

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Coming Soon \[No Portforward\]\[Minimal prerequisites\]](#)

Modesty - March 10, 2012, 11:16 am

Alright so currently in development is a HTTP Bot/RAT hybrid. You're thinking cool...so what's so good about that?

Well the problem with most RATs these days is that they use a proprietary connection engine which can't compete with server software such as apache or windows server in terms of stability. So what we have come up with as an additional product is an inbrowser RAT. All it requires is some hosting which has php/mysql capabilities and you can control your clients from within the browser. NOTE: It should be compatible with most browsers. As of now It's compatible with: Firefox, Chrome, Safari, Opera, IE9, Blackberry browser, iPad browser, Android browser

Basically, you just upload some files to a web server (a free one such as 00webhost will do), then send out an exe. Anyone who runs the exe will connect to your server rather than connecting to your PC. You can then log onto your panel on your server (from any browser enabled device) and access the clients.

The current features are:

Control Panel for each client

Live Keylogger - view logs as they type

Remote Desktop - View the screen of the clients, in browser without any plugin's or anything!

Disconnect/Install/Uninstall

Send Mass message

All it requires is access to some hosting :)

Of course many more features are to come.

Screenshots:

Spoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)

Spoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)

Spoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)

Spoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)

Spoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)

As you can see, it is still at it's very starting stages, many rough objects are still shown and etc. However, our team are very pleased to have gotten through the most difficult stage of maintaining stability.

We do understand there are a fair few products on the marketplace similar to this, HTTP Bots, PHP Rats, etc..but as you can see, this is different. This allows mass administration of all clients at once AS WELL AS specific control of each client on it's own.

Now this is where you come in: Are there any specific features you'd see beneficial to include with this?

P.s. yep we haven't got a name for it yet. We shall soon :3

p.p.s. clientmesh will still be continued for another few years at least so current customers have nothing to worry about.

pr0t0typ3 - March 10, 2012, 11:27 am

Who are you refering to if you say "We" ?

Also I would say, the idea is quite nice, but I doubt this is really as revolutionary as you make it look like.

Modesty - March 10, 2012, 11:30 am

(Mar 10, 2012 12:27 PM)pr0t0typ3 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Who are you refering to if you say "We" ?

Also I would say, the idea is quite nice, but I doubt this is really as revolutionary as you make it look like.

A team of me and a few others. I thought that would have been obvious, sorry for being ambiguous if it was.

And to what extent to I make it sound revolutionary? I'm only merely stating what it can do and how I have not seen appearances of such features in other products.

Prince of Persia - March 10, 2012, 11:33 am

I know someone is going to ask so I might as well ask, what is it coded in?

Modesty - March 10, 2012, 11:36 am

(Mar 10, 2012 12:33 PM)Prince of Persia Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I know someone is going to ask so I might as well ask, what is it coded in?

Ahh I'm sorry, I should have mentioned that in the OP. As of now we have coded the client in .net and it can do all of the above mentioned. We also started on a c++ version, yet we haven't implemented the keylogger into that, and it seems that it may be quite difficult to keep it native and have all the features so in the end we may have to resort to staying with .net.

We may have two versions, who knows :p

Triple OG - March 10, 2012, 11:36 am

I would love to test this when it comes to Beta testing mode?

also a webcam capture and fun things like on black shades?

Thank you for this

Modesty - March 10, 2012, 11:36 am

(Mar 10, 2012 12:32 PM)cenabetim Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) how much will it cost? .d

35 characters

Not sure to sell just binary outputs or to include a builder..price may differ on either.

Adzz - March 10, 2012, 12:10 pm

Whats your method of communication between panel and client? Sockets, Web requests or what?

Suhinder - March 10, 2012, 1:15 pm

Most impressive HTTP Bot i've ever seen on such an amateur site like HF.

Modesty - March 11, 2012, 7:22 am

Will try and show you guys a few more features in a bit ^^

Peroxide - March 11, 2012, 3:01 pm

I think it looks really nice. And very unique, should pretty big, has a lot of potential if it's as good as it looks.

20MDM - March 11, 2012, 6:52 pm

It's great. I would like to see this project be bought out by Blackshades.

Modesty - March 13, 2012, 3:36 pm

Just added formatting to the keylogger and added option to only log on certain sites :)

idonotcare - March 14, 2012, 12:31 am

well, its actually just a http bot, its not like no one did that before. But still nice

Illuminati™ - March 14, 2012, 1:07 am

Looks great, web-browser based RATs are definitely the better alternatives.

Starbreak - March 14, 2012, 5:59 am

Nice panel, it looks very good. Just like Windows 8 :]

Modesty - March 14, 2012, 8:09 am

(Mar 14, 2012 12:31 AM)MarcelCoder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) well, its actually just a http bot, its not like no one did that before. But still nice

Please read the OP before commenting as such :)

deadaccount01 - March 14, 2012, 10:03 am

You're the guy who constantly creates new RAT's and then they fail get leaked and/or are full of bugs, right?

Each time it has also been, 'Revolutionary'.

To me, you've taken a HTTP bot & made remote control. This is nothing new. So I'm confused as to why it is revolutionary, and why it wont fail like all of your other projects?

Modesty - March 14, 2012, 10:59 am

(Mar 14, 2012 10:03 AM)Query Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You're the guy who constantly creates new RAT's and then they fail get leaked and/or are full of bugs, right?

Each time it has also been, 'Revolutionary'.

To me, you've taken a HTTP bot & made remote control. This is nothing new. So I'm confused as to why it is revolutionary, and why it wont fail like all of your other projects?

Where have I mentioned this being revolutionary? I have only mentioned how this is different to other HTTP bots out there. Whether or not it is revolutionary is up to you to decide.

And constantly? At what frequency of occurrence does something become "constantly"? I've created two RATs, one of which was a job for a guy a few years ago, but due to unfortunate circumstances he was forced to stop the project (not my problem). (please not the "few years ago"). Please don't talk trash that's irrelevant to this thread's purpose.

Accident - March 14, 2012, 11:04 am

I will look to most definitely buy this. Is it likely to be expensive?

Modesty - March 14, 2012, 11:11 am

(Mar 14, 2012 11:04 AM)Accident Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I will look to most definitely buy this. Is it likely to be expensive?

Not too sure about the pricing yet, we may have several packages but it'll definitely be around the \$50 range :)

Khant - March 14, 2012, 12:19 pm

blackshades http bot has some of these features too. like screen capture and webcam capture except this has a refresh intervals to send new image each set refresh time, couldn't be too hard to implement into blackshades if i had source :P. this seems to have a much cleaner and nicer gui though. and hopefully much more stable and harder to botkill.

As You Are - March 14, 2012, 1:53 pm

This does actually seem like a good idea, good luck with it!

idonotcare - March 14, 2012, 11:34 pm

(Mar 14, 2012 08:09 AM)Modesty Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Please read the OP before commenting as such :) I did read the OP, and you made a application which connects to a domain and a panel which sends out commands from the panel.

Modesty - March 15, 2012, 10:03 am

(Mar 14, 2012 11:34 PM)MarcelCoder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I did read the OP, and you made a application which connects to a domain and a panel which sends out commands from the panel.

You haven't read the OP, please don't post irrelevant information on this thread.

nally - March 16, 2012, 9:51 pm

you think it would ever be possible for the rat to work on all browers. So many people use their phones it would be cool if the rat would work on browser phones

Modesty - April 15, 2012, 7:18 am

(Mar 16, 2012 09:51 PM)nally Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) you think it would ever be possible for the rat to work on all browers. So many people use their phones it would be cool if the rat would work on browser phones

It already does :) So far I've tested it on these following mobile devices:

- > iPad 2 (iOS 5.0.1)
- > Blackberry curve 9360 (running BB OS 7)
- > HTC Wildfire S (running android 2.3)

Many more to come, I'll soon update the progress of this with all the new stuff we've added :D

Alhalama - April 15, 2012, 7:23 am

Lol damn, I had the same idea a few months ago >.<

Anyways, good luck with sales mate, sounds awesome :)

The MammoTh - April 15, 2012, 7:31 am

I hope this is not going to be a fusion lookalike, or the same background working.

Ziexxx - April 15, 2012, 7:36 am

I love the idea, it seems simple enough, and based on the screenshots, it looks executed well. I like the layout, and the "ease of access". Although, do to the limitations of HTML, I feel like it may lack features in comparison to existing RATs.

I think this is a great idea, but (like you mentioned) you may want to add more features before

release. I like the way it looks now, and good luck with future development.

?Cooldude? - April 15, 2012, 8:03 am

The lack of capital letters puts me off.

Modesty - April 15, 2012, 8:13 am

(Apr 15, 2012 07:31 AM)The MammoTh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I hope this is not going to be a fusion lookalike, or the same background working.

Blackshades fusion is a COMPLETELY different product. That is a PHP Rat.

This is much different.

(Apr 15, 2012 07:36 AM)Ziexxx Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I love the idea, it seems simple enough, and based on the screenshots, it looks executed well. I like the layout, and the "ease of access". Although, do to the limitations of HTML, I feel like it may lack features in comparison to existing RATs.

I think this is a great idea, but (like you mentioned) you may want to add more features before release. I like the way it looks now, and good luck with future development.

That's HTML though, this uses the latest CSS3, JS (utilizing JQuery, prototype and mootools (if necessary)). We can also always implement java applets as a substitute :)

Of course, this is no where near completion at all.

(Apr 15, 2012 08:03 AM)?Cooldude? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The lack of capital letters puts me off.

Meh I was sticking to a few standards set by the Metro Concept but i think I kinda agree with you there aha, might change it.

Frostbite™ - April 15, 2012, 8:17 am

I will probably buy this as I cant portforward.

Blizzard - April 15, 2012, 9:52 am

A good idea, Would buy when its released.

?Cooldude? - April 15, 2012, 12:32 pm

(Apr 15, 2012 08:13 AM)Modesty Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Meh I was sticking to a few standards set by the Metro Concept but i think I kinda agree with you there aha, might change it.

Help to kill off that standard before it's established.

Modesty - April 15, 2012, 1:52 pm

(Apr 15, 2012 12:32 PM)?Cooldude? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Help to kill off that standard before it's established.

I actually quite like some aspects of metro, it's minimalism captivates me but I think that it's implementation in windows 8 is disgusting beyond belief.

Zero Byte - April 15, 2012, 1:56 pm

I would love to help with the development of this rat. Im running w7 64bit on my mac in vmware and cant portforward so i would love to try this out.

?Cooldude? - April 16, 2012, 8:30 am

(Apr 15, 2012 01:52 PM)Modesty Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I actually quite like some aspects of metro, it's minimalism captivates me but I think that it's implementation in windows 8 is disgusting beyond belief.

I agree with you, I'm not getting Windows 8 for that reason. :Tongue:

[H]ell - April 16, 2012, 10:03 am

(Apr 15, 2012 01:56 PM)cartoon1996 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I would love to help with the development of this rat. Im running w7 64bit on my mac in vmware and cant portforward so i would love to try this out.
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2385499>

Yeah I'm sure someone who needs a guide with forwarding a port will be a lot of help in developing something.

Modesty - April 18, 2012, 3:14 pm

Implementing a scripting system that'll be interpreted by a mini interpreter. Since the interpreter doesn't have to be customized for each client itself, we can have the interpreter stored on a server and then downloaded when the client is ran. This means that the client only requires to have startup, persistence and a few other skeleton features making it a very, very small file :)

Volim te - April 18, 2012, 3:29 pm

What language is the server coded it? looks nice a like HTTP bots better

denn1smeister - April 19, 2012, 8:31 am

i really like this.

It saves the ease of portforwarding and making sure everything works.

Also i like the high quality fast frame rate.

Modesty - April 19, 2012, 8:38 am

(Apr 18, 2012 03:29 PM)Slen Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What language is the server coded it? looks nice a like HTTP bots better

It's called the client, and it uses .net.

Jire - April 19, 2012, 11:37 am

Nice idea, but the design makes me raging mad. Looks like Windows Metro. I hate that.

pr0t0typ3 - April 19, 2012, 12:18 pm

(Apr 19, 2012 08:38 AM)Modesty Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's called the client, and it uses .net. So is the client (the part you spread) coded in .net or did you use .net on the panel (ASP.net and shit like that)

Modesty - April 19, 2012, 12:37 pm

(Apr 19, 2012 12:18 PM)pr0t0typ3 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So is the client (the part you spread) coded in .net or did you use .net on the panel (ASP.net and shit like that)

the PANEL is php/mysql driven with HTML/CSS(3)/JS for the front end.

The CLIENT, which you spread, is in c#. As i said before, making it in a native language is possible but I'm not as proficient in C as I am in .NET.

D??M! - April 19, 2012, 12:44 pm

I generally hate web based or 'Im-Browser' RATs but you've made this one look pretty nice. Hopefully it's functional.

Modesty - April 19, 2012, 1:35 pm

(Apr 19, 2012 12:44 PM)BV1 ? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I generally hate web based or 'Im-Browser' RATs but you've made this one look pretty nice. Hopefully it's functional.

Ahh but stability is hugely increased because a web server runs Apache or Windows Server which is generally more stable than a server that's custom coded (e.g. using sockets). And PHP is incredibly powerful, and JS too for the front end. It won't be as advanced in terms of functionality as a traditional executable RAT client due to limitations of the browser but it will be close, and the mobility + stability makes up for it. ;)

