectfully disagree. While there are obviously benefits to being a Reviewer, I still feel like they are doing a service to the community by reviewing these eBooks. I'd much rather have official review available for my viewing than to not have one at all.

Think about it guys. If we're to remove the reviewers group, what will we have to depend on when determining whether or not an eBook is worth buying? Not much. We'll have illegitimate vouches and unofficial reviews which can easily be faked by friends of the author, people being bribed with free content, etc.

We should stop wasting our time on debating about whether or not the group should be removed and we should start brainstorming on how to make the process more effective and accurate. I'd rather we make an attempt to improve the current system rather than just obliterate the group all together.

Xenochrist - August 25, 2011, 9:20 pm

I like how all Reviewers simply think that we're all taking a stab at you guys. You all are saying "our

jobs aren't easy"... well, we never implied they were easy. You guys automatically assume we're complaining because we read a bad review or got ripped off once or twice. I love seeing assumptions like this, especially the ones which state we're mad for not getting accepted into the group.

Who cares anymore. I'm closing this thread because I know nothing will be done. Reviewers are still going to be unable to give a good review, no matter what happens. This is due to many, many factors that were already covered in this thread. So really, whatever. I guess we may as well let this problem keep going on. It doesn't bother me because I no longer buy ebooks since I once learned that.. you can't buy richness from an ebook. You must find/create a method yourself.

I'm sorry if I insulted anybody here, it was not my intentions. Thank you for the debates, it was fun.