

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Instagram Just Made Some Huge Changes Today. My Bot Now Rendered Useless. What to Do?](#)

bobsleds - August 22, 2012, 10:08 pm

hey coders, i had a bot made for me and to my knowledge it does bypass the api (it can scrape thousands of photos per min) and like thousands of pics per min but the danger with that is that your account can get rated or flagged so i never set it at full capacity

anyway, i set my bot to do about couple hundred likes per minute and it was doing just fine for about 2 weeks, but today it started acting weird. it would like about 5 pictures and then it would stop. the error message i got from my bot was that it had hit a limit. basically it was getting limited after only a couple likes.

as u all know the reason why liking thru 3rd apis parties suck is because there is a limit. now it seems like my bot is hitting a limit even though its not using any third party apis, but as of today instagram has made some kind of change which is causing my likes to get limited.

to my knoweldge i have the best type of bot available, but maybe im wrong

is there a way in which you can do likes without hitting a limit ? pls know that my bot was able to do this for 2 weeks straight and all of that came to a stop today. even so, I know there must be some way to get around this new change instagram has implemented.

any of you coding geniuses out there know of an alternative or possible methods to explore in getting around the limit?

marvinn - August 23, 2012, 12:33 am

Hmm, what are you using? A Webborser?

Edit: 1000th posts today haha yay :)

.Dangerous. - August 23, 2012, 12:46 am

congratz marvinn, and yes, need more info if you made the bot, you didn't tell us how your doing it, the headers/cookies may have changed, does it work at all or just limited? they could have started limiting by IP, do you use proxys? a single account? information plz.

bobsleds - August 23, 2012, 1:40 am

(Aug 23, 2012 12:46 AM).Dangerous. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) congratz marvinn, and yes, need more info if you made the bot, you didn't tell us how your doing it, the headers/cookies may have changed, does it work at all or just limited? they could have started limiting by IP, do you use proxys? a single account? information plz.

it still scrapes and it will like the first round of pics (about 3-5) and then when it tries to go for 2nd round it is unable to do so because of the limit.

its not by IP because ive tried it with various proxies and all yield same findings

i even went a step further and tried it with a combo of different accounts and different proxies. and still same error.

yes it is a single account

strangely i have a friend who also encountered a similar problem. his bot (which also bypasses api to scrape.like photos) stopped working last week though ...it worked fine for about 2 months but last week his likes stopped working & it gave him this error

"{"status":"fail","message":"Please update your Instagram app to continue liking photos"}" he updated his app and the error still remains

NOTE: that is what his error message says. i think mine is different issue because his stopped working last week, while mine just stopped working today.

if instagram made a site wide change why would it affect his last week while mine ran perfectly for that entire duration and only stopped working today? perhaps related issue ?

im suspecting it has something to do with instagram modifying the header request ...

wondering if anybody else on here has heard of or encountered a similar problem ?

.Dangerous. - August 23, 2012, 1:51 am

well apparently instagram updated 6 days ago to what they call 3.0.

so you didn't tell us if your using webbrowser control etc. you may just need to get the plugin LIVE HEADERS for firefox and take a looksey. ^.^

<http://help.instagram.com/customer/portal/articles/680301-liking-photos-produces-%22error-invalid-request%22>

geldformeln - August 23, 2012, 2:05 am

Yeah my instagramm bot give me exact the same error. I know that the encryption of signed_body has changed. I am on it. ne new signed Body looks like SHA256. Perhaps i will find a way.

bobsleds - August 23, 2012, 12:51 pm

(Aug 23, 2012 01:51 AM).Dangerous. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) well apparently instagram updated 6 days ago to what they call 3.0.

so you didn't tell us if your using webbrowser control etc. you may just need to get the plugin LIVE HEADERS for firefox and take a looksey. ^.^

<http://help.instagram.com/customer/portal/articles/680301-liking-photos-produces-%22error-invalid-request%22>

i would have an "ah-hah!" moment if the bot stopped working 6 days ago as soon as insta updated to 3.0 then i could say yeah thats probably the reason, but considering that the bot has been working for past 2 weeks (including last 6 days) im kind of stumped.

i didnt code the bot and ill ask my coder about this, but when you say "web browser" control. do you mean is the bot using firefox ? i dont think the bot is using any web browser.

i used to have a UBOT that for sure used a web browser because i could see it in the bot's window., but this bot doesnt look like it uses a web browser?

(Aug 23, 2012 02:05 AM)geldformeln Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah my instagram bot give me exact the same error. I know that the encryption of signed_body has changed. I am on it. ne new signed Body looks like SHA256. Perhaps i will find a way.
how long have you been having this problem?

facebookdoom - August 23, 2012, 1:36 pm

(Aug 23, 2012 12:51 PM)bobsleds Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) i would have an "ah-hah!" moment if the bot stopped working 6 days ago as soon as insta updated to 3.0 then i could say yeah thats probably the reason, but considering that the bot has been working for past 2 weeks (including last 6 days) im kind of stumped.

i didnt code the bot and ill ask my coder about this, but when you say "web browser" control. do you mean is the bot using firefox ? i dont think the bot is using any web browser.

i used to have a UBOT that for sure used a web browser because i could see it in the bot's window., but this bot doesnt look like it uses a web browser?

how long have you been having this problem?

Well your coder could have been using an external site to automate instagram such as statigr.am or web.statgram.com and those sites might have changed. If you want to verify, feel free to pm me the file and I'll take a look at it.

(Aug 23, 2012 01:51 AM).Dangerous. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) well apparently instagram updated 6 days ago to what they call 3.0.

so you didn't tell us if your using webbrowser control etc. you may just need to get the plugin LIVE HEADERS for firefox and take a looksey. ^.^

<http://help.instagram.com/customer/portal/articles/680301-liking-photos-produces-%22error-invalid-request%22>

You can't use Instagram directly in a browser.

bobsleds - August 23, 2012, 2:36 pm

(Aug 23, 2012 01:36 PM)facebookdoom Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well your coder could have been using an external site to automate instagram such as statigr.am or web.statgram.com and those sites might have changed. If you want to verify, feel free to pm me the file and I'll take a look at it.

i know for a fact he is not using statigram or webstagram to automate.

i do have a hypothesis of what happened. and i do think it has something to do with the instagram update.

i think the reason why some people's bots stopped working has to do with the day they updated the app.

which is why our bots didnt all stop working at the same time. some peoples bots stopped working 6 days ago while mine stopped working 1 day ago.

i updated my app early yesterday morning and a few hours later my bot stopped working.coincidence? maybe but its a hypothesis of mine that maybe my bot stopped working because i updated the app on my tester account and that some how instagram was able to disable the method in which the bot was liking pictures..

anyone? pls help if u have even a skeletal idea of how to fix this

nostuff - August 24, 2012, 5:41 am

Instagram need the signed_body sended in post content, that's the change, first u was able to send succesful create/destroy requests without the signed body, now not. Insta checks: method (just post), UserAgent and signed_body, so u have only to generate it. That's the only way

idb. - August 24, 2012, 6:01 am

(Aug 24, 2012 05:41 AM)nostuff Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Instagram need the signed_body sended in post content, that's the change, first u was able to send succesful create/destroy requests without the signed body, now not. Insta checks: method (just post), UserAgent and signed_body, so u have only to generate it. That's the only way

And how are you successfully generating the signed_body part of the POST data? Did you find the encryption key? If so... care to share some info? :)

nostuff - August 24, 2012, 6:19 am

(Aug 24, 2012 06:01 AM)idb_ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) And how are you successfully generating the signed_body part of the POST data? Did you find the encryption key? If so... care to share some info? :)blabla

I think the code is clear and no need an explain.

If anyone need help pm me.

I ve done a script who generates valid signed_body. Ask in pm.

(Aug 24, 2012 06:01 AM)idb_ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) And how are you successfully generating the signed_body part of the POST data? Did you find the encryption key? If so... care to share some info? :)

leave your skype in pm, I can not answer u as i havent 10 posts

bobsleds - August 24, 2012, 11:29 am

another coder said:

"u only need the encryption key

for logging in via non api and creating accounts not for liking..."

can anybody finish the rest of this sentence?

what do we need to do then if we need to like photos???

idb. - August 24, 2012, 11:46 am

You DON'T need the encryption key for logging in through non api. You need the encryption key if you're using the "secret" api from the app. The documented API doesn't require any of that bullshit.

Need to just stop wasting time trying to get help from these guys who have it figured out because they are only talking people in circles trying to keep the shit a secret. Greedy bastards of the internet disgust me.

bobsleds - August 25, 2012, 12:24 am

(Aug 24, 2012 06:19 AM)nostuff Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) blabla

I think the code is clear and no need an explain.

If anyone need help pm me.

I ve done a script who generates valid signed_body. Ask in pm.

leave your skype in pm, I can not answer u as i havent 10 posts
are you still working on the .so ?
pls update.

pr3fix - August 25, 2012, 12:48 am

Just simply download some network analyzer and send several http request to their server and see what you get.

I suggest you a FireFox plugin called HttpFox:

[img]http://omarjallouli.com/wp-content/uploads/2010/01/httpfox-firefox-HTTP-Monitor-addon.png[/img]

facebookdoom - August 25, 2012, 1:58 am

(Aug 25, 2012 12:48 AM)pr3fix Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Just simply download some network analyzer and send several http request to their server and see what you get.

I suggest you a FireFox plugin called HttpFox:

[img]http://omarjallouli.com/wp-content/uploads/2010/01/httpfox-firefox-HTTP-Monitor-addon.png[/img]

1.) You can't do it like that. It works only from mobile apps. You have to connect your smartphone to a proxy like Fiddler and then intercept the requests.

2.) Looking at the requests is worthless. You have to reverse the .so (linux dll) file which contains the function that generates the signed_body value.

pr3fix - August 25, 2012, 2:11 am

(Aug 25, 2012 01:58 AM)facebookdoom Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 1.) You can't do it like that. It works only from mobile apps. You have to connect your smartphone to a proxy like Fiddler and then intercept the requests.

2.) Looking at the requests is worthless. You have to reverse the .so (linux dll) file which contains the function that generates the signed_body value.

I have no clue what are you talking about as I never attempted to make an Instagram bot, so I might be wrong but how this doesn't work ? It just shows you the data that is being transmitted and that is all you pretty much need?

.Dangerous. - August 25, 2012, 2:14 am

Yeah i've never used instagram but now im curious~ does anyone have a dump of a phone app connecting to instagram since it's obviously not allowed to connect via browser?

facebookdoom - August 25, 2012, 2:26 am

(Aug 25, 2012 02:11 AM)pr3fix Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have no clue what are you talking about as I never attempted to make an Instagram bot, so I might be wrong but how this doesn't work ? It just shows you the data that is being transmitted and that is all you pretty much need?

The instagram website isn't a normal website, you can only access it using their mobile app or via a website such as statigr.am which utilizes their official API, but it has bunch of limits. Now if you want to avoid those limits, you have to send the same requests as the mobile app. But the mobile app generates a value which is used as security, if you don't send it then the request won't work. So you have to reverse the .so file that comes with the apk file because it contains the function which generates the security value. And that's why using HttpFox won't work, because you're not using Firefox.

pr3fix - August 25, 2012, 3:02 am

(Aug 25, 2012 02:26 AM)facebookdoom Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The instagram website isn't a normal website, you can only access it using their mobile app or via a website such as statigr.am which utilizes their official API, but it has bunch of limits. Now if you want to avoid those limits, you have to send the same requests as the mobile app. But the mobile app generates a value which is used as security, if you don't send it then the request won't work. So you have to reverse the .so file that comes with the apk file because it contains the function which generates the security value. And that's why using HttpFox won't work, because you're not using Firefox.

Oh I see, well like I already said: I've never worked with Instagram so I was not aware.

bobsleds - August 25, 2012, 12:35 pm

(Aug 25, 2012 02:26 AM)facebookdoom Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The instagram website isn't a normal website, you can only access it using their mobile app or via a website such as statigr.am which utilizes their official API, but it has bunch of limits. Now if you want to avoid those limits, you have to send the same requests as the mobile app. But the mobile app generates a value which is used as security, if you don't send it then the request won't work. So you have to reverse the .so file that comes with the apk file because it contains the function which generates the security value. And that's why using HttpFox won't work, because you're not using Firefox.

facebookdoom have you figured out a fix or are you still working on it as well?

Aimqail - August 26, 2012, 12:53 pm

It seems like my account got banned or something like this...?!

Any news?

facebookdoom - August 26, 2012, 3:20 pm

(Aug 25, 2012 12:35 PM)bobsleds Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) facebookdoom have you figured out a fix or are you still working on it as well?

I just took a quick peak at it, nothing more. I don't do anything with Instagram. You're gonna need someone with good RE skills to get it done for you.

bobsleds - August 26, 2012, 8:11 pm

(Aug 26, 2012 12:53 PM)Aimqail Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It seems like my account got banned or something like this...?!

Any news?

what were u doing to get it banned?

(Aug 26, 2012 03:20 PM)facebookdoom Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I just took a quick peak at it, nothing more. I don't do anything with Instagram. You're gonna need someone with good RE skills to get it done for you.

can u clarify what u mean by "RE"

apparently i talked to some guys who say its "very simple" to fix this problem something about finding the key and the .so

facebookdoom - August 26, 2012, 8:21 pm

(Aug 26, 2012 08:11 PM)bobsleds Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) what were u doing to get it banned?

can u clarify what u mean by "RE"

apparently i talked to some guys who say its "very simple" to fix this problem something about finding the key and the .so

RE = Reverse Engineering

Pay them to do it. Obviously they're not gonna ask for much since it's simple.

bobsleds - August 27, 2012, 12:19 pm

sooo nobody knows how to get the encryption key or the signed body? -_- a forum full of so many brains theres gotta be one who knows

pr3fix - August 27, 2012, 12:37 pm

(Aug 27, 2012 12:19 PM)bobsleds Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) sooo nobody knows how to get the encryption key or the signed body? -_- a forum full of so many brains theres gotta be one who knows

Why not do it yourself if you're so desperate? Disrespecting others won't get you anywhere.

newnownext - August 27, 2012, 12:46 pm

You'll need to completely disassemble the native library to get the string. Unless you're able to do that, you're SOL.

We can't all be as 1337 as CodingAndStuff.

onlywho - August 27, 2012, 4:21 pm

Maybe you need to put 2 months of your life to this to get it like me. :) It's hard. Good luck guys!

(Aug 24, 2012 11:46 AM)idb_ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You DON'T need the encryption key for logging in through non api. You need the encryption key if you're using the "secret" api from the app. The documented API doesn't require any of that bullshit.

Need to just stop wasting time trying to get help from these guys who have it figured out because they are only talking people in circles trying to keep the shit a secret. Greedy bastards of the internet disgust me.

Greedy? Sorry we don't have to share anything, We spent a lot of time trying to find these codes, how about you do it yourself?

facebookdoom - August 27, 2012, 4:34 pm

(Aug 27, 2012 04:21 PM)onlywho Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Maybe you need to put 2 months of your life to this to get it like me. :) It's hard. Good luck guys!

Greedy? Sorry we don't have to share anything, We spent a lot of time trying to find these codes, how about you do it yourself?

Is that why you were asking for a instagram bot? Because you figured it out yourself?

onlywho - August 27, 2012, 4:36 pm

Like I said, it took me two months. You dont have to believe me. Just ignore what I said :)

.Dangerous. - August 27, 2012, 4:45 pm

2 months the updates we're just over a week ago..

If someone is willing send me a recent packet dump of the connection login->hashtagsearch->likes from their rooted phone, both android and iphone would be nice. I'd like to check it out.. It's gained my interest of challenge. although I've never used instagram before.

creativmee - August 27, 2012, 4:46 pm

it said i only allow to follow or unfollow 200 people per hour.... so it's like the following bots is dead..

idb. - August 27, 2012, 5:01 pm

(Aug 27, 2012 04:21 PM)onlywho Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Maybe you need to put 2 months of your life to this to get it like me. :) It's hard. Good luck guys!

Greedy? Sorry we don't have to share anything, We spent a lot of time trying to find these codes, how about you do it yourself?

You 100% did not figure out how to reverse engineer a Linux library and port the native getInstagramString method yourself. I'll bet my life on it so don't give me this "WE spent a lot of time" bullshit. Would love for DragonHunter to comment on this just for the lul factor.

You're right though... you don't have to share shit, but don't get upset when someone says you're being greedy when it's exactly what you're being. The internet is for the sharing of information (or atleast used to be before it got ruined by a bunch of money hungry gluttons). Somewhere along the line these spam kids forgot that and got the "I need to make every last dollar there is because there isn't enough for everybody" mentality. It's absolutely disgusting and speaks quite a bit about human nature these days.

I'll tell you one thing... as soon as I get this shit figured out I will be releasing it publicly for the sole purpose of fucking with the money of the jerk offs who are never willing to share information but are quick as fuck to ask for help when they're stuck. Not gonna name names, but yeah... there's a whole lot of you out there.

Anyhow, enjoy it while you can :)

Spoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)

No but seriously, how much did you have to pay to get that function RE'd?

onlywho - August 27, 2012, 5:05 pm

Go for it, badass.. Ill be happy for you!

idb. - August 27, 2012, 5:12 pm

(Aug 27, 2012 05:05 PM)onlywho Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Go for it, badass.. Ill be happy for you!

Thanks buddy. I'll make sure to let you know 3rd right after 2 other jerk offs who are selfish, greedy, smug pricks such as yourself. Get that money while you can broski :)

onlywho - August 27, 2012, 5:27 pm

I think you wouldnt talk like that if you knew I wasnt making money off of this.

bobsleds - August 28, 2012, 11:40 pm

(Aug 27, 2012 04:34 PM)facebookdoom Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Is that why you were asking for a instagram bot? Because you figured it out yourself?

? lol this says everything.

^5 facebookdoom

newnownext - August 31, 2012, 9:33 am

(Aug 27, 2012 05:01 PM)idb_ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You're right though... you don't have to share shit, but don't get upset when someone says you're being greedy when it's exactly what you're being. The internet is for the sharing of information (or atleast used to be before it got ruined by a bunch of money hungry gluttons). Somewhere along the line these spam kids forgot that and got the "I need to make every last dollar there is because there isn't enough for everybody" mentality. It's absolutely disgusting and speaks quite a bit about human nature these days.

I guess you've never worked very hard on something and had some skid jack the method without doing any of the work. Heh.

Protecting your methods == securing your income, livelihood, and lifestyle. That's the purpose of saving, investing, and having good credit. In case something BAD happens, you've got it to fall back on. There is absolutely nothing wrong with keeping something private if you've worked for the results.

I'm going to assume (which isn't a good thing to do, mind you), that you're saying all of that out of anger and frustration because it's kind of a pain in the ass. I've been there and can definitely empathize, however the reasoning behind your words is flawed and should be checked or at least reworded so you don't come across as the angry kid who didn't get what he wanted for free. I know this isn't the case; I've already stated I know what you're going through. A bone being thrown at you is nice every once in awhile.

jason666 - September 1, 2012, 5:30 am

hey onlywho ,

Are you interested in selling the signed_body method ?

Regards

tweety - September 1, 2012, 5:37 am

Why are people still ing this shit?

jason666 - September 1, 2012, 5:49 am

Hi Tweetie, a

What tweetie ?

idb. - September 1, 2012, 8:43 am

(Aug 31, 2012 09:33 AM)newnownext Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I guess you've never worked very hard on something and had some skid jack the method without doing any of the work. Heh.

This is where I stopped reading. I'm probably the most stolen from member of HF to be completely honest with you. Heh.

newnownext - September 1, 2012, 10:20 am

(Sep 1, 2012 08:43 AM)idb_ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is where I stopped reading. I'm probably the most stolen from member of HF to be completely honest with you. Heh.

Then you should be able to appreciate why people keep things private, then. I'm glad we can agree.

SpK - September 1, 2012, 11:32 am

All you skids are too funny hah. Especially those who beg for the method xD

onlywho - September 1, 2012, 12:11 pm

(Sep 1, 2012 11:32 AM)SpK Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) All you skids are too funny hah. Especially those who beg for the method xD

789 :(

you are funny as well. faggot

jason666 - September 1, 2012, 1:07 pm

(Sep 1, 2012 12:47 PM)SpK Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Nobody was talking to you. I see more and more skids getting the method because they have money and pay fucking idiot Indians to RE it. The challenge is to do it yourself

I guess the challenge is to make money out of it :P

onlywho - September 1, 2012, 1:37 pm

:) lets applaud for spk. Want a cookie?

onlywho - September 1, 2012, 2:23 pm

Instagram issue didn't start 2 weeks ago you dumb***. Signed_body was there the whole time. Until 2 weeks ago, however, you could do it without needing it. Jealousy is a bitch.

haridhori - September 2, 2012, 1:00 pm

(Sep 1, 2012 12:47 PM)SpK Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Nobody was talking to you. I see more and more skids getting the method because they have money and pay fucking idiot Indians to RE it. The challenge is to do it yourself

"Fucking idiot Indians" ? Spk that is offensive buddy. Don't be a racist.

Mark Zuckerberg - September 2, 2012, 1:17 pm

use: <http://web.stagram.com> to scrape the photos, it might not be as fast but should work.

bobsleds - September 13, 2012, 3:31 am

ok finally got the reverse engineering stuff finished but damnit a new beast rears its head- the new rate limit.

does anyone know of a way around instagram's new rate limit? im having trouble with mass liking photos and my single account is getting rated left and right

in the past you could bypass the public api and be able to get away with thousands of likes per hour,

but now even when managing to bypass the public api, my likes are getting rated at random .

bobsleds - September 13, 2012, 3:33 am

fyi for anyone who is curious

first it got rated at 49 likes

then it got rated at 10 likes

then it got rated at 11

then 7

then 27

then 25

then 38

basically it got to about 167 likes in 14 minutes which is HORRIBLE.

and yes this is after successfully reverse engineering. this new rate limit is proving to be a huge problem. bigger than the reverse engineering stuff.

anyone know of a way around this? please help thanks.

bobsleds - September 13, 2012, 3:34 am

edit- nvm tried changing the request to "dtap" per someones suggestion and it didnt make a single difference.

the person who provided the advice then signed off skype. ugh why do people do this. leave red herrings. im not even a competitor im not using this bot to make money i am just using it for a personal account.

bobsleds - September 13, 2012, 3:35 am

also tried changing the delay from 1 second to 2 seconds and nope doesnt help.

it got rated at 119 likes
then got rated at 16

fuu someone must know of a way around this. Please PM me if you have any hints or clues

SpK - September 14, 2012, 6:57 am

(Sep 13, 2012 03:35 AM)bobsleds Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) also tried changing the delay from 1 second to 2 seconds and nope doesnt help.

it got rated at 119 likes
then got rated at 16

fuu someone must know of a way around this. Please PM me if you have any hints or clues
No one is going to help you lol. Keep dreaming.

jason666 - September 20, 2012, 9:09 am

(Sep 13, 2012 03:34 AM)bobsleds Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) edit- nvm tried changing the request to "dtap" per someones suggestion and it didnt make a single difference.

the person who provided the advice then signed off skype. ugh why do people do this. leave red herrings. im not even a competitor im not using this bot to make money i am just using it for a personal account.

can u talk to me on skype ?
i don't know why you blocked me

nikus - October 17, 2012, 10:56 pm

hummmm i still can get it ! PM me or skype

therehere3 - October 17, 2012, 11:22 pm

idk y poeple like so much bots for facebook,twieter,and instagram:/ all those r dum to me:/

bobsleds - October 27, 2012, 8:35 pm

. so what are the latest developments for everyone?

(Oct 17, 2012 10:56 PM)nikus Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) hummmm i still can get it ! PM me or skype

how am i supposed to pm you or skype? you didnt leave any of your contact info and your pms are turned off

has anyone had any developments in this? its highly frustrating

d3vilsn0w - November 2, 2012, 1:03 am

(Aug 24, 2012 06:19 AM)nostuff Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) blabla

I think the code is clear and no need an explain.

If anyone need help pm me.

I ve done a script who generates valid signed_body. Ask in pm.

leave your skype in pm, I can not answer u as i havent 10 posts
I cant Private Message
Please Add on Skype !
abhishek.crazyupload
Thank You i need this asap

papitohero - November 2, 2012, 5:30 am

then what you doing here? ^^ this says INSTAGRAM

Aimqail - November 14, 2012, 9:51 am

I still need help for the signed_body

Aimqail - December 8, 2012, 1:35 am

They did huge changes today aswell.

You can't use any bots/scripts now.

SpK - December 8, 2012, 4:02 am

(Dec 8, 2012 02:35 AM)Aimqail Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) They did huge changes today aswell.

You can't use any bots/scripts now.
Lies. Mine still works successfully.

SIM-1349466 - December 9, 2012, 11:13 am

(Dec 8, 2012 05:02 AM)SpK Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Lies. Mine still works successfully.

Which one do you have?

I found a new bot that still works but not as good. A lot better than "Botstagram"

Aimqail - December 9, 2012, 11:14 am

Any ideas how to bypass that?

Need infos..

SpK - December 9, 2012, 11:37 am

(Dec 9, 2012 12:13 PM)Rebldomokr Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Which one do you have?

I found a new bot that still works but not as good. A lot better than "Botstagram"

I coded it myself. Not publicly available.

Dever - December 18, 2012, 1:12 pm

Hello guys, I actually got the same problem, but I'm doing it through PHP using cURL, but somehow my script stopped working when instagram made te changes to their api(preventing spams)

They easily detect my script as spam when I make 60 likes, and hen I can't use the script until the next day.

I make 1 like per/second using 15 different api clients.

I'm willing to pay as I myself couldn't figure it out for more than a week now.

oneduality - December 19, 2012, 8:16 am

(Dec 18, 2012 02:12 PM)Dever Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hello guys, I actually got the same problem, but I'm doing it through PHP using cURL, but somehow my script stopped working when instagram made te changes to their api(preventing spams)

They easily detect my script as spam when I make 60 likes, and hen I can't use the script until the next day.

I make 1 like per/second using 15 different api clients.

I'm willing to pay as I myself couldn't figure it out for more than a week now.

That's precisely where I am .. I used to be able to just use fiddler to grab my signed_body and then replicate the POST using that signed_body (in my case, my script bulk deletes images) .. but now I just get a response telling me I need to upgrade my instagram app ..

I've set the useragent appropriately .. I think it's failing on signed_body unfortunately.. not sure why

nikus - December 20, 2012, 6:33 pm

I have the new and old key if you wanna deal please add me on skype :) zeus.gram

oneduality - January 16, 2013, 5:25 pm

I've written a java app that generates the signed_body based on decompiling the android version of the app and also by sniffing a lot of traffic to make sure I replicate the POST headers as exactly as possible (including the user-agent ..

I'm very close to success, I know it .. but I've not yet nailed it .. nostuff if you could Skype me I just have a couple of questions, my skype name is the same as my username here.. you don't even necessarily need to provide me with code, just some basic things to help me finish it ..

My bot is written in PHP but I figured I'd just make the signature generator a command line java app and pass it the parameters needed... it spits out the HmacSHA256 sting I generate ..

```
# java gen_sig TEST
c2a5e1a819446532c1463b5afbe2b7b6654fee5c6689bce82c48dc787f01d343
```

netics - February 18, 2014, 3:52 pm

If anybody is interested in signing the POSTs to instagram, contact me on Skype -> cristian.vard

idb. - February 18, 2014, 5:21 pm

(Feb 18, 2014 04:52 PM)netics Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If anybody is interested in signing the POSTs to instagram, contact me on Skype -> cristian.vard

Why are you grave digging a thread that's over a year old?

gigajew - February 18, 2014, 11:00 pm

To prevent stuff like this from happening in the future, always make sure your app checks for updates on startup.

netics - February 19, 2014, 2:38 pm

idb. - sorry, didn't see that. I landed here from Google :)