

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [fairly strong XBL Ddoser coming to the market](#)

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 2:45 am

pic

[img]http://i.minus.com/junzaaqrJKaKD.PNG[/img]

No the fucking UI won't be like that when i release it

This includes

- Great stability
- very strong UDP Flood
- Mutex

?

How much should i sell it for? (.NEt 2.0)

(this connection on vps took down every home connection i came across)

Euphoria™ - August 7, 2012, 2:47 am

Firstly you need a positive rep to post in the marketplace, secondly if it uses shells then I would say \$15-\$25 for lifetime.

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 2:48 am

(Aug 7, 2012 02:47 AM)Ablob Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Firstly you need a positive rep to post in the marketplace, secondly if it uses shells then I would say \$15-\$25 for lifetime.
no.. it doesnt use shells for god sake

Rapier - August 7, 2012, 2:50 am

How are you going to have 1 zombie/server idle yet, you've sent 1657 packets i assume that's what you're sending.

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 2:50 am

(Aug 7, 2012 02:50 AM)Rapier Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How are you going to have 1 zombie/server idle yet, you've sent 1657 packets i assume that's what you're sending.
I stopped sending when taking the pic

Rapier - August 7, 2012, 2:52 am

How is this fairly strong is what i'm saying... what makes this different from metus 2.8

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 2:54 am

(Aug 7, 2012 02:52 AM)Rapier Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How is this fairly strong is what i'm saying... what makes this different from metus 2.8
updated and probably a better/stable udp module

Rapier - August 7, 2012, 2:59 am

You have to portforward correct?

Can we make our on builds or do you create them for us?

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 3:00 am

(Aug 7, 2012 02:59 AM)Rapier Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You have to portforward correct?
Can we make our on builds or do you create them for us?
you can create your own build and yes you will have to portforward

sadlasldv1 - August 7, 2012, 3:01 am

"probably", you should never doubt what you say and it should be a statement not a guess.
Your reputation is a turn off, enough said.

Rapier - August 7, 2012, 3:02 am

Good luck man when everything is setup hit me up if you need a beta tester.

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 3:03 am

(Aug 7, 2012 03:01 AM)sadlasldv1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) "probably", you should never doubt what you say and it should be a statement not a guess.
Your reputation is a turn off, enough said.because irrelevant reputation defines your talent

(Aug 7, 2012 03:02 AM)Rapier Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Good luck man when everything is setup hit me up if you need a beta tester.
yeah no problem, fixing a couple bugs at the moment.

Orgy - August 7, 2012, 6:47 am

Why buy this if it requires I spread? Would be better off buying a booter or a bot.

.Infinity. - August 7, 2012, 7:03 am

Honestly no one is going to buy this. I'm certain it's not better than the current version of XR, DDoSeR, or IPKiller. Hell it's probably not even better than MeTuS.

IFuckYourMom - August 7, 2012, 7:32 am

(Aug 7, 2012 07:03 AM).Infinity. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Honestly no one is going to buy this. I'm certain it's not better than the current version of XR, DDoSeR, or IPKiller. Hell it's probably not even better than MeTuS.

sorry, but i have to quote this user, why buy a host booter just to use it on xbox live?It doesn't look HQ and your rep won't help with your sale, I think bots should be used to take down websites/VPS and booters to take down home connections,small websites and small vps (but everybody can do what they want)

And i think there's enough host booters on the HF market.

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 12:15 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 07:32 AM)IFuckYourMom Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) sorry, but i have to quote this user, why buy a host booter just to use it on xbox live?It doesn't look HQ and your rep won't help with your sale, I think bots should be used to take down websites/VPS and booters to take down home connections,small websites and small vps (but everybody can do what they want)

And i think there's enough host booters on the HF market.
using the udp protocol is hard enough to take down a server with a couple bots. There aren't many host booters. It doesn't look HQ because im not working on the UI no reason to at this point.

this is better than metus i can tell you that. Old ass language, public UDP module for metus ?

(Aug 7, 2012 07:03 AM).Infinity. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Honestly no one is going to buy this. I'm certain it's not better than the current version of XR, DDoSeR, or IPKiller. Hell it's probably not even better than MeTuS.

It's stronger than all of them. Trust me. ??

(Aug 7, 2012 06:47 AM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why buy this if it requires I spread? Would be better off buying a booter or a bot.

doesn't a bot require you to spread or am i thinking of the wrong bot

Orgy - August 7, 2012, 12:23 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 12:15 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) this is better than metus i can tell you that. Old ass language, public UDP module for metus ?

The C language is old as hell but still one of the best. Since when did age of a language determine how good it is?

(Aug 7, 2012 12:15 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's stronger than all of them. Trust me. ??

How can it be? It would depend on the number of bots you have.

(Aug 7, 2012 12:15 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) doesn't a bot require you to spread or am i thinking of the wrong bot

Yes. Hence why should we buy yours, since yours is clearly created by somebody incompetent and since yours is only for DDOSing, and since yours requires the use of Windows, when instead we could buy bots created by experienced programmers who don't use shitty excuses like "lol thats an old ass language", and those bots don't require us to have to DDOS and can serve other purposes, and we can store those on servers with more useful operating systems, such as Linux

(Aug 7, 2012 12:15 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There aren't many host booters.

Might I interest you in a trip to the stress testing section where you can quickly find dozens of threads regarding the sales of host booters?

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 12:37 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 12:23 PM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The C language is old as hell but still one of the best. Since when did age of a language determine how good it is?

How can it be? It would depend on the number of bots you have.

Yes. Hence why should we buy yours, since yours is clearly created by somebody incompetent and since yours is only for DDOSing, and since yours requires the use of Windows, when instead we could buy bots created by experienced programmers who don't use shitty excuses like "lol thats an old ass language", and those bots don't require us to have to DDOS and can serve other purposes, and we can store those on servers with more useful operating systems, such as Linux

Might I interest you in a trip to the stress testing section where you can quickly find dozens of threads regarding the sales of host booters?

You didn't take into account public UDP module for metus Ever looked at the source? oh wait, you can't understand compiled languages =[

Incompetent? I'm not incompetent. I've already written the connection class, and udp class how am i incompetent? you should elaborate.

Last time i checked Hostbooter and DDoSeR run only on windows

You should maybe re-read the title, the purpose of this application is to DDOS not bot herd or anything.

You've shown your incompetence by the incapability to learn a language such as C# or even C

You're a full grown man yet not fluent in php and have no ability to access other forums.

Do you not realize what makes a "ddoser" stronger? The way the protocol module is written.

▣ Nick™ ▣ - August 7, 2012, 12:38 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 12:15 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) using the udp protocol is hard enough to take down a server with a couple bots. There aren't many host booters. It doesn't look HQ because im not working on the UI no reason to at this point.

this is better than metus i can tell you that. Old ass language, public UDP module for metus ?

It's stronger than all of them. Trust me. ??

doesn't a bot require you to spread or am i thinking of the wrong bot
C? An old ass language? What the hell are you smoking ??????????

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 12:43 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 12:38 PM)▣ Nick™ ▣ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) C? An old ass language? What the hell are you smoking ??????????

wait you think metus delphi is coded in C? ?
also c is old

▣ Nick™ ▣ - August 7, 2012, 12:45 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 12:43 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) wait you think metus delphi is coded in C? ?
More-so going on what Orgy said and you thinking because delphi is old that it's bad. Never even heard of Metus to be honest with you, I stay away from DD0S3RS.

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 12:48 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 12:45 PM)▣ Nick™ ▣ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) More-so going on what Orgy said and you thinking because delphi is old that it's bad. Never even heard of Metus to be honest with you, I stay away from DD0S3RS.

Delphi is old didn't say it was bad
all i said was public udp module
ever taken a look at the source? it's public and its not that stable

▣ Nick™ ▣ - August 7, 2012, 1:01 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 12:48 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Delphi is old didn't say it was bad
all i said was public udp module
ever taken a look at the source? it's public and its not that stable
Well that's dependent on the coder, not the language.

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 1:06 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 01:01 PM)▣ Nick™ ▣ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well that's dependent on the coder, not the language.

what depends on the coder? lol i dont understand

Orgy - August 7, 2012, 1:12 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 12:37 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You didn't take into account public UDP module

for metus Ever looked at the source? oh wait, you can't understand compiled languages =[
I actually likely know more C than you. I wasn't talking about the UDP module, dumbass. I was talking about languages. Don't be so proud about knowing a .NET dependent language, lol

(Aug 7, 2012 12:37 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Incompetent? I'm not incompetent. I've already written the connection class, and udp class how am i incompetent? you should elaborate.
Woah! A connection class in .NET!

(Aug 7, 2012 12:37 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Last time i checked Hostbooter and DDoSer run only on windows
Last time I checked, very few people use those these days.

(Aug 7, 2012 12:37 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You should maybe re-read the title, the purpose of this application is to DDoS not bot herd or anything.
Exactly my point.

(Aug 7, 2012 12:37 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You've shown your incompetence by the incapability to learn a language such as C# or even C
I started to learn C# once. I stopped when I realized it was very useless for what I want to do. I learn C in my spare time, but that time is limited because I'm more interested in web development.

(Aug 7, 2012 12:37 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You're a full grown man yet not fluent in php and have no ability to access other forums.
I access many other forums. I fail to see how that's relevant, though.

(Aug 7, 2012 12:37 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Do you not realize what makes a "ddoser" stronger? The way the protocol module is written.
Wrong again!

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 1:12 pm
anyways heres current ui

[\[IMG\]http://i.minus.com/jnRZxivtJKdCs.PNG\[IMG\]](http://i.minus.com/jnRZxivtJKdCs.PNG)

(Aug 7, 2012 01:12 PM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I actually likely know more C than you. I wasn't talking about the UDP module, dumbass. I was talking about languages. Don't be so proud about knowing a .NET dependent language, lol

Woah! A connection class in .NET!

Last time I checked, very few people use those these days.

Exactly my point.

I started to learn C# once. I stopped when I realized it was very useless for what I want to do. I learn C in my spare time, but that time is limited because I'm more interested in web development.

I access many other forums. I fail to see how that's relevant, though.

Wrong again!

Is there something wrong with .NET dumb ass? I'd love to know.

Now why would you learn C when you don't know php? Jesus the first time i started to learn C++ that is i created my own downloader method not using filedownloadA

Oh yeah so what forums that are private do you have access to?

Now how am i wrong? I'd love to know how you would make a ddos stronger to one connection (you're saying if the module isn't threaded and is only using one socket it won't affect the output of the victim rather to using 100 sockets that are threaded)

I bet you don't even know one thing about the winapi.

all hail the amateur of php

▣ Nick™ ▣ - August 7, 2012, 1:15 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 01:12 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) anyways heres current ui

[\[IMG\]http://i.minus.com/jnRZxivtJKdCs.PNG\[/IMG\]](#)

Is there something wrong with .NET dumb ass? I'd love to know.

Now why would you learn C when you don't know php? Jesus the first time i started to learn C++ that is i created my own downloader method not using filedownloadA

Oh yeah so what forums that are private do you have access to?

You're asking if there's something wrong with .NET malware... ?

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 1:18 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 01:15 PM)▣ Nick™ ▣ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You're asking if there's something wrong with .NET malware... ?

No, i'm asking whats wrong with .NET ?

▣ Nick™ ▣ - August 7, 2012, 1:19 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 01:18 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No, i'm asking whats wrong with .NET ?

It's not suitable for malware, which is the case here.

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 1:21 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 01:19 PM)▣ Nick™ ▣ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's not suitable for malware, which is the case here. How's it not suitable for malware?

It's using 4.0 with supported runtime (.net framework 2.0.5072)

meaning it will work on computers with ANY framework which most of the windows computers do.

It's stable if you know how to use it i see nothing wrong with it other than the fact that there are ridiculous amounts of tutorials script kiddies follow in order to achieve unstable malware

▣ Nick™ ▣ - August 7, 2012, 1:26 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 01:21 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How's it not suitable for malware?

It's using 4.0 with supported runtime (.net framework 2.0.5072)

meaning it will work on computers with ANY framework which most of the windows computers do.

It's stable if you know how to use it i see nothing wrong with it other than the fact that there are ridiculous amounts of tutorials script kiddies follow in order to achieve unstable malware

I'd rather have a higher execution rate with native malware than with .NET malware. And as far as I've seen and read through articles, the percent of computers with the .NET framework installed is between 60% - 75%.

Orgy - August 7, 2012, 1:26 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 01:12 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Is there something wrong with .NET dumb ass? I'd love to know.

When used for malware? Yes. I have nothing against .NET unless it's used for malware, which is what you're trying to do.

(Aug 7, 2012 01:12 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Now why would you learn C when you don't know php? Jesus the first time i started to learn C++ that is i created my own downloader method not using filedownloadA

I do know PHP. I'm quite proficient with it

(Aug 7, 2012 01:12 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Oh yeah so what forums that are private do you have access to?

They're "private". :)

(Aug 7, 2012 01:12 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Now how am i wrong? I'd love to know how you would make a ddos stronger to one connection (you're saying if the module isn't threaded and is only using one socket it won't affect the output of the slave rather to using 100 sockets that are threaded)

You can't make it any stronger than the user's connection.

[/quote]

Leg?nd - August 7, 2012, 1:27 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 01:21 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How's it not suitable for malware?

It's using 4.0 with supported runtime (.net framework 2.0.5072)
meaning it will work on computers with ANY framework which most of the windows computers do.

It's stable if you know how to use it i see nothing wrong with it other than the fact that there are ridiculous amounts of tutorials script kiddies follow in order to achieve unstable malware

.Net is not for malware...

^Joke I believe if you want to develop it, do it, provide vouch copies or maybe make a vid proving the power of it and I will wish you luck with sales.

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 1:28 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 01:26 PM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) When used for malware? Yes. I have nothing against .NET unless it's used for malware, which is what you're trying to do.

I do know PHP. I'm quite proficient with it

They're "private". :)

You can't make it any stronger than the user's connection.[/quote]

So, 1 socket with 1 thread won't take down the victim faster rather to 100 sockets 100 threads? i see how it is.

Nice response to your 'private' forums

.NET isn't bad for malware, script kiddies on this forum make it LQ

(Aug 7, 2012 01:26 PM)▯ Nick™ ▯ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'd rather have a higher execution rate with native

malware than with .NET malware. And as far as I've seen and read through articles, the percent of computers with the .NET framework installed is between 60% - 75%.

I'll agree to the fact that native applications do indeed have a higher execution rate. That seems like the only problem other than people decompiling your source and reading it, but that's what confuses 1.9.0 is for

Orgy - August 7, 2012, 1:33 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 01:28 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So, 1 socket with 1 thread won't take down the slave faster rather to 100 sockets 100 threads? I see how it is.

Not if that one thread maxes out their connection. ?

(Aug 7, 2012 01:28 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Nice response to your 'private' forums Thanks.

(Aug 7, 2012 01:28 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) .NET isn't bad for malware, script kiddies on this forum make it LQ

Are you stupid? If it's malware, you want it to be able to execute on the maximum number of machines.

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 1:36 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 01:33 PM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Not if that one thread maxes out their connection. ?

Thanks.

Are you stupid? If it's malware, you want it to be able to execute on the maximum number of machines.

Do I really have to keep rephrasing myself for you to understand the question at hand?

If the User was at minimum threads (1) and minimum sockets (1) attacking a victim, and the same user at maximum threads (10) maximum sockets (10) attacking the same victim which would take him down faster.. Now the maximum and minimum cannot be the same in this scenario; maximum > minimum (for you retards)

So the problem is the dependency, oh I don't see kids whining about vb6. If all windows computers had .NET what would you think of malware in .net

▣ **Nick™** ▣ - August 7, 2012, 1:39 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 01:36 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Do I really have to keep rephrasing myself for you to understand the question at hand?

If the User was at minimum threads (1) and minimum sockets (1) attacking a slave, and the same user at maximum threads (10) maximum sockets (10) attacking the same slave which would take him down faster.. Now the maximum and minimum cannot be the same in this scenario; maximum > minimum (for you retards)

So the problem is the dependency, oh I don't see kids whining about vb6. If all windows computers had .NET what would you think of malware in .net

But that's not the case here, which is why it's bad. I won't even say that "Native languages can do more", since people here keep doing the same thing.

Orgy - August 7, 2012, 1:44 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 01:36 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Do I really have to keep rephrasing myself for you to understand the question at hand?

If the User was at minimum threads (1) and minimum sockets (1) attacking a slave, and the same user at maximum threads (10) maximum sockets (10) attacking the same slave which would take him down faster.. Now the maximum and minimum cannot be the same in this scenario; maximum > minimum (for you retards)

And if a user had a 56k modem, and his upload speed was maxed out with a single thread, do you really think 10 more threads will let him push past his limit? Are you stupid?

(Aug 7, 2012 01:36 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So the problem is the dependency, oh i don't see kids whining about vb6. If all windows computers had .NET what would you think of malware in .net

If all windows computers had .NET, it wouldn't be nearly as bad.

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 1:45 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 01:44 PM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) And if a user had a 56k modem, and his upload speed was maxed out with a single thread, do you really think 10 more threads will let him push past his limit? Are you stupid?

If all windows computers had .NET, it wouldn't be nearly as bad.

Now the maximum and minimum cannot be the same in this scenario;

(maximum pertaining to the maximum sockets the users connection can take)

If his upload speed was maxed out with 1 thread thats maximum = 1 and minimum = 1 1 = 1
maximum must be greater than minimum in this scenario

We're coming to that age

Orgy - August 7, 2012, 1:48 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 01:45 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Now the maximum and minimum cannot be the same in this scenario;

(maximum pertaining to the maximum sockets the users connection can take)

If his upload speed was maxed out with 1 thread thats maximum = 1 and minimum = 1 1 = 1
maximum must be greater than minimum in this scenario

We're coming to that age

ok then

if a users maximum connection = 56k and that's maxed out with 2 sockets, how do you expect 10 sockets to make a difference?

additionally, are you seriously implying that your booter is stronger just because it uses more threads than 1?

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 1:51 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 01:48 PM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) ok then

if a users maximum connection = 56k and that's maxed out with 2 sockets, how do you expect 10 sockets to make a difference?

additionally, are you seriously implying that your booter is stronger just because it uses more threads than 1?

No you told me that udp module doesnt matter on the difference of the output

if a users connection is maxed out with two sockets
and you use 1 socket with 1 thread to hit a victim that isn't at efficient as using 2 sockets with 2 threads to hit the victim. < Implying that code does indeed matter (threading support, multiple sockets)

What im trying to say here is that the maximum number of sockets used that the user can handle vs the minimum sockets that the user uses to hit a victim offline makes a difference.

a udp module can be written with a single thread with an infinite loop.. or multiple threads with an infinite loop and error handling

Orgy - August 7, 2012, 1:54 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 01:51 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No you told me that udp module doesnt matter on the difference of the output

if a users connection is maxed out with two sockets
and you use 1 socket with 1 thread to hit a slave that isn't at efficient as using 2 sockets with 2 threads to hit the slave. < Implying that code does indeed matter (threading support, multiple sockets)

What im trying to say here is that the maximum number of sockets used that the user can handle vs the minimum sockets that the user uses to hit a slave offline makes a difference

That's not what I said.

[img]http://img1.uploadscreenshot.com/images/orig/8/21907350427-orig.png[/img]

Additionally, multiple threads doesn't necessarily mean it will be more efficient. You should determine how many threads you need to get the most upload speed out of the user without making it completely obvious that he's being used to DDoS and without denying him of all other internet services

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 1:56 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 01:54 PM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That's not what I said.

[img]http://img1.uploadscreenshot.com/images/orig/8/21907350427-orig.png[/img]

Additionally, multiple threads doesn't necessarily mean it will be more efficient. You should determine how many threads you need to get the most upload speed out of the user without making it completely obvious that he's being used to DDoS and without denying him of all other internet services

10 threads vs 1 thread

10 threads = stronger

10 people vs 1 person (im not saying that those host booters aren't multithreaded of course they are, this is just an example of how a ddoser can be stronger)

10 people = stronger

10 sockets seems to be the average of what people use.

I also did a test.

I put my server & metus delphi server on a vps. I tested the output on myself, metus delphi did not disconnect me rather it was slowing down my connection. My server did indeed disconnect me

upload speed of vps; 60Mbps

each socket uses a packet size of 65507 bytes (safe limit on windows)

Orgy - August 7, 2012, 2:02 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 01:56 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 10 threads vs 1 thread

10 threads = stronger

Not if that 1 thread maxes out the connection

(Aug 7, 2012 01:56 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 10 people vs 1 person (im not saying that those host booters aren't multithreaded of course they are, this is just an example of how a ddoser can be stronger)

10 people = stronger

10 sockets seems to be the average of what people use.

I also did a test.

I put my server & metus delphi server on a vps. I tested the output on myself, metus delphi did not disconnect me rather it was slowing down my connection. My server did indeed disconnect me

upload speed of vps; 60Mbps

I hope you're aware that there are more host booters than just metus.

(Aug 7, 2012 01:56 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) each socket uses a packet size of 65507 bytes (safe limit on windows)

oh god you can't seriously be that stupid you have got to be trolling

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 2:07 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 02:02 PM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Not if that 1 thread maxes out the connection

I hope you're aware that there are more host booters than just metus.

oh god you can't seriously be that stupid you have got to be trolling

not all connections will max out on 1 thread orgy..

I tested it on metus to prove infinity wrong, as he said it's probably not even better than metus.

taken right off google; The correct maximum UDP message size is 65507, as determined by the following formula: $0xffff - (\text{sizeof}(\text{IP Header}) + \text{sizeof}(\text{UDP Header})) = 65535 - (20 + 8) = 65507$
anything above that = out of memory exception

Baker - August 7, 2012, 2:10 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 12:37 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You didn't take into account public UDP module for metus Ever looked at the source? oh wait, you can't understand compiled languages =[

Incompetent? I'm not incompetent. I've already written the connection class, and udp class how am i incompetent? you should elaborate.

Last time i checked Hostbooter and DDoSer run only on windows

You should maybe re-read the title, the purpose of this application is to DDoS not bot herd or anything.

You've shown your incompetence by the incapability to learn a language such as C# or even C

You're a full grown man yet not fluent in php and have no ability to access other forums.

Do you not realize what makes a "ddoser" stronger? The way the protocol module is written. Hostbooter and DDoSer can run in wine In Ubuntu and stuff also .

Orgy - August 7, 2012, 2:11 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 02:07 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) not all connections will max out on 1 thread orgy..

No, but having a predetermined amount of threads without discrimination based on the users' processing power and bandwidth cap is inefficient.

(Aug 7, 2012 02:07 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I tested it on metus to prove infinity wrong, as he said it's probably not even better than metus.

I'm not talking about metus.

(Aug 7, 2012 02:07 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) taken right off google; The correct maximum UDP message size is 65507, as determined by the following formula: $0xffff - (\text{sizeof}(\text{IP Header}) + \text{sizeof}(\text{UDP Header})) = 65535 - (20 + 8) = 65507$ anything above that = out of memory exception

Yes, and since you've clearly done your research, you know that all sorts of ISPs will allow through such massive packets and that UDP packets won't get dropped ever ever ever, right?

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 2:12 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 02:10 PM)Baker Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hostbooter and DDoSer can run in wine In Ubuntu and stuff also .

.net can run via mono in linux and stuff

(Aug 7, 2012 02:11 PM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No, but having a predetermined amount of threads without discrimination based on the users' processing power and bandwidth cap is inefficient.

I'm not talking about metus.

Yes, and since you've clearly done your research, you know that all sorts of ISPs will allow through such massive packets and that UDP packets won't get dropped ever ever ever, right?

I hope you're aware that there are more host booters than just metus.

my response to that

Logically the average connection can withstand 10 sockets...

Never said packets won't get dropped

?WINTER - August 7, 2012, 2:16 pm

I wouldn't buy from a guy with -21 rep, huge turnoff when I read these -rep rates for "trolling"..

Orgy - August 7, 2012, 2:16 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 02:12 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I hope you're aware that there are more host booters than just metus.

my response to that you make no sense.

(Aug 7, 2012 02:12 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Logically the average connection can withstand 10 sockets... and some can do more

(Aug 7, 2012 02:12 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Never said packets won't get dropped

So why use such a large packet when it's possible that it will just get dropped and thus waste such a large amount of bandwidth?

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 2:19 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 02:16 PM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) you make no sense.

and some can do more

So why use such a large packet when it's possible that it will just get dropped and thus waste such a large amount of bandwidth?

You said there were more booters than metus, the reason why i tested only metus was to prove infinity wrong.

it comes out even

but i can try to come up with something test upload speed and use X amount of sockets

What packet size do you recommend then

Orgy - August 7, 2012, 2:22 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 02:19 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What packet size do you recommend then
Something much smaller. 1 packet at 100kb is nowhere near as good as 100 packets at 1kb

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 2:24 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 02:22 PM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Something much smaller. 1 packet at 100kb is nowhere near as good as 100 packets at 1kb

I think 6550 would make a good amount of packets to send

Lil Shiot - August 7, 2012, 2:26 pm

Vouch for Orgy, had an orgy with him the other night and it was enjoyable. Got a free copy of his booter as well, what is it again? TitBooter or something. Anyways this looks good, but it only works on Xbox LIVE?

Orgy - August 7, 2012, 2:28 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 02:24 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think 6550 would make a good amount of packets to send

I can tell that you're beyond help. Good luck with your predetermined failure of a host booter! I'm out of this thread now

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 2:32 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 02:28 PM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I can tell that you're beyond help. Good luck with your predetermined failure of a host booter! I'm out of this thread now

My host booter is working just fine, better than metus. Good luck on lecturing yourself on C

do you even know how to open a socket in C jw not using gnu libraries of course

not knowing how many packets to send isn't going to make my booter fail, there will be a user defined packets textbox where the user can define the amount of packets to send with each socket im done here because you're too stupid to understand my questions

.Infinity. - August 7, 2012, 2:33 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 02:28 PM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I can tell that you're beyond help. Good luck with your predetermined failure of a host booter! I'm out of this thread now

[img]<http://www.threadbombing.com/data/media/29/AbandonThread.gif>[/img]

Xenon - August 7, 2012, 3:54 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 12:15 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) using the udp protocol is hard enough to take down a server with a couple bots. There aren't many host booters. It doesn't look HQ because im not working on the UI no reason to at this point.

The reason there aren't many host booters is because they require too much effort. Why would I go through the trouble of buying a host booter, crypter, and spreader when I could just as easily buy a web booter?

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 4:24 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 03:54 PM)Xenon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The reason there aren't many host booters is because they require too much effort. Why would I go through the trouble of buying a host booter, crypter, and spreader when I could just as easily buy a web booter?

You dont necessarily have to buy a spreader, neither a crypter..

A host booter is ready for you at all times meaning it's locally hosted
you dont have to bother with being ddosed as a web server and bullshit like that.

You also don't have to worry about the output, the output changes on a client depending on the # of bots rather to a stresser where you need X SERVERS
bots are easily obtainable while servers are expensive

Xenon - August 7, 2012, 4:32 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 04:24 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You also don't have to worry about the output, the output changes on a client depending on the # of bots rather to a stresser where you need X SERVERS

What's so bad about X SERVERS? They seem like a decent company.

<http://www.xservers.ro>

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 4:41 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 04:32 PM)Xenon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What's so bad about X SERVERS? They seem like a decent company.

<http://www.xservers.ro>

never said anything about X SERVERS the company

X = Variable

Xenon - August 7, 2012, 6:37 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 04:41 PM)Deobfuscated Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) never said anything about X SERVERS the company

X = Variable

When did we start talking about programming?

Deobfuscated - August 7, 2012, 6:44 pm

(Aug 7, 2012 06:37 PM)Xenon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) When did we start talking about programming?

are you retarded? X = any numbe

X Servers = Any amount of Server(s)