

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Remove eBook Section entirely / Huge Revamp / Remove Reviewers](#)

Hot Chocolate - August 26, 2011, 7:19 am

@Staff - Please do not close this with just "No.", I think I, and many others would like an actual reason, please also read the whole thread through aswell.

It's 100% shit. Every eBook there is public knowledge.

& the reviewer's team is just a bunch of kids who haven't got a knowledge in most eBooks and will just be like "yeah, it's 100% unique duuude" because they've never cared to look at any other eBook marketplace, and they got a free eBook.

Also, no working monetising/similar method is going to be released, if it worked, why would they need to release it? Exactly. "I need quick cash" isn't a real reason, if you're making "\$5000 a week" when you're trying to sell an eBook for \$15 a piece.

The eBook section/reviewers either needs a serious revamp (such as removing all eBooks that contain monetising methods) or just get rid of it, it's a waste of server space (;

I would reckon the most viable one is to remove all sorts of monetising eBooks and make a secondary sub-subject under "Monetising Methods" where people can put download links on \$sharecash/etc, also making sure the other referral section doesn't get clogged up with monetising eBooks.

There also comes this problem, where eBooks are simply written with a new title or the wording vaguely changed around.

(Aug 25, 2011 05:03 PM)Julie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) About 96% of the eBooks on Hack Forums aren't working.

About 80% of them are posted with a different name.

Read [THIS THREAD](#) by [??????<=>???? ?™](#), clearly shows how bad the eBook section & reviewers are not only is it a direct rip from another forum's free eBook section, one of the top points of originality was "never seen an eBook as a .exe".

Itachi Uchiha writes that himself and other original reviewers spent hours combing the internet for copies of eBooks. However, the reviewers is now saturated with the typical HF leech kid. This further strengthens the motion to atleast remove monetising "methods".

Here is a stripped down version of his post.

(Aug 26, 2011 07:31 AM)Itachi Uchiha Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Honestly, by even entering the ebook section, you shouldn't expect anything other than the usually crap. I've known that for a while now.

How to make money (Fail).

Make a thousand dollars (Fail)

The only definite thing that I've seen work %100, is "how to get porn accounts." "How to get steam accounts".

(Aug 26, 2011 07:42 AM)Uprising Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It seemed like the only thing that could get you accepted to the group was the use of, as you said, very flamboyant English. I remember reading so many of the applicant's "reviews" and just laughing.. It's like being experienced in ebooks was completely overlooked/not cared for.

One of the biggest problems with the eBook section is that it is alot of simply rebranding and selling free, giveaway eBooks, as Ariton goes into detail here

(Aug 26, 2011 07:51 AM)ariton Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) People just download a big pack of monetizing eBooks from internet or HF, and they only change it a little bit and they put titles like: How to make \$50, \$100, \$500+ a day and even more. If they really earned \$500+ using their method, they could become a millionaire for a short time (1 Year) and I can't understand, why would a millionaire sell an eBook to earn \$10, I mean if you're earning more than 500 a day, you don't even need extra \$5, \$10 dollars.

BioHazard is also with me on this, being an ex-reviewer himself, he also has inside insight.

(Aug 26, 2011 09:19 AM)BioHaza?d™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Being an ex-Reviewer, I can honestly say that it needs major reforms. It's current system does not work. The goal of the Reviewers is to protect the buyers, and if they're not doing that, they're doing something wrong. eBooks are just assigned to whoever wants to review them, not to people who have knowledge in the field. The two parts of the review that matter the most and require the most effort are the Quality of Information and Originality, because these will state if the method is original and working. Unfortunately, this is rarely the case with most reviews.

/discuss

I will be adding stuff to the OP as people post relevant information.

Xenochrist - August 26, 2011, 7:20 am

You're probably going to get into shit for this, but I agree 100% with you. *waits for impending doom*.

Check out my thread I made about removing the Reviewers team and adding a team to test the ebooks.

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1651594>

I like your suggestion better.

But everyone is going to say "It's your choice to buy something" or "you take the risk on purchasing an ebook", etc.

But yep. That whole section is like 1 big scam.

Also tons of these ebooks are ripped off from other places but just re-written then a watermark of the users name is placed over each page. I have actually exposed a member for doing this, but he gave my money back and I promised not to say anything. I was going to say something but feared him charging back the money he refunded.

ComX - August 26, 2011, 7:24 am

I dont agree, I can GURANTEE that my Upcoming ebook has never been posted on Hackforums.net!

Also 8/10 are same ebooks resold without resell rights. 2/10 is legit working ebooks.

Get a new official group testing the ebooks!

Falconw0w - August 26, 2011, 7:26 am

removing the ebook section is not right coz there are various ebooks that have worked for a good stipulated time !

Like the steam cracker and other many ones !

It all on the customer if he wants to buy it or not !

Itachi Uchiha - August 26, 2011, 7:31 am

(Aug 26, 2011 07:20 AM)Uprising Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You're probably going to get into shit for this, but I agree 100% with you. *waits for impending doom*.

Check out my thread I made about removing the Reviewers team.

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1651594>

I like your suggestion better.

But everyone is going to say "It's your choice to buy something" or "you take the risk on purchasing an ebook", etc.

But yep. That whole section is like 1 big scam.

Also tons of these ebooks are ripped off from other places but just re-written then a watermark of the users name is placed over each page. I have actually exposed a member for doing this, but he gave my money back and I promised not to say anything. I was going to say anything but feared him charging back my money he refunded.

You have no ideal what the reviewers have actually done for the section.

I was apart of the reviewers, when it was first opened/created by Omni.

Me, skill and others spent hours/minutes checking the internet to make sure an ebook isn't copied from anywhere else. I'm not sure if anything has changed since I left, but I was pretty hated for giving negative reviews.

What you misunderstand is that, a reviewers job isn't to check if the ebook can or can't work. The template you see they review with was actually made by Omni. Possibility of working isn't apart of that template. Some of them just went the extra mile.

Honestly, by even entering the ebook section, you shouldn't expect anything other than the usually crap. I've known that for a while now.

How to make money (Fail).

Make a thousand dollars (Fail)

The only definite thing that I've seen work %100, is "how to get porn accounts." "How to get steam accounts".

TiME FLiES - August 26, 2011, 7:31 am

As a reviewer, I can honestly say, some of the e-books do work. You just have to find the right ones.

Xenochrist - August 26, 2011, 7:39 am

(Aug 26, 2011 07:31 AM)Itachi Uchiha Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) but I was pretty hated for giving negative

reviews.

What you misunderstand is that, a reviewers job isn't to check if the ebook can or can't work. The template you see they review with was actually made by Omni. Possibility of working isn't apart of that template. Some of them just went the extra mile.

Honestly, by even entering the ebook section, you shouldn't expect anything other than the usually crap. I've known that for a while now.

How to make money (Fail).

Make a thousand dollars (Fail)

The only definite thing that I've seen work %100, is "how to get porn accounts." "How to get steam accounts".

No dude, I know you guys have tried hard and I know you guys work hard and for the most part, you guys are good at what you do.

But, there are just too many factors that make it impossible to give an accurate review. Things such as the template not being good, not enough time to test the ebook, etc. I didn't mean to blame the Reviewers at all! Sorry if it looked like that.

I have also seen a few people say they have been scrutinized for giving bad reviews and I think that's fucked up. Really fucked up. That's why that section is such garbage, and that's why trash ebooks are allowed to be sold. I think some reviewers may feel scared to give an honest review in fear of being gang neg repped, hated, etc.

You're right on the last part, about how none of them actually work. That's why I haven't visited that section in months. You're totally right, and I just think something must be done about it because that section is like one big scam.

TIME FLIES - August 26, 2011, 7:42 am

(Aug 26, 2011 07:35 AM)??????<=>???? ?™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) But why aren't they taking in people who have knowledge on them? They take in people who can write in a very flamboyant English, I pretty much state that their selection is biased Amp, it really is.

I'm not a leader, so I do not choose who gets picked to join.

And when I review, I often test an e-book first to see if it will work before writing my review.

ComX - August 26, 2011, 7:46 am

Its not gonna happend. Many ebooks does work. Close this thread. And the Reviewers should start to test the guides.

Like Omni said

``Ohh Rich quick scheme didnt work. Thanks for the Feedback``

Blizzard - August 26, 2011, 7:49 am

I do agree, But I think this will not work!

Saged - August 26, 2011, 7:51 am

You assume that the only e-Books being sold are those of making money. I, for one, created my

own e-Book that has nothing to do with that. People have to not be stupid when buying those things. Researching, reading comments, checking similar e-books, etc.

ariton - August 26, 2011, 7:51 am

People just download a big pack of monetizing eBooks from internet or HF, and they only change it a little bit and they put titles like: How to make \$50, \$100, \$500+ a day and even more. If they really earned \$500+ using their method, they could become a millionaire for a short time (1 Year) and I can't understand, why would a millionaire sell an eBook to earn \$10, I mean if you're earning more than 500 a day, you don't even need extra \$5, \$10 dollars.

And the other eBooks are saying: "You don't need to invest nothing, you only need 30 minutes to get this method work. Works in any country" and when you buy this method, everything is 100% different, you need to be from USA to get it working, you only need to invest 1\$ and shits like that, and you're trying 5 hours to get the method working, and then... nothing at all.

Sun Tzu - August 26, 2011, 7:54 am

(Aug 26, 2011 07:51 AM)Saged Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You assume that the only e-Books being sold are those of making money. I, for one, created my own e-Book that has nothing to do with that. People have to not be stupid when buying those things. Researching, reading comments, checking similar e-books, etc.

Your E-book was something off-beat didn't have anything in common with Plus, it proved its point. Also the fact I always keep it as an reference with me whenever I am on HF.

Joey Tribbiani - August 26, 2011, 8:02 am

(Aug 26, 2011 07:35 AM)??????<=>???? ?™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) But why aren't they taking in people who have knowledge on them? They take in people who can write in a very flamboyant English, I pretty much state that their selection is biased Amp, it really is.

Who said people with knowledge are not being taken in?

Also, being a reviewer, when you are critiquing someone's e-book and you make several foolish grammatical mistakes yourself, it is pretty much the irony; hence, the emphasis on excellent spelling & grammar.

Also, not every review can be perfect. Some like it because they think it is accurate, others think the opposite.

For example:- You may have seen tons of e-books in your online life and hence you feel that a particular e-book is not original. But, the reviewer gives a 4/5 for originality because he/she has personally never seen it. That is where a difference of opinion could lead to dissatisfaction.

Sun Tzu - August 26, 2011, 8:11 am

Code Who said people with knowledge are not being taken in?

I say.

Code Also, being a reviewer, when you are critiquing someone's e-book and you make several foolish grammatical mistakes yourself, it is pretty much the irony; hence, the emphasis on excellent spelling & grammar.

So, I have more experience and knowledge than you or any other reviewer in the deck. Grammar is useless if you aren't having the knowledge on reviewing.

Code Also, not every review can be perfect. Some like it because they think it is accurate, others think the opposite.

For example:- You may have seen tons of e-books in your online life and hence you feel that a particular e-book is not original. But, the reviewer gives a 4/5 for originality because he/she has personally never seen it. That is where a difference of opinion could lead to dissatisfaction.

If you can exercise perfection over grammar then why not in this? Giving me an excuse are you?

Joey Tribbiani - August 26, 2011, 8:25 am

Quote:I say.

That does make it true.

Quote:So, I have more experience and knowledge than you or any other reviewer in the deck. Grammar is useless if you aren't having the knowledge on reviewing. Grammar does matter when you are critiquing an e-book.

Quote:If you can exercise perfection over grammar why not in this? Giving me an excuse are you? Not really an excuse if you read what I said. Perfection is not possible. You think a review is good, others think it isn't. Can't really satisfy everyone's demands. And regarding your thread, mistakes do happen once in a while.

Bassline - August 26, 2011, 8:27 am

I Agree there is No point In selling them when All of them and Most of them Don't even work they have Make money and things like make thousands... and it Usually turns out that It never works Or was just a cheap floozy

? ?? ??2? - August 26, 2011, 8:59 am

Totally agree with this. If U really can make \$500/day U will never exposed the secret for even \$500 per piece of the ebook!

Also ebook about spreading, "Get 500 vics per hour"? WTF? 24 hours x 500 = 12000/day? Show me at least half of that for one week of spreading then I will buy the ebook.

I love Ebook Section but I will never buying the ebook about money, spreading or shit that TOO GOOD TO BE TRUE.

Wolves - August 26, 2011, 9:03 am

Honestly, a lot of ebooks I've reviewed have similar methods that I've seen if I have knowledge in it.

If you have such a problem with the ebook section, don't go there. It's like stating that "Omg, why all these kids post stupid GTs in GT section?" if you don't like it, get the hell out of the section.

I try to not take on reviews on topics I don't know, but sometimes that can't be avoided by Reviewers.

You are expecting a Reviewer that has extensive knowledge everywhere, has read hundreds of methods on making money, and is going to shell out money each and every time he Reviews it to test out if the logical ideal works? We aren't made of money, we don't get paid to review these ebooks, and we have standards in which we can review them.

Don't point a finger at Reviewers as a group, point it at some of the concepts laid down by the administration.

The members try as best as they can to give a good review, be unbiased, and tell if a method works.

It's funny though, when someone consistently gives awful reviews people are like, "That kid is a terrible Reviewer" even though they are just being honest.

Don't hate the players, hate the game. Hate the people that copy and make shitty ebooks or steal

ideas.

Use common sense when it comes to ebooks. If it's too good to be true, it probably is.

"Make \$15000 in a month!" Yeah... I'm sure. -_-

Use common sense instead of rallying what seems to be very negative threads against a group that tries hard to keep up with reviews.

Quote:Check out my thread I made about removing the Reviewers team and adding a team to test the ebooks.

Where the hell does the money come from to test the ebooks? I'm not going to waste \$100 a month "testing" each ebook.

I have college expenses I'd much rather spend my money on.

(Aug 26, 2011 08:02 AM)??????<=>???? ?™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why I ask of them, the leaders of the E-book reviewers Why are you people are biased in choosing recruits?

When are you guys ever gonna choose someone who is really talented over stuff's like these.

Why do you find it so cumbersome to recruit people who have knowledge?

Why are you guys so adamant in recruiting people who can only write in flamboyant English, when its clearly evident that they don't posses any knowledge whatsoever on it.

Why?

Biased in recruiting? For the most part, they pick quality applicants, there is only one member I could speak of that didn't have the quality because they didn't research his scam reports, and he was kicked within a day or two.

Just because they may pick prominent members that have made a name for themselves, doesn't mean it's biased.

It's a lot easier to go through someone with a decent amount of posts, look at their posting style, and see if the Reviewer application was a try hard attempt to getting in.

Quote:When are you guys ever gonna choose someone who is really talented over stuff's like these.

Talented? Writing and grammatical skills are some of the key points to being a reviewer.

By all means, if you feel you know everything there is to know about ebooks, post that, your arrogance will probably be your downfall for doing so.

Quote:Why do you find it so cumbersome to recruit people who have knowledge?

We get it, someone made a bad review because he didn't know much about the subject, we try to not let that happen.

It isn't possible for someone to be knowledgeable in every subject matter.

Quote:Why are you guys so adamant in recruiting people who can only write in flamboyant English, when its clearly evident that they don't posses any knowledge whatsoever on it.

I never wrote in flamboyant English in my Reviewers application, I just typed how I normally type. Reviewers also have standards, you are supposed to be official and proper, often pertaining to unique posting be some individuals when making reviews.

Mr. Anderson - August 26, 2011, 9:07 am

You have to admit that there are a few good ebook out there. And its not the reviewers fault that people resell the same methods.

Mag1c-Pot - August 26, 2011, 9:13 am

Quote:About 96% of the eBooks on Hack Forums aren't working.

I'd say the 4% working ebooks make it worth it having a section for them.

Plus you just buy them if you want to.

Fatality - August 26, 2011, 9:15 am

(Aug 26, 2011 09:03 AM)Wolves Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Honestly, a lot of ebooks I've reviewed have similar methods that I've seen if I have knowledge in it.

If you have such a problem with the ebook section, don't go there.

It's like stating that "Omg, why all these kids post stupid GTs in GT section?" if you don't like it, get the hell out of the section.

^ This exactly. You are whining about getting low quality eBooks yet you still buy them. If you think all of the eBooks suck then don't look at the section and don't buy them.

Bad Blood - August 26, 2011, 9:19 am

Being an ex-Reviewer, I can honestly say that it needs major reforms. It's current system does not work. The goal of the Reviewers is to protect the buyers, and if they're not doing that, they're doing something wrong. eBooks are just assigned to whoever wants to review them, not to people who have knowledge in the field. The two parts of the review that matter the most and require the most effort are the Quality of Information and Originality, because these will state if the method is original and working. Unfortunately, this is rarely the case with most reviews.

What I've always done is search for any direct plagiarism, search HF along with other forums, check to see if the method was rewritten by searching for a short summary, and then, if I'm sure that it is original I would test it out the best I can. If it wasn't original I would kill the review right there. As far as I'm concerned, no one still does this. It's come down to pumping out the most reviews in the quickest time frame possible.

Don't bother trying to get the entire eBooks section and the Reviewers team removed. It will never happen. It just needs massive changes to start reviewing eBooks effectively again.

Techie??? - August 26, 2011, 9:21 am

Removing the section will not help.

But you were right.

Joey Tribbiani - August 26, 2011, 9:23 am

(Aug 26, 2011 09:19 AM)BioHaza?d™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Being an ex-Reviewer, I can honestly say that it needs major reforms. It's current system does not work. The goal of the Reviewers is to protect the buyers, and if they're not doing that, they're doing something wrong. eBooks are just assigned to whoever wants to review them, not to people who have knowledge in the field. The two parts of the review that matter the most and require the most effort are the Quality of Information and Originality, because these will state if the method is original and working. Unfortunately, this is rarely the case with most reviews.

What I've always done is search for any direct plagiarism, search HF along with other forums, check to see if the method was rewritten by searching for a short summary, and then, if I'm sure that

it is original I would test it out the best I can. If it wasn't original I would kill the review right there. As far as I'm concerned, no one still does this. It's come down to pumping out the most reviews in the quickest time frame possible.

Don't bother trying to get the entire eBooks section and the Reviewers team removed. It will never happen. It just needs massive changes to start reviewing eBooks effectively again.

I agree with the changes that you speak of. However, only people knowledgeable in the field take it up for a review. For example:- I don't take up e-books about gaming, coding, website constructions etc...

Also, there are times when all it says is "Make \$1000 a month". No additional information regarding the niche is provided. So, we don't really know what the niche is sometimes.

However, I disagree with the part where you say that you are the only one doing so and also the part where you say that reviews are done in a hurry to finish it in the quickest time frame. That is incorrect.

xSkilledx - August 26, 2011, 9:25 am

who cares about the grammar if the ebook has good working content?
the whole reviewers crew must be removed.
im with you op.

Joey Tribbiani - August 26, 2011, 9:26 am

(Aug 26, 2011 09:25 AM)Skilled Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) who cares about the grammar if the ebook has good working content?

Being a reviewer requires you to have excellent grammar and spelling.

How can you critique someone's e-book on the grammar section if you suck at it yourself?

Cyber-Security - August 26, 2011, 9:34 am

You shouldn't completely rely on Reviews. For some reason, we are taking it out on the reviewers, not yourself or the seller. That's not right. Honestly, the reviewers are reviewing the quality of the book, it's not their responsibility to tell you if the method is patched. Commonsense could easily help you buy a good ebook.

Plus, all the eBooks in the eBook section aren't always about money making; many are about Social Engineering and human mind "hacking".

ComX - August 26, 2011, 9:36 am

(Aug 26, 2011 09:26 AM)Joey Tribbiani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Being a reviewer requires you to have excellent grammar and spelling.

How can you critique someone's e-book on the grammar section if you suck at it yourself?

Well this is funny

Okay, heads up. Ebooks some works some doesn't. Some resell stuff they dont have rights others dont. Some ebooks are real others is fake. Some monzetting is real can give you a good profit. Others aint. Yet i agree with that the reviewers goal is to protect the buyer and yet the reviewers are not testing the ebooks. This what i agree with. I dont agree with that we should remove the whole Ebook Section. Its like the Seller seciton. Some crypters are FUD some are UD and public and been sold by kids that want to make quick money. Like the booters some are Good and reliable others doesn't work. Should we ban all that? No.

The Reviewers should just simply test the method. Grammar is imporant if you get an book where you barely could understand what he said and you juts paid 30\$ for it. You would sure be mad! Im not saying that the job the reviewers are doing is bad, But maybe just not enough.

Hope you understand my point.

Cyber-Security - August 26, 2011, 9:38 am

(Aug 26, 2011 09:36 AM)com975 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well this is funny

Okay, heads up. Ebooks some works some doesn't. Some resell stuff they dont have rights others dont. Some ebooks are real others is fake. Some monzetting is real can give you a good profit. Others aint. Yet i agree with that the reviewers goal is to protect the buyer and yet the reviewers are not testing the ebooks. This what i agree with. I dont agree with that we should remove the whole Ebook Section. Its like the Seller seciton. Some crypters are FUD some are UD and public and been sold by kids that want to make quick money. Like the booters some are Good and reliable others doesn't work. Should we ban all that? No.

The Reviewers should just simply test the method. Grammar is imporant if you get an book where you barely could understand what he said and you juts paid 30\$ for it. You would sure be mad! Im not saying that the job the reviewers are doing is bad, But maybe just not enough.

Hope you understand my point.

I agree with you on some aspects, the Reviewers are doing a great job, some members just need some commensense to figure out a few things.

ComX - August 26, 2011, 9:44 am

(Aug 26, 2011 09:38 AM)Cyber-Security Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I agree with you on some aspects, the Reviewers are doing a great job, some members just need some commensense to figure out a few things.

If, you dont use your common sense. Simply don't buy it you can get scammed pretty easy.

Brilliant™ - August 26, 2011, 9:45 am

(Aug 26, 2011 07:51 AM)ariton Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) People just download a big pack of monetizing eBooks from internet or HF, and they only change it a little bit and they put titles like: How to make \$50, \$100, \$500+ a day and even more. If they really earned \$500+ using their method, they could become a millionaire for a short time (1 Year) and I can't understand, why would a millionaire sell an eBook to earn \$10, I mean if you're earning more than 500 a day, you don't even need extra \$5, \$10 dollars.

And the other eBooks are saying: "You don't need to invest nothing, you only need 30 minutes to get this method work. Works in any country" and when you buy this method, everything is 100% different, you need to be from USA to get it working, you only need to invest 1\$ and shits like that, and you're trying 5 hours to get the method working, and then... nothing at all.

I agree with this one as I see it listed in OP. But I really wonder didn't any of the monetizing methods work ? I've seen people who purchase them making vouches that they work. I'd recommend that monetizing techniques forum should be taken more seriously in the case. I see a lot of lq shit over there like "\$500 a day" or bla bla bla.... Same is there in VIP section. I agree that most stuff in VIP section work & I really love it. But monetizing E-books that are worthless & a major waste of time are given away & result in rep whoring.

Cyber-Security - August 26, 2011, 9:45 am

(Aug 26, 2011 09:44 AM)com975 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If, you dont use your common sense. Simply don't buy it you can get scammed pretty easy.

Ya, but the reviews usually tell it's value. And do a bit of researching and you may be good to go.

ComX - August 26, 2011, 9:54 am

(Aug 26, 2011 09:45 AM)Cyber-Security Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ya, but the reviews usually tell it's value. And do a bit of researching and you may be good to go.

If it gets 100/100 or 0/0 i dont care. The matter is does the method work? Did i pay for something private and good :) thats important.

? ?? ??2? - August 26, 2011, 9:56 am

Also dont forget the vouch copy. In other mean, its a fake vouch..

Baked - August 26, 2011, 9:57 am

Reviewing products should be the same way as ebooks, just buyers will review products. Sellers should start giving discounts to reviewers.

I agree completely with this suggestion.

Joey Tribbiani - August 26, 2011, 10:01 am

(Aug 26, 2011 09:54 AM)com975 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If it gets 100/100 or 0/0 i dont care. The matter is does the method work? Did i pay for something private and good :) thats important.

We don't test if the method works or not. It is practically impossible to test if the method works within the time frame of 48 hours.

(Aug 26, 2011 09:56 AM)in2x Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Also dont forget the vouch copy. In other mean, its a fake vouch..

Asking for vouch copies in the Ebook Bazaar is not allowed.

(Aug 26, 2011 09:57 AM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Reviewing products should be the same way as ebooks, just buyers will review products.

Sellers should start giving discounts to reviewers.

I agree completely with this suggestion.

Omni has said in the past that products apart from e-books will not be reviewed. I guess it is because it can be easily abused.

Baked - August 26, 2011, 10:07 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:01 AM)Joey Tribbiani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) We don't test if the method works or not. It is practically impossible to test if the method works within the time frame of 48 hours.

Asking for vouch copies in the Ebook Bazaar is not allowed.

Omni has said in the past that products apart from e-books will not be reviewed. I guess it is because it can be easily abused.

I'm saying do it unofficially without a group, Reviewers aren't needed.

People review products in the marketplace, just after they buy.

The eBooks should be the same way.

iceybunneh - August 26, 2011, 10:07 am

I don't like this idea at all D:

I made a start selling my novel and my LDR guide in the ebook section. Neither of those are the same monetizing book copied 9230923 times. Made a bit of money off it too, which is a success for someone who wants to be a published writer and can now use this as a credential for when I send that final manuscript into an actual company.

I know I'm just one case out of a thousand, but the ebook section has been good to me. I know the reviewers put a lot of work into their reviews. I see Viral typing out each of the reviews he has to do and the complaints or praise that goes into each review, but it's not pointless. Keep this section going! It's been good to me :3

King - August 26, 2011, 10:09 am

(Aug 26, 2011 07:30 AM)??????<=>???? ?™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I vote for this, Complete dissolution of the reviewers group is the need of the hour.

Relax man. It's not the end of the world if you didn't get accepted.

Why would you apply for a group that you want to be removed?

On-topic: Firstly, the e-book section isn't for the money making e-books solely. Secondly, all the reviewers are to do some research before rating the originality of the e-book, and if the e-book is found online, they should mention this in their review. Everyone should wait for a review before purchasing any e-book. Thirdly, thinking about removing that section actually is dumb. I'm not saying that all the e-books work but we (reviewers) are trying hard to spot the scammers by honestly reviewing the e-books. Anyhow, everyone commits mistakes. And, reviewers aren't Gods. If you find any reviewer committing mistakes, just report him to any leader.

Baked - August 26, 2011, 10:12 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:07 AM)iceybunneh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't like this idea at all D:

I made a start selling my novel and my LDR guide in the ebook section. Neither of those are the same monetizing book copied 9230923 times. Made a bit of money off it too, which is a success for someone who wants to be a published writer and can now use this as a credential for when I send that final manuscript into an actual company.

I know I'm just one case out of a thousand, but the ebook section has been good to me. I know the reviewers put a lot of work into their reviews. I see Viral typing out each of the reviews he has to do and the complaints or praise that goes into each review, but it's not pointless. Keep this section going! It's been good to me :3

A Novel can be reviewed unofficially, like any other product on the marketplace.

Joey Tribbiani - August 26, 2011, 10:16 am

Just noticed that Hot Chocolate is simply updating the OP with those in favor of his suggestion.

Quote:& the reviewer's team is just a bunch of kids who haven't got a knowledge in most eBooks and will just be like "yeah, it's 100% unique duuude" because they've never cared to look at any other eBook marketplace, and they got a free eBook.

Incorrect. You are merely stereotyping.

Quote:Read THIS THREAD by ??????<=>???? ?™, clearly shows how bad the eBook section & reviewers are not only is it a direct rip from another forum's free eBook section, one of the top points of originality was "never seen an eBook as a .exe".

His thread was due to a mistake made by Prosperity in his review. As I already said, mistakes do happen once in a while. Not every reviewer can be perfect.

Also, why point out only the bad? Why point out only the mistakes which some reviewers have committed over a long time? Why don't you see tons of other threads where we catch the leechers and the rippers?

ComX - August 26, 2011, 10:17 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:01 AM)Joey Tribbiani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) We don't test if the method works or not. It is practically impossible to test if the method works within the time frame of 48 hours.

Asking for vouch copies in the Ebook Bazaar is not allowed.

Omni has said in the past that products apart from e-books will not be reviewed. I guess it is because it can be easily abused.

Im not talking about the Reviewers in that point. Im saying what matters is that the method work. OP is leading wild. As a buyer i want a working method. Private unique original. The point of the reviewers were to protect the buyers. Please start to test the EBooks and make the timeframe longer.

Hot Chocolate - August 26, 2011, 10:18 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:16 AM)Joey Tribbiani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Just noticed that Hot Chocolate is simply updating the OP with those in favor of his suggestion.

Why would I put otherwise? The only reason you protect it is the same reason as the rest of the reviewers, so you can get more eBooks for free, and as much as you will deny it, it's blatantly obvious.

iceybunneh - August 26, 2011, 10:18 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:12 AM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) A Novel can be reviewed unofficially, like any other product on the marketplace. True, but if the Reviewers are supposed to give an honest review, think of how it will be with unofficial reviews. Everyone gets their friends to be like "yeah this ebook rocks buy it!" when it's the same method sold over and over? Or everyone gangs up on the little guy like "don't buy it, it's shit," when it could be worth everyone's money. With how some people on this site act, I'd be worried about how some people would conduct their "reviews" ./ Just my thought though.

King - August 26, 2011, 10:19 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:07 AM)iceybunneh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I see Viral typing out each of the reviews he has to do and the complaints or praise that goes into each review, but it's not pointless.

I know. OP thinks we review like this "yeah, it's 100% unique duuude". He actually thinks that all the reviewers are LQ like him (55% warning ;)). I'd listen to you, OP, if you were a HQ user.

Nearly, all the reviewers are like Viral. They do a lot of research before rating the originality part which is very nice.

Joey Tribbiani - August 26, 2011, 10:19 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:17 AM)com975 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Im not talking about the Reviewers in that point. Im saying what matters is that the method work. OP is leading wild. As a buyer i want a working method. Private unique original. The point of the reviewers were to protect the buyers. Please start to test the EBooks and make the timeframe longer.

Every seller wants his e-book reviewed fast. Also, some methods take a really long while to be tested - a week, 2 weeks, maybe even a month. It is unrealistic to expect that we would test the method and then make a review. It would take ages sometimes.

(Aug 26, 2011 10:18 AM)Hot Chocolate Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why would I put otherwise? The only reason you protect it is the same reason as the rest of the reviewers, so you can get more eBooks for free, and as much as you will deny it, it's blatantly obvious.

Yes, it's blatantly obvious, just because I am a reviewer. Obviously, you were going to play that card. It is very mature of you to counter all my points by saying that I am saying all that just because

I am a reviewer. Why don't you counter it with more logic rather than just saying that I am a reviewer? That does not change anything I just said.

We don't test if the method works or not.

Mistakes happen once in a while.

All you are focusing on are the few mistakes which some reviewers made. You are just ignoring all the good reviews which prevented the buyers from being ripped.

King - August 26, 2011, 10:22 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:18 AM)Hot Chocolate Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why would I put otherwise? The only reason you protect it is the same reason as the rest of the reviewers, so you can get more eBooks for free, and as much as you will deny it, it's blatantly obvious.

This is how you think. Not everyone thinks like you and has bad intentions. One of the benefits of being a reviewer is getting some e-books for free, but that's not everything. You will be protecting many members from getting scammed.

nanobyter - August 26, 2011, 10:22 am

Why are people always saying close this close that remove post count on this. If omni hasn't done it than he doesn't feel like it

Gosh! if you don't like it leave the forum or just don't go to that section there's A LOT more sections
-.-

Joey Tribbiani - August 26, 2011, 10:25 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:22 AM)-BoodyE- Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is how you think. Not everyone thinks like you and has bad intentions. One of the benefits of being a reviewer is getting some e-books for free, but that's not everything. You will be protecting many members from getting scammed.

Exactly. I couldn't care more about getting free e-books.

Hell no, I haven't been rating shit 1/5 , 2/5 and taking all that rage just to get free shit.

Baked - August 26, 2011, 10:26 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:18 AM)iceybunneh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) True, but if the Reviewers are supposed to give an honest review, think of how it will be with unofficial reviews. Everyone gets their friends to be like "yeah this ebook rocks buy it!" when it's the same method sold over and over? Or everyone gangs up on the little guy like "don't buy it, it's shit," when it could be worth everyone's money. With how some people on this site act, I'd be worried about how some people would conduct their "reviews" :/ Just my thought though.

That's the same with a vouch, the review can be legit, or it can be completely bullshit.

Just a risk you'll have to take.

eBooks don't need all reviews, just like other products on the Marketplace.

People should just have to take the sellers word on it, and hope for unofficial reviews.

King - August 26, 2011, 10:28 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:22 AM)Supremekhaz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why are people always saying close this close that remove post count on this. If omni hasn't done it than he doesn't feel like it

Gosh! if you don't like it leave the forum or just don't go to that section there's A LOT more sections
-.-

All the members whining about this are either ex-reviewers or butthurt guys that didn't get accepted.

Furthermore, to whoever saying that reviewers aren't agreeing with this because they get free e-books, don't you think that you are contradicting yourself? You say that all the e-books are BS and the e-book section needs to be removed. So, all the free e-books that we are getting are BS, and you are still jealous?

iceybunneh - August 26, 2011, 10:28 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:22 AM)-BoodyE- Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is how you think. Not everyone thinks like you and has bad intentions. One of the benefits of being a reviewer is getting some e-books for free, but that's not everything. You will be protecting many members from getting scammed. I agree with this, too. If I see a book that looks interesting at a book store, I'll flip through the book, maybe look at the outside cover and see what reviews the company decided to stick on the book jacket or the back of the book. Companies will ALWAYS make the book review look good if you just glance at it that way. When you come to the ebook section, the same thing happens in the OP's book summary/sales pitch. I do the same exact thing: I make it look good. Isn't that the point? Then I see what an actual reviewer thinks and I may be like, "Ehh, they're right this does look horrible" or "gross, they said what about the design? I'm not hurting my eyes with that shit." That's the whole point of the reviewers, right? :P

ComX - August 26, 2011, 10:28 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:19 AM)Joey Tribbiani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Every seller wants his e-book reviewed fast. Also, some methods take a really long while to be tested - a week, 2 weeks, maybe even a month. It is unrealistic to expect that we would test the method and then make a review. It would take ages sometimes.

Yes, it's blatantly obvious, just because I am a reviewer. Obviously, you were going to play that card. It is very mature of you to counter all my points by saying that I am saying all that just because I am a reviewer. Why don't you counter it with more logic rather than just saying that I am a reviewer? That does not change anything I just said.

We don't test if the method works or not.

Mistakes happen once in a while.

All you are focusing on are the few mistakes which some reviewers made. You are just ignoring all the good reviews which prevented the buyers from being ripped.

Then simply remove the Reviewers group. There is no point then. It won't take 1 month testing an ebook ?

iceybunneh - August 26, 2011, 10:31 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:26 AM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That's the same with a vouch, the review can be legit, or it can be completely bullshit.

Just a risk you'll have to take.

eBooks don't need all reviews, just like other products on the Marketplace.

People should just have to take the seller's word on it, and hope for unofficial reviews. I agree with you on the fact that ebooks may not need reviews, like my novel Control - I released it for free, most people in Innuendo already know what it is and have read it but this is just the "convenient" version. I don't need a review for it. But maybe for something like "GET RICH FAST! NO WORK BUY NOW!" then yeah, I'd like to have a cautionary review saying "Um, there is no new method here, you're wasting your time." :/

But you're right too, even buying a reviewed ebook is a risk. The same would happen if something were to happen and the reviewers were gone :/

Joey Tribbiani - August 26, 2011, 10:32 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:28 AM)com975 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Then simply remove the Reviewers group. There is no point then. It wont take 1 month testing an ebook ?

Then you will be simply ripped off free shit even more.

You have no idea. There are e-books which can take quite a long while to implement - even 2 weeks. They take time to show the results. Not everything is "get rich quick". Maybe because you haven't come across such e-books.

Also, you clearly don't know the reason why reviewers exist in the first place.

Baked - August 26, 2011, 10:33 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:28 AM)-BoodyE- Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) All the members whining about this are either ex-reviewers or butthurt guys that didn't get accepted.

Furthermore, to whoever saying that reviewers aren't agreeing with this because they get free e-books, don't you think that you are contradicting yourself? You say that all the e-books are BS and the e-book section needs to be removed. So, all the free e-books that we are getting are BS, and you are still jealous?

And the only ones defending the reviewers are reviewers and Iceybunneh (Which lives with Viral and he's a Reviewer)

So, both sides can play that game. I'm going by what Omni is saying about products "We will never have a team to review or test products." Why do we have the Reviewers, eBooks should be exactly the same as other products.

The grammar and shit doesn't even matter for a eBook, as long as the method works. I don't care if it's written in "Alrite, heres the method: Step 1, step 2."

As long as the eBook or method works, it should be fine.

ComX - August 26, 2011, 10:33 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:32 AM)Joey Tribbiani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Then you will be simply ripped off free shit even more.

You have no idea. There are e-books which can take quite a long while to implement - even 2 weeks. They take time to show the results. Not everything is "get rich quick". Maybe because you haven't come across such e-books.

Also, you clearly don't know the reason why reviewers exist in the first place.

I do know. To protect the buyers. Simply there is no point look at the ebook bazar many people selling ripped off shit as you call it BEFORE review. What kind of method huh would take 1 month?

Xenochrist - August 26, 2011, 10:33 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:32 AM)Joey Tribbiani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Then you will be simply ripped off free shit even more.

You have no idea. There are e-books which can take quite a long while to implement - even 2 weeks. They take time to show the results. Not everything is "get rich quick". Maybe because you haven't come across such e-books.

Also, you clearly don't know the reason why reviewers exist in the first place.

Everything is not "get rich quick" but most ebooks state "you will see money coming in within a day"..

King - August 26, 2011, 10:35 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:31 AM)iceybunneh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I agree with you on the fact that ebooks may not need reviews, like my novel Control - I released it for free, most people in Innuendo already know what it is and have read it but this is just the "convenient" version. I don't need a review for it. But maybe for something like "GET RICH FAST! NO WORK BUY NOW!" then yeah, I'd like to have a cautionary review saying "Um, there is no new method here, you're wasting your time." :/

But you're right too, even buying a reviewed ebook is a risk. The same would happen if something were to happen and the reviewers were gone :/

There is always a risk. But, our job is to try to make the risk as small as possible. Furthermore, any deals on HF are at your own risk. We cannot guarantee anything. But, rest assured that nearly all our reviewers are honest. Everyone makes mistakes sometimes, though.

iceybunneh - August 26, 2011, 10:37 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:33 AM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) And the only ones defending the reviewers are reviewers and Iceybunneh (Which lives with Viral and he's a Reviewer)

So, both sides can play that game. I'm going by what Omni is saying about products "We will never have a team to review or test products." Why do we have the Reviewers, eBooks should be exactly the same as other products.

The grammar and shit doesn't even matter for a eBook, as long as the method works. I don't care if it's written in "Alrite, heres the method: Step 1, step 2."

As long as the eBook or method works, it should be fine.Lol it's not just the fact that I'm married to a reviewer. I mentioned earlier, I'm using this to gain credentials for my own writing career. I don't want anything I publish and sell seriously to be thrown to the dogs or risk being totally ignored, buried, flamed, uselessly reviewed, or anything else like that. I like the thought of an official reviewer team. I'd like to think that the Reviewers take care of the serious writers in the ebook section :]

Baked - August 26, 2011, 10:38 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:35 AM)-BoodyE- Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There is always a risk. But, our job is to try to make the risk as small as possible. Furthermore, any deals on HF are at your own risk. We cannot guarantee anything. But, rest assured that nearly all our reviewers are honest. Everyone makes mistakes sometimes, though.

Then how come booters aren't being reviewed?

The eBooks worthiness can be proved, by posting proof of payments and stuff from the method. Like booters do with "Takedown Vids" it's not hard to take out reviewers and keep the risk low.

Sure it might be a bit higher, but since the Reviewers are only reviewing the grammar and layout, etc. Just because a book gets a nice review doesn't mean it's going to work.

Joey Tribbiani - August 26, 2011, 10:39 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:33 AM)Uprising Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Everything is not "get rich quick" but most ebooks state "you will see money coming in within a day"..

Emphasis on the word "most". Sometimes, reviewers have seen and used the method themselves and can tell if it works or not and hence, sometimes in the review, the reviewer mentions about such things.

However, it is not always possible to test the method before reviewing. [plus, for the sake of argument, we aren't technically even required to test the method.]

Baked - August 26, 2011, 10:40 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:37 AM)iceybunneh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Lol it's not just the fact that I'm married to a reviewer. I mentioned earlier, I'm using this to gain credentials for my own writing career. I don't want anything I publish and sell seriously to be thrown to the dogs or risk being totally ignored, buried, flamed, uselessly reviewed, or anything else like that. I like the thought of an official reviewer team. I'd like to think that the Reviewers take care of the serious writers in the ebook section :)
I've heard from many Reviewers themselves that they don't read the eBook, they just skim it for mistakes and such.

So, would you rather a Reviewer (Probably doesn't care much about your book) or a devoted fan? (Has actually read the whole thing and can give their own perspective on it)

King - August 26, 2011, 10:40 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:38 AM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Sure it might be a bit higher, but since the Reviewers are only reviewing the grammar and layout, etc. Just because a book gets a nice review doesn't mean it's going to work. Who the fuck said that we only review the grammar and layout? Oh my God. There is an originality part and something called "Notes" where we say whether the method is original or not. Read what I said earlier.

Joey Tribbiani - August 26, 2011, 10:42 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:33 AM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) And the only ones defending the reviewers are reviewers and Iceybunneh (Which lives with Viral and he's a Reviewer)

So, both sides can play that game. I'm going by what Omni is saying about products "We will never have a team to review or test products." Why do we have the Reviewers, eBooks should be exactly the same as other products.

The grammar and shit doesn't even matter for a eBook, as long as the method works. I don't care if it's written in "Alrite, heres the method: Step 1, step 2."

As long as the eBook or method works, it should be fine.

You don't care about the grammar, others do.

Also, Omni wants a review team for e-books as it is easy to review e-books. [I don't know if that is the exact reason, but, he wants e-books to be reviewed and doesn't want other products to be reviewed. So be it]

Also, don't play the "you are defending because you are a reviewer". It does not change anything which we just said.

iceybunneh - August 26, 2011, 10:43 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:38 AM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Then how come booters aren't being reviewed?

The eBooks worthiness can be proved, by posting proof of payments and stuff from the method. Like booters do with "Takedown Vids" it's not hard to take out reviewers and keep the risk low.

Sure it might be a bit higher, but since the Reviewers are only reviewing the grammar and layout, etc. Just because a book gets a nice review doesn't mean it's going to work. Not every book has a "method" though. My LDR guide has a method maybe, but that's something that a) may or may not work for everyone or b) may take a long time to work successfully (as in two years, as mine did).

Control doesn't have a method. How would posting proof of payment show that purchasing my erotic romance work is a good investment, other than showing that I'm an honest seller? Reviews can really showcase the work in that case :]

Baked - August 26, 2011, 10:43 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:40 AM)-BoodyE- Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Who the fuck said that we only review the grammar and layout? Oh my God.

There is an originality part and something called "Notes" where we say whether the method is original or not. Read what I said earlier.

Now you're getting all mad because people are attacking your group?

I've heard from many reviewers themselves that they don't actually read the whole thing, just think if it has a possibility of working and check for mistakes.

I obviously know what you guys review, it's just not that important.

Reviews can be done by Buyers that have actually taken the time to test the product.

King - August 26, 2011, 10:43 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:40 AM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I've heard from many Reviewers themselves that they don't read the eBook, they just skim it for mistakes and such.

Would you rather a Reviewer (Probably doesn't care much about your book.) or a devoted fan (Has actually read the whole thing and can give their own perspective on it.)

And, the false accusations have just started popping out. Where is your proofs?

Xenochrist - August 26, 2011, 10:45 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:40 AM)-BoodyE- Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Who the fuck said that we only review the grammar and layout? Oh my God.

There is an originality part and something called "Notes" where we say whether the method is original or not. Read what I said earlier.

The originality doesn't matter much. I want to know if the method works as well (and is not a saturated free ebook)!

Joey Tribbiani - August 26, 2011, 10:45 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:40 AM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I've heard from many Reviewers themselves that they don't read the eBook, they just skim it for mistakes and such.

So, would you rather a Reviewer (Probably doesn't care much about your book) or a devoted fan? (Has actually read the whole thing and can give their own perspective on it)

Tell us the names. Give us some proof. Report such things to the leaders.

Again, you are just stereotyping an entire group just because of a few who don't do their jobs well or made some mistakes in the past.

iceybunneh - August 26, 2011, 10:45 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:40 AM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I've heard from many Reviewers themselves that they don't read the eBook, they just skim it for mistakes and such.

So, would you rather a Reviewer (Probably doesn't care much about your book) or a devoted fan? (Has actually read the whole thing and can give their own perspective on it)I love my fans to death and I love their feedback, but I also want reviews from people who skim, don't care much about it or don't like it. That's the point of unbiased reviews, not picking one select base. Again back to what I mentioned earlier about the reviews that are printed on books. They're all good reviews right? No one prints the bad reviews but to the writer who wants to improve, bad reviews are still good advice.

I'd want someone to tell me why they think my writing sucks just as much as I want my fans to love it :]

Baked - August 26, 2011, 10:47 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:42 AM)Joey Tribbiani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You don't care about the grammar, others do. Also, Omni wants a review team for e-books as it is easy to review e-books. [I don't know if that is the exact reason, but, he wants e-books to be reviewed and doesn't want other products to be reviewed. So be it]

Also, don't play the "you are defending because you are a reviewer". It does not change anything which we just said.

It also doesn't change you guys get free stuff, which can't be taken away.

That others have to pay for.

Unfair, there's no difference between 2 members.

One being in the Reviewers and One being the the Red Lions.

Members shouldn't be given the right to get free stuff.

I know it's not mandatory to give a review, but you look suspicious if you don't.

Joey Tribbiani - August 26, 2011, 10:48 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:45 AM)Uprising Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The originality doesn't matter much. I want to know if the method works as well (and is not a saturated free ebook)!

There you go. Originality does matter. No one wants to buy e-book telling people how to use VPNs to complete \$sharecash surveys.

Baked Wrote:Reviews can be done by Buyers that have actually taken the time to test the product. Do you even know the amount of abuse it can create? Members will get their friends to act as if they brought the e-book/any other product and then give fake reviews.

(Aug 26, 2011 10:47 AM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It also doesn't change you guys get free stuff, which can't be taken away.

That others have to pay for.

Unfair, there's no difference between 2 members.

One being in the Reviewers and One being the the Red Lions.

Members shouldn't be given the right to get free stuff.

I know it's not mandatory to give a review, but you look suspicious if you don't.

No, you don't look suspicious if you don't. You should know the example of Tibit.

Baked - August 26, 2011, 10:50 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:45 AM)iceybunneh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I love my fans to death and I love their feedback, but I also want reviews from people who skim, don't care much about it or don't like it. That's the point of unbiased reviews, not picking one select base. Again back to what I mentioned earlier about the reviews that are printed on books. They're all good reviews right? No one prints the bad reviews but to the writer who wants to improve, bad reviews are still good advice. I'd want someone to tell me why they think my writing sucks just as much as I want my fans to love it :]

Get yourself an editor.

That will actually read through the book and point out all the mistakes.

Xenochrist - August 26, 2011, 10:51 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:45 AM)iceybunneh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I love my fans to death and I love their feedback,

but I also want reviews from people who skim, don't care much about it or don't like it. That's the point of unbiased reviews, not picking one select base. Again back to what I mentioned earlier about the reviews that are printed on books. They're all good reviews right? No one prints the bad reviews but to the writer who wants to improve, bad reviews are still good advice. I'd want someone to tell me why they think my writing sucks just as much as I want my fans to love it :]Nobody cares, your incest novels are much different than a HF ebook on monetizing.

ComX - August 26, 2011, 10:51 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:48 AM)Joey Tribbiani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There you go. Originality does matter. No one wants to buy e-book telling people how to use VPNs to complete \$sharecash surveys.

Do you even know the amount of abuse it can create? Members will get their friends to act as if they brought the e-book/any other product and then give fake reviews.

No, you don't look suspicious if you don't. You should know the example of Tibit. It aint that hard too do that now. Example Amp is a good friend of mine. I got a book to sell. And he is gonna review. I tell him give me 5/5 and all good cause we are friends.

Any Problem? No difference. The Reviewers should be removed if they cannot get the fact ``TEST`` the method.

iceybunneh - August 26, 2011, 10:54 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:50 AM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Get yourself an editor.

That will actually read through the book and point out all the mistakes.I do peer to peer editing but I can't hire a professional yet. One more reason why i want things to be reviewed :P

(Aug 26, 2011 10:51 AM)Uprising Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Nobody cares, your incest novels are much different than a HF ebook on monetizing.Of course nobody cares, that's why I have a fanbase on my almost-incest novels :] And like I said, my case proves that not every ebook is the same in that section :]

Baked - August 26, 2011, 10:55 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:48 AM)Joey Tribbiani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There you go. Originality does matter. No one wants to buy e-book telling people how to use VPNs to complete \$sharecash surveys.

Do you even know the amount of abuse it can create? Members will get their friends to act as if they brought the e-book/any other product and then give fake reviews.

No, you don't look suspicious if you don't. You should know the example of Tibit. What's new in the marketplace?

I thought tibit got a review, anyways Tibit's eBook is the only eBook I would even consider buying from HackForums.

Joey Tribbiani - August 26, 2011, 10:56 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:51 AM)com975 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It aint that hard too do that now. Example Amp is a good friend of mine. I got a book to sell. And he is gonna review. I tell him give me 5/5 and all good cause we are friends.

Any Problem? No difference. The Reviewers should be removed if they cannot get the fact ``TEST``

the method.

So, you basically bribe a reviewer. Yeah, right. But, any system is prone to corruption. You might even say that I can bribe a HF staff to not ban or give me any warnings.

Any such system is definitely prone to corruption. Corruption is inevitable. However, if such a person gets caught, it leads to a permanent crew removal and also gets him some drama and disrespect.

ComX - August 26, 2011, 10:57 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:56 AM)Joey Tribbiani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So, you basically bribe a reviewer. Yeah, right. But, any system is prone to corruption. You might even say that I can bribe a HF staff to not ban or give me any warnings.

Any such system is definitely prone to corruption. Corruption is inevitable. However, if such a person gets caught, it leads to a permanent crew removal and also gets him some drama and disrespect.

Im not saying that i would ever do such thing. But that it is possible. But who will ever find out? Dont be like ``Its, totally secure nobody is ever gonna abuse it`` Not possible ?

Joey Tribbiani - August 26, 2011, 10:58 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:55 AM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What's new in the marketplace?

I thought tibit got a review, anyways Tibit's eBook is the only eBook I would even consider buying from HackForums.

So, it is clear that Tibit's e-book got popular even without reviews. The same way, I have seen a few people sell e-books without reviews. It may not be the most popular e-book. But, yeah, people have sold e-books in a profitable manner without reviews.

Anyways, is that even the point?

ComX - August 26, 2011, 11:01 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:58 AM)Joey Tribbiani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So, it is clear that Tibit's e-book got popular even without reviews. The same way, I have seen a few people sell e-books without reviews. It may not be the most popular e-book. But, yeah, people have sold e-books in a profitable manner without reviews.

Anyways, is that even the point?

You can congratulate The Reviewers Group. They are not doing anything. If they dont test the ebooks simply dont review them. Whats The Point? I dont care about grammar originality etc. I want a working method! Thats all the buyer is asking for.

perm - August 26, 2011, 11:01 am

This is a pretty good idea. I have seen a shitload of idiots who sell public methods which some reviewers think are "unique" and then everyone lose money.

Cyber-Security - August 26, 2011, 11:02 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:01 AM)Joey Tribbiani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) We don't test if the method works or not. It is practically impossible to test if the method works within the time frame of 48 hours.

Quoted for the truth. That's exactly what I support, my opinion stands here. It's the buyer's responsibility to research a bit more. ?

Baked - August 26, 2011, 11:03 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:58 AM)Joey Tribbiani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So, it is clear that Tibit's e-book got popular even without reviews. The same way, I have seen a few people sell e-books without reviews. It may not be the most popular e-book. But, yeah, people have sold e-books in a profitable manner without

reviews.

Anyways, is that even the point?

That is the point, reviewers aren't needed to determine a good eBook.

Tibit's eBook is a perfect example.

ComX - August 26, 2011, 11:03 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:02 AM)Cyber-Security Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) "Quoted For Truth" That is exactly what I support, my opinion stands here. It's the buyer's responsibility to research a bit more. ?
Its, not always possible to research.

Example

1 ub3r with 800 rep your trusted etc and tons of vouches on his sale thread about making 1000\$ a hour. Yeah FTW. It didnt work. How should the buyer find out? Its not leaked brand new just posted etc.

Cyber-Security - August 26, 2011, 11:04 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:03 AM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That is the point, reviewers aren't needed to determine a good eBook.

Tibit's eBook is a perfect example.

The reviews on some ebooks that I have thought of buying really do help. Mabye not for you, but for me. You can help judge the quality of the ebook (grammar, info, etc...,).

Hot Chocolate - August 26, 2011, 11:05 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:19 AM)-BoodyE- Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I know. OP thinks we review like this "yeah, it's 100% unique duuude". He actually thinks that all the reviewers are LQ like him (55% warning ;)). I'd listen to you, OP, if you were a HQ user.

Nearly, all the reviewers are like Viral. They do a lot of research before rating the originality part which is very nice.

Aren't you the kid who was trying to d-ride me on Skype a few weeks back? Yeah, I think you were. It's funny how you try to slag me off after I told you to fuck off. ?

You're also a prime example of the sort of shit reviewers that are about. I also remember seeing you vouching on eBooks, not reviewing, when they were blatantly public methods. ?

ComX - August 26, 2011, 11:05 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:04 AM)Cyber-Security Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The reviews on some ebooks that I have thought of buying really do help. Mabye not for you, but for me. You can help judge the quality of the ebook (grammar, info, etc...,).

But not if it works or not. That doesn't cover it.

Cyber-Security - August 26, 2011, 11:05 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:03 AM)com975 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Its, not always possible to research.

Example

1 ub3r with 800 rep your trusted etc and tons of vouches on his sale thread about making 1000\$ a hour. Yeah FTW. It didnt work. How should the buyer find out? Its not leaked brand new just posted etc.

The reviewers help judge the quality of the ebook, they are not involved with the methods.

Honestly, the reviewers are not responsible for a broken method.

They help assure good grammar, quality, etc... And that's a good thing.

(Aug 26, 2011 11:05 AM)com975 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) But not if it works or not. That doesn't cover it. That's not the reviewers responsibility. ?

ComX - August 26, 2011, 11:06 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:05 AM)Cyber-Security Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The reviewers help judge the quality of the ebook, they are not involved with the methods. Honestly, the reviewers are not responsible for a broken method.

They help assure good grammar, quality, etc... And that's a good thing.

But useless and dumb. No body cares if the grammar was under-stable or Perfect! Works or not that's the big job

Joey Tribbiani - August 26, 2011, 11:07 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:01 AM)com975 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You can congratulate The Reviewers Group. They are not doing anything. If they dont test the ebooks simply dont review them. Whats The Point? I dont care about grammar originality etc. I want a working method! Thats all the buyer is asking for. Omniscient wants a group who will inform the buyers of what they are buying. That's it.

(Aug 26, 2011 11:03 AM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That is the point, reviewers aren't needed to determine a good eBook.

Tibit's eBook is a perfect example.

That's because Tibit is himself popular. Not everyone can prove that his method works so good without getting a good review.

Cyber-Security - August 26, 2011, 11:07 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:06 AM)com975 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) But useless and dumb. No body cares if the grammar was under-stable or Perfect! Works or not that's the big job

Yes they do, who wants to read an ebook like that? Make sure that you are getting what you paid for. ?

ComX - August 26, 2011, 11:13 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:07 AM)Cyber-Security Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes they do, who wants to read an ebook like that? Make sure that you are getting what you paid for. ?

Huh. I want a working method that is what i pay for. Not a 100% correct grammar ebook.

Joey Tribbiani - August 26, 2011, 11:14 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:06 AM)com975 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) But useless and dumb. No body cares if the grammar was under-stable or Perfect! Works or not that's the big job

Have you ever taken a glance at the Originality and the Notes section which often (not always)] speak about whether the method has potential to earn or not? Stop playing the grammar card. We don't only review grammar.

@ Heaven and Hell

Quote: So by this post you are aiming to say that let me choose an Doof who is having over some good quality posts that he makes and I'll choose him.

We don't want a doof who knows a lot but can't even use proper grammar to represent Reviewers, a group meant to critique e-books on several factors, one of them being grammar.

Quote: Yes a key skill, I agree but that doesn't mean it has to be the most important parameter while considering a E-Book reviewer.

Quote: By how? Recruiting more idiots like that?

You have no idea how Skill chooses the reviewers. You are just assuming that only people with good grammar are accepted.

Also, it is ironic that you yourself applied for Reviewers. Why apply in a group which you don't believe in?

Also, how can you just accuse all reviewers of not knowing anything just because Prosperity didn't write an accurate review?

Baked - August 26, 2011, 11:14 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:07 AM)Joey Tribbiani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Omniscient wants a group who will inform the buyers of what they are buying. That's it.

That's because Tibit is himself popular. Not everyone can prove that his method works so good without getting a good review.

I would rather nice proof of payment posted than a 5/5 review.

Sun Tzu - August 26, 2011, 11:15 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:09 AM)-BoodyE- Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Relax man. It's not the end of the world if you didn't get accepted.

Why would you apply for a group that you want to be removed?

On-topic: Firstly, the e-book section isn't for the money making e-books solely. Secondly, all the reviewers are to do some research before rating the originality of the e-book, and if the e-book is found online, they should mention this in their review. Everyone should wait for a review before purchasing any e-book. Thirdly, thinking about removing that section actually is dumb. I'm not saying that all the e-books work but we (reviewers) are trying hard to spot the scammers by honestly reviewing the e-books. Anyhow, everyone commits mistakes. And, reviewers aren't Gods. If you find any reviewer committing mistakes, just report him to any leader.

Finally a Leader here, ? My respects to you.?

All I said was that I in a way feel that the recruitment could have been more stringent, rather than accepting people based on their english fluency, they could be selected on their experience or such.

Code Firstly, the e-book section isn't for the money making e-books solely.

I am well aware of that.

Code Secondly, all the reviewers are to do some research before rating the originality of the e-book, and if the e-book is found online, they should mention this in their review

Well aware of that too.

Code Everyone should wait for a review before purchasing any e-book. Thirdly, thinking about removing that section actually is dumb. I'm not saying that all the e-books work but we (reviewers)

are trying hard to spot the scammers by honestly reviewing the e-books.

Well my input here would be to make it official such that no user should purchase the e-book before the reviewing process. I do not stand for the removal of the section all I plead for is the dissolution of its members (Those who are merely placed there on the grounds that they are HQ members, rather than being knowledgeable in something). I do feel your sense of alertness, honesty but sir, Others simply aren't.

Code Anyhow, everyone commits mistakes. And, reviewers aren't Gods. If you find any reviewer committing mistakes, just report him to any leader.

I accept your point that we are all humans, I accept that.

Well as far as reports are concerned I've reported some books which were publicly available, Skill and Paradoxum has it though.

Joey Tribbiani - August 26, 2011, 11:16 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:14 AM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I would rather nice proof of payment posted than a 5/5 review.

Ever thought that a payment proof can be very easily faked?

Cyber-Security - August 26, 2011, 11:18 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:13 AM)com975 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Huh. I want a working method that is what i pay for. Not a 100% correct grammar ebook.

Well, that's your problem, not all ebooks are about money making. Ask questions from the buyer, the reviewers are not here to guide you through your payment.

ComX - August 26, 2011, 11:20 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:16 AM)Joey Tribbiani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ever thought that a payment proof can be very easily faked?

A Video. From Google.com to his paypal, CANNOT be faked.

iWatch - August 26, 2011, 11:21 am

Well it sometime annoys me that they say it's 4/5 original.

"Originality - 4/5. The method is public but the content itself is original".

That should be Originality - 2/5. Not 4/5. There are only 2 things. Either it's original or not.(the method). People care about the method. Not about the content written itself. Nowadays everyone sell known methods making them "exclusive". Their trick? Page amount and originally written.

If only I was in Official Reviewers, now 90% of eBooks would have 2/5 originality.

You can't imagine how much money I spent on e-Books. 200\$+ for known methods. What the heck? I lost more than I earned.

P.S. I started the "eBooks Investigator" thingy. Check out my posts if you want. That could help a lot of people.

Kæt :3 - August 26, 2011, 11:22 am

I agree with OP.It's all about the free shit you reviewers get..

Lemonade - August 26, 2011, 11:22 am

I believe the Reviewers shouldn't be deleted. If they are deleted there would be an increase in scams and eBooks that try to rip people off. Also, common sense should tell you that not all "get rich

quick schemes" are going to work.

EDIT: Also instead of just making a thread saying you want to remove the group how about you suggest something that can help them maybe ask if they could redo the reviewing template etc.

Baked - August 26, 2011, 11:24 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:16 AM)Joey Tribbiani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ever thought that a payment proof can be very easily faked?

Obviously.

But that does that help with Reviewers? No.

King - August 26, 2011, 11:25 am

Quote:All I said was that I in a way feel that the recruitment could have been more stringent, rather than accepting people based on their english fluency, they could be selected on their experience or such.

Grammar skills is one of the many things we base on when picking members. However, the grammar skills are very important.

You don't know how we (leaders) pick members so don't say things that you aren't sure of.

Quote:Well my input here would be to make it official such that no user should purchase the e-book before the reviewing process. I do not stand for the removal of the section all I plead for is the dissolution of its members (Those who are merely placed there on the grounds that they are HQ members, rather than being knowledgeable in something). I do feel your sense of alertness, honesty but sir, Others simply aren't.

We always remove inactive reviewers and those who don't follow the rules. We are well aware of this.

Quote:Well as far as reports are concerned I've reported some books which were publicly available, Skill and Paradoxum has it though.

Report anything that you feel isn't right to any leader and he will deal with it.

Wolves - August 26, 2011, 11:25 am

(Aug 26, 2011 10:57 AM)??????<=>???? ?™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Code Biased in recruiting? For the most part, they pick quality applicants, there is only one member I could speak of that didn't have the quality because they didn't research his scam reports, and he was kicked within a day or two.

Just because they may pick prominent members that have made a name for themselves, doesn't mean it's biased.

It's a lot easier to go through someone with a decent amount of posts, look at their posting style, and see if the Reviewer application was a try hard attempt to getting in.

So by this post you are aiming to say that let me choose an Doof who is having over some good quality posts that he makes and I'll choose him.

This is basically like choosing a plumber for a carpentry work.

Code Talented? Writing and grammatical skills are some of the key points to being a reviewer. By all means, if you feel you know everything there is to know about ebooks, post that, your arrogance will probably be your downfall for doing so.

Yes a key skill, I agree but that doesn't mean it has to be the most important parameter while considering a E-Book reviewer.

Yes I know much about what there is to an E-Book, Am i smelling Jealousy? huh?

Code We get it, someone made a bad review because he didn't know much about the subject, we try to not let that happen.

It isn't possible for someone to be knowledgeable in every subject matter.
By how? Recruiting more idiots like that?

Code I never wrote in flamboyant English in my Reviewers application, I just typed how I normally type.

Reviewers also have standards, you are supposed to be official and proper, often pertaining to unique posting by some individuals when making reviews.

Standards of Good grammar skills, great posting skills but nil knowledge on e-books if I am right.

So the basis of your argument is to flame and call the group full of idiots and say we know nothing of ebooks?

An argument full of bickering of the most miniscule things and arguing them in a childish, immature way just nullifies your argument.

If you can't act professional in posting an argument, God knows you'd be equally as terrible in trying to take a professional approach in reviewing ebooks.

Disrespecting myself and other groups has earned you an exit from Black Knights.

Until you learn to respect what people attempt to do for the community, you will not be let back in and the acceptance that was awaiting for Red Lions is also revoked.

Groups that I run are a privilege to be in and doesn't match up to The Reviewers and HJT which actually serve a damn purpose in this community.

I'd sacrifice Black Knights in a second to assure the safety of Reviewers, but actually thinking that Reviewers would be taken away is a laughable statement, it's one of the groups that Omni actually likes and supports.

Joey Tribbiani - August 26, 2011, 11:26 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:21 AM)Nick Jonathan™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well it sometime annoys me that they say it's 4/5 original.

"Originality - 4/5. The method is public but the content itself is original".

That should be Originality - 2/5. Not 4/5. There are only 2 things. Either it's original or not.(the method). People care about the method. Not about the content written itself. Nowadays everyone sell known methods making them "exclusive". Their trick? Page amount and originally written.

If only I was in Official Reviewers, now 90% of eBooks would have 2/5 originality.

You can't imagine how much money I spent on e-Books. 200\$+ for known methods. What the heck? I lost more than I earned.

P.S. I started the "eBooks Investigator" thingy. Check out my posts if you want. That could help a lot of people.

Agree. But, that's just one reviewer whom you are taking into consideration. Why attack an entire group just because one reviewer wrote an inaccurate review?

I have rated several good e-books 2/5 and 3/5 for Originality.

(Aug 26, 2011 11:24 AM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Obviously.

But that does that help with Reviewers? No.

Yes. It does. We can help in figuring whether the method is original or not, whether the e-book is leached or not, in most cases of course. There are always exceptions.

iWatch - August 26, 2011, 11:27 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:22 AM)Lemonade Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I believe the Reviewers shouldn't be deleted. If they are deleted there would an increase in scams and eBooks that try to rip people off. Also, common sense should tell you that not all "get rich quick schemes" are going to work.

Remember the review of Guns N' Roses e-Book? How much was originality given? It was a fu**ing public method! How much was he selling it? 50-75 dollars! WTF?

Tell me why did he rated 4/5 originality?

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?pid=10800607#pid10800607>

It should deserve 1/5. Because he explains with his own words that doesn't make the e-Book exclusive.

@Joey, I don't attack the whole group but some methods that are known, are known. If someone want to do e-Book reviews then he should know at least the most basic methods of earning. Is Blackhat earning not known? Is using VPNs to complete your own surveys not known?

Wolves - August 26, 2011, 11:28 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:15 AM)?????<=>???? ?™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Finally a Leader here, ? My respects to you.?

You show THE ENTIRE Reviewers disrespect, then have the audacity to post something like that?

You have no idea what respect is.

Learn it, give it, and earn it.

Joey Tribbiani - August 26, 2011, 11:30 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:27 AM)Nick Jonathan™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Remember the review of Guns N' Roses e-Book? How much was originality given? It was a fu**ing public method! How much was he selling it? 50-75 dollars! WTF?

Tell me why did he rated 4/5 originality?

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?pid=10800607#pid10800607>

It should deserve 1/5. Because he explains with his own words that doesn't make the e-Book exclusive.

That was a mistake by Prosperity. I guess someone made it clear a while back that Prosperity was new to reviewing when he reviewed that e-book. Mistakes happen.

What about the tons of other e-books which we catch for leeching and ripping?

Lemonade - August 26, 2011, 11:30 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:27 AM)Nick Jonathan™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Remember the review of Guns N' Roses e-Book? How much was originality given? It was a fu**ing public method! How much was he selling it? 50-75 dollars! WTF?

Tell me why did he rated 4/5 originality?

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?pid=10800607#pid10800607>

It should deserve 1/5. Because he explains with his own words that doesn't make the e-Book exclusive.

I understand your point but you have to remember that one Reviewer doesn't directly represent how the other Reviewers do their job. I am sure the leaders check up on each Reviewer on a frequent basis. Mistakes sometimes happen we can't all be perfect.

Sun Tzu - August 26, 2011, 11:33 am

Code We don't want a doof who knows a lot but can't even use proper grammar to represent Reviewers, a group meant to critique e-books on several factors, one of them being grammar.

Atleast I posses an wealth of knowledge, rather than supporting a stupid e-book and who claims parameters towards the acceptance into the e-book reviewers is grammar, Know this any nut case with half an ounce of neurons in his head would know word jugglery, Almost anyone can do it nowadays. Only those who have the experience really exercise their knowledge with elegance.

Code You have no idea how Skill chooses the reviewers. You are just assuming that only people with good grammar are accepted.

I possible can't play the blame game here now, I'll leave that to the big man. I withheld this decision for a few days.

Code Also, it is ironic that you yourself applied for Reviewers. Why apply in a group which you don't believe in?

Yes I've applied for the group, I believe in it very much. I have plans for it in the future if i get accepted into, which is merely vague now.

Code Also, how can you just accuse [b]all reviewers of not knowing anything[/b] just because Prosperity didn't write an accurate review?

I am not blaming that you don't know anything, I am stating the fact that I am a level above others in the playing field.

About prosperity let me clear this for one last time.

Prosperity was selected rather mistakenly, So there is my proof that he was chosen only and solely for his grammar and overall HQ posting over HF.

King - August 26, 2011, 11:33 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:30 AM)Joey Tribbiani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What about the tons of other e-books which we catch for leeching and ripping?

They don't appreciate our work and we aren't even wanting this.

We're just serving the whole community and there will always be some whiners.

iWatch - August 26, 2011, 11:34 am

I agree with you both. Mistakes can happen. But why someone want to join Official Reviewers, not have made some research about all methods available. There is a whole Monetizing Technique section when people post e-Books available to public. Why not to download and read them. Then go and review and e-Book.

For example what could Prosperity do?

He could spent 1 week learning the most known methods around the Internet for monetizing. Even with limited sources in HackForums and then he could review the e-Book and NOT make the mistake.

P.S. I respect and like the job of Official Reviewers. The only time when I don't appreciate this is when they don't do a good research before reviewing an e-Book.

Cronus- - August 26, 2011, 11:38 am

Perhaps, It is due to new reviewer recruits making reviews too hurriedly? I believe there should be reviewer classes, or similar. I have never had a problem with a Reviewer formerly, nor have I ever

purchased a falsely rated eBook.

Lemonade - August 26, 2011, 11:40 am

Also for those who are only pointing out the mistakes that sometimes occur. Here is something in the recent days that one of the Reviewers did to protect potential buyers.

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1629054&pid=14932708#pid14932708>

That is just one of the many situations that the Reviewers helped in. I will show you more examples if you want.

Mistakes happen and nothing can be perfect instead of just pointing out the negatives try to look upon the impact the Reviewers made in the eBook Bazaar. They have helped clean up the section and remove those that have bad intentions.

King - August 26, 2011, 11:40 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:34 AM)Nick Jonathan™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) P.S. I respect and like the job of Official Reviewers. The only time when I don't appreciate this is when they don't do a good research before reviewing an e-Book. All the current reviewers do good researches before reviewing any e-book. I can assure you this. They have to do it.

Cronus- - August 26, 2011, 11:41 am

(Aug 26, 2011 07:30 AM)?????<=>???? ?™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I vote for this, Complete dissolution of the reviewers group is the need of the hour.

If you think you seen idiotic reviewers take a look at this

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1379237>

Oh please.. If you dislike the reviewers, then why bother applying?

Hot Chocolate - August 26, 2011, 11:42 am

The fact still remains the only people fighting against this is the reviewers because they want their free \$2 eBooks, and that's why it should be removed, along with the eBook section, because that's a pile of garbage.

Admit it, why did you apply to them in the first place? Because you wanted to 'help the community'? Bullshit.

iWatch - August 26, 2011, 11:44 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:40 AM)-BoodyE- Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) All the current reviewers do good researches before reviewing any e-book. I can assure you this. They have to do it.

But sometimes they don't or something like that. I don't really know but if it's maybe me that has read all methods in world of earning and find all other e-Books non-exclusive. You could also check my posts. Today I busted one guy giving out an e-Book available for free to public. It's about a YouTube method that has 240 pages and he sells it for 7\$ only. I was suspicious from the start. Check my post if you have time.

YouTube Public Method:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1639305&pid=15081573#pid15081573>

Another suspicious e-Book but it turned out to be original:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1598751&pid=15083324#pid15083324>

Wolves - August 26, 2011, 11:45 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:41 AM)Cronus- Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Oh please, If you dislike the reviewers, then why

bother applying?

Because he is one of those people that thinks he can bear it all and completely bring about change. Overly optimistic people like that are the types of people that go insane or crash hard.

And if you (??????<=>???? ?™) are going to argue with me, please use good grammar.

If you are going to be so critical of the group and think you could do a better job, improve your grammar and perhaps you'll make it one day.

Clarity is key.

(Aug 26, 2011 11:44 AM)Nick Jonathan™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) But sometimes they don't or something like that. I don't really know but if it's maybe me that has read all methods in world of earning and find all other e-Books non-exclusive. You could also check my posts. Today I busted one guy giving out an e-Book available for free to public. It's about a YouTube method that has 240 pages and he sells it for 7\$ only. I was suspicious from the start. Check my post if you have time.

YouTube Public Method:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1639305&pid=15081573#pid15081573>

Another suspicious e-Book but it turned out to be original:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1598751&pid=15083324#pid15083324>

The Reviewers are always skeptical of things like that.

If it has that many pages, it is usually leeches, that's even in the thread when you are accepted and something you should look at for reviewing and ebook.

Nick Jonathan™, your intentions on that thread were great, I do admit that.

Sadly, you aren't allowed to do a canned type speech and post it on threads, trust me, I've tried invoking a great canned speech before.

Soulcal - August 26, 2011, 11:53 am

I don't think that the ebook section should be removed completely, some ebooks are being duplicated, renamed and sold, which is wrong and I see where you are coming from as a buyer of an ebook when you put all the facts together, it's a waste of money, but as a seller, I would strongly recommend keeping this section, it improves sales and helps the marketplace thrive.

If you were to re-do the section, I recommend that all of the section is junked and has no threads in and instead of being able to post freely in the section, you need to get all of the threads reviewed by an official reviewer, they are getting a free ebook out of it so they shouldn't be complaining, all they have to do is review any outstanding thread requests and then deny or accept them. Along with that they can get their ebook replied with an file submission option for the ebook to be available to read by the reviewer when deciding the fate of the thread. They should also have a private ebook database, not storing them all but keeping a record of the names of the ebooks and the first and last page contents so that they may cross reference any new ebooks with similar themes to make sure it is not a copy, something similar to the red lions scammer database.

Wolves - August 26, 2011, 11:53 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:42 AM)Hot Chocolate Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The fact still remains the only people fighting against this is the reviewers because they want their free \$2 eBooks, and that's why it should be removed, along with the eBook section, because that's a pile of garbage.

Admit it, why did you apply to them in the first place? Because you wanted to 'help the community'? Bullshit.

You honestly think I've actually EVER used an ebook myself for profit? That's laughable.

Just because you assume something doesn't make it true, enough with the conspiracies.

You are beating a dead horse by continuing to argue for Reviews removal, all that will happen is a

ban for your consist posts trying to start a war.

Sun Tzu - August 26, 2011, 11:54 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:41 AM)Cronus- Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Oh please.. If you dislike the reviewers, then why bother applying?

To bring about a change in the system what else?

Hot Chocolate - August 26, 2011, 11:55 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:53 AM)Wolves Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You honestly think I've actually EVER used an ebook myself for profit? That's laughable.

Just because you assume something doesn't make it true, enough with the conspiracies.

You are beating a dead horse by continuing to argue for Reviews removal, all that will happen is a ban for your consist posts trying to start a war.

>implying we can get banned for making a suggestion that the majority who don't feed themselves off are agreeing with.

And yes, i'm sure a reviewer has tried to use the eBook's they've got for their own personal use, maybe they're not all as high and noble as you. Don't flatter yourself. ?

Another note: I've had over five reviewers on my contact list attempt to sell me eBooks at 10%-60% of the original HF price.

Sun Tzu - August 26, 2011, 11:59 am

(Aug 26, 2011 11:45 AM)Wolves Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Because he is one of those people that thinks he can bear it all and completely bring about change.

Overly optimistic people like that are the types of people that go insane or crash hard.

And if you (??????<=>???? ?™) are going to argue with me, please use good grammar.

If you are going to be so critical of the group and think you could do a better job, improve your grammar and perhaps you'll make it one day.

Clarity is key.Optimistic people never fail though. Its pessimistic minds that go down the drain.

Thank you, I am improving on my grammar you see.

Wolves - August 26, 2011, 12:00 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 11:50 AM)??????<=>???? ?™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Code So the basis of your argument is to flame and call the group full of idiots and say we know nothing of ebooks?

I Implied that I had an edge over you.

Code An argument full of bickering of the most miniscule things and arguing them in a childish, immature way just nullifies your argument.

If you can't act professional in posting an argument, God knows you'd be equally as terrible in trying to take a professional approach in reviewing ebooks.

The miniscule things excuse me?

Those were the things that nearly fooled people 75\$ from their pocket, is that miniscule?

Like are you now acting professional? Atleast I am not an equivalent to a broken goose blabbering over shit if he can't take it.

Code Disrespecting myself and other groups has earned you an exit from Black Knights.

Until you learn to respect what people attempt to do for the community, you will not be let back in

and the acceptance that was awaiting for Red Lions is also revoked.

You can't take an argument on your stride, DUMB. Using your leadership powers to remove me from the group shows your maturity level seemingly to that of a butt hurt crack head. I don't give a fuck.

Code Groups that I run are a privilege to be in and doesn't match up to The Reviewers and HJT which actually serve a damn purpose in this community.

I'd sacrifice Black Knights in a second to assure the safety of Reviewers, but actually thinking that Reviewers would be taken away is a laughable statement, it's one of the groups that Omni actually likes and supports.

Yes, I get it if they don't agree with your imbecile statements you throw them out of your group, such a sore loser.

To be in my group, you have to be RESPECTFUL, which is something you've shown no reviewer but our leader.

I can kick whomever I choose, I'm the leader, and you are not fit for the group.

Members that know me know that I am a member that is set on respect.

Flame and argue all you want, it won't get you anywhere, the fact you have to call me an imbecile and an idiot for making valid reasons strongly shows the type of people who want the group removed.

Make a sound argument, and I will listen to it.

I rarely kick people from groups, I usually leave it to Hysteria, I've kicked four people from my group since being leader, three of them were for scamming, the other one was you.

Clearly I abuse my powers and kick people that disagree with me, get over yourself.

Myself, -BoodyE-, and Joey don't profit off of anything we do for the Reviewers and have made sound arguments.

Keep this thread to sound argumentation rather than resulting into immature flaming.

Quote:We are governed by three main ideas in this group those ideas being: Honor, Loyalty, and Respect.

Straight from Black Knights recruitment.

(Aug 26, 2011 11:59 AM)??????<=>???? ?™ Wrote: [CITE](#) Optimistic people never fail though. Its pessimistic minds that go down the drain.

Thank you, I am improving on my grammar you see.

Optimistic people fail all the time, it's called a loss in purpose or a fall from grace.

Keep this on the path of Reviewers.

On a side note, I'm glad you are improving on your grammar.

Skill - August 26, 2011, 12:05 pm

Reading the contents of this thread is very disheartening. If only you knew just how hard it is to run such a group here at Hack Forums and just how much work has gone into the group over the past year and a half. I have given everything I have to the group, and tried every possible solution to ensure the group acts in a manner that is respected by all. It's impossible to please everybody, believe me, I've tried. Please note that I am not directing my post at anybody in particular, but to all those who have negative opinions of the Reviewers group. The amount of dedication that the

Reviewers have given to maintaining the Ebook Bazaar, ensuring members are aware of any possible eBooks that are against Hack Forums Policy, eBooks that are available freely elsewhere on the Internet or eBooks they think just don't meet the asking price, it takes a lot of time and effort. I am not entirely happy with how the Reviewers group operates right now; I am fully aware that some Reviewers make mistakes and they are dealt with appropriately, but just because one reviewer makes a mistake, this doesn't mean the rest will.

Recruiting members is a very hard task as it is, if we were to further expand our recruitment criteria this would need hours of dedication per new recruit to ensure they go about their reviews appropriately. We just cannot dedicate so much time to one single person, it's not possible. Overall, what good would there be removing the Ebook Bazaar? Members will still post their eBooks elsewhere in the forum, be it the Premium Sellers Section or the Secondary Sellers Section. If you are asking for eBooks sales to be halted completely, that is something that I don't think will ever be enforced. While there are some eBooks which serve no purpose and resort in the loss of money for the buyer, there are some which actually serve a purpose and help the buyer. I know the worst kind of eBook that is sold here at Hack Forums is one that promises that you will gain X amount of money; think about it... it's not possible. You know that, I know that, we all know that - if you're dumb enough to purchase an eBook that offers X amount per day, month or year, it's your own fault. You don't always have to follow what a reviewer has posted, you have your own mind and your own common sense; use them.

I tend not to get involved in such debates but as I am the Head Leader of Reviewers I feel as though my opinion on the matter is vital. Let me reiterate that none of the above is aimed at anybody in particular; this includes the OP. Everybody is entitled to an opinion and this is mine.

Thank you.

Cyber-Security - August 26, 2011, 12:09 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 12:05 PM)Skill Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Reading the contents of this thread is very disheartening. If only you knew just how hard it is to run such a group here at Hack Forums and just how much work has gone into the group over the past year and a half. I have given everything I have to the group, and tried every possible solution to ensure the group acts in a manner that is respected by all. It's impossible to please everybody, believe me, I've tried. Please note that I am not directing my post at anybody in particular, but to all those who have negative opinions of the Reviewers group. The amount of dedication that the Reviewers have given to maintaining the Ebook Bazaar, ensuring members are aware of any possible eBooks that are against Hack Forums Policy, eBooks that are available freely elsewhere on the Internet or eBooks they think just don't meet the asking price, it takes a lot of time and effort. I am not entirely happy with how the Reviewers group operates right now; I am fully aware that some Reviewers make mistakes and they are dealt with appropriately, but just because one reviewer makes a mistake, this doesn't mean the rest will.

Recruiting members is a very hard task as it is, if we were to further expand our recruitment criteria this would need hours of dedication per new recruit to ensure they go about their reviews appropriately. We just cannot dedicate so much time to one single person, it's not possible. Overall, what good would there be removing the Ebook Bazaar? Members will still post their eBooks elsewhere in the forum, be it the Premium Sellers Section or the Secondary Sellers Section. If you are asking for eBooks sales to be halted completely, that is something that I don't think will ever be enforced. While there are some eBooks which serve no purpose and resort in the loss of money for the buyer, there are some which actually serve a purpose and help the buyer. I know the worst kind of eBook that is sold here at Hack Forums is one that promises that you will gain X amount of money; think about it... it's not possible. You know that, I know that, we all know that - if you're dumb enough to purchase an eBook that offers X amount per day, month or year, it's your own fault. You don't always have to follow what a reviewer has posted, you have your own mind and your own

common sense; use them.

I tend not to get involved in such debates but as I am the Head Leader of Reviewers I feel as though my opinion on the matter is vital. Let me reiterate that none of the above is aimed at anybody in particular; this includes the OP. Everybody is entitled to an opinion and this is mine.

Thank you.

Exactly, many do not respect the effort that was put into this group and the dedication of the members. I have the same opinion as you. Now, having a different opinion is ok, but I think that the Reviewers should stay.

Soulcal - August 26, 2011, 12:10 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 12:05 PM)Skill Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) but just because one reviewer makes a mistake, this doesn't mean the rest will.

The bad apple spoils the bunch.

-My opinion

Hot Chocolate - August 26, 2011, 12:10 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 12:09 PM)Cyber-Security Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Exactly, many do not respect the effort that was put into this group and the dedication of the members.

Dedication of about 5% of the reviewers. And that's where the problem is. The rest have no knowledge and are simply leading others to waste their money. The common HF'er are swayed by a "review".

Cyber-Security - August 26, 2011, 12:12 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 12:10 PM)Hot Chocolate Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Dedication of about 5% of the reviewers. And that's where the problem is. The rest have no knowledge and are simply leading others to waste their money. The common HF'er are swayed by a "review".

Ok so now at least we have drawn some logic into this "debate". So now, we are basically debating about the quality of the members in the group itself.

Let Skill handle that, he leads this group, it's hard to find the right handful of people, recruitment is not an easy job. And I think that there are only a couple members that aren't top notch in this group. Saying that 5% of them only work right is like a slap in the face to the Reviewers and all they have worked for.

iWatch - August 26, 2011, 12:13 pm

Well I have to agree with Skill. In addition with his post I would like to add that you should do a research and ask for free e-Books that are available in Monetizing Techniques. These will help you more than the methods in e-Book Bazaar. Lets say Monetizing Techniques is the basic idea. Everything has an "add-on" in that. e-Book Bazaar is an add-on sometimes. As Skill said and I have to admit that I agree more than ever that you people still believe in make 500\$/day and such things. People are so lazy nowadays that they think they can earn on auto-pilot. The methods are known and easy.

1. Get a CPA Account
2. Make a website
3. Find a niche
4. Promote niche(buy traffic from Fiverr or do yourself all the SEO operation)
5. Earn money

Please, once again, please... do not blame if Official Reviewer made a mistake. Maybe a reviewer never seen this method and he rated 4/5 or 5/5 originality and another reviewer rated 2/5 or 3/5

originality. That doesn't mean the whole team "suck". You should of course make a research based on review of the Official Reviewer. Methods are public and working. Niches are unlimited. Time is money and patience is Gold.

P.S. I am trying to not to go on anyones side. So I please you not to PM me or post here and say "You support Official Reviewers" or getting messegges that I offend Official Reviewers or something.

Zher0 - August 26, 2011, 12:15 pm

No offense to anyone/group but i agree 100% with this.

Cyber-Security - August 26, 2011, 12:17 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 12:13 PM)Nick Jonathan™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well I have to agree with Skill. In addition with his post I would like to add that you should do a research and ask for free e-Books that are available in Monetizing Techniques. These will help you more than the methods in e-Book Bazaar. Lets say Monetizing Techniques is the basic idea. Everything has an "add-on" in that. e-Book Bazaar is an add-on sometimes. As Skill said and I have to admit that I agree more than ever that you people still believe in make 500\$/day and such things. People are so lazy nowadays that they think they can earn on auto-pilot. The methods are known and easy.

1. Get a CPA Account
2. Make a website
3. Find a niche
4. Promote niche(buy traffic from Fiverr or do yourself all the SEO operation)
5. Earn money

Please, once again, please... do not blame if Official Reviewer made a mistake. Maybe a reviewer never seen this method and he rated 4/5 or 5/5 originality and another reviewer rated 2/5 or 3/5 originality. That doesn't mean the whole team "suck". You should of course make a research based on review of the Official Reviewer. Methods are public and working. Niches are unlimited. Time is money and patience is Gold.

P.S. I am trying to not to go on anyones side. So I please you not to PM me or post here and say "You support Official Reviewers" or getting messegges that I offend Official Reviewers or something. Everyone should read your post, it makes a lot of sense. Good work. ?

iWatch - August 26, 2011, 12:17 pm

@Hot Chocolate. Don't blame the whole team. Blame a certain reviewer for his review. Ask him to review it again and show him resources if the method(s) is/are publicly known. That would make you more kind and more helpful to the community. Official Reviewers are also humans. Don't forget that.

Wolves - August 26, 2011, 12:18 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 12:10 PM)Hot Chocolate Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Dedication of about 5% of the reviewers. And that's where the problem is. The rest have no knowledge and are simply leading others to waste their money. The common HF'er are swayed by a "review".

Common HF'ers are swayed by "rep".

Lets remove that as well.

Developer - August 26, 2011, 12:19 pm

I agree with this thread.

Most reviewers are no experts in each respective field.

The reviews themselves are only personal opinions of theirs.

I would never buy an ebook from the ebook section because I know the quality of work that I will expect.

Cyber-Security - August 26, 2011, 12:21 pm

I have a solution to some of this. How about each reviewer is assigned a field of expertise and he/she will review ebooks in that category?

Xenochrist - August 26, 2011, 12:28 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 12:21 PM)Cyber-Security Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have a solution to some of this. How about each reviewer is assigned a field of expertise and he/she will review ebooks in that category?

Reviewers already do this. Well, some have told me they do. If they see a book which they're knowledgeable in, they will take that book to review it over one which deals on a subject they don't know anything about.

Imperial Legion Soldier - August 26, 2011, 12:28 pm

You obviously do not have the knowledge to look at what we do. You sir, can leave.

Also, very first eBook I reviewed was a unique method. We help the community, by providing information BEFORE THEY SPEND THEIR MONEY. We aren't gaining money out of this, we are purely volunteering to do this.

Developer - August 26, 2011, 12:30 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 12:21 PM)Cyber-Security Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have a solution to some of this. How about each reviewer is assigned a field of expertise and he/she will review ebooks in that category?

Yeah, let's only have Reviewers with college degrees in their own field of expertise. ?

Imperial Legion Soldier - August 26, 2011, 12:31 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 12:21 PM)Cyber-Security Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have a solution to some of this. How about each reviewer is assigned a field of expertise and he/she will review ebooks in that category?

This is already being done. Higher ranked Reviewers members pick eBooks to what they are experts in.

iWatch - August 26, 2011, 12:34 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 12:31 PM)Imperial Legion Soldier Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is already being done. Higher ranked Reviewers members pick eBooks to what they are experts in.

Well that's the point. You wouldn't pick an e-Book to review that you don't have a clue about it. That's common sense.

For example someone is good at reviewing Social Engineering e-Books. He wouldn't review a Monetizing e-Book if he didn't have any knowledge about such things. Well also in this case there would be an exception if the reviewer has knowledge for a lot of things.

P.S. I fixed the mistake with the "would" in the first line. It should be "wouldn't".

Goo - August 26, 2011, 12:37 pm

Why is it that half the people in this thread were simply user denied from The Reviewers? Why would you want us disbanded? If there wasn't a group like ours, there would be so many scams of e-books going on everyday. Oh and get rid of the whole section? There are so may e-books that are actually REALLY good and really work. Blaming a whole section for a few peoples mistakes would be dumb.

Imperial Legion Soldier - August 26, 2011, 12:37 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 12:34 PM)Nick Jonathan™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well that's the point. You would pick an e-Book to review that you don't have a clue about it. That's common sense.

For example someone is good at reviewing Social Engineering e-Books. He wouldn't review a Monetizing e-Book if he didn't have any knowledge about such things. Well also in this case there would be an exception if the reviewer has knowledge for a lot of things.

Again, we have that implemented. Most eBooks are tutorials. We review those tutorials, with knowledge on how to do it, or even what is, and see if we can follow the eBook. If we can follow the tutorial and produce results of what the author describes, obviously that eBook is good.

(Aug 26, 2011 12:37 PM)Goo Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why is it that half the people in this thread were simply user denied from The Reviewers? Why would you want us disbanded? If there wasn't a group like ours, there would be so many scams of e-books going on everyday. Oh and get rid of the whole section? There are so many e-books that are actually REALLY good and really work. Blaming a whole section for a few peoples mistakes would be dumb.

Thank you Goo. This is exactly what I'm trying to say also.

Quiet. - August 26, 2011, 12:40 pm

There is so much you don't know about being in the Reviewers, it's unbelievable. You're just getting mad because of all the LQ eBooks in the section, which we have no control over. We do not review every single eBook ever. Only if someone requests a review can we review it. And when we do get review requests, we obviously will pick the ones to review that we are most knowledgeable in. If you think you're knowledgeable in every subject then you're just an arrogant fuck who has a lot to learn.

And why are you all bitching about the grammar again? I apologize we don't make reviews like this. You obviously have to have good grammar to write a review, else it will look unprofessional and, in some cases, hard to read. Everyone in the reviewers is knowledgeable in some subject and usually, when there's a review request for an eBook in that subject, they jump on it. But sometimes there's only request for stupid shit like "Make a trillion dollars right now". How in the holy mother of fuck are we supposed to be knowledgeable in that? It's impossible without reading the eBook. But still, they have to be done regardless. We can't just let reviews not be done. It isn't fair to the authors who requested the review.

If you don't like the eBooks in the eBook section, then don't go there. It's like someone making a suggestion to remove the gaming section because they don't game. You're not in any way special and shit won't be molded to your idea of perfection. The Reviewers do their job to the best of their abilities.

Even if they did base who gets in on what subject they're good in, that would just encourage lying in applications and then when they do a real review, it looks just like ours.

Don't point fingers at us for their being LQ shit in the eBook section. We don't moderate that. As for how the reviewers is operated, there isn't a good way to change it. Maybe if people explained their eBook subject a bit more than "Make money", we'd be able to have people interested in that subject review it. But they don't, so there's no way in knowing. As for the ones that do explain the subject, the reviews do some from interested reviewers. We're not just assigned an eBook to do from the leaders. We pick. So, we'd obviously pick something we're interested in. If I'm an avid gamer who knows no programming, and there were a choice of two eBooks to review, one of "How to become a better gamer" and one of "How to program C++" which one do you think we'd choose?

Baked - August 26, 2011, 1:08 pm

The Reviewers is turning into a clique like The Alliance.

I guess that's kinda what Haunter Gang is though, but w.e

They aren't needed at all, sellers could easily just give discounts for reviews to buyers.

Therefore the review won't be rushed, because that's what I got from Skill, there's too much work or not enough work by the members.

For people saying that would cause corruption, it's the same as the Marketplace, a user could vouch or a buyer could give a small review.

No reason for the eBook Bazaar to get deleted, but the Reviewers group isn't needed. Just like a testers group isn't needed.

Use common sense when buying an eBook and you'll be fine, Reviewers shouldn't be there to moderate shit.

They're just another member like anyone else that paid their \$32 USD for Ub3r. Why do they get special treatment?

Xenochrist - August 26, 2011, 1:10 pm

Okay, getting rid of the entire Reviewers group and not replacing it with anything else would be a terrible idea. I would rather have a trusted review (Reviewers group) than have nothing at all. Reviewers get "special treatment" because they applied for the group. Omni created the group for a reason. :D

Baked - August 26, 2011, 1:12 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 01:10 PM)Uprising Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Okay, getting rid of the entire Reviewers group and not replacing it with anything else would be a terrible idea. I would rather have a trusted review (Reviewers group) than have nothing at all. Reviewers get "special treatment" because they applied for the group. Omni created the group for a reason. :D

I would rather have a buyer that actually took the time to test the book to review it, than some Reviewer that rushes through it because they have to get back to their skype call or transformice game.

Cronus- - August 26, 2011, 1:12 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 01:10 PM)Uprising Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Okay, getting rid of the entire Reviewers group and not replacing it with anything else would be a terrible idea. I would rather have a trusted review (Reviewers group) than have nothing at all.

Exactly, that way scamming is reduced. Anybody can make an eBook, and claim that it's something it isn't.

(Aug 26, 2011 01:12 PM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I would rather have a buyer that actually took the time to test the book to review it, than some Reviewer.

Buyers? I can go on MSN right now, and ask someone to vouch for me claiming that the eBook is good.

Wolves - August 26, 2011, 1:13 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 01:08 PM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The Reviewers is turning into a clique like The Alliance.

I guess that's kinda what Haunter Gang is though, but w.e

They aren't needed at all, sellers could easily just give discounts for reviews to buyers.

Therefore the review won't be rushed, because that's what I got from Skill, there's too much work or not enough work by the members.

For people saying that would cause corruption, it's the same as the Marketplace, a user could

vouch or a buyer could give a small review.

No reason for the eBook Bazaar to get deleted, but the Reviewers group isn't needed. Just like a testers group isn't needed.

Use common sense when buying an eBook and you'll be fine, Reviewers shouldn't be there to moderate shit.

They're just another member like anyone else that paid their \$32 USD for Ub3r. Why do they get special treatment?

Since when have the general public on this site had common sense?

If people use this "common sense" we'd have much less scam reports as well.

Xenochrist - August 26, 2011, 1:15 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 01:12 PM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I would rather have a buyer that actually took the time to test the book to review it, than some Reviewer that rushes through it because they have to get back to their skype call or transformice game.

The problem with this, though.. is.. it's not official. The seller won't add it to his sales page. As a buyer, if you give a bad review, it will just become lost in the many pages of the thread.

Official review = gets added to first post in most cases, or, when seen by other members on other pages, it looks "trusted" to them.

Unofficial review = lost among all the pages after a while, and nobody trusts and just assumes you're mad (if it's a bad review). well maybe not. but it still gets lost easily! then not many people would be able to see it.

Baked - August 26, 2011, 1:15 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 01:12 PM)Cronus- Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Exactly, that way scamming is reduced. Anybody can make an eBook, and claim that it's something it isn't.

Buyers? I can go on MSN right now, and ask someone to vouch for me claiming that the eBook is good.

Yeah, I can do that in the real marketplace too.

What's the difference.

(Aug 26, 2011 01:15 PM)Uprising Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The problem with this, though.. is.. it's not official. The seller won't add it to his sales page. As a buyer, if you give a bad review, it will just become lost in the many pages of the thread.

Official review = gets added to first post in most cases, or, when seen by other members on other pages, it looks "trusted" to them.

Unofficial review = lost among all the pages after a while, and nobody trusts and just assumes you're mad. well maybe not. but it still gets lost easily! then not many people would be able to find it. Sellers start giving Review Copies to certain buyers, there's no need for the Reviewers.

(Aug 26, 2011 01:13 PM)Wolves Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Since when have the general public on this site had common sense?

If people use this "common sense" we'd have much less scam reports as well.

I'm going by what Skill said, Use common sense before you buy.

He must have deleted his post, can't find it.

Imperial Legion Soldier - August 26, 2011, 1:17 pm

We review eBooks for potential buyers to get an idea of the quality. So they don't have to spend their money on a terrible eBook.

Cronus- - August 26, 2011, 1:20 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 01:15 PM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah, I can do that in the real marketplace too.

What's the difference.

In the marketplace, you are able to provide proof. If you're selling a R.A.T, you should show screenshots of the R.A.T, and It's function.

With an eBook, you are not able to do so.

Baked - August 26, 2011, 1:21 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 01:17 PM)Imperial Legion Soldier Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) We review eBooks for potential buyers to get an idea of the quality. So they don't have to spend their money on a terrible eBook. And I don't know that, herpity derp derp.

Who's protecting the buyers in the Premium Marketplace from buying a terrible Booter?

That's what I'm saying, what's the difference between the Marketplace and eBook Bazaar, there is none.

Why should the eBook section have Reviewers, when buyers in the Premium Sellers section don't get protected at all.

(Aug 26, 2011 01:20 PM)Cronus- Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) In the marketplace, you are able to provide proof. If you're selling a R.A.T, you should show screenshots of the R.A.T, and It's function.

With an eBook, you are not able to do so.

You know that screenshots can be very easily faked.

Oak - August 26, 2011, 1:26 pm

You're very close-minded and insolent when it comes to the Reviewers, you have no idea what we actually do and it's not about the free eBooks, sure it's a perk, but you obviously know nothing if you think that's what it's all about.

Cronus- - August 26, 2011, 1:31 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 01:21 PM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You know that screenshots can be very easily faked. What does that have to do with a product's legitimacy? As opposed to software products, there are less chances of getting caught when scamming for eBooks.

Baked - August 26, 2011, 1:31 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 01:26 PM)Sir Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You're very close-minded and insolent when it comes to the Reviewers, you have no idea what we actually do and it's not about the free eBooks, sure it's a perk, but you obviously know nothing if you think that's what it's all about.

I actually happen to very open minded, and no I don't have the wrong idea on the Reviewers.

If you think I do, please enlighten me Sir. ?

Kn1gh7 - August 26, 2011, 1:33 pm

I support this thread.

inb4 Hawt Chocolate says why.

Oak - August 26, 2011, 1:40 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 01:31 PM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I actually happen to very open minded, and no I don't have the wrong idea on the Reviewers.

If you think I do, please enlighten me Sir. ?Have you ever actually been in the Reviewers Baked?

Hot Chocolate - August 26, 2011, 1:44 pm

The fact still remains that the only people not wanting this is the reviewers because they get their \$2 eBooks without paying.

Protip: I get people from the reviewers leaking me eBooks all the time, they offer to leak them to me without them even asking, and rest assured, every single eBook i've gotten has been on some other monetising forum months/years before, and burnt to a crisp.

Baked - August 26, 2011, 1:45 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 01:40 PM)Sir Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Have you ever actually been in the Reviewers Baked?

Nope, never wanted to be I applied like 6 months ago.

But I realized, why do that type of work for no money.

I don't work for free my friend.

>inb4 We get free eBooks.

But you have to review them and they all suck, the best ones on the market (Like Tibit's) don't need a review.

Cronus- - August 26, 2011, 1:47 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 01:45 PM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Nope, never wanted to be I applied like 6 months ago.

But I realized, why do that type of work for no money.

So, you were not accepted? You're saying, I applied then changed my mind. Are you implying that you were accepted, then rejected the acceptance?

Baked - August 26, 2011, 1:48 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 01:40 PM)Sir Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Have you ever actually been in the Reviewers Baked?

And I don't have to be to know what they're about.

But I don't like arguing with you Sir, you're cool.

I like arguing with people that bring up bad points, you just showed me up with like 12 words.

I haven't been in the Reviewers, but I don't want to be and will never want to be, it's a waste of energy. I'd rather be chillen with my friends, coding or selling something on the Marketplace.

Oak - August 26, 2011, 1:49 pm

@Cronus.

Inb4 he made because he rejected.

We do work for free, and the free eBooks are a small perk that makes the job a bit better, sure, I'll admit to that, but it's also a part of being a high quality member who dedicates his/her time to HF. Being a reviewer really refines your writing skills and abilities.

EDIT:

Inb4 Sir says okay, no more.

Baked - August 26, 2011, 1:50 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 01:47 PM)Cronus- Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So, you were not accepted? You're saying, I applied then changed my mind. Are you implying that you were accepted, then rejected the acceptance? No, I applied but it was a half ass review, I just wanted to see if I'd get in, I probably would have left if I did.

I don't work for free.

Hot Chocolate - August 26, 2011, 1:51 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 01:49 PM)Sir Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) @Cronus.
Inb4 he made because he rejected.

We do work for free, and the free eBooks are a small perk that makes the job a bit better, sure, I'll admit to that, but it's also a part of being a high quality member who dedicates his/her time to HF. Being a reviewer really refines your writing skills and abilities.
I've removed the bits of your post which are bullshit.

?

Oak - August 26, 2011, 6:30 pm

I'm pretty sure this all began because Hot Chocolate was either
A) Denied to the Reviewers
B) Has a tiny Penis, is mad bro.

The Reviewers are staying, good job bro, but your suggestion is not gonna happen.

Cronus - August 26, 2011, 7:06 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 01:50 PM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No, I applied but it was a half ass review, I just wanted to see if I'd get in, I probably would have left if I did.

I don't work for free.

Well, you're posting on the forum, and not getting paid. In fact, you're paying instead of getting paid.
(Ub3r + Donation)

ComX - August 26, 2011, 8:04 pm

Too much off topic now. Back to topic please.

Baked - August 26, 2011, 10:35 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 07:06 PM)Cronus- Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well, you're posting on the forum, and not getting paid. In fact, you're paying instead of getting paid. (Ub3r + Donation)
You know how much I made on HackForums?
No you don't, I bought L33t like a year ago, when I worked.

And I bought ub3r for the perks you get.

\$20 is nothing to me, I'd shit on it.

(Aug 26, 2011 06:30 PM)Sir Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm pretty sure this all began because Hot Chocolate was either

A) Denied to the Reviewers
B) Has a tiny Penis, is mad bro.

The Reviewers are staying, good job bro, but your suggestion is not gonna happen. Omni hasn't even seen this yet, so don't say anything.

He should really take a hard look at the facts, Reviewers are needed (for the whole marketplace) or Delete them completely and leave all the buyers fend for themselves.

Goo - August 27, 2011, 1:35 am

YOU AREN'T IN THE REVIEWERS! So you don't know how we do things & what it's about! So how the hell are you going to tell US how to run things? Seriously. Learn to not get so hurt after being denied from an application and go cry somewhere else.

Though I do agree with Baked where we should have another group to test products on HF's marketplace.

Simba™ - August 27, 2011, 5:41 am

I somehow agree with Baked that there should be another group to review the other products or expand the Reviewers itself to do so.

@Hot Chocolate, I highly doubt your suggestions will be accepted, but let's wait for Omniscient response.

Hot Chocolate - August 27, 2011, 5:54 am

(Aug 27, 2011 05:41 AM)Simba™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I somehow agree with Baked that there should be another group to review the other products or expand the Reviewers itself to do so.

@Hot Chocolate, I highly doubt your suggestions will be accepted, but let's wait for Omniscient response.

Yes, I know, but something urgently needs to be done about the eBook marketplace, and I would reckon the most viable one is to remove all sorts of monetising eBooks and make a secondary sub-subject under "Monetising Methods" where people can put download links on \$sharecash/etc, also making sure the other referral section doesn't get clogged up.

Simba™ - August 27, 2011, 6:17 am

(Aug 27, 2011 05:54 AM)Hot Chocolate Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes, I know, but something urgently needs to be done about the eBook marketplace, and I would reckon the most viable one is to remove all sorts of monetising eBooks and make a secondary sub-subject under "Monetising Methods" where people can put download links on \$sharecash/etc, also making sure the other referral section doesn't get clogged up.

Actually, all monetizing eBooks which are put as download links are put in the referral sub-section. May I ask, why does it bother you so much? Surely those x/hour methods usually doesn't work, that's why you read the official reviews and user comments before purchasing.

Cam G - August 27, 2011, 6:23 am

I disagree. Reviewers have come a long way, especially compared to the old reviewers.

Quote:Itachi Uchiha writes that himself and other original reviewers spent hours combing the internet for copies of eBooks. However, the reviewers is now saturated with the typical HF leech kid. This further strengthens the motion to atleast remove monetising "methods".

Are you serious? I don't mean to personally attack Itachi here, but let's take a look at a typical review of these "original reviewers".

(Feb 6, 2010 11:28 AM)Itachi Uchiha Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Review

==

Quality of Information: 5/5 Book is great, very descriptive.

Ease of Use: 5/5 Has everything about SE that I knew and more guess I learned something. Makes learning this subject that much easier.

Layout: 5/5 Great layout. Index in the beginning, numbered perfectly easily to read. If you closed it and reopen you can get exactly to where you were.

Grammar: 5/5 Prefect grammar I saw no mistakes. Punctuation and and capitals are at the beginning of every sentence.

Originality: 5/5 Did some research and didn't find any other guide like it.

Notes: That Guide is amazing if you interested in SE at all this is a must buy. *Itachi Approved*
Wow, 5/5 across the board for a real life SE guide that's probably available in the free section at the time.

Like I said, compare old reviews with newer reviews. There's a reason there's no actual original reviewers anymore, because they did do a re-vamp. They taught newer reviewers to be way stricter, I remember Yin specifically saying that. The reviewers were known to be extremely lenient and give easy 5/5 ratings. There were a lot more complaints then than there are now.

Now granted, sometimes originality is a bit of an issue/challenge in deeming what is original and what's not, but it's not like you say "a bunch of kids in it for free eBooks". It's ironic that you say that as well, then go on to say that pretty much the whole eBook section is shit and completely public. If reviewers were leeching kids, all of them wouldn't bother to apply if like you say the eBook section was almost completely public. Your points don't add up.

But hey, you don't have to listen to any of what I've tried to say above. If you liked it back when the original reviewers were giving reviews like above, and ub3rs and l33ts were spamming threads offering to give great reviews to get the eBook for free, then that's your opinion. Yes I'm a reviewer, of course I'm going to defend reviewers. I just think it's ridiculous that you think the group has gone backwards rather than forwards.

EDIT: Also FYI I don't think I've ever seen a reviewer since I've been in (which was about 6 months after these original reviewers, more than a year now) give a review a complete 5/5 for everything like that. Old reviews like that would not be acceptable at all.

Oh yeah, I forgot to add this in as well. There was another sort of re-vamp because me and another reviewer saw a leader who was doing shit he wasn't supposed to (can't exactly expand). It resulted in all reviewers being re-kicked, then certain one's being let back in. Now only Skill officially actually kick and add people in.

Itachi Uchiha - August 27, 2011, 6:37 am

(Aug 27, 2011 06:23 AM)Cam G Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I disagree. Reviewers have come a long way, especially compared to the old reviewers.

Are you serious? I don't mean to personally attack Itachi here, but let's take a look at a typical review of these "original reviewers".

Wow, 5/5 across the board for a real life SE guide that's probably available in the free section at the time.

Like I said, compare old reviews with newer reviews. There's a reason there's no actual original reviewers anymore, because they did do a re-vamp. They taught newer reviewers to be way stricter,

I remember Yin specifically saying that. The reviewers were known to be extremely lenient and give easy 5/5 ratings. There were a lot more complaints then than there are now.

Now granted, sometimes originality is a bit of an issue/challenge in deeming what is original and what's not, but it's not like you say "a bunch of kids in it for free eBooks". It's ironic that you say that as well, then go on to say that pretty much the whole eBook section is shit and completely public. If reviewers were leeching kids, all of them wouldn't bother to apply if like you say the eBook section was almost completely public. Your points don't add up.

But hey, you don't have to listen to any of what I've tried to say above. If you liked it back when the original reviewers were giving reviews like above, and ub3rs and l33ts were spamming threads offering to give great reviews to get the eBook for free, then that's your opinion. Yes I'm a reviewer, of course I'm going to defend reviewers. I just think it's ridiculous that you think the group has gone backwards rather than forwards.

Good, I'm actually happy someone bought that up. I remember it. Me and another staff member got into something over that review. Guess what? It wasn't. I'm not going to give some 5/5 if I know something is plagiarizer. The ebook had more than information even needed to give. It was overall a prefect ebook. The only thing he did, is used certain sources.

Why not post my other reviews.

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=566070&pid=5505467&highlight=Itachi+Uchiha#pid5505467>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=594056&pid=5649630#pid5649630>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=570865&pid=5495347#pid5495347>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=549141&pid=5331953#pid5331953>

I've only given one person a prefect score. That score was well deserved. I said that before, and im sticking to with. You're better off arguing with someone that was actually afraid to tell ub3rs their ebook sucks.

Instead of actually speaking about something you don't know, the "reason" there are no more original reviewers is because most left others were kicked for inactivity. I left, I was too busy to keep up with reviews. A very small few got kicked for "abusing the system".

Lets take a look at these "strict reviews"

These are threads I took off the first page.

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1580135>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1602439&pid=14953251#pid14953251>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1632442>

Real strict aren't they?

Also, your wrong not all the reviewers were kicked. Only people that weren't trustworthy. I wasn't apart of that group that had to be "readded". I did what I had to do, didn't care who said what. I got

messages on msn and HF constantly about giving people 5/5. I'm sure it happens even now, reviewers are asked to fake their reviews.

I like how you picked my one and only review that had 5/5. When you want to make a point, you post more than one. I'd admit we weren't the strictest but we did our best. Yes, I took it as a personal attack.

Cam G - August 27, 2011, 10:07 am

The 5/5 was coincidental, and you can't deny strictness then was at it's top. You really want to take a look at some of your reviews? Let's.

Quote:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=566070&pid=5505467&highlight=Itachi+Uchiha#pid5505467>

This review you forgot quality, and as I've said before, the review is hardly insightful or offers any criticism whatsoever.

Quote:<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=594056&pid=5649630#pid5649630>

I don't know what this is? That's not a review, that's you calling a member untrustworthy then trying to see if you can get him to request a review.

Quote:<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=570865&pid=5495347#pid5495347>

This is ironic, aside from it being your best review if you want to call it that, I notice a bit after this you post reviews where the average rating is about 4, when that's exactly what your review is right there.

Quote:<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=549141&pid=5331953#pid5331953>

5/5 super original again eh? That's the second time. What was that you and OP have been saying?
Quote:It's 100% shit. Every eBook there is public knowledge.

[quote]

Lets take a look at these "strict reviews"

These are threads I took off the first page.

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1580135>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1602439&pid=14953251#pid14953251>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1632442>

Real strict aren't they?

Response : Quote:This is ironic, aside from it being your best review if you want to call it that, I notice a bit after this you post reviews where the average rating is about 4, when that's exactly what your review is right there.

Quote:Also, your wrong not all the reviewers were kicked. Only people that weren't trustworthy. I wasn't apart of that group that had to be "readded".

Wrong. Everyone was kicked, and like you said you weren't part of the group, I was. The only person who remained in was Skill.

Quote:I did what I had to do, didn't care who said what. I got messages on msn and HF constantly about giving people 5/5. I'm sure it happens even now, reviewers are asked to fake their reviews.

Honestly I'll be fair here, I'm one person, I don't represent all of the reviewers, but I can safely say no one has ever asked me to review their eBook and give the best ratings possible. It just hasn't happened, through PM, MSN, anything.

Quote:I like how you picked my one and only review that had 5/5. When you want to make a point, you post more than one. I'd admit we weren't the strictest but we did our best. Yes, I took it as a personal attack.

I went to the requests, looked for one of the first that you posted in, coincidentally it made the perfect example as well. Now you admit you weren't the strictest, and do you really think new reviewers aren't doing their best as well, just like you tried to?

werox1337 - August 27, 2011, 10:24 am

I guess rather than removing ebook section, some steps need to be taken with reviewer team to improve quality of ebook section.

i agree with point that most of ebooks in that section are crap.

Itachi Uchiha - August 27, 2011, 11:35 am

(Aug 27, 2011 10:07 AM)Cam G Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The 5/5 was coincidental, and you can't deny strictness then was at it's top. You really want to take a look at some of your reviews? Let's.

(Aug 27, 2011 10:07 AM)Cam G Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This review you forgot quality, and as I've said before, the review is hardly insightful or offers any criticism whatsoever.

Accidents happen, Most likely I didn't forget it. I usually do mines in a notepad. Then copy paste it, but sometimes I leave one blank to finish later.

(Aug 27, 2011 10:07 AM)Cam G Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't know what this is? That's not a review, that's you calling a member untrustworthy then trying to see if you can get him to request a review.

I got a copy prior to my post. He said it was original and I argued it wasn't. I then reported it for copyright infringement. That failed, so I posted for others to review it instead. Then I'd just tell who ever got the review what I'd seen.

(Aug 27, 2011 10:07 AM)Cam G Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is ironic, aside from it being your best review if you want to call it that, I notice a bit after this you post reviews where the average rating is about 4, when that's exactly what your review is right there.

The average is 3, I give 4 if I saw at least an effort to change. Which most people do. (Resend a copy)

(Aug 27, 2011 10:07 AM)Cam G Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 5/5 super original again eh? That's the second time. What was that you and OP have been saying?

Response :

Theirs a reason more than one reviewer do reviews. I may have no seen something that someone else has seen already. I won't say (omg 1/4) I saw it somewhere if I haven't. I search, if I find nothing I won't give the person a 1 because it makes me feel better.

(Aug 27, 2011 10:07 AM)Cam G Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Wrong. Everyone was kicked, and like you said you

weren't part of the group, I was. The only person who remained in was Skill.

Unless it happened more than once, or I was readed before I noticed I was kicked. Then sure, other than that nope.

(Aug 27, 2011 10:07 AM)Cam G Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Honestly I'll be fair here, I'm one person, I don't represent all of the reviewers, but I can safely say no one has ever asked me to review their eBook and give the best ratings possible. It just hasn't happened, through PM, MSN, anything.

I think it was Rusty_v or one of the leaders. That did such things. I don't remember what happened with that. I ignored it.

(Aug 27, 2011 10:07 AM)Cam G Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I went to the requests, looked for one of the first that you posted in, coincidentally it made the perfect example as well. Now you admit you weren't the strictest, and do you really think new reviewers aren't doing their best as well, just like you tried to? Perfect example of?

No, we weren't the strictest. But neither are most reviewers now. The usually scores 3 - 4 for most things. 1 - 2 if the person just down right sucked. We're afraid to give 5s much or do people just suck that bad at grammar?

Through this whole thread, I've said nothing about the reviewers until you bashed me. I was speaking strictly about the overuse of ebooks. Contiguously overused.

If I didn't wipe my pm's and msn. You would see for yourself. People use to send me crap for not giving them 5s, No way it just happened to me, im not that much of a push over.

I've seen you've been real harsh also?

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1581970&pid=14689121#pid14689121>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1609297&pid=14897669#pid14897669>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1553603&pid=14608787#pid14608787>

The OP has been banned closed the thread. PM me w.e answers you feel like you have to discuss.

ComX - August 27, 2011, 11:38 am

I did agree with this on a point and at other i didnt. But Reviewers needs a major reform.

Cam G - August 27, 2011, 3:04 pm

Ok, last response unless you feel you want to bring it to PM. Here's a sum up of my points.

- Yes, there is a lot of crap in the eBook section agreed.
- Reviewers have improved as time has went on, not the other way 'round.
- I assumed when Hot Chocolate added you in OP, you were bashing current reviewers

Quote:Itachi Uchiha writes that himself and other original reviewers spent hours combing the internet for copies of eBooks. However, the reviewers is now saturated with the typical HF leech kid. This further strengthens the motion to atleast remove monetising "methods".

Quote:I've seen you've been real harsh also?

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php...id14689121>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php...id14897669>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php...id14608787>

Woah, all average ratings of 3.2. If that's the best example you can find of me being extremely lenient with ratings, I suggest you look and try harder, although I have high doubts you'll find anything. Funny thing is, you're right. That was the less harsher side of me. Less harsher side when you were a reviewer would have been an example like yours, of 5 everything. Mine is 3.2, do the math.

Baked - August 27, 2011, 4:06 pm

(Aug 27, 2011 05:41 AM)Simba™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I somehow agree with Baked that there should be another group to review the other products or expand the Reviewers itself to do so.

@Hot Chocolate, I highly doubt your suggestions will be accepted, but let's wait for Omniscient response.

I agree with agreeing with me.

But Omni has turned it down completely, he doesn't want people testing crypters and booters.

I don't get what's the big deal, Reviewing eBooks, the reviewer actually gets to keep the knowledge from the book.

Testing and reviewing a Booter, an account is made, the product is tested & reviewed, the account is deleted.

No one takes anything from the seller.

Sun Tzu - August 27, 2011, 10:05 pm

(Aug 27, 2011 04:06 PM)Baked Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I agree with agreeing with me.

But Omni has turned it down completely, he doesn't want people testing crypters and booters.

I don't get what's the big deal, Reviewing eBooks, the reviewer actually gets to keep the knowledge from the book.

Testing and reviewing a Booter, an account is made, the product is tested & reviewed, the account is deleted.

No one takes anything from the seller.

Well something like that seems valid though. But, there is Omniscient who doesn't agree with this.

Zach - August 27, 2011, 10:13 pm

It's common sense really, why would someone that is making \$5k a week release the method that would be ruined for \$15? If I was making that much cash per week I wouldn't want people to know how I got it.

There are a lot of ebooks that simply say, "Sell on ebay." there really is nothing saturated in it anymore, I think the removal of the section is not a bad idea.

raamee - August 27, 2011, 10:20 pm

It wont work out. Team reviewers is official and cant be removed.

Skill - August 28, 2011, 1:37 pm

As this thread is resulting in nothing but more problems, I'm going to close it. Omniscient will more

than likely post his views on the matter when he has time.

Omniscient - *August 28, 2011, 6:37 pm*

I've already got plans to revamp the ebook section here.