

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [2.2 billion MD5 hashes per second anyone?](#)

Dogelan - August 19, 2012, 7:38 pm

What happens when you run CUDA BarsWF on a system with a GeForce GTX 670 FTW video card and an AMD Phenom II X6 1090T processor overclocked to 4.0GHz.

Hehehehe. Pretty neat ?

kickerbat - August 19, 2012, 8:28 pm

Hashcat is faster. Also Hashcat hash more methods including salting etc

Dogelan - August 19, 2012, 8:31 pm

(Aug 19, 2012 08:28 PM)kickerbat Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hashcat is faster. Also Hashcat hash more methods including salting etc

Nope.avi

BarsWF uses GPU Acceleration which increases the speed by HOLY SHIT A FUCKTON.

Not only that, but it's far easier to use BarsWF. Hashcat is a fucking struggle to use, and slower ._.

hirez - August 20, 2012, 7:53 am

You live in the stone age? BarsWF is many times slower than hashcat.

Hashcat is GPU accelerated: <http://hashcat.net/oclhashcat-lite/>

Dogelan - August 20, 2012, 2:30 pm

(Aug 20, 2012 07:53 AM)hirez Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You live in the stone age? BarsWF is many times slower than hashcat.

Hashcat is GPU accelerated: <http://hashcat.net/oclhashcat-lite/>

Whatever, I just prefer BarsWF.

Thanks.

banthex - August 20, 2012, 3:17 pm

Hm. AMD Cards are better for cracking. I have 2 ATI 5850 and i get much more then 2200 Mhash/s with each one. I'm using hashcat.

kickerbat - August 20, 2012, 5:25 pm

Moral of the story is, Hashcat. Problem solved.

/close thread