

# Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Some glaring MOTM problems. \[JUDGE DREDD\]](#)

**Scooter** - February 3, 2012, 4:25 pm

Hey guys,

I've noticed that the MOTM (Member of the Month) contest has several problems with it. Yes, I'm making this thread because Judge Dredd disqualified me from the MOTM a while back, and I was banned for 2 weeks for the reason being "Nonsense with JD". Yet, I still want to point obvious problems with the MOTM, regardless of my personal quarrels with Judge Dredd.

Let me start off with a story about why I was disqualified. I wanted to enter into the MOTM contest a few months ago, so I asked someone to nominate me. Note that asking someone to nominate you is NOT against MOTM rules (asking for someone to vote for you in the MOTM contest itself, however, is. Judge Dredd banned me for lifetime from MOTM and put me on his ignore list. I violated no rules.

Today, I noticed that two prominent members in this month's MOTM were disqualified - Glassy and Positive. No explanation was given, which leads me to wonder: Is there something going on behind the scenes? I've also recognized a pattern - Wolves, bugga, and xerotic are/were BFFs of Dredd and have won 3 of the last 4 MOTMs. (I'm not sure if iNviZ is in that circle of friends.) I've also noticed that Infallible is in quite a few nomination polls. Not accusing them of anything, but JD is obviously showing some favoritism when putting members on the polls.

====

Now to point out the numerous spelling errors / contradictions in the requirements / MOTM explain thread:

[Here](#) is the voting page link. I've attached the MOTM GFX as a spoiler with a description of the error so you can follow along.

Spoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)

- 1) whoever > whomever -- Grammar Mistake
- 2) positng > posting -- Spelling Mistake
- 3) considderation > consideration -- Spelling Mistake
- 4) acitivity > activity -- Spelling Mistake
- 5) that are chosen > that is chosen -- Grammar Mistake: Subject-Verb Agreement

[Here](#) is the "MOTM Explained" page link. I've attached the MOTM GFX as a spoiler with a description of the error so you can follow along.

Spoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)

- 1) is given each out month > is given out each month -- Reverse the words
- 2) every member can be nominated > NO. There are requirements, thus not every member has the potential of winning.
- 3) 23d > 23rd -- Orthographic mistake
- 4) is being made > is made. -- Use the right tense.

5) priviledge > privilege -- Spelling Mistake

6) to be suitable > is suitable -- No need to use the infinitive.

Errors continued: Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

7) in consideration > into consideration Grammar Mistake

8) vice versa -- Why would you use vice versa? There are not comparable things that can be switched ???.

9) Everyone is allowed to vote -- NO. Only people with 100 posts and over can vote.

If you think that I'm angry about being disqualified from MOTM, I am. If you feel that any of the points that I have brought up are invalid, please feel free to discuss. I've worked hard on this thread so I'd rather not have it closed, unless there is a good reason. @ Staff / Mods If need be, please move this to the appropriate forum, but this is feedback. I don't think I've broken any rules. Thanks for reading.

**??SS** - February 3, 2012, 4:33 pm

Yes absolutely i agree with you .. i dont know why they disqualified you .. good luck fixing this : )

**The GRim** - February 3, 2012, 4:36 pm

Good work finding the mistakes, anyway its just an award.

You don't need an award to help others.

**Grin** - February 3, 2012, 4:46 pm

Good job. This took quite an amount of time and effort didn't it?

**Faladin** - February 3, 2012, 4:47 pm

(Feb 3, 2012 05:46 PM)Grin Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Good job. This took quite an amount of time and effort didn't it?

I actually find these mistakes pretty blatantly obvious. Good thread anyways OP.

**Grin** - February 3, 2012, 4:49 pm

(Feb 3, 2012 05:47 PM)Faladin Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I actually find these mistakes pretty blatantly obvious. Good thread anyways OP.

The thread took time and he put the effort in posting it.

**Riggs** - February 3, 2012, 5:02 pm

(Feb 3, 2012 05:25 PM)Scooter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I've also recognized a pattern - Wolves, bugga, and xerotic are/were BFFs of Dredd and have won 3 of the last 4 MOTMs. (I'm not sure if iNviZ is in that circle of friends.) I've also noticed that Infallible is in quite a few nomination polls.

Getting nominated for MOTM might be at JD's discretion, but I seriously doubt he's stupid enough to "rig" the voting himself. As far as I know there's not a public MyBB plugin capable of doing that and I doubt he would go out of his way to jeopardize his own administrative position for people he doesn't even know IRL.

Ironically, I also notice a pattern; Wolves, bugga, xerotic, iNviZ and Infallible are all reputable for their contributions to the HF community.

**D3xus** - February 3, 2012, 5:07 pm

Well MOTM recognizes users who have made outstanding contributions to the forums. It shouldn't be biased towards users just because of their friends.

**Guess Who Is Back** - February 3, 2012, 5:12 pm

(Feb 3, 2012 05:25 PM)Scooter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I've worked hard on this thread so I'd rather not have it closed, unless there is a good reason.

Who are you to decide? Staff are not obligated to post an answer everytime they close threads.

**Scooter** - February 3, 2012, 5:13 pm

(Feb 3, 2012 06:12 PM)Guess Who Is Back Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Who are you to decide? Staff are not obligated to post an answer everytime they close threads.

Hence the \*rather not\*. I didn't demand anything from the staff.

**ShadowCloud** - February 3, 2012, 5:14 pm

(Feb 3, 2012 06:07 PM)D3xus Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well MOTM recognizes users who have made outstanding contributions to the forums. It shouldn't be biased towards users just because of their friends.

Which is all good and well, but the users still voted for the winner? I don't really even see the issue here? It's not like JD set up the competition with some LQ users/only his friends and the members had no other choices to vote for? In that case I'd agree, but I have to admit I agree with Riggs on this one.

Agreed, there might be a couple of grammatical mistakes, but I seriously fail to see how that's defined as "glaring problems"? It's more like "minor mistakes"?

I'm sure if you take anything regular on HF and stare at it long enough you'll find some minor mistakes.

**BELLAGIO** - February 3, 2012, 5:14 pm

you do know that one is only human. Other than that, I think op is just butthurt he is disqualified for ever.

(Feb 3, 2012 05:25 PM)Scooter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If you think that I'm angry about being disqualified from MOTM, I am.

I suggest you get over it. It's the internet for christ sake buddy.

**Grin** - February 3, 2012, 5:16 pm

(Feb 3, 2012 06:14 PM)BELLAGIO Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) you do know that one is only human. Other than that, I think op is just butthurt he is disqualified for ever.

I suggest you get over it. It's the internet for christ sake buddy.

Well, it's several mistakes...

He does that right to be mad.

Getting over it is never easy. It's always easy to tell the opposing party to however

**Scooter** - February 3, 2012, 5:19 pm

(Feb 3, 2012 06:14 PM)ShadowCloud Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Which is all good and well, but the users still voted for the winner? I don't really even see the issue here? It's not like JD set up the competition with some LQ users/only his friends and the members had no other choices to vote for? In that case I'd agree, but I have to admit I agree with Riggs on this one.

Agreed, there might be a couple of grammatical mistakes, but I seriously fail to see how that's defined as "glaring problems"? It's more like "minor mistakes"?

I'm sure if you take anything regular on HF and stare at it long enough you'll find some minor

mistakes.

Me being disqualified for no apparent reason isn't a mistake? Also, I think it's pretty odd how the same members keep recurring, but others who "deserve a chance" are not on the poll.

===

(Feb 3, 2012 06:14 PM)BELLAGIO Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) you do know that one is only human. Other than that, I think op is just butthurt he is disqualified for ever.

I suggest you get over it. It's the internet for christ sake buddy.  
I think I've figured out that HackForums is on the internet. If no one cared about injustice on this forum, then where would we be?

===

On a different note, I'm glad that JD is trying to fix the typos:  
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2176827&pid=19644318#pid19644318>

**Wolves** - February 3, 2012, 5:20 pm

You may want to also mention that I was in the last 4-5 Motm polls before I even won.  
Each time (except for I believe one or two occasions) I was nominated by a different person, most commonly Pluto.

I personally know that Dredd will hold posting the voting thread until he feels there are a good amount of candidates he feels worthy, so if anything, he does things to make it more fair.  
Honestly, it's nothing really to get upset over, it's an overhyped contest usually full of corruption (people using MSN and PMs to get votes for themselves or other people).  
I've even pulled out one month due to finding some of the people nominated unfitting and drama filled to just not have to deal with things.

As far as this months voting, I was between Glassy and THL, both of which are good friends of mine here, as you used to be Scooter.  
Judge Dredd can read PMs of any of the contestants, and I imagine their friends as well to see if anything is going on.  
As far as everything goes, I doubt Glassy has asked for votes, but he will be rather persistent on messaging people when he wants something, but I've never known him to ask for votes.

Scooter, you take this HF thing way too seriously.  
You search on Google using methods to get accounts people want and then brag about posting response in Ub3r sections for free things, sometimes replying to 20 threads at a time.  
If you are being helpful, it's one thing, but to brag and call yourself a rep whore isn't a very good thing.  
It's in good manners that you don't nominate yourself as well as ask someone to nominate you, the difference between being nominated and asking to be nominated is what says things about a member's intentions and character and that is something I don't think you understand.

**D3xus** - February 3, 2012, 5:22 pm

(Feb 3, 2012 06:20 PM)Wolves Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You may want to also mention that I was in the last 4-5 Motm polls before I even won.  
Each time (except for I believe one or two occasions) I was nominated by a different person, most commonly Pluto.

I personally know that Dredd will hold posting the voting thread until he feels there are a good amount of candidates he feels worthy, so if anything, he does things to make it more fair.  
Honestly, it's nothing really to get upset over, it's an overhyped contest usually full of corruption

(people using MSN and PMs to get votes for themselves or other people).

I've even pulled out one month due to finding some of the people nominated unfitting and drama filled to just not have to deal with things.

As far as this months voting, I was between Glassy and THL, both of which are good friends of mine here, as you used to be Scooter.

Judge Dredd can read PMs of any of the contestants, and I imagine their friends as well to see if anything is going on.

As far as everything goes, I doubt Glassy has asked for votes, but he will be rather persistent on messaging people when he wants something, but I've never known him to ask for votes.

Scooter, you take this HF thing way too seriously.

You search on Google using methods to get accounts people want and then brag about posting response in Ub3r sections for free things, sometimes replying to 20 threads at a time.

If you are being helpful, it's one thing, but to brag and call yourself a rep whore isn't a very good thing.

It's in good manners that you don't nominate yourself as well as ask someone to nominate you, the difference between being nominated and asking to be nominated is what says things about a member's intentions and character and that is something I don't think you understand.^ The truest thing I've heard all day.

**Guess Who Is Back** - February 3, 2012, 5:25 pm

(Feb 3, 2012 06:20 PM)Wolves Wrote: [CITEI](#) You may want to also mention that I was in the last 4-5 Motm polls before I even won.

Each time (except for I believe one or two occasions) I was nominated by a different person, most commonly Pluto.

I personally know that Dredd will hold posting the voting thread until he feels there are a good amount of candidates he feels worthy, so if anything, he does things to make it more fair.

Honestly, it's nothing really to get upset over, it's an overhyped contest usually full of corruption (people using MSN and PMs to get votes for themselves or other people).

I've even pulled out one month due to finding some of the people nominated unfitting and drama filled to just not have to deal with things.

As far as this months voting, I was between Glassy and THL, both of which are good friends of mine here, as you used to be Scooter.

Judge Dredd can read PMs of any of the contestants, and I imagine their friends as well to see if anything is going on.

As far as everything goes, I doubt Glassy has asked for votes, but he will be rather persistent on messaging people when he wants something, but I've never known him to ask for votes.

Scooter, you take this HF thing way too seriously.

You search on Google using methods to get accounts people want and then brag about posting response in Ub3r sections for free things, sometimes replying to 20 threads at a time.

If you are being helpful, it's one thing, but to brag and call yourself a rep whore isn't a very good thing.

It's in good manners that you don't nominate yourself as well as ask someone to nominate you, the difference between being nominated and asking to be nominated is what says things about a member's intentions and character and that is something I don't think you understand.

Quoted for the truth, i dont know what to say.

**ShadowCloud** - February 3, 2012, 5:25 pm

(Feb 3, 2012 06:19 PM)Scooter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Me being disqualified for no apparent reason isn't a mistake? Also, I think it's pretty odd how the same members keep recurring, but others who "deserve a chance" are not on the poll.

===

I think I've figured out that HackForums is on the internet. If no one cared about injustice on this forum, then where would we be?

===

On a different note, I'm glad that JD is trying to fix the typos:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2176827&pid=19644318#pid19644318>

If you go through the MOTM threads over the last couple of months, there are quite a large variety of members in the poll. So there are members who are frequently nominated, guess they keep being HQ members?

As for your "Me being disqualified for no apparent reason isn't a mistake?" I'm not aware of it at all, but I'm pretty sure JD wouldn't just disqualify someone without reason, I'm actually looking forward to his reply on that. From your post I thought you were insinuating that he frequently does this to make specific people win?

**Riggs** - February 3, 2012, 5:29 pm

(Feb 3, 2012 06:20 PM)Wolves Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's in good manners that you don't nominate yourself as well as ask someone to nominate you, the difference between being nominated and asking to be nominated is what says things about a member's intentions and character and that is something I don't think you understand.

I once voted for myself and felt like such a devilish community contributing badass.

**iNviZ** - February 3, 2012, 5:30 pm

Wolves, bugga, and xerotic all deserved it. Jd wouldn't do such a thing.

**The GRim** - February 3, 2012, 6:22 pm

Stop fighting over an award on a forum & gain something on real life xD...!

**Deltron** - February 3, 2012, 6:33 pm

Quote:I've also noticed that Infallible is in quite a few nomination polls.

Are you saying that he is not worthy of a nomination?

**Abuser™** - February 3, 2012, 6:34 pm

To much to read.

Can someone sum it all up in one sentence?

**George Lopez** - February 3, 2012, 6:43 pm

Well, if he had any balls he would reply. I can almost guarantee that he sits on his computer all day and waiting for someone to misspell something or double post instead of editing etc. Not that I have anything against him because....

- 1- He can ban me in a second...
- 2- He can warn me
- 3- He has the same power as Omni

4- He can warn me 3 times for 1 thing (its happened to my friend False Positive on AIM)

**Positive** - February 3, 2012, 6:44 pm

(Feb 3, 2012 07:33 PM)Deltron Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Are you saying that he is not worthy of a nomination? I think he is.

He has been the best HJT teacher to ever post on this forum.

And that is in my honest opinion.

**BELLAGIO** - February 3, 2012, 6:45 pm

(Feb 3, 2012 07:43 PM)George Lopez Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well, if he had any balls he would reply. I can almost guarantee that he sits on his computer all day and waiting for someone to misspell something or double post instead of editing etc. Not that I have anything against him because....

1- He can ban me in a second...

2- He can warn me

3- He has the same power as Omni

4- He can warn me 3 times for 1 thing (its happened to my friend False Positive on AIM)

Nice multi accounting.. You know so much with 1 post and join minutes ago. with a total of 7 minutes online time.

**George Lopez** - February 3, 2012, 6:52 pm

(Feb 3, 2012 07:45 PM)BELLAGIO Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Nice multi accounting.. You know so much with 1 post and join minutes ago. with a total of 7 minutes online time.

Nope, I've been on HF (cached pages from Google) and I can show proof that im not multi-accounting. I've heard alot about it, False Positive is my friend irl (I've seen him on HF on his iPhone) (False Positive) who convinced me into joining.

**meister** - February 3, 2012, 7:01 pm

Lol Op judge has better things to do in real than rig some stupid award.

**Androctonus** - February 3, 2012, 7:06 pm

I think you're acting childish over an award. If it's not an official rule, I'm sure you're old enough to know that manipulating is unacceptable as well.

**Cobalt+** - February 3, 2012, 7:27 pm

I think you are a good fit for the award but, it is by members vote and you are not to choose over that.

Regarding the errors, contact [Judge Dredd](#).

**Scooter** - February 3, 2012, 7:28 pm

(Feb 3, 2012 06:20 PM)Wolves Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You may want to also mention that I was in the last 4-5 Motm polls before I even won.

Each time (except for I believe one or two occasions) I was nominated by a different person, most commonly Pluto.

I personally know that Dredd will hold posting the voting thread until he feels there are a good amount of candidates he feels worthy, so if anything, he does things to make it more fair.

Honestly, it's nothing really to get upset over, it's an overhyped contest usually full of corruption (people using MSN and PMs to get votes for themselves or other people).  
I've even pulled out one month due to finding some of the people nominated unfitting and drama filled to just not have to deal with things.

As far as this months voting, I was between Glassy and THL, both of which are good friends of mine here, as you used to be Scooter.

Judge Dredd can read PMs of any of the contestants, and I imagine their friends as well to see if anything is going on.

As far as everything goes, I doubt Glassy has asked for votes, but he will be rather persistent on messaging people when he wants something, but I've never known him to ask for votes.

Scooter, you take this HF thing way too seriously.

You search on Google using methods to get accounts people want and then brag about posting response in Ub3r sections for free things, sometimes replying to 20 threads at a time.

If you are being helpful, it's one thing, but to brag and call yourself a rep whore isn't a very good thing.

It's in good manners that you don't nominate yourself as well as ask someone to nominate you, the difference between being nominated and asking to be nominated is what says things about a member's intentions and character and that is something I don't think you understand.

You know well why we aren't "good" friends any more, and you can thank Judge Dredd for that. As for me taking HF too seriously... I think you may want to examine your HF career vs. mine and then see who takes HF way too seriously.

Sure, it may not be "In good manners" to nominate myself, but is it AGAINST THE RULES? A distinction has to be made. In addition, I never called myself a rep whore. I value reputation points and I (like most others) seek to increase my reputation. I don't do things solely for rep though.

Also, it's not about the award, it's about the contest in general - why are Dredd's friends always in, and other WORTHY people not? I didn't mean to hint that Infallible is or isn't worthy of MOTM - I don't know. I'm just saying that he's there quite repeatedly and others haven't been given a chance.

Anyone got an answer as to why I was disqualified from MOTM permanently? I know how JD works.

===

(Feb 3, 2012 06:25 PM)Guess Who Is Back Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Quoted for the truth, i dont know what to say.

You don't know what to say because you can hardly speak English. You're the rep whore lmao.

**BELLAGIO** - February 3, 2012, 8:24 pm

HF career? Please tell me you are kidding. Right?

**kamz89** - February 3, 2012, 8:31 pm

Nice finding and I agree with you.

I haven't noticed the thing about the winners having something to do with the MOTM winners but I do remember when bugga was being voted after she disqualified herself JD close down the thread and awarded bugga the thread and there also was a better and more HQ person in the nomination thread but he was rejected.

**Nostre** - February 3, 2012, 9:24 pm

So basically you're saying Judge Dredd is corrupted? I'm good friends with him as well and I know he wouldn't do that.

**BELLAGIO** - February 3, 2012, 9:50 pm

(Feb 3, 2012 10:24 PM)Genuine Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So basically you're saying Judge Dredd is corrupted? I'm good friends with him as well and I know he wouldn't do that.

No. What he's saying is he is butthurt he will never be able to get the MOTM award. (read it: He states it himself that he is mad)

As well he is stating he has an HF career.

Pretty Simple to understand.

-Nothing personal, But OP, I suggest you invest in some reality.

**Scooter** - February 4, 2012, 9:45 am

(Feb 3, 2012 10:24 PM)Genuine Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So basically you're saying Judge Dredd is corrupted? I'm good friends with him as well and I know he wouldn't do that.

How do you know that someone wouldn't do something corrupt on the internet? ?

===

I'm glad that someone was kind enough (and smart) to reopen my thread. Continue to discuss.

**Vexna** - February 4, 2012, 9:49 am

(Feb 3, 2012 07:33 PM)Deltron Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Are you saying that he is not worthy of a nomination? Someone who is not a Giveaways King is not worthy of a nomination. ?

**Happeh** - February 4, 2012, 9:51 am

Funny conspiracy you have going here.

**Wires** - February 4, 2012, 10:07 am

I would back this thread 100% but jd wouldnt do such a thing.

**Scooter** - February 4, 2012, 10:14 am

I'm not saying that he's intentionally corrupt, but there is some obvious favoritism going on.

**Vexna** - February 4, 2012, 10:14 am

Scooter Wrote:You don't know what to say because you can hardly speak English. You're the rep whore lmao.

Haha, you made my day, thanks. Let's talk about you.

**Burnt Out** - February 4, 2012, 10:15 am

(Feb 3, 2012 08:28 PM)Scooter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You know well why we aren't "good" friends any more, and you can thank Judge Dredd for that. As for me taking HF too seriously... I think you may want to examine your HF career vs. mine and then see who takes HF way too seriously.

Sure, it may not be "In good manners" to nominate myself, but is it AGAINST THE RULES? A distinction has to be made. In addition, I never called myself a rep whore. I value reputation points and I (like most others) seek to increase my reputation. I don't do things solely for rep though.

Also, it's not about the award, it's about the contest in general - why are Dredd's friends always in, and other WORTHY people not? I didn't mean to hint that Infallible is or isn't worthy of MOTM - I don't know. I'm just saying that he's there quite repeatedly and others haven't been given a chance.

Anyone got an answer as to why I was disqualified from MOTM permanently? I know how JD works.

===

You don't know what to say because you can hardly speak English. You're the rep whore lmao. He can read PM's, but im pretty sure he doesnt.

**Wires** - February 4, 2012, 10:15 am

(Feb 4, 2012 11:14 AM)Scooter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm not saying that he's intentionally corrupt, but there is some obvious favoritism going on.

Arghh I get ya man, considering the thread you've posted theirs logic behind this. Back this statement.

**Infallible** - February 4, 2012, 10:18 am

The reason you made this thread is because you are upset that you are banned from MOTM. This is just childish and won't get you anywhere. Don't take it out on me either. Yes I have been nominated a lot of times. Do you know why? Because I've done a lot more for this site than you ever will. Donating and giving free things away will never make you a good member. Making this thread instantly makes me lose any respect I held for you.

Please excuse any spelling mistakes as I am currently on my iPhone.

**Vexna** - February 4, 2012, 10:20 am

Scooter Wrote:I'm not saying that he's intentionally corrupt, but there is some obvious favoritism going on.

If one day you're looking for a job, you'll see, there is also favoritism. A job IRL, I precise.

**Scooter** - February 4, 2012, 10:21 am

(Feb 4, 2012 11:18 AM)Infallible Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The reason you made this thread is because you are upset that you are banned from MOTM. This is just childish and won't get you anywhere. Don't take it out on me either. Yes I have been nominated a lot of times. Do you know why? Because I've done a lot more for this site than you ever will. Donating and giving free things away will never make you a good member. Making this thread instantly makes me lose any respect I held for you.

Please excuse any spelling mistakes as I am currently on my iPhone.

I've already stated that the reason I made this thread is because I'm upset that I was disqualified from MOTM, as stated before. Not taking anything out on you, I'm just saying that others are nominated in the thread, and don't appear in the poll. Yet you appear every month.

Also, I've done more than you. Tutorials etc..., don't test me.

**Vexna** - February 4, 2012, 10:24 am

(Feb 4, 2012 11:21 AM)Scooter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Also, I've done more than you. Tutorials etc..., don't test me.

If you mean that you have contributed more than Infallible, you are ignorant...

You do not contribute by giving a premium account, just saying.

**Scooter** - February 4, 2012, 10:24 am

(Feb 4, 2012 11:24 AM)Vexna Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If you mean that you have contributed more than Infallible, you are ignorant...

Who are you to say? Take a look at my threads and see what I've posted, idiot.

Keep trying to stick up for your group member... It won't do you any good.

**Vexna** - February 4, 2012, 10:27 am

(Feb 4, 2012 11:24 AM)Scooter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Who are you to say? Take a look at my threads and see what I've posted, idiot.

Keep trying to stick up for your group member... It won't do you any good.

You feel offended, sorry, it was not desired. ?

**Infallible** - February 4, 2012, 10:29 am

(Feb 4, 2012 11:21 AM)Scooter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I've already stated that the reason I made this thread is because I'm upset that I was disqualified from MOTM, as stated before. Not taking anything out on you, I'm just saying that others are nominated in the thread, and don't appear in the poll. Yet you appear every month.

Also, I've done more than you. Tutorials etc..., don't test me.

I do not wish to get dragged into argument with you. I do not have to justify my contribution to this site. Just read through this thread. At least five people have thanked me in this thread alone. Your own thread. The rest have called you a childish attention seeker. Searching for products and accounts when someone requests it. This thread is ridiculous.

**Scooter** - February 4, 2012, 10:31 am

(Feb 4, 2012 11:29 AM)Infallible Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I do not wish to get dragged into argument with you. I do not have to justify my contribution to this site. Just read through this thread. At least five people have thanked me in this thread alone. Your own thread. The rest have called you a childish attention seeker. Searching for products and accounts when someone requests it. This thread is ridiculous.

By making this thread, I already knew that it was going to get hate: That's what happens when you call out an admin. You said you've contributed more than I have, yet you can't back up what you're saying.

Normally I would've PMed Judge Dredd, but he put me on his ignore list.

**Tha Sneak** - February 4, 2012, 10:33 am

If Judge Dredd catches people cheating on MOTM he disqualifies them no questions asked. It happens a lot more often than you think it does. Judge Dredd is good at what he does.

**Scooter** - February 4, 2012, 10:36 am

(Feb 4, 2012 11:33 AM)Tha Sneak Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If Judge Dredd catches people cheating on MOTM he disqualifies them no questions asked. It happens a lot more often than you think it does. Judge Dredd is good at what he does.

Then why was I banned from MOTM when I violated no rules?

**ManUnited** - February 4, 2012, 10:36 am

I think you care way to much about this IMO, you really should just stop this nonsense before you get yourself life time banned. The fact that you have to ask people to "nominate" you should be telling you something perhaps.

Just my two cents, take it as you will.

**Infalible** - February 4, 2012, 10:37 am

(Feb 4, 2012 11:31 AM)Scooter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) By making this thread, I already knew that it was going to get hate: That's what happens when you call out an admin. You said you've contributed more than I have, yet you can't back up what you're saying.

Normally I would've PMed Judge Dredd, but he put me on his ignore list.  
You're entitled to your opinion which I respect.

**ShadowCloud** - February 4, 2012, 10:39 am

(Feb 4, 2012 11:31 AM)Scooter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) By making this thread, I already knew that it was going to get hate: That's what happens when you call out an admin. You said you've contributed more than I have, yet you can't back up what you're saying.

Normally I would've PMed Judge Dredd, but he put me on his ignore list.  
Let's get back to the main issue here, do you have a single shred of evidence to back up your claims in this thread? I'm seeing this as a direct accusation in which case, the burden of proof rests with you not JD?

**Tha Sneak** - February 4, 2012, 10:41 am

I can already tell the only reason you're trying to start shit is because you're mad about getting banned from MOTM. I'm sure you did something wrong...there's two sides to every story.

**Scooter** - February 4, 2012, 10:41 am

(Feb 4, 2012 11:39 AM)ShadowCloud Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Let's get back to the main issue here, do you have a single shred of evidence to back up your claims in this thread? I'm seeing this as a direct accusation in which case, the burden of proof rests with you not JD?  
Once again: I was banned from MOTM without breaking any rules.

Also, if you look at this thread: <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2140586>

Why was Black Shadow not on the poll, yet others are? It's blatantly obvious that Black Shadow contributes more than others. (Feb 4, 2012 11:41 AM)Tha Sneak Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I can already tell the only reason you're trying to start shit is because you're mad about getting banned from MOTM. I'm sure you did something wrong...there's two sides to every story.  
I'm mad about getting banned from MOTM. That was already in the original post; thanks for stating the obvious ?.

**Scooter** - February 4, 2012, 10:47 am

On another note, I'm closing this thread because I'm way too busy to keep continually checking it. Hopefully Judge Dredd responds to this thread.

Edit: Can't close it lol.

(Feb 4, 2012 11:47 AM)Tha Sneak Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Now you fucked up. The polls gone you slopass. Man you're stupid. <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2170725>

**ManUnited** - February 4, 2012, 10:50 am

(Feb 4, 2012 11:47 AM)Scooter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) On another note, I'm closing this thread because I'm way too busy to keep continually checking it. Hopefully Judge Dredd responds to this thread.

Edit: Can't close it lol.

Man you're stupid. <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2170725>  
Nothing for nothing but how will JD respond if you close it?

**Scooter** - February 4, 2012, 10:50 am

(Feb 4, 2012 11:50 AM)?!FullOfWin!? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Nothing for nothing but how will JD respond if you close it?

Staff can respond even if a thread is closed.

**Vexna** - February 4, 2012, 10:51 am

(Feb 4, 2012 11:41 AM)Scooter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Once again: I was banned from MOTM without breaking any rules.

Without breaking any rules? Remember you why you call me 'Snitch'. What about the screenshot where you ask to Wolves to nominate you?

Snitch,

**DFTCHE** - February 4, 2012, 10:52 am

(Feb 4, 2012 11:24 AM)Scooter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Who are you to say? Take a look at my threads and see what I've posted, idiot.

Keep trying to stick up for your group member... It won't do you any good.  
Seems like you are trying a bit too hard.

**ShadowCloud** - February 4, 2012, 10:52 am

(Feb 4, 2012 11:41 AM)Scooter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Once again: I was banned from MOTM without breaking any rules.

Also, if you look at this thread: <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2140586>

Why was Black Shadow not on the poll, yet others are? It's blatantly obvious that Black Shadow contributes more than others.

How is it blatantly obvious?

Do you have access to all sub forums to make a call like that? If you don't then in a court this type of testimony is thrown out as pure speculation. You do not have the required information to make a call like that.

**Scooter** - February 4, 2012, 10:52 am

(Feb 4, 2012 11:51 AM)Vexna Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Without breaking any rules? Remember you why you call me 'Snitch'. What about the screenshot where you ask to Wolves to nominate you?

Snitch,

Asking for a nomination isn't against the rules. You're actually quite stupid...