

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Post to PM Disallowed](#)

Omniscient - January 30, 2013, 11:45 am

In an effort to minimize LQP and also Rep Whoring we are disallowing "Post to PM" style threads.

We already disallow it in a few sections but this is now site-wide.

A new report reason exists. Please report only new threads. Staff will do it's best to close existing threads that violate the policy.

I ask members that if you have this type of thread already that you either close it yourself or edit the thread so that any "Post to PM" remarks are removed.

Anyone violating this policy will get a 25% warning that expires in 2 weeks. So you don't want to violate this policy.

What you can't do:

1. Offer, require, or suggest a user post in order to get a PM.
2. Say "post and I'll PM you".
3. Say "Post to get a PM".
4. Say "if you post I will send you a PM".
5. Say "please post and you will get a PM".
6. Say "Post required to get PM".
7. Say "Post to get download link".
8. Say "To get download link please post".
9. Say "Post to get the ebook".
10. Say "Post here then I PM you".
11. Tell users in PM..."post in thread and I'll send you link".
12. Say "Reply and I'll PM you".
13. Say "If you reply I will send you a PM".
14. Say "Only those who reply will get a PM".
15. Say "Post and PM me"

Is that any more clear?

What you can do:

1. Say "PM me for info".
2. Say "PM me for more info".
3. Say "Send me a PM for download link".

Thank you.

Owl City - January 30, 2013, 11:46 am

Thanks for the update. I just saw it and got curious but I couldn't find it in the Help Documents.

ranfan1 - January 30, 2013, 11:46 am

I'm not exactly sure I understand, but are some of the threads that ask for pms to receive ebooks

included?

Monkey D. Dragon - January 30, 2013, 11:47 am

Good this is in affect well thanks for the update.

Breakbeat Junkie - January 30, 2013, 11:48 am

Took you long enough, thanks for the fix!

-?Divine- - January 30, 2013, 11:48 am

Finally! I'm glad this got implemented.

Vogue - January 30, 2013, 11:48 am

Thank you so much, finally!

Good update!

Viber - January 30, 2013, 11:48 am

Thank you Onmi. I hate when members tried to do that, "Post and PM me". Another job well done by Onmi.

Roger Waters - January 30, 2013, 11:49 am

I hate that crap like legit it takes you two seconds to shoot the person who writes on the thread a PM, like get it together people.

ca9a2536fd8b17df76f7ee082 - January 30, 2013, 11:49 am

What exactly does this mean, does he mean if you have this in a thread "post here then message me" that is against the rules?

Hercules. - January 30, 2013, 11:49 am

Thanks Onmi, the post to pm thing was really annoying.

(Jan 30, 2013 12:49 PM)Google Expert Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What exactly does this mean, does he mean if you have this in a thread "post here then message me" that is against the rules?

Yes, that's what he means.

Omniscient - January 30, 2013, 11:49 am

(Jan 30, 2013 12:46 PM)ranfan1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm not exactly sure I understand, but are some of the threads that ask for pms to receive ebooks included?

100% of course. This is a sitewide rule without exceptions. Ebooks are actually target threads that we want to stop the LQP.

If you offer something there is no reason to say "PM me if interested". A required post to pm is ridiculous and LQP.

What members should be doing is posting download links and eventually I'd love to require it.

Quote:What exactly does this mean, does he mean if you have this in a thread "post here then message me" that is against the rules?

DUH! Fucking DUH. Why are you people morons? I spelled it out for you. NO FUCKING THREADS REQUIRING A POST TO SEND A PM!

SIM-974023 - January 30, 2013, 11:49 am

What does this mean? your not allowed "Post and i'll PM you" threads?

Zalg0 - January 30, 2013, 11:50 am

Thank you so much, finally!
Good update!

Cody - January 30, 2013, 11:50 am

Fair enough, does cause a bit of a spam.

Glad to see this passed also, less waiting time for certain ebooks/programs/etc, although the number of leechers will increase and people will get less credit for there work ? let's just hope some people have morals to say "Thank you" C:

Morchid - January 30, 2013, 11:50 am

I'll be sure to change all mine.
Thanks for this update.

Bizzet - January 30, 2013, 11:50 am

I am not sure your wording is correct or maybe I just don't understand.

Do you mean "Post to Receive" and "PM to Receive" style threads? (When you have to post to get a program, ebook, etc)

Or could you give us an example or something? Thanks!

Nieeli - January 30, 2013, 11:50 am

Good news. No more useless threads with no info unless you PM :D.

'An0ny - January 30, 2013, 11:50 am

It means that "Post here and PM Me" is not allowed.

Question:

- Will i be allowed to fake a post checker, where i tell the people to post then copy their PID to download it? It's not Post to PM.. So is this allowed?

No, it's not a bot. It's faked.

Portugal - January 30, 2013, 11:51 am

This was probably the only thing annoying about hf. It is phenomenal that this is against the rules now.

Feather? - January 30, 2013, 11:51 am

Thanks for this Omni. Will definitely stop the spam/rep posting.

Magic Logs - January 30, 2013, 11:51 am

Thank you for this omni this will make it way easier and faster to get stuff!

Kasic - January 30, 2013, 11:51 am

I think this feature is awesome! Nice one Omni!

Qwazz - January 30, 2013, 11:51 am

Finally! Glad to see this rule being implemented forum wide.

Liquified™ - January 30, 2013, 11:52 am

Alright.

Not so sure about this, considering now people will just take without replying and threads will die out.

But yeah, should remove a ton of LQP.

Natas - January 30, 2013, 11:52 am

ehh this can be both good and bad, it can be bad for the reason people can leach on threads with out posting and take items out of give aways.

Bizzet - January 30, 2013, 11:53 am

(Jan 30, 2013 12:49 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 100% of course. This is a sitewide rule without exceptions. Ebooks are actually target threads that we want to stop the LQP.

If you offer something there is no reason to say "PM me if interested". A required post to pm is ridiculous and LQP.

What members should be doing is posting download links and eventually I'd love to require it.

DUH! Fucking DUH. Why are you people morons? I spelled it out for you. NO FUCKING THREADS REQUIRING A POST TO SEND A PM!

WOW! Thank you so much for this rule. I also so it in my eyes as low quality! It's so disappointing that 50% of the people that say that, don't actually send the link after....

Vlad1k - January 30, 2013, 11:53 am

I think that it should only be allowed for the Freebies Section so the threads could stay on top longer.

'Cody - January 30, 2013, 11:54 am

(Jan 30, 2013 12:53 PM)Vlad1k Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think that it should only be allowed for the Freebies Section so the threads could stay on top longer.

Majority of threads in that section have OP's who don't even check their threads anymore.

ca9a2536fd8b17df76f7ee082 - January 30, 2013, 11:54 am

(Jan 30, 2013 12:49 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 100% of course. This is a sitewide rule without exceptions. Ebooks are actually target threads that we want to stop the LQP.

If you offer something there is no reason to say "PM me if interested". A required post to pm is ridiculous and LQP.

What members should be doing is posting download links and eventually I'd love to require it.

DUH! Fucking DUH. Why are you people morons? I spelled it out for you. NO FUCKING THREADS REQUIRING A POST TO SEND A PM!Alrighty then man, I'm sorry I didn't quite understand what you were talking about. I didn't mean to be a "moron"

Natas - January 30, 2013, 11:54 am

Hey omni does this mean that -

*Post and pm is just gone

Can we make threads such as

*Post and I will PM you ?

If so that would eliminate leachers on threads that will just leach tools / exc and not post.

ZeZim4 - January 30, 2013, 11:54 am

Threads like that were starting to annoy me.. So Thank you Omni.

'An0ny - January 30, 2013, 11:54 am

(Jan 30, 2013 12:53 PM)PhirewireNET Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Not quite sure what this means? you refering to;

Post here then PM me, or post here and I'll pm you threads?

If you read previous post / replies on this thread you'll see the answer.

Post then PM

Post, then wait for my PM

is not allowed..

aSmoo - January 30, 2013, 11:55 am

Awesome update Omni!

Those threads are just...yeah...

Spratty - January 30, 2013, 11:55 am

Great !

I've been waiting for this ^^

Good choice Omni.

~ HD.

Inmodwetrust - January 30, 2013, 11:55 am

Thank's for the update Omni, I'm glad this got implemented.

Kotei - January 30, 2013, 11:56 am

Thank fucking god! I am so god damn sick of shit like this. Glad this finally got implemented.

Zealotry - January 30, 2013, 11:56 am

(Jan 30, 2013 12:54 PM)Natas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hey omni does this mean that -

*Post and pm is just gone

Can we make threads such as

*Post and I will PM you ?

If so that would eliminate leachers on threads that will just leach tools / exc and not post.

I'm wondering this as well. It's pretty much necessary for people to be required to post, or else all threads will die. That's just how HF is.

King Cairo - January 30, 2013, 11:57 am

YES!!!

People that does this SHALL NOT PASS!

But I was kinda tired of it but we'll see how it turns out. :D

webdev77 - January 30, 2013, 11:57 am

Finally. It's about time this was done. I hate not getting a response so I just stopped looking at those threads.

Revenge - January 30, 2013, 11:58 am

I had a feeling this was going to be implemented soon. Going to be interesting to see how it plays out.

??? ??? - January 30, 2013, 11:59 am

Thanks for the update this will have it's up sides and it's down sides in the way there will be more leeching.

SomeWhiteGuy - January 30, 2013, 11:59 am

Nice Omni. Glad to finally see this site wide. ?

S?do - January 30, 2013, 11:59 am

Nice to hear that. Thank you Omni ;)

1CE™ - January 30, 2013, 11:59 am

This is an awesome rule..seriously..it was being an headache for me waiting for others' pm. now it is solved.. :D

[img]http://icons.iconarchive.com/icons/custom-icon-design/pretty-office-8/256/Thumb-up-icon.png[/img]

CPA Exposé - January 30, 2013, 12:00 pm

I think this was a necessary change.

Thanks, Omni.

Skorp - January 30, 2013, 12:00 pm

This should conserve some PM space aswell in HF, nice adjustment here.

Givenchy - January 30, 2013, 12:01 pm

So this will stop to ask a download?

Like in the program and hacking sections ?

webdev77 - January 30, 2013, 12:03 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 01:01 PM)Mark with a k Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So this will stop to ask a download?

Like in the program and hacking sections ?

It means you don't have to post in the thread. You can just PM them instead of posting and PM'ing. It prevents LQP being made to move the thread back to the first page.

Vlad1k - January 30, 2013, 12:03 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 12:54 PM)Cody? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

Majority of threads in that section have OP's who don't even check their threads anymore.

That is so true.

But how do we tell people the private methods?

Rod Serling - January 30, 2013, 12:05 pm

Damn sick of these post and pm threads,

But any how,

There should be an option where a certain part of the thread shows a link if you post though :P

Correct me if there is already that

deidealist - January 30, 2013, 12:05 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 12:54 PM)Cody? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

Majority of threads in that section have OP's who don't even check their threads anymore.

Which implies that the freebies section should be pruned regularly for dead threads (which are 'dead' for a variety of reasons).

Good rule though. The post&PM-me feature didn't really add any security in my opinion.

bad - January 30, 2013, 12:07 pm

Thanks for the action mate.

Finally we will have a "Post and PM" free forum.

ShadowByte - January 30, 2013, 12:08 pm

I tried to edit the thread I made with that, but it says I can't edit it after 1440 minutes. So :S

Tutelary - January 30, 2013, 12:08 pm

Glad to have this rule Omni, sure it will make LQP go down a ton.

Tikii - January 30, 2013, 12:09 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 12:49 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 100% of course. This is a sitewide rule without exceptions. Ebooks are actually target threads that we want to stop the LQP.

If you offer something there is no reason to say "PM me if interested". A required post to pm is ridiculous and LQP.

What members should be doing is posting download links and eventually I'd love to require it.

DUH! Fucking DUH. Why are you people morons? I spelled it out for you. NO FUCKING THREADS REQUIRING A POST TO SEND A PM!

Finally... thanks, it was such a waste of time and very LQ in my opinion. Why not just share direct link right...?

XOwnage - January 30, 2013, 12:10 pm

Wow finally :)

Now we just have to count on the users to leave feedback and not just download.

So only post an pm or is it still allowed to say "post and I pm you"

LEGION - January 30, 2013, 12:10 pm

Thank you Omni! you made my day. Hated that crap ?

Skorp - January 30, 2013, 12:11 pm

Staff are going to have to work 10x harder to make sure this adjustment actually follows through successfully.

Tafelpoot - January 30, 2013, 12:11 pm

Awesome , This will get sharecash a ton of new users xD

?nonymous - January 30, 2013, 12:12 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 01:11 PM)Skorp Wrote: [CITE](#) Staff are going to have to work 10x harder to make sure this adjustment actually follows through successfully.

Then it's good we've got some hard-working staff members. ?

Dan. - January 30, 2013, 12:12 pm

This will sure cut down on a lot of new members joining just to get something offered. Probably found it on Google and only sign up for that reason.

Now thinking about it, this is going to be a much bigger rule than I thought. This pretty much stops all account giveaways as well, since posting them would be posting dumps. Which I know you kind of already made a rule for, but it was never enforced. I guess you've killed two birds with one stone. Brainfart. This is the kind of thinking a 3 hour rested brain provides.

Nice!

Edit: I'm sure you've already planned you are going to enforce this, but maybe you should assign some new mods in the common giveaway sections to help out for a few months.

Weedcake - January 30, 2013, 12:13 pm

That's a great update!

Let's hope this helps out, atleast a bit.

Omniscient - January 30, 2013, 12:16 pm

Quote:Does this include "Post here and I will PM you" type threads?

Holy fucking hell! HOLY SHIT! Are you that fucking idiotic?

Quote:Do you mean "Post to Receive" and "PM to Receive" style threads? (When you have to post to get a program, ebook, etc)

You can't force posting to get a PM. What is so hard to understand?

I don't want spammy little bitches forcing posts like..."I want this" all over HF.

I swear I should make the rule violation an automatic month ban so you get it.

Quote:Will i be allowed to fake a post checker, where i tell the people to post then copy their PID to download it? It's not Post to PM.. So is this allowed?

NOT ALLOWED.

Quote:ehh this can be both good and bad, it can be bad for the reason people can leach on threads with out posting and take items out of give aways.

Explain to me how this effects leaching? Unless I'm a moron it doesn't. How does saying "Post to PM me" stop leaching? It fucking doesn't. You can still require a PM to receive the item. What you can't do is for a POST to get it. You can't tell people "please my fucking shitty thread with LQP so I can be a rep whore and you can get my leached bullshit product".

Quote:I think that it should only be allowed for the Freebies Section so the threads could stay on top longer.

FUCK NO! That's the section with some of the biggest problems for this.

Quote:Hey omni does this mean that -

*Post and pm is just gone

Can we make threads such as

*Post and I will PM you ?

If so that would eliminate leachers on threads that will just leach tools / exc and not post.

GRRRR....warning issued for stupidit.

Listen people...all your wishful thinking is not going to get around the very clear rule.

YOU CANNOT REQUIRE A POST TO GET A PM! The practice on HF is now abolished. You're not allowed to do it. Ever again. For any reason.

Quote:It's pretty much necessary for people to be required to post, or else all threads will die. That's just how HF is.

QUALITY threads will rise to the top because people will respond with feedback or questions. Not just a random post to help the thread for OP.

Quote:But how do we tell people the private methods?

Wow. I'm so disappointed by this. Members, members, members...what's the problem? You got a brain malfunction or what? You can require a PM. You cannot require a post to get a PM.

I should have done this a long time ago. It appears we have a problem with people getting the simplest of rules.

Quote:There should be an option where a certain part of the thread shows a link if you post though :P

We already have a PMME mycode. Just add it to your threads. You simply cannot require a POST to get a PM. And I'm not going to add a "hide content till post" type feature either. I fucking hate those with a severe passion. Really honestly hate those.

Quote:So only post an pm or is it still allowed to say "post and I pm you"

Holy shit? Are you serious? 7 pages and you still want to reword it so that maybe you can think of a way to still require a post to get a pm. NO FUCKING WAY. Warning issued to you as well for idiocy.

Do I need to shut down HF with a clear message for a day so you all get it. YOU CANNOT REQUIRE A POST IN YOUR THREAD IN ORDER TO GET A PM.

Hercules. - January 30, 2013, 12:18 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 01:11 PM)Skorp Wrote: [CITE](#) Staff are going to have to work 10x harder to make sure this adjustment actually follows through successfully.

Actually I think it will be better for them.

The number of reports coming from " Post & PM " threads are plenty due to everyone spamming with useless text such as "35charr", "3535335353" and other LQ posts. So its better to deal with one report rather than plenty of them, that is why this rule is so useful.

Tutelary - January 30, 2013, 12:18 pm

Omniscient, all those people were only asking because that's happened before, where they just say "Post and I'll PM you." we just want your clear opinion so we can reference it later. Thank you.

Bacardi - January 30, 2013, 12:20 pm

It's funny how a lot of people PM you back and say shit like. "I like green apples."

But good to see it applies to the whole forum now.

Zuse - January 30, 2013, 12:24 pm

So glad this was added, now on the thread I can actually read the feedback instead of having a billion people posting to get whatever it is they wanted.

Websync - January 30, 2013, 12:26 pm

Thank god for this. Im happy to see this rule implemented.

Holy fuck, just read some pages, people are having a hard time understanding this?

sirchamp - January 30, 2013, 12:28 pm

I have one little problem. It was already asked before on this thread but not answered. I have a thread like this but I cant close it nor edit it. But there hasnt been a post in it for about a week. What should I do? Reply to it with the direct download or ask a staff to close it?

Zuse - January 30, 2013, 12:30 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 01:28 PM)sirchamp Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have one little problem. It was already asked before on this thread but not answered. I have a thread like this but I cant close it nor edit it. But there hasnt been a post in it for about a week. What should I do? Reply to it with the direct download or ask a staff to close it?

Report the thread, if you can't do that PM staff to remove it.

Clique - January 30, 2013, 12:31 pm

Thank god.

Finally this can stop. :3

'Snorlax - January 30, 2013, 12:31 pm

Thanks a lot, Omni! Been looking forward to this for a long time!

th€ ??!f ?f ?all \$t?€€t - January 30, 2013, 12:31 pm

Now my hackforums Post 2 Download comes handy! Will release it soon!

serg06 - January 30, 2013, 12:33 pm

Best decision since free healthcare in Canada.

Callum. - January 30, 2013, 12:33 pm

Eh, i hope this doesn't get people taking away there products.

Hellaflush! - January 30, 2013, 12:34 pm

I'm a little slow, so I have to post direct link in thread for leechers?

Rofl hackforums, i'm done.
Good job.

serg06 - January 30, 2013, 12:34 pm

I wonder if the "Post and PM" thing is still allowed in the Currency Exchange section.
I know he said "All sections", but why in the marketplace? ._.

Mr.Cannister - January 30, 2013, 12:35 pm

Finally, I've been really bothered with these types of threads as these "posts" are not really adding anything to the thread rather than just ing it up.

?nonymous - January 30, 2013, 12:35 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 01:33 PM)Callum. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Eh, i hope this doesn't get people taking away there products.

"[...]taking away their* products." ?

Hellaflush! - January 30, 2013, 12:35 pm

Wait, can someone clarify this?

So I could still say "Post and i'll pm you" right?

?nonymous - January 30, 2013, 12:36 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 01:31 PM)Deerhunter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Now my hackforums Post 2 Download comes handy! Will release it soon!

As stated this isn't allowed either, sorry buddy.

Percocet - January 30, 2013, 12:36 pm

What if we have a thread like this but we don't remember which one? Are we still going to get a warning level for it or will it be closed by a moderator?

CPA Exposé - January 30, 2013, 12:36 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 01:34 PM)serg06 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I wonder if the "Post and PM" thing is still allowed in the Currency Exchange section.

I know he said "All sections", but why in the marketplace? ._.

"Site-wide"

I suggest delete your post because Omni sounds pissed at people asking obvious questions.

You can require PM, but not POST AND PM.

Bing™ - January 30, 2013, 12:37 pm

Finally you removed this Omni!

I am going to switch my Post & PM threads to instant show.

But you should think on coding the usual hide tags, this would prevent leeching :)

serg06 - January 30, 2013, 12:37 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 01:35 PM)?NONYMOUs Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) "[...]taking away their* products." ?

Gotta wait 'til I get 1k+ rep before I start doing that, otherwise people get angry and -3 like there's no tomorrow.

tux1 - January 30, 2013, 12:37 pm

I am pleased to see this! I think this will make threads a lot higher quality now.

Tikii - January 30, 2013, 12:37 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 01:35 PM)Hellaflush! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Wait, can someone clarify this?
So I could still say "Post and i'll pm you"
right?
Are you serious right now... really ?

Killer. - January 30, 2013, 12:37 pm

Finally! Thanks for implementing this rule Omni. I am sure this would make things easier for us all.

Evangelist - January 30, 2013, 12:38 pm

This is great news. I was kinda tired of this shit.

Hellaflush! - January 30, 2013, 12:38 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 01:37 PM)Tikii Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Are you serious right now... really ?
I'm a little slow, instead of flaming why didn't you just answer rofl.

G H 0 5 T - January 30, 2013, 12:38 pm

My thread got deleted, can I at least get it unjunked? You could have closed it like everyone else, and then I would re-open it and change it.

But mine was junked.

Azido - January 30, 2013, 12:39 pm

Thank fucking god for this new rule. Was getting so sick of PM'ing people.

CPA Exposé - January 30, 2013, 12:39 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 01:37 PM)Monetizer™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Finally you removed this Omni!

I am going to switch my Post & PM threads to instant show.

But you should think on coding the usual hide tags, this would prevent leeching :)
Post and PM never prevented leeching anyway...lol

chrisman0091 - January 30, 2013, 12:39 pm

Can a mod or something edit my post for my free Java Ebook([here](#)) to say PM me instead of comment because of this new rule? I would but I can no longer edit it):

Andyy - January 30, 2013, 12:40 pm

Decent stuff! Fair play for the work..

Pharaohs - January 30, 2013, 12:40 pm

Wow thank god. This is great Omni. But more leechers.

SkyFer - January 30, 2013, 12:40 pm

This is so great, i always wanted something like this, now people can actually leave feedback on giveaway threads ^^ earlier you wouldn't find post like feedback from all those crappy post "I so want to get this, thanks in advance" Thanks again for implementing this rule Omni ^^

EDIT: Just saw people bringing up old threads with post and pm -_- How fast can stupidity spread...

CPA Exposé - January 30, 2013, 12:41 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 01:40 PM)~HF~ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) wait so is it post and the op will pm u the link or is it post and pm the op to get the link?

thanks

You can't ask people to post for PM, or post and PM regardless.

The point is to stop LQP.

You can, however, ask them to PM.

Don't require them to post.

Hypnotoad - January 30, 2013, 12:42 pm

Thank God. I have been waiting for this site wide rule for a while now.

deidealist - January 30, 2013, 12:43 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 01:40 PM)- Sam - Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There is a difference between being slow, and not bothering to read the actual thread. ?

Um it was actually quite confusing to be honest. 'Post to PM' implies that you need to POST in order to PM the OP. Of course, everyone likes be clear about this on all bases and thus the questions about "is post AND PM allowed".

Just change the wording on the original post for God's sake. Or you guys will keep having to suffer with silly questions.

serg06 - January 30, 2013, 12:43 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 01:38 PM)Hellaflush! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm a little slow, instead of flaming why didn't you just answer rofl.

IKR? The title is confusing too - "Post to PM" - I've never heard anyone say that.

He means "Post and PM".

You can say "Post and I'll PM", but - ye.

CPA Exposé - January 30, 2013, 12:44 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 01:43 PM)serg06 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You can say "Post and I'll PM", but - ye. He made it clear you can't do that either, as it will still encourage LQP.

Shad?w - January 30, 2013, 12:44 pm

Sometimes I want to kiss you, Omni.

Thank fuck for introducing this role.

serg06 - January 30, 2013, 12:44 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 01:43 PM)deidealist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Um it was actually quite confusing to be honest. 'Post to PM' implies that you need to POST in order to PM the OP. Of course, everyone likes be clear about this on all bases and thus the questions about "is post AND PM allowed".

Just change the wording on the original post for God's sake. Or you guys will keep having to suffer with silly questions.

That's the grown up world.

People making huge mistakes and not bothering to correct them.

Writing what they think makes sense without looking at it from a different perspective. ;x

Hellaflush! - January 30, 2013, 12:44 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 01:43 PM)serg06 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) IKR? The title is confusing too - "Post to PM" - I've never heard anyone say that.

He means "Post and PM".

You can say "Post and I'll PM", but - ye.

Yeah, and everyones hopping on my fucking dick.

Anyway I think i'm done doing e-whore pack giveaways, I hate leechers.

(Jan 30, 2013 01:43 PM)serg06 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) IKR? The title is confusing too - "Post to PM" - I've never heard anyone say that.

He means "Post and PM".

You can say "Post and I'll PM", but - ye.

Yeah, and everyones hopping on my fucking dick.

Anyway I think i'm done doing e-whore pack giveaways, I hate leechers.

th€ ??!f ?f ?all \$t?€€t - January 30, 2013, 12:45 pm

I think omi wanted to download something from the Free Selection but needed to post :>

ALTER - January 30, 2013, 12:46 pm

Damn, this stuff still happens so much. Will be removing my threads with it now :)

Zuse - January 30, 2013, 12:46 pm

If people still don't get this, they're either super slow or just plain stupid.

CPA Exposé - January 30, 2013, 12:49 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 01:43 PM)serg06 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) IKR? The title is confusing too - "Post to PM" - I've never heard anyone say that.

It's still pretty obvious what he means, though.

How can that little change in wording confuse you so much? ?

?nxy - January 30, 2013, 12:52 pm

I had a feeling you would disallow it one of these days.

Cyber - January 30, 2013, 12:54 pm

Im glad this is site wide never understood why it was so hard for them to just message us

LMFAO. - January 30, 2013, 12:55 pm

What if we want to give away some sort of file only to upgraded members, to prevent it from getting too saturated? Is there another way we can do this? I don't want to post a direct link to everything and have hundreds of people using it.

Liquified™ - January 30, 2013, 12:56 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 01:54 PM)Mr Dark Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Im glad this is site wide never understood why it was so hard for them to just message us

I don't think you understood the point of this.

Read it again.

Terry A. Davis - January 30, 2013, 12:56 pm

Good thing I have never used this method. :) Thanks for the heads up as normal.

Machiavellian101 - January 30, 2013, 12:57 pm

I'm glad this rule got implemented. One it was annoying and sometimes PMs to download links seemed a bit suspicious.

serg06 - January 30, 2013, 12:59 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 01:49 PM)?lue Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's still pretty obvious what he means, though.

How can that little change in wording confuse you so much? ?

Many people look up to Omni as a leader; as a person that never makes mistakes.

According to them, he says what he means.

They're bound by that, and as strongly as they know that it isn't true, they subconsciously feel that way.

When he changes that one word, people are sure that he doesn't mean what we hope he means.

+Bourbon - January 30, 2013, 12:59 pm

I like this rule.

Is there a caveat here that somebody could post a cipher-text password and tell people to PM him to obtain the plain-text password or something alike? Even when providing decryption methods as many people do.

Skorp - January 30, 2013, 1:02 pm

- Sam - Wrote:Not necessarily, generally members report the posts then staff follow up from that.

Normal members are hard workers to.Yeah, the "Post to PM" is such a big favorite in HF. I do not think this single thread will get the attention of all members about the new adjustment. Maybe a red banner for a couple days on the homepage will extremely help implement the new rule.

Terry A. Davis - January 30, 2013, 1:04 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 01:59 PM)ByteBlast Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I like this rule.

Is there a caveat here that somebody could post a cipher-text password and tell people to PM him to obtain the plain-text password or something alike? Even when providing decryption methods as many people do.

I would say that is fine since they don't need to post then PM to get the password.

iNuke - January 30, 2013, 1:04 pm

So if my thread is "post here, I'll PM you", it is not against the rules?

Liquified™ - January 30, 2013, 1:05 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 02:04 PM)iNuke Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So if my thread is "post here, I'll PM you", it is not against the rules?

It is against the rules.

Now you have to put all download links etc. inside the thread directly.

Se?xy - January 30, 2013, 1:05 pm

Woohoo! Thanks for implementing this throughout the WHOLE site!

Marcus Aurelius - January 30, 2013, 1:06 pm

Thank the lord for this.

I hate it because kids will just do this and never reply..

Hellaflush! - January 30, 2013, 1:06 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 02:05 PM)Liquified™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It is against the rules.
Now you have to put all download links etc. inside the thread directly.
What if you have certian requirements for people who you want to have it.
Omni could have thought this through.

Mr Kewl - January 30, 2013, 1:06 pm

Start using the attachment system for threads. I'm glad this rule is enforced forum wide, gotta do more work now. ?

Arcturus Mengsk - January 30, 2013, 1:07 pm

Fuck yeah! I've been waiting for this thing for ages. You have no idea how much I hate that post and pm bullshit.

Terry A. Davis - January 30, 2013, 1:07 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 02:06 PM)FuzZyModz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thank the lord for this.

I hate it because kids will just do this and never reply..

? Don't you mean thank the Omni?

This pun is bad and I feel bad.

Liquified™ - January 30, 2013, 1:09 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 02:06 PM)Hellaflush! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What if you have certian requirements for people who you want to have it.

Omni could have thought this through.

Now he gives me 10% warning rofl, moron.

Well if you want requirements, post in the Advanced Methods section.

That's the closest you're going to get to requirements.

iNuke - January 30, 2013, 1:10 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 02:05 PM)Liquified™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It is against the rules.

Now you have to put all download links etc. inside the thread directly.

Well okay, the direct link of my e-whoring pack have been released since a while, after 130 pages xD

I like this idea but I hope everyone will post and not just download the link and go out :/

Hercules. - January 30, 2013, 1:11 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 02:06 PM)Hellaflush! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What if you have certian requirements for people who you want to have it.

Omni could have thought this through.

Now he gives me 10% warning rofl.

I'm going to LF.

State it in the OP that it is for "Only upgraded members" but don't tell them to post on your thread to get it. Simple.???

CPA Exposé - January 30, 2013, 1:11 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 02:06 PM)Hellaflush! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What if you have certian requirements for people who you want to have it.

Omni could have thought this through.
I'm pretty sure he said you can require a PM, but not a POST AND PM.

The purpose is to prevent LQP.

Liquified™ - January 30, 2013, 1:11 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 02:11 PM)Hercules. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) State it in the OP that it is for "Only upgraded members" but don't tell them to post on your thread to get it. Simple.???

Uhm, this rule means that you must post a link directly on the thread.

So he can't stop people from downloading it?

Effexion - January 30, 2013, 1:11 pm

Finally! It's good that this is now in play. Thanks Omni.

Liquified™ - January 30, 2013, 1:12 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 02:11 PM)?lue Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm pretty sure he said you can require a PM, but not a POST AND PM.

The purpose is to prevent LQP.

Oh!

I see.

My bad, thanks for clearing that up.

4800 - January 30, 2013, 1:13 pm

THANK YOU! that crap was so annoying.

CPA Exposé - January 30, 2013, 1:15 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 01:16 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Explain to me how this effects leaching? Unless I'm a moron it doesn't. How does saying "Post to PM me" stop leaching? It fucking doesn't. You can still require a PM to recieve the item. What you can't do is for a POST to get it. You can't tell people "please my fucking shitty thread with LQP so I can be a rep whore and you can get my leached bullshit product".

Do I need to shut down HF with a clear message for a day so you all get it. YOU CANNOT REQUIRE A POST IN YOUR THREAD IN ORDER TO GET A PM.

Saw a lot of people didn't see this, so re posting to clarify.

Diglett - January 30, 2013, 1:15 pm

Awesome, finally we don't have to wait days before someone replies :)

Very annoying.

-Diglett

Mr Kewl - January 30, 2013, 1:18 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 02:17 PM)xadamxk Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) At last, those damn Post & PM'ers will end >:D
Time to report anyone that hasn't edited theirs lol

Please don't report threads which were posted before this rule, they'll see and edit it eventually..
Only report newer threads..

DeadOwnage - January 30, 2013, 1:20 pm

I am joyed to see that this rule was finally added. People who post such threads contribute nothing to HackForums, but regurgitated content.

Ace Boogie - January 30, 2013, 1:21 pm

Seen a lot of threads recently asking people to post and PM, it does get very annoying. Glad to have a rule to prevent it from happening in the future.

Omniscient - January 30, 2013, 1:21 pm

Quote:But you should think on coding the usual hide tags, this would prevent leeching :)

Requiring a post to pm does nothing to prevent leeching. It just requires a LQP to do so. HF needs less LQP.

What you can't do:

1. Offer to PM a user after requiring them to post.
2. Say "post and I'll PM you".
3. Say "Post to get a PM".
4. Say "if you post I will send you a PM".
5. Say "please post and you will get a PM".
6. Say "Post required to get PM".
7. Say "Post to get download link".
8. Say "To get download link please post".
9. Say "Post to get the ebook".

Is that any more clear?

What you can do:

1. Say "PM me for info".
2. Say "PM me for more info".
3. Say "Send me a PM for download link".

Quote:Uhm, this rule means that you must post a link directly on the thread.

No it does not. Where do I say that? Where does it even hint at that? Rep fucked for not paying attention and spreading rumors and problems.

Maple ?? - January 30, 2013, 1:22 pm

What about the E-whoring section? People can't post the links because it contains nude content meaning people can't get the packs.

Cubs - January 30, 2013, 1:23 pm

I will sadly no longer be sharing anything like one of my threads [here](#).

I can't stand the leeching that takes place from HF.

Sorry guys, hope you realize to take this off soon Omni.

Cubs - January 30, 2013, 1:24 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 02:23 PM)ariton Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I didn't get the point.

Are you disallowing "Post and then PM me" posts or just "Post and wait for my PM" posts? I believe he means it all, nothing along the lines of post to get PM or PM to get PM.

Liquified™ - January 30, 2013, 1:24 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 02:23 PM)ariton Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I didn't get the point.

Are you disallowing "Post and then PM me" posts or just "Post and wait for my PM" posts?

You're not supposed to ask for people to post, just ask them to PM you.

Tutelary - January 30, 2013, 1:24 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 02:23 PM)ariton Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I didn't get the point.

Are you disallowing "Post and then PM me" posts or just "Post and wait for my PM" posts?

Read his response to those threads here:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3219429&pid=30185122#pid30185122>

mrDH - January 30, 2013, 1:25 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 02:21 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Requiring a post to pm does nothing to prevent leaching. It just requires a LQP to do so. HF needs less LQP.

What you can't do:

1. Offer to PM a user after requiring them to post.
2. Say "post and I'll PM you".
3. Say "Post to get a PM".
4. Say "if you post I will send you a PM".
5. Say "please post and you will get a PM".
6. Say "Post required to get PM".
7. Say "Post to get download link".
8. Say "To get download link please post".
9. Say "Post to get the ebook".

Is that any more clear?

What you can do:

1. Say "PM me for info".
2. Say "PM me for more info".
3. Say "Send me a PM for download link".

No it does not. Where do I say that? Where does it even hint at that? Rep fucked for not paying attention and spreading rumors and problems.

Nice to see you can still hide your download link as I would have thought that would have affected the E-whoring section a lot. Any way great new rule.

iNuke - January 30, 2013, 1:26 pm

To avoid leeching problems, you can still crypt your direct link and make people decrypt it! ?

Qxio - January 30, 2013, 1:27 pm

Thanks for finally implementing this. :D

Nille - January 30, 2013, 1:28 pm

Thanks for the update Omni. I hope this is going to save a lot of my time!

Nyonic - January 30, 2013, 1:28 pm

Thank you. Also, thanks for not adding a post to receive link type of plugin.

Yummy - January 30, 2013, 1:29 pm

Awesome, no more LQP. I can't wait till you implement the no Pming to get the DL link, and just have direct download links. But I'd imagine that won't be for a little while. So people can adjust. :)

Max ?? - January 30, 2013, 1:29 pm

Thank god. It's so annoying seeing threads for something you'd like, you post, and don't get a PM.

RULE? - January 30, 2013, 1:31 pm

Goodbye 182 page thread.

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2281732>

R.I.P :/

QAZ??x - January 30, 2013, 1:33 pm

I have question; is it still allowed to have someone post, and then you pm them the link instead of them post and pm you?

Thanks!

CPA Exposé - January 30, 2013, 1:34 pm

No.

The point is to prevent LQP.

You can't require anyone to POST, but you can ask them to PM.

Tutelary - January 30, 2013, 1:34 pm

I'd like to to ask this question: What if somebody PM's you back, "Post in the thread first." Should we forward the PM to a staff member? Report the thread?

QAZ??x - January 30, 2013, 1:35 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 02:34 PM)?lue Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No.

The point is to prevent LQP.

You can't require anyone to POST, but you can ask them to PM.Thanks for clarifying.

Good update, Omni.

y try it y - January 30, 2013, 1:41 pm

Its a good idea but its gonna introduce a new world of leeching, hardly anyone replies to threads after downloading. Good update though.

CPA Exposé - January 30, 2013, 1:46 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 02:41 PM)y try it y Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Its a good idea but its gonna introduce a new world of leeching, hardly anyone replies to threads after downloading. Good update though.

I think a share that is valuable will naturally find its way on the front page and stay relevant.

I think there are enough decent members on the forum that will post in a thread with a review, comment or proper thank you related to what they got from OP rather than just "I want this item thanks in advanced! Pming now~"

Sad Astronaut - January 30, 2013, 1:52 pm

I am not sure I understand what this means, can anyone explain this to me please?

Osuka - January 30, 2013, 1:53 pm

why don't you guys just put the files in a RAR pass protected and post on the thread saying, "PM me

for Pass" instead of "Post and Pm Me for Pass" -,-

CPA Exposé - January 30, 2013, 1:54 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 02:52 PM)SonsOfAnarchy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I am not sure I understand what this means, can anyone explain this to me please?

Don't, under any circumstances, force anyone to post in your thread before you share something (this thread specifically addresses Post and PM).

Simple.

Liquified™ - January 30, 2013, 1:55 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 02:54 PM)UTRYUDIE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Can you say post and ill pm you the password ?
Nope.

You should ask users to PM you for the password.

Asking for users to post is now disallowed.

CPA Exposé - January 30, 2013, 2:00 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 03:00 PM)Nickelodeon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Is this still allowed : "Post here then I PM you" ?
Nope.

Read number 1 on the first page of thread.

Hercules. - January 30, 2013, 2:01 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 03:00 PM)Nickelodeon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Is this still allowed : "Post here then I PM you" ?
No it's not, read the previous posts.

Omniscient - January 30, 2013, 2:01 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 03:00 PM)Nickelodeon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Is this still allowed : "Post here then I PM you" ?
Are you trying to be funny? I'm less than amused. Already rep fucked and banned a couple users.

Rob. - January 30, 2013, 2:08 pm

Oh, so that's why my thread got randomly locked :P

This does help a lot. Now I need to find another way to keep my thread in Free Services and Giveaways active :P

Maybe I'll do like Goodie did.

Also, this thread wasn't here last time I came on, so when I came on right now, I went to check my threads out, and I saw one was locked, so I was just coming here to see what was going on :P

Good catch Omni ;)

Arcturus Mengsk - January 30, 2013, 2:09 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 02:53 PM)iKaros Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) why don't you guys just put the files in a RAR pass protected and post on the thread saying, "PM me for Pass" instead of "Post and Pm Me for Pass" -,-

(Jan 30, 2013 03:00 PM)Nickelodeon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Is this still allowed : "Post here then I PM you" ?
I am pretty sure both of these aren't allowed.

The thread iKaros is referring to is probably more along the lines of.

"PM me for the password, and leave your feedback in the thread"

Kenny West - January 30, 2013, 2:12 pm

IMO, this won't change much. If you can say "PM me for more info", the thread creator can just

easily PM this:

Code Thanks for your interest, the download link is below! Please post on the thread to keep this alive!

And most people will.. ?

We pretty much just created a new monster; we went from Post and PM to PM and Post. God help us.

Omniscient - January 30, 2013, 2:13 pm

Quote:"PM me for the password, and leave your feedback in the thread"

That's fine. Requesting feedback is not a problem. Requiring it for the download is not.

If users also get PMs and reply with "Post in my thread and I'll send you link" that's not gonna fly either. I'll ban you.

Nickelodeon - January 30, 2013, 2:14 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 03:01 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Are you trying to be funny? I'm less than amused. Already rep fucked and banned a couple users.No, definitely not. I read it wrong. Sorry :\$

H A L I K - January 30, 2013, 2:15 pm

I really feel very great to hear this<3 thank you so much omni for this great news.

Ynne - January 30, 2013, 2:16 pm

Yay! Finally.

I like this new rule.

Tech - January 30, 2013, 2:16 pm

I think this is a beneficial rule, that will increase the quality of this site.

Arcturus Mengsk - January 30, 2013, 2:16 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 03:13 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That's fine. Requesting feedback is not a problem. Requiring it for the download is not.

If users also get PMs and reply with "Post in my thread and I'll send you link" that's not gonna fly either. I'll ban you.

Yeah that is what I was thinking. Because feedback usually pertains to how much someone likes/dislikes something, or if the item in question needs to be changed or made better. Better quality, better pizza, Papa Johns.

Nickelodeon - January 30, 2013, 2:16 pm

This will make it easier for me to take advantage of giveaways :)

Jemjem787 - January 30, 2013, 2:18 pm

Thanks for this new rule! It will help the stupid RepWhore Ub3rs to stop making those!

deidealist - January 30, 2013, 2:21 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 03:13 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That's fine. Requesting feedback is not a problem. Requiring it for the download is not.

What difference does it make? Do you honestly think people are going to post high quality comments about whatever is being offered? No. We'll just get more of "Thanks for the link OP." with

an extra bit saying the product was "awesome".

I think requesting feedback should be banned too. Its too similar to rep-whoring in my opinion. If people genuinely have any feedback to offer, I'm sure they'll give it without any persuasion.

Liquified™ - January 30, 2013, 2:22 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 03:21 PM)deidealist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What difference does it make? Do you honestly think people are going to post high quality comments about whatever is being offered? No. We'll just get more of "Thanks for the link OP."

I think requesting feedback should be banned too. Its too similar to rep-whoring in my opinion. If people genuinely have any feedback to offer, I'm sure they'll give it without any persuasion.

You need some way to keep threads from dying out and fading into the depths of HF's overlooked content.

White Eagle - January 30, 2013, 2:23 pm

Thank you Omni!

Finally, i've waited for this long time.

Jesse Pinkman - January 30, 2013, 2:25 pm

Thank god! It's been quite annoying.

Ross Ulbricht - January 30, 2013, 2:26 pm

Thank you! I've been waiting for you to do this :p

CurosMJ - January 30, 2013, 2:28 pm

Is this PM message any wrong, as a reply for putting "PM me for this" in my thread?

Code Here's the Link:

<http://bit.ly> [WARNING: potentially infected]/XX

Please post again on my thread if you like this.

Regards,
CurosMJ

Xpect - January 30, 2013, 2:29 pm

Thank you omni hated when you had to wait for the op to pm you it gets annoying waiting for them.

'Snorlax - January 30, 2013, 2:30 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 03:28 PM)CurosMJ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Is this PM message any wrong?

Code Here's the Link:

<http://bit.ly> [WARNING: potentially infected]/XX

Please post again on my thread if you like this.

Regards,
CurosMJ

Omni wrote: Requesting feedback is not a problem. Requiring it for the download is not.

CPA Exposé - January 30, 2013, 2:31 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 03:29 PM)?Hizz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thank you omni hated when you had to wait for the op

to pm you it gets annoying waiting for them.
You still might have to wait for OP to PM you.

He can ask you to PM.

Not require you to post, though.

Carl Elias - January 30, 2013, 2:32 pm

I am really happy for this, hopefully now those threads that are on the top pages that have been there for months will disappear! Really happy with this, hope that it is enforced very well so people stop doing this!!!

deidealist - January 30, 2013, 2:32 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 03:22 PM)Liquified™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You need some way to keep threads from dying out and fading into the depths of HF's overlooked content.

We could devise a system which saves good threads from dying. We could analyze which threads have decent (and meaningful) feedback by looking at the number and quality of replies and sticky them for a month or something. For this we could also have a monthly user poll where we can ask users to nominate a couple of threads of their choice. This way quality content remains on the top for a duration while allowing new content to receive attention too. If we have the manpower, we could also create a sticky which contains a list of active but buried threads which have good content in them. Its a lot of work but it would be worth it.

I'm sure that there is a better way to keep good content at the top without involving potentially LQ posts. After all, this is the situation that the staff seems to be trying to avoid.

Liquified™ - January 30, 2013, 2:33 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 03:32 PM)deidealist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) We could devise a system which saves good threads from dying. We could analyze which threads have decent (and meaningful) feedback by looking at the number and quality of replies and sticky them for a month or something. For this we could also have a monthly user poll where we can ask users to nominate a couple of threads of their choice. This way quality content remains on the top for a duration while allowing new content to receive attention too. If we have the manpower, we could also create a sticky which contains a list of active but buried threads which have good content in them. Its a lot of work but it would be worth it.

I'm sure that there is a better way to keep good content at the top without involving potentially LQ posts. After all, this is the situation that the staff seems to be trying to avoid.

That's waaay too much useless work for nothing.

Plus, no way for the stickies, stickies are too useful.

There would be too many HQ threads to sticky anyways.

Arcturus Mengsk - January 30, 2013, 2:33 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 03:28 PM)CurosMJ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Is this PM message any wrong, as a reply for putting "PM me for this" in my thread?

Code Here's the Link:

<http://bit.ly> [WARNING: potentially infected]/XX

Please [b]tell me how you liked my product/service[/b].

Regards,
CurosMJ

There we go, I think thats more of a better sentence than the previous one.

Positive - January 30, 2013, 2:34 pm

This is going to be quite fun :p

If any of you find a thread violating this run (that is already existing), please PM me.

'Snorlax - January 30, 2013, 2:36 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 03:34 PM)Positive Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is going to be quite fun :p

If any of you find a thread violating this run (that is already existing), please PM me.

How about a report as ...?

Low Quality perhaps?

deidealist - January 30, 2013, 2:37 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 03:33 PM)Liquified™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That's waaay too much useless work for nothing. Plus, no way for the stickies, stickies are too useful.

There would be too many HQ threads to sticky anyways.

That is why I suggested a single sticky containing links to buried threads which have useful/good content in them (and are still active).

It isn't useless work in my opinion. I definitely don't think most users are going to bother leaving proper feedback all the time. It will just go back to the old LQP situation sans the "Post here and PM me" part.

Cloudy - January 30, 2013, 2:39 pm

I'm glad you updated the thread. It really wasn't very clear when I first read it.

Liquified™ - January 30, 2013, 2:40 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 03:39 PM)Cloudy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm glad you updated the thread. It really wasn't very clear when I first read it.

Agreed.

Sadly, I completely misunderstood the original post.

Nickelodeon - January 30, 2013, 2:42 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 03:40 PM)Liquified™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Agreed.

Sadly, I completely misunderstood the original post. Me too, I thought only "Post here and PM me" aren't allowed. But all types are ?

Hentai? - January 30, 2013, 2:45 pm

I can see how this helps but It helped keep my thread at top of list till got 2k posts. ?

Positive - January 30, 2013, 2:45 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 03:36 PM)Snorlax Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How about a report as ...?

Low Quality perhaps?

Why report it as "low quality" when he implemented a new report option: "Post to PM."

I said report them to me and I will close them immediately (will ease Staffs' work)

Omniscient - January 30, 2013, 2:49 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 03:45 PM)Hentai? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I can see how this helps but It helped keep my thread at top of list till got 2k posts. ?

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2481054&page=2>

Your thread is 2000 replies of crap. It's the stuff I want to avoid. Go read the thread. It's pointless. It's full of LQP. It angers me that you think it's appropriate content for this site.

REP FUCKED

Tony Stark - January 30, 2013, 2:52 pm

Thank you! Finally was waiting for this to be implemented for a while. ?

Mises - January 30, 2013, 2:53 pm

This is really a great rule to be added.

It always angered me when most of the free service section was filled with this type of junk.

Positive - January 30, 2013, 2:53 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 03:49 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2481054&page=2>

Your thread is 2000 replies of crap. It's the stuff I want to avoid. Go read the thread. It's pointless. It's full of LQP. It angers me that you think it's appropriate content for this site.

REP FUCKED

Some people don't get that "reply to thread" and "post below" are the same thing. ???

TechApp - January 30, 2013, 2:56 pm

Hell, fucking, yes. It's about time you do this. Been waiting for this rule for ages!

jeiceei - January 30, 2013, 3:00 pm

so, there won't be more " would like to get this man omg omg omg "

we'll just gonna PM to OP am i right ?

deidealist - January 30, 2013, 3:02 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 04:00 PM)jeiceei Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) so, there won't be more " would like to get this man omg omg omg "

we'll just gonna PM to OP am i right ?

Yes and no.

If the OP just provides the link, you can leave feedback if you feel like it.

Otherwise you PM the OP for a link and (again) leave feedback if you want to.

Hentai? - January 30, 2013, 3:05 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 03:49 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2481054&page=2>

Your thread is 2000 replies of crap. It's the stuff I want to avoid. Go read the thread. It's pointless. It's full of LQP. It angers me that you think it's appropriate content for this site.

REP FUCKED

Thanks omni that fuck was HQ. ?

Can't wait for round two. ?

Omniscient - January 30, 2013, 3:12 pm

Quote:so, there won't be more " would like to get this man omg omg omg "

We're not preventing replies. We're preventing OP from requiring a reply.

'Snorlax - January 30, 2013, 3:13 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 03:45 PM)Positive Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why report it as "low quality" when he implemented a new report option: "Post to PM."

I said report them to me and I will close them immediately (will ease Staffs' work)
Oh sorry, did not see he added that. I will PM you if I find a thread in a while.

Thanks for enlighten :)

Jiszle - January 30, 2013, 3:27 pm

I love you so much, this is a great update.

Tweteh - January 30, 2013, 3:38 pm

I was wondering just now what it meant and got help from the lovely staff Wolves who redirected me to this thread.

Wolves - January 30, 2013, 3:39 pm

Very much needed, goodness knows how many posts on this forum are, "Plz send me the link".

Positive - January 30, 2013, 3:41 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 04:39 PM)Wolves Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Very much needed, goodness knows how many posts on this forum are, "Plz send me the link".

I'd say at least a good 20% or so. This will surely slow that to a stop, I hope. ?

Psychotiic - January 30, 2013, 3:47 pm

i think this is unfair, sometimes you make that post so you wont have a flood of pm's but whatever....theres always something thats a load of crap.

Chammer - January 30, 2013, 3:48 pm

Thanks for the update. This should clean out the forums.

Zuse - January 30, 2013, 3:51 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 04:47 PM)Psychotiic Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) i think this is unfair, sometimes you make that post so you wont have a flood of pm's but whatever....theres always something thats a load of crap.

If you don't want a ton of PM's just post the direct link, if you don't want to do that don't post it at all.
?

Scooby Doo. - January 30, 2013, 3:51 pm

Thank you! I will report a shit load of these that happen to emerge, they're annoying as shit.

whyXade - January 30, 2013, 3:52 pm

Thanks, sure this will slow down the LQP bs.

I still don't think this will affect the LQP that much imo.

Skidded - January 30, 2013, 3:53 pm

Ah finally, I was hoping that this would happen. I hated those Post and PM threads.

Gee4rce - January 30, 2013, 3:55 pm

Maybe i got something wrong here,
but is that the reaction regarding to Who?'s Thread

"how to make this section suck less"

(<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3214390>)

I am glad to see that there is some attention payed on this Forum Section,
but honestly this new Rule doesn't solve the main Problem that we dicussed about;

Random deface Threads, Repeating Questioning Threads, DDoS Threads, etc. ...

Maybe this is something completely different here and i just got it wrong? ?

Greetings, Gee.

username333 - January 30, 2013, 3:57 pm

This is a great rule and I'm glad to see it's put into effect.

Thanks.

?Local™ - January 30, 2013, 3:58 pm

Thank god.

This was one of the most offputting features of HF.

The limitless guides that sound good but having to post for a link.

It really doesn't stop leeching and if anything causes server memory to be wasted on pretty much useless posts.

zIFuLLILeTe - January 30, 2013, 4:02 pm

amazing update, this will stop much spam.

SwimmingPool - January 30, 2013, 4:04 pm

Thank you for this! I was really getting sick of those threads. I always wanted to just PM the poster and get the downloading link. Not do both.

(° ? °) - January 30, 2013, 4:09 pm

Thank god, I was waiting for a year for this :)

Kamina - January 30, 2013, 4:10 pm

Thanks for the warning about this Omni. I just edited my e-whore pack so all you have to do is PM me to get it.

I also removed the saying to post in the thread and added a spoiler saying you didn't need to post at all to get it.

Astronome - January 30, 2013, 4:18 pm

Finally after all this time, the rule has arrived.

It's about time some change happened around here.

Positive - January 30, 2013, 4:24 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 04:47 PM)Psychotiic Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) i think this is unfair, sometimes you make that post so you wont have a flood of pm's but whatever....theres always something thats a load of crap.

What? ?

If you don't want a "flood of PMs," just post a direct download link and people won't be bothered.

imjustanoob - January 30, 2013, 4:27 pm

thank god for this I waiting for a this since I joined hackforums I think even the "PM me for the link" posts should be included too, if someone wants to help then he should post a direct link :)

BoomShot - January 30, 2013, 4:28 pm

Glad to see this rule come up.

Thank you.

Mag1c-Pot - January 30, 2013, 4:29 pm

It will be interesting to see what will happen to the Advanced Methods section after this.

I predict there will be a massive shortage of rep whores sharing leeched old overused methods from now on. GG.

mrnapolean1 - January 30, 2013, 4:38 pm

This is the best thing you have done to HF.

Now we can eliminate them type of threads from the site. Thanks Omni for enhancing the site further.

DDos - January 30, 2013, 4:41 pm

Finally i hope we will get rid of all that leeching junk posts that are lowering the quality of this FORUM ! Great Call for Omni ! Its HQ time now !

Psychotiic - January 30, 2013, 4:48 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 04:51 PM)D?lusion Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If you don't want a ton of PM's just post the direct link, if you don't want to do that don't post it at all. ?

ahh of course, the famous way of dealing with things.... I hate how when there is any issue there is always someone who just has the answer...maybe I just wanted to make a post

Reptar - January 30, 2013, 4:52 pm

Can I ask people to vouch in the thread to keep it alive?

Psychotiic - January 30, 2013, 4:58 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 05:41 PM)_DDos_ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Finally i hope we will get rid of all that leeching junk posts that are lowering the quality of this FORUM ! Great Call for Omni ! Its HQ time now !

your dumb if you think that will stop leaching, this site is full of it, all of the skids come here. The reality is the people here are the ones that are fucked up are on here, this site seems to have more people trying to scam or give away those shit cash links. Doing this will not stop the LQ crap that gets posted daily

Dan. - January 30, 2013, 5:01 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 04:39 PM)Wolves Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Very much needed, goodness knows how many posts on this forum are, "Plz send me the link".

I'd be willing to say it's a fair bit over a million.

(Jan 30, 2013 05:52 PM)Reptar ? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Can I ask people to vouch in the thread to keep it alive?

You can not require them to post to get a download link, or any other type of content. Asking for feedback is allowed.

Positive - January 30, 2013, 5:06 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 05:52 PM)Reptar ? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Can I ask people to vouch in the thread to keep it

alive?

No, but you can ask them for feedback if they enjoy the product (not "can you post your feedback on my thread").

Note: If a thread has been closed by a Staff member/moderator, it will not be reopened. Do not PM anyone regarding it either (no "can you open so I can edit my post").

[Omniscient's reply.](#)

logging*machine - January 30, 2013, 5:14 pm

This will slow down the amount of low quality posts going on lately.

Dr.Money - January 30, 2013, 5:14 pm

Glad this has been brought into effect. Thanks Omni ?

???? - January 30, 2013, 5:23 pm

Aww Yis. People are taking advantage of this kind of stuff and It's getting ridiculous.

Elegant - January 30, 2013, 5:25 pm

This was a kinda stupid rule to make, but whatever.

Positive - January 30, 2013, 5:26 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 06:25 PM)Elegant Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This was a kinda stupid rule to make, but whatever. Honestly, this should have been placed a long time ago. Guessing you haven't seen the giveaways/ewhoring/ebook sections?

UbZerv - January 30, 2013, 5:26 pm

Guess it would just be smarter to have a mediafire link to a archive with your freebee protected and a text file requiring people to PM you with a keyword in order to get the password to unlock it.

Trill? - January 30, 2013, 5:31 pm

Yay no more of this.

I've been waiting for a rule like this :)

Nathann22 - January 30, 2013, 5:31 pm

Awesome!

This should hopefully cut down on people that never actually send it out too!

yf44 - January 30, 2013, 5:32 pm

FINALLY!! I hate thread like this. This is so time consuming, and most the time, they don't even reply back.

DDos - January 30, 2013, 5:56 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 05:58 PM)Psychotiic Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) your dumb if you think that will stop leaching, this site is full of it, all of the skids come here. The reality is the people here are the ones that are fucked up are on here, this site seems to have more people trying to scam or give away those shit cash links. Doing this will not stop the LQ crap that gets posted daily

Maybe it will not get rid of it all but it will sure lower the amount of LQ threads, and if you do not like this forum so much why are you in here at all.

Evident - January 30, 2013, 5:56 pm

Finally. This is going to help out so much.

cultivity - January 30, 2013, 6:09 pm

At last! The eWhoring section was getting really annoying with this kind of threads.

deiski - January 30, 2013, 6:35 pm

Finally! Thank you for implementing this, 'twas starting to get ridiculous.

56473482332 - January 30, 2013, 6:47 pm

You say we will receive at 25% warning if we don't edit and change it, but when I try to edit it says I can't within 1440 minutes of posting it originally. What can I do so I don't get warning++? Will my thread be deleted?

Tutelary - January 30, 2013, 6:50 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 07:47 PM)rekcaH Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You say we will receive at 25% warning if we don't edit and change it, but when I try to edit it says I can't within 1440 minutes of posting it originally. What can I do so I don't get warning++? Will my thread be deleted?

You have to [upgrade](#) in order to remove that restriction. Also, since you a regular member, you might want to ask a staff member politely to close/junk your thread. It really depends on the staff member, they might do it, they might ask you to upgrade.

Positive - January 30, 2013, 6:51 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 07:47 PM)rekcaH Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You say we will receive at 25% warning if we don't edit and change it, but when I try to edit it says I can't within 1440 minutes of posting it originally. What can I do so I don't get warning++? Will my thread be deleted?

More than likely.

To stop the removal of your thread, upgrade to l33t.

Can edit/delete your own posts without time limits; what you want right? Start the upgrading process [here](#).

ryoh - January 30, 2013, 7:18 pm

Holy shit. Finally, I hate Post+PMs.

Goodies has a nice giveaway going at the moment that is all the links in one thread.

Black Shadow - January 30, 2013, 7:23 pm

Just seen this now what a great idea.

Zealotry - January 30, 2013, 7:42 pm

Does anybody know if the "Download here!" link to site is allowed?

Phizo - January 30, 2013, 7:50 pm

"Post to PM" helps minimize leeching when you're offering something; you may want to share without distributing it publicly for everyone to abuse.

Although, some people do it with e-books and whatnot, which is pretty ridiculous considering they can be found on Google.

Zuse - January 30, 2013, 7:58 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 08:42 PM)Zealotry Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Does anybody know if the "Download here!" link to site is allowed?

Yes this is allowed, you just can't request the person to post to get the link.

Reptar - January 30, 2013, 8:03 pm

I personally hate this idea for some reasons

Now I can either make a thread and have literally only my OP post stating that im giving away accounts or give away the method. If I do the 1st option then my thread drowns away with the other 1,000 threads which are commented on or either made a day. The second option now is totally unreasonable because its my private method and I hate leechers who'll try to take complete credit for my stuff

This literally ruined the giveaway/freebies section. Not helped..

Mr Kewl - January 30, 2013, 8:08 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 09:03 PM)Reptar ? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I personally hate this idea for some reasons

Now I can either make a thread and have literally only my OP post stating that im giving away accounts or give away the method. If I do the 1st option then my thread drowns away with the other 1,000 threads which are commented on or either made a day. The second option now is totally unreasonable because its my private method and I hate leechers who'll try to take complete credit for my stuff

This literally ruined the giveaway/freebies section. Not helped..

You can still control whom do you want to give your freebie to. It's your fault, if you hand it over to leecher.

1000 replies which are mostly LQP, doesn't help the site.

Zuse - January 30, 2013, 8:10 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 09:03 PM)Reptar ? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I personally hate this idea for some reasons

Now I can either make a thread and have literally only my OP post stating that im giving away accounts or give away the method. If I do the 1st option then my thread drowns away with the other 1,000 threads which are commented on or either made a day. The second option now is totally unreasonable because its my private method and I hate leechers who'll try to take complete credit for my stuff

This literally ruined the giveaway/freebies section. Not helped..You can still tell your audience to PM you for the link/download you just can't tell them to post, if your product/download really works and is useful, most, if not all your customers should comment giving feedback.

If not, you can ask them for feedback as stated earlier in the thread.

Grin - January 30, 2013, 8:10 pm

This is a very nice change. Thanks for the addition.

Dan. - January 30, 2013, 8:13 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 09:03 PM)Reptar ? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I personally hate this idea for some reasons

Now I can either make a thread and have literally only my OP post stating that im giving away accounts or give away the method. If I do the 1st option then my thread drowns away with the other 1,000 threads which are commented on or either made a day. The second option now is totally unreasonable because its my private method and I hate leechers who'll try to take complete credit for my stuff

This literally ruined the giveaway/freebies section. Not helped..

Well obviously you're not going to like it. Every single one of your reputations are from Post and PM threads, and with a name like Reptar I bet this rule makes you mad.

Nyonic - January 30, 2013, 8:15 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 09:03 PM)Reptar ? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I personally hate this idea for some reasons

Now I can either make a thread and have literally only my OP post stating that im giving away accounts or give away the method. If I do the 1st option then my thread drowns away with the other 1,000 threads which are commented on or either made a day. The second option now is totally unreasonable because its my private method and I hate leechers who'll try to take complete credit for my stuff

This literally ruined the giveaway/freebies section. Not helped..

I have found a solution to your problem, have them PM you and ask for it.

No posting though

Cyber Duck - January 30, 2013, 8:29 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 09:03 PM)Reptar ? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I personally hate this idea for some reasons

Now I can either make a thread and have literally only my OP post stating that im giving away accounts or give away the method. If I do the 1st option then my thread drowns away with the other 1,000 threads which are commented on or either made a day. The second option now is totally unreasonable because its my private method and I hate leechers who'll try to take complete credit for my stuff

This literally ruined the giveaway/freebies section. Not helped..

This is exactly what happened to me.

I have a VPS Guide with around 35 pages of posts. And it magically got closed and now if i open it again i will get no posts to it.

I thought you should make a thing where you still have to post but on the main thread the link comes after. Must be an addon for it

56473482332 - January 30, 2013, 8:30 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 07:51 PM)Positive Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) More than likely.

To stop the removal of your thread, upgrade to I33t.

Can edit/delete your own posts without time limits; what you want right? Start the upgrading process [here](#).

(Jan 30, 2013 07:50 PM)Lakai™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You have to [upgrade](#) in order to remove that restriction. Also, since you a regular member, you might want to ask a staff member politely to close/junk your thread. It really depends on the staff member, they might do it, they might ask you to upgrade.

I see. Thanks for the answers guys. Unfortunately I'll have to wait to upgrade. Just spent a lot on college application fees, lol.

Howard Stark - January 30, 2013, 8:50 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 09:13 PM)Dan. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well obviously you're not going to like it. Every single one of your reputations are from Post and PM threads, and with a name like Reptar I bet this rule makes you mad.I actually lol'ed at this post. Don't take this the wrong way Reptar, I love you, but this was just funny.

Gentlema?n - January 30, 2013, 8:56 pm

To sum this all up, just say "Send me a PM for download link."

The rest is what Omniscient.

(B)(U)(B)(B)(L)(E)(S) - January 30, 2013, 8:58 pm

Finally added this in :) I've been asking about this...

Xerotic - January 30, 2013, 9:01 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 09:29 PM)RYDUR Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I thought you should make a thing where you still have to post but on the main thread the link comes after. Must be an addon for it
THIS IS EXACTLY WHY HE ADDED THIS RULE.

This adds no quality to site:

"posting to see link.. hurr durrr omg omg i wanna get this!!"

Just post the fucking link in the OP of the thread. Imagine users who Google something, find a thread on HF, but no resource? Instead a message "You gotta PM me for it". They will likely just leave. What if the OP is gone? The thread is useless.

Use your brain.

b a e - January 30, 2013, 9:08 pm

Sweet, been waiting for this for a while.

PePsiAIEX - January 30, 2013, 9:11 pm

Oh, I thought people just said that so they could subscribe to the thread and keep on top :/ But it does make a lot of sense now.

Just had to quickly quote:

(Jan 30, 2013 09:56 PM)Gentleman. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) To sum this all up, just say "Send me a PM for download link."

The rest is what Omniscient.

LOL, Nice one.

Liquified™ - January 30, 2013, 9:14 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 10:01 PM)xerotic Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) THIS IS EXACTLY WHY HE ADDED THIS RULE.

This adds no quality to site:

"posting to see link.. hurr durrr omg omg i wanna get this!!"

Just post the fucking link in the OP of the thread. Imagine users who Google something, find a thread on HF, but no resource? Instead a message "You gotta PM me for it". They will likely just leave. What if the OP is gone? The thread is useless.

Use your brain.

That's exactly what I thought, and apparently that's not what Omni meant.

You can still make people PM you, just not post to receive content.

Got refucked for saying that you needed to put links in the thread, I misunderstood too.

Yukine - January 30, 2013, 9:17 pm

Good update, 99% of the time you would not get the pm
hopefully this will help with the issue.

Riley Reid - January 30, 2013, 9:59 pm

finnally great update sick of those post and pms.

Reptar - January 30, 2013, 10:35 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 09:13 PM)Dan. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well obviously you're not going to like it. Every single one of your reputations are from Post and PM threads, and with a name like Reptar I bet this rule makes you mad.

Someone thinks they're hot shit. That's what owning a group will do to you ???

Dextraction - January 30, 2013, 10:37 pm

Thanks Omni, happy this rule has now been implemented.

DaFreak - January 30, 2013, 10:37 pm

Great update. Finally its enforced :)

Tyler? - January 30, 2013, 10:39 pm

I'm so glad this rule is finally here. I absolutely HATE post and PM threads.

505FTW - January 30, 2013, 10:49 pm

good thanks omni! that stuff was starting to get annoying when people dont check their threads

phlow - January 30, 2013, 10:50 pm

Thank god this shit is finally being enforced.

ven0m - January 30, 2013, 11:02 pm

Finally you made the rule. This will sure control the LQP.

Cloud9™ - January 30, 2013, 11:15 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 12:54 PM)Natas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hey omni does this mean that -

*Post and pm is just gone

Can we make threads such as

*Post and I will PM you ?

If so that would eliminate leachers on threads that will just leach tools / exc and not post. That's what I've been thinking this whole time.

Only reason people started the "post and pm me" crap was to rid of leechers.

Apostle - January 30, 2013, 11:22 pm

Good news Omni.

Will control LQP posting.

Trump - January 30, 2013, 11:24 pm

I'm actually very happy this got implemented.

Error #404 - January 30, 2013, 11:32 pm

Finally, for to long have giveaways been a rep whore and post boosting area. Thanks mate.

Blackberry ® - January 30, 2013, 11:39 pm

(Jan 30, 2013 12:48 PM)Purple Kush Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thank you Onmi. I hate when members tried to do that, "Post and PM me". Another job well done by Omni.

Reggae* and weed is all you need. ?

RackaG - January 31, 2013, 12:19 am

fair enough thanks for the update illmake sure to make a note of that

mohit - January 31, 2013, 1:07 am

(Jan 30, 2013 12:45 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What you can't do:

1. Offer, require, or suggest a user post in order to get a PM.
 2. Say "post and I'll PM you".
 3. Say "Post to get a PM".
 4. Say "if you post I will send you a PM".
 5. Say "please post and you will get a PM".
 6. Say "Post required to get PM".
 7. Say "Post to get download link".
 8. Say "To get download link please post".
 9. Say "Post to get the ebook".
 10. Say "Post here then I PM you".
 11. Tell users in PM..."post in thread and I'll send you link".
 12. Say "Reply and I'll PM you".
 13. Say "If you reply I will send you a PM".
 13. Say "Only those who reply will get a PM".Just wanted to highlight an error - the last bullet should be "14" instead of "13".
- Thank You!

RyanC - January 31, 2013, 1:34 am

(Jan 31, 2013 12:52 AM)Reptar ? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Haha, this mean the people who are mad about this are only repwhores?

Exactly, only repwhoring scum would have a problem with this.

Ombra - January 31, 2013, 1:37 am

Mmm i have some threds of mine that use this... I ll edit them soon becoause at the moment i'm away from home and my connection isn't working :(

RyanC - January 31, 2013, 1:37 am

(Jan 30, 2013 08:50 PM)Phizo Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) "Post to PM" helps minimize leeching when you're offering something; you may want to share without distributing it publicly for everyone to abuse.

Although, some people do it with e-books and whatnot, which is pretty ridiculous considering they can be found on Google.

If you want to prevent leeching just make people PM you about it. If you want to keep your inbox clear: delete PMs.

But at the end of the day, if you want to stop leeching: Don't share,

JackDaHusky - January 31, 2013, 3:45 am

Ooooh yess this is sooo welcome!

Opened knowledge should be available, and this rule makes sure that there will be no tricks or just copied tutorials/ebooks. Great!

SIM-888979 - January 31, 2013, 3:56 am

I only do post to receive as people doesn't seem to like saying a simple thanks to the thread to the top. Oh well.

RyanC - January 31, 2013, 3:58 am

(Jan 31, 2013 04:56 AM)Aurora Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I only do post to receive as people doesn't seem to like saying a simple thanks to the thread to the top. Oh well.

If people appreciated whatever you are giving them enough, they would post without you encouraging them to.

'Cody - January 31, 2013, 4:00 am

(Jan 31, 2013 04:33 AM)Codevade Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I am RepWhoring Scum and I believe this alters nothing related to my Giveaways here. All my threads are Post and PM me :) Not post and get PM. Anything requiring a post is now disallowed. :)

Codevade - January 31, 2013, 4:10 am

(Jan 31, 2013 05:00 AM)Cody? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Anything requiring a post is now disallowed. :) Yes. My PM box was already overloaded. Now it will be one giant clusterfuck of shit.

Elweth - January 31, 2013, 4:10 am

Hey I got a question, if I were to offer the product to the users on my thread, and ask them to post their success results for more of my scripts and then PM me for it, is that allowed?

- 1) I already gave them the product, it's just that it's in partial. This is available in the thread.
- 2) I wanted them to post their success results as a form of vouch, in exchange for the full product.

Makarena™ - January 31, 2013, 4:13 am

It is more sure mate thanks for you action is a good protection for users

RyanC - January 31, 2013, 4:13 am

(Jan 31, 2013 05:10 AM)Yashiro Isana Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hey I got a question, if I were to offer the product to the users on my thread, and ask them to post their success results for more of my scripts and then PM me for it, is that allowed?

- 1) I already gave them the product, it's just that it's in partial. This is available in the thread.
 - 2) I wanted them to post their success results as a form of vouch, in exchange for the full product.
- So you are selling people a product and giving them a part of it, then asking them to write a review to write the full product? That seems pretty fraudulent to me.

Elweth - January 31, 2013, 4:16 am

(Jan 31, 2013 05:13 AM)Who? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So you are selling people a product and giving them a part of it, then asking them to write a review to write the full product? That seems pretty fraudulent to me.

It's not a product actually, but just some scripts.

I'm giving them 5 FREE working scripts, and if they want more(which increases the amount of points they gain), they got to post their success results then PM me.

The thread is in my signature.

'Cody - January 31, 2013, 4:17 am

(Jan 31, 2013 05:10 AM)Yashiro Isana Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hey I got a question, if I were to offer the product to the users on my thread, and ask them to post their success results for more of my scripts and then PM me for it, is that allowed?

- 1) I already gave them the product, it's just that it's in partial. This is available in the thread.
 - 2) I wanted them to post their success results as a form of vouch, in exchange for the full product.
- No, it wouldn't be. That's still requiring users to post.

SIM-888979 - January 31, 2013, 4:20 am

(Jan 31, 2013 04:58 AM)Who? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If people appreciated whatever you are giving them enough, they would post without you encouraging them to.

I guess that's true, however alot are leechers, haha. Oh well, guess I'll close my threads c:

Elweth - January 31, 2013, 4:20 am

(Jan 31, 2013 05:17 AM)Cody? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No, it wouldn't be. That's still requiring users to post. But it's posting their success results, maybe with screenshots and stuff. Not just some low quality post like " please give me 3 more scripts thank " kind.

Anyway thanks, editing my threads now.

??nsomnia™ - January 31, 2013, 4:20 am

Oh thank god. I think this rule was needed for a long time..

CPA Exposé - January 31, 2013, 4:20 am

(Jan 31, 2013 05:16 AM)Yashiro Isana Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's not a product actually, but just some scripts.

I'm giving them 5 FREE working scripts, and if they want more(which increases the amount of points they gain), they got to post their success results then PM me.

The thread is in my signature.

If any idea you have requires someone to post for something in return, the answer will be no.

RyanC - January 31, 2013, 4:22 am

(Jan 31, 2013 05:16 AM)Yashiro Isana Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's not a product actually, but just some scripts.

I'm giving them 5 FREE working scripts, and if they want more(which increases the amount of points they gain), they got to post their success results then PM me.

The thread is in my signature.

How is that different from the thing that's been disallowed here? How does it matter that you are giving them a few things before asking them to post so you can PM them the other things?

Elweth - January 31, 2013, 4:27 am

(Jan 31, 2013 05:22 AM)Who? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How is that different from the thing that's been disallowed here? How does it matter that you are giving them a few things before asking them to post so you can PM them the other things?

(Jan 31, 2013 05:20 AM)?lue Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If any idea you have requires someone to post for something in return, the answer will be no.

Yea edited my thread already, thanks for answering. Was quite confused earlier on, sorry.

'An0ny - January 31, 2013, 4:31 am

(Jan 31, 2013 05:30 AM)devilkingg007 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Don't you think it the pretty much the same thing as "post + pm " ?

No, this does not require LQ replies. If they are LQ, then the only one that needs to see them is the one that required it.. This also wont allow post ing..

Manwë - January 31, 2013, 4:33 am

Finally, thanks Omni! I've been annoyed by this for too long.

Infinity. - January 31, 2013, 4:35 am

Could we put Mediafire link in the thread and Say "PM me for the password" ?

Ice Cream Sandwich - January 31, 2013, 4:44 am

This is a great idea, thanks omni :)

'Cody - January 31, 2013, 4:52 am

(Jan 31, 2013 05:35 AM)Infinity! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Could we put Mediafire link in the thread and Say "PM me for the password" ?

Yeah. As long as it doesn't require a post on the thread.

Isaac Newton - January 31, 2013, 5:21 am

Kinda giggled at the 13 points. Lol.

?lixir - January 31, 2013, 5:55 am

Thank you omni.. All i see is post to pm bullshit.

w?rloct - January 31, 2013, 6:00 am

Good update! I Hated this. Good that this got updated.

Brilliant™ - January 31, 2013, 6:02 am

For fuck's sake !! Thank you so much man. A lot of members including me were pissed off due to Post & PM me threads. Thanks for putting a full stop to that.

Verilog - January 31, 2013, 6:08 am

Now this is what we have been waiting sir, awesome rule omni :)

kray - January 31, 2013, 6:08 am

People are over-thinking this.

You can require a PM to get an item, you simply cannot require a post to get an item.

You can ask for them to provide feedback on the thread, you cannot require them to.

Omni plans to fully phase out requiring a PM soon, and requiring the download link to be posted in the thread.

Dan. - January 31, 2013, 6:38 am

(Jan 30, 2013 11:35 PM)Reptar ? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Someone thinks they're hot shit. That's what owning a group will do to you ???

I know right, now I have a group I have turned into a power hungry mother fucker. Is it odd for me to feel like I'm better than everyone else? Because that's how I feel and I kind of like it.

kray - January 31, 2013, 6:40 am

(Jan 31, 2013 07:38 AM)Dan. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I know right, now I have a group I have turned into a power hungry mother fucker. Is it odd for me to feel like I'm better than everyone else? Because that's how I feel and I kind of like it.

Yeah Reptar, you should be thankful Dan is courteous enough to admit it.

Invisus - January 31, 2013, 7:17 am

Would it be allowed to have a thread telling people to PM you for a download link, and once they receive the PM, it will include asking the member to post feedback or similar to the thread, in order for other members to see it, or is that forbidden as well?

Reject - January 31, 2013, 7:19 am

Does this include <http://#.net> that uses scripts to ensure they posted?

Liquified™ - January 31, 2013, 7:20 am

(Jan 31, 2013 08:19 AM)Reject Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Does this include <http://#.net> that uses scripts to ensure they posted?

Yes, it does.

You're not allowed to require people to post to receive content.

cyb3rzone - January 31, 2013, 7:20 am

Finally !!! No more post and pm Good Job <3

alignment - January 31, 2013, 7:28 am

(Jan 31, 2013 08:17 AM)Invisus Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Would it be allowed to have a thread telling people to PM you for a download link, and once they receive the PM, it will include asking the member to post feedback or similar to the thread, in order for other members to see it, or is that forbidden as well?

Would be the same as " PM for more information ".

Liquified™ - January 31, 2013, 7:54 am

(Jan 31, 2013 08:28 AM)Special One Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Would be the same as " PM for more information ".

As far as my understanding of this rule goes, asking people for feedback via PM is fine.

The thing that is disallowed is forcing people to post to receive content, which leads to a bunch of LQPs.

alignment - January 31, 2013, 7:56 am

(Jan 31, 2013 08:54 AM)Liquified™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) As far as my understanding of this rule goes, asking people for feedback via PM is fine.

The thing that is disallowed is forcing people to post to receive content, which leads to a bunch of LQPs.

Correct, you just took the words out of my mouth.

The thing is that you post an thread saying for example; " Private message me and recieve an Steam Account! ", which is completely legitimate.

sophrosyne - January 31, 2013, 8:00 am

I am so glad that this is now applied. No more Post for PM crap. W00t.

Trust. - January 31, 2013, 8:13 am

Damn, wish I could have known sooner or we had time to change/edit our threads. I spent time making mine, and they're locked up now.

teonanacalt - January 31, 2013, 8:25 am

Finally! Thanks for implementing this rule. This will make threads a lot higher quality for feedback or questions/answers.

6577657534735 - January 31, 2013, 8:27 am

Awesome rule this is great thanks ;p

APTO - January 31, 2013, 8:49 am

Love all of these people sucking up to omni...

CryptoBot - January 31, 2013, 9:12 am

Isn't the number 3; 3. Say "Send me a PM for download link". Basically the same thing, Just a better

written sentence than Post and PM

Mr Kewl - January 31, 2013, 9:31 am

(Jan 31, 2013 10:12 AM)?Google Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Isn't the number 3; 3. Say "Send me a PM for download link". Basically the same thing, Just a better written sentence than Post and PM
Is it required to post in the Op's thread? No.

Miki - January 31, 2013, 9:33 am

Ohh, a great new addition, so many LQ threads will be junked now.

Isaac Newton - January 31, 2013, 9:42 am

Are the 13 points applicable in the PM as well?

If one says "PM me". And in replying PM he says "Post in thread first" or "For more info than this, post in thread first".

Technically he's not asking in OP but it's obvious violation.

Liquified™ - January 31, 2013, 9:59 am

(Jan 31, 2013 10:42 AM)Isaac Newton Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Are the 13 points applicable in the PM as well?
If one says "PM me". And in replying PM he says "Post in thread first" or "For more info than this, post in thread first".

Technically he's not asking in OP but it's obvious violation.

You're not allowed to make people post by telling them via PM either.

You need to give them the content without them needing to post.

'Cody - January 31, 2013, 10:09 am

(Jan 31, 2013 10:42 AM)Isaac Newton Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Are the 13 points applicable in the PM as well?
If one says "PM me". And in replying PM he says "Post in thread first" or "For more info than this, post in thread first".

Technically he's not asking in OP but it's obvious violation.

Doing that will get you banned. <.<

CryptoBot - January 31, 2013, 10:22 am

(Jan 31, 2013 10:31 AM)Mr Kewl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Is it required to post in the Op's thread? No.
Fair point i suppose then ;)?
????

Fluxify - January 31, 2013, 10:28 am

What if you got a thread in the marketplace?

Is it allowed to write: pm me for more info

LiamDW - January 31, 2013, 10:30 am

(Jan 30, 2013 12:51 PM)portugal Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This was probably the only thing annoying about hf.
It is phenomenal that this is against the rules now.

The only annoying thing about HF is your fucking profile pic.

Have a good day sir.

'Cody - January 31, 2013, 10:30 am

(Jan 31, 2013 11:28 AM)Fluxify Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What if you got a thread in the marketplace?

Is it allowed to write: pm me for more info

Yes, you just can't force them to post.

Zuse - January 31, 2013, 11:55 am

Once again guys, no matter how many different ways you make up YOU CAN TELL THEM TO POST, whether its in a PM or the THREAD you CAN NOT tell them to post, BUT you can ask them for FEEDBACK.

WinRAR™ - January 31, 2013, 1:19 pm

I have a question omniscient. What if someone s a post to pm thread that was made before the rule and someone reports it? What would happen to OP?

CryptoBot - January 31, 2013, 1:34 pm

(Jan 31, 2013 02:19 PM)WinRAR™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have a question omniscient. What if someone s a post to pm thread that was made before the rule and someone reports it? What would happen to OP?

He stated this you get a warning if you don't change it and post delete

Splendid - January 31, 2013, 1:45 pm

Excellent, I am known of battling of LQP around HF, and this rule is very much needed.

Omniscient - January 31, 2013, 1:46 pm

Quote:Could we put Mediafire link in the thread and Say "PM me for the password" ?

Yes because you're not requiring them to post.

Quote:I know right, now I have a group I have turned into a power hungry mother fucker. Is it odd for me to feel like I'm better than everyone else? Because that's how I feel and I kind of like it.

You are better than everyone else. :)

Quote:If one says "PM me". And in replying PM he says "Post in thread first" or "For more info than this, post in thread first".

Not allowed.

Quote:What if you got a thread in the marketplace?

Is it allowed to write: pm me for more info

Allowed. The rule is against "post and then pm me". Honestly read the thread.

Quote:I have a question omniscient. What if someone s a post to pm thread that was made before the rule and someone reports it? What would happen to OP?

Nothing. Thread will be closed.

Tutelary - January 31, 2013, 2:08 pm

Omniscient, I know you've already made it crystal clear that you can't require a post in order to get a PM, but what about just a post in general? For example, in <https://hackforums.net/forumdisplay.php?fid=155>, (Members Contests) a lot of threads require you to post in order to be able to win the contest. (Not the DLL Link to a product/software) Is that allowed?

HRKiNG - January 31, 2013, 2:14 pm

This is an awesome rule..seriously..i never got any PM frm any one so far(posted in more then 500 thread no reply or PM frm them :()... hope now i don't have to wast my time posting and waiting for it... even i will never get it.

CPA Exposé - January 31, 2013, 2:15 pm

(Jan 31, 2013 03:14 PM)HRKiNG Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is an awesome rule..seriously..i never got any PM frm any one so far(posted in more then 500 thread no reply or PM frm them :()... hope now i don't have to wast my time posting and waiting for it... even i will never get it.

You still might have to waste your time waiting for them because it's not against the rules to ask for PM. They just won't require you to post.

Also, attachments are not viewable by normal members, so if they decide to use that, you're kind of outta luck unless you upgrade.

Alfred - January 31, 2013, 2:18 pm

Yes! My prayers have been answered! Thank you for implementing this. :D

Unnoticed - January 31, 2013, 2:22 pm

I'm kinda confused. Can I still ask for people to PM me if I am giving them a free steam account?

CPA Exposé - January 31, 2013, 2:36 pm

(Jan 31, 2013 03:22 PM)Flowerpot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm kinda confused. Can I still ask for people to PM me if I am giving them a free steam account?

You can always ask people to PM you.

You cannot require them to POST before PMing you.

kray - January 31, 2013, 3:59 pm

(Jan 31, 2013 03:08 PM)Lakai™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Omniscient, I know you've already made it crystal clear that you can't require a post in order to get a PM, but what about just a post in general? For example, in <https://hackforums.net/forumdisplay.php?fid=155>, (Members Contests) a lot of threads require you to post in order to be able to win the contest. (Not the DLL Link to a product/software) Is that allowed?

[To my interpretation of the OP]Yes, this is allowed. [I am not trying to give misinformation if I am wrong, but as per the OP, and the OP only, nowhere did it say ANYTHING about requiring only a post, as long as the post is not required to yield a PM]

If a post is require, what is the completion of the requirement yielding?

If the answer is entry into a giveaway or something that is not the following it's allowed, if the answer is receiving a PM or being allowed to send a PM it is disallowed, no catches, no tricks. Pretty fucking simple if you ask me.

If you require a PM in order to receive something this is 100% allowed, as you're not requiring a POST.

If you ask someone to post after giving them something (not requiring, just asking), such as feedback, this is 100% allowed.

What the fuck is so hard to understand?

You cannot require a post, in order to receive/send a PM which is most cases to receive an item/piece of information/download.

Seriously, if anyone has any other questions behind the logic of this new rule, or anything about this new rule or any rule in general, PM me, I know the [Help Docs](#) by heart.

Elements. - January 31, 2013, 4:00 pm

Thank the fucking lord. About time this happened Love you for this Omni! <3

Tutelary - January 31, 2013, 4:14 pm

(Jan 31, 2013 04:59 PM)Kray Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes, this is allowed. ???

If a post is require, what is the completion of the requirement yielding?

If the answer is entry into a giveaway or something that is not the following it's allowed, if the answer is receiving a PM or being allowed to send a PM it is disallowed, no catches, no tricks. Pretty fucking simple if you ask me.

If you require a PM in order to receive something this is 100% allowed, as you're not requiring a POST.

If you ask someone to post after giving them something (not requiring, just asking), such as feedback, this is 100% allowed.

What the fuck is so hard to understand?

You cannot require a post, in order to receive/send a PM which is most cases to receive an item/piece of information/download.

Seriously, if anyone has any other questions behind the logic of this new rule, or anything about this new rule or any rule in general, PM me, I know the [Help Docs](#) by heart.

I got a conflicting answer from Positive:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3222445&pid=30215288#pid30215288>

I'd rather trust a Mentor than a Member. ^^

Torrance - January 31, 2013, 4:32 pm

HELL YES.

I hate those fucking threads.

Rob. - January 31, 2013, 4:35 pm

(Jan 31, 2013 05:14 PM)Lakai™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I got a conflicting answer from Positive:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3222445&pid=30215288#pid30215288>

I'd rather trust a Mentor than a Member. ^^

He speaks the truth though; this is only about asking to post and then PM. Like Omni said on the original post, only on those instances is where this applies. Just asking to post (you don't PM them, unless they win), is fine.

Re-read the original post again to see what I mean ;)

Darc - January 31, 2013, 4:42 pm

I think this is actually a really smart update. Thanks for the update Omni. ?

vasahni - January 31, 2013, 4:43 pm

Thanks for this, cuz many people tell that "Download Link will be given in pm, just post here for it" bla bla..98% of the people like those were liars, they had no dl link Imfao

kray - January 31, 2013, 5:01 pm

(Jan 31, 2013 05:14 PM)Lakai™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I got a conflicting answer from Positive: <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3222445&pid=30215288#pid30215288>

I'd rather trust a Mentor than a Member. ^^Well, that would mean that Group Recruitments are disallowed, as they require an post with an application to be eligible to join the group.

I am confident in my previous answer, but if I am proven wrong, I won't be uncourtly about it.

Tutelary - January 31, 2013, 5:02 pm

(Jan 31, 2013 05:35 PM)Rob. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) He speaks the truth though; this is only about asking to post and then PM. Like Omni said on the original post, only on those instances is where this applies. Just asking to post (you don't PM them, unless they win), is fine.

Re-read the original post again to see what I mean ;)

Omni stated that if OP requires posts at all, it was disallowed:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3219429&pid=30188432#pid30188432>

Reptar - January 31, 2013, 5:52 pm

The sad part of this is that there's too much dick riding going on to give an honest opinion

Basically if you disagree with this you're automatically a repwhore seeking attention. So I better just agree anyway

kray - January 31, 2013, 5:59 pm

(Jan 31, 2013 06:02 PM)Lakai™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Omni stated that if OP requires posts at all, it was disallowed: <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3219429&pid=30188432#pid30188432> I think you may be taking his reply out of context, I PMed him, alas no reply was sent. I wouldn't be surprised if, when he isn't as busy, posts some sort of clarification towards this quarrel. Till then, we may as well be interpreting something written by a dead person 1000s of years ago.

Positive - January 31, 2013, 6:06 pm

(Jan 31, 2013 06:02 PM)Lakai™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Omni stated that if OP requires posts at all, it was disallowed: <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3219429&pid=30188432#pid30188432>

That is exactly where I got my reply.

If members want to be in a contest, instead of posting "hey op i want 29 thnx" they could PM him/her and they could add the user to the contest.

Makes sense in my eyes, maybe not to others.

Groups I get though, as they do require an application (and aren't low quality *usually*).

Stay Plural - January 31, 2013, 6:08 pm

I have been waiting for this rule to take part.

What about "Post and PM me"?

Re?olution - January 31, 2013, 6:08 pm

A much needed change, tired of dealing with people who posts threads like these but are never around to even keep up with the PMs they receive. Hopefully this will cut down on the number of leechers for rep as well.

Walt Disney™ - January 31, 2013, 6:09 pm

Sounds good. Never personally done the nots before. This fixes alot of LQ.

Blade - January 31, 2013, 6:35 pm

Seems legit, nice new rule but I kinda think this should be allowed in giveaways..

Positive - January 31, 2013, 6:40 pm

(Jan 31, 2013 07:35 PM)Blade Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Seems legit, nice new rule but I kinda think this should be allowed in giveaways..That section is basically the reason this was placed.
It was implemented to stop the low quality posts in that section, as-well as others.

l????l??? - January 31, 2013, 6:49 pm

Thanks for the update good way to keep forum clean.

Hamley - January 31, 2013, 7:08 pm

Omni, if they were to PM, and I asked them to make a post in the thread, from within the PM, to get a link to what they wanted, would that then be allowed?

Tutelary - January 31, 2013, 7:11 pm

(Jan 31, 2013 08:08 PM)Muricha Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Omni, if they were to PM, and I asked them to make a post in the thread, from within the PM, to get a link to what they wanted, would that then be allowed?

Look at Omniscient's "11." point in his original post. That would be a no.

Jobi Won - January 31, 2013, 7:12 pm

Thank god for this, its finally time this was made

prodigyhf - January 31, 2013, 7:13 pm

So glad that this rule is finally in place. I think I've had a couple threads like this in the past but I can't find them. I'm pretty sure I've already closed them.

Cody8295 - January 31, 2013, 7:48 pm

Seems a bit too restrictive if you ask me, but whatever.

Maya Badmash - January 31, 2013, 9:04 pm

hmmm I Think This Is The Reason Two Of My Threads Has Been Closed.

drugs - January 31, 2013, 10:39 pm

Does this count in the marketplace? When I make a thread trying to sell something, I like users to make just a single post saying that they're interested in purchasing my item, then continue the rest of the transaction in PM. That way if that scam, there's evidence that that person was interested in my item.

Not sure if this made sense, but if someone understands what I'm trying to say, please let me hear your input.

Paradoxum - February 1, 2013, 12:12 am

(Jan 31, 2013 11:39 PM)RawrPLUR Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Does this count in the marketplace? When I make a thread trying to sell something, I like users to make just a single post saying that they're interested in purchasing my item, then continue the rest of the transaction in PM. That way if that scam, there's evidence that that person was interested in my item.

Not sure if this made sense, but if someone understands what I'm trying to say, please let me hear your input.

In that context, it is acceptable.

Infinity. - February 1, 2013, 12:34 am

(Jan 31, 2013 05:52 AM)Cody? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah. As long as it doesn't require a post on the thread.

Yeah, Thanks for it OP, and yeah Great work on implementing This rule Omni, Let's see how things turn out now :)

aermoid - February 1, 2013, 1:16 am

Finally! I hate those threads that have something awesome but you have to post and you'll get it but you end up never getting it.

Desty - February 1, 2013, 1:17 am

I am also Glad about this rule. I just hated posting on thread "Can you PM me the links pls", felt so LQ.

Thanks for that.

(Feb 1, 2013 01:34 AM)Infinity! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah, Thanks for it OP, and yeah Great work on implementing This rule Omni, Let's see how things turn out now :)

OneTXxL - February 1, 2013, 2:39 am

Thank you for this information, you're right to point this out well, thank you for maintaining this excellent forum, good luck to the whole team.

Autopost - February 1, 2013, 2:46 am

I think it's a good update; one that's needed.

Also a HUGE props to Paradoxuim, who must have posted in 100+ threads that Ive seen.

Evanescence - February 1, 2013, 3:26 am

Omniscient i have a question, i usually provide premium accounts as giveaway so i am a bit confused

what can i do with it, i cant post all the accounts as it may get leeches and i have to update the accounts

every 5-10 minutes, is there a excuse for this type of giveaway ?

Thanks.

pubmaster - February 1, 2013, 3:28 am

(Feb 1, 2013 04:26 AM)D|Known45 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Omniscient i have a question, i usually provide premium accounts as giveaway so i am a bit confused

what can i do with it, i cant post all the accounts as it may get leeches and i have to update the accounts

every 5-10 minutes, is there a excuse for this type of giveaway ?

Thanks.

You are allowed to say, PM me to get this.

WDCFTW - February 1, 2013, 3:39 am

Can you increase inbox size then Omni, also my thread got deleted on short notice tyvm.... not really fair was 2weeks old

Evanescence - February 1, 2013, 4:07 am

(Feb 1, 2013 04:28 AM)PubMaster Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You are allowed to say, PM me to get this.

Aha thank you for letting me know this.

Justin P Was Here - February 1, 2013, 4:11 am

this is finally really good new and this have been bothering me for a long time :) thanks omni

Slizza - February 1, 2013, 4:14 am

Thanks Omni for another great update, cheers bro. Hopefully this will work.

Marko1000Marko - February 1, 2013, 5:10 am

No, thank you Omni! I hope this works out.

WaffleCream - February 1, 2013, 5:23 am

This is awesome, I really hate there post for PM threads they are just time consuming and I never get a PM

Glassy - February 1, 2013, 7:32 am

So glad this rule is implemented forum-wide. ?

kray - February 1, 2013, 8:58 am

(Feb 1, 2013 04:39 AM)iphish Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Can you increase inbox size then Omni, also my thread got deleted on short notice tyvm.... not really fair was 2weeks old

Why would you require an increased inbox size?

Positive - February 1, 2013, 9:52 am

(Feb 1, 2013 04:39 AM)iphish Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Can you increase inbox size then Omni, also my thread got deleted on short notice tyvm.... not really fair was 2weeks old

Your thread was violating a rule, therefore it will be closed.

An increased inbox size? What is that point of that? ???

Zuse - February 1, 2013, 11:32 am

He wants his inbox size increased due to so many people messaging him for the DL link/program.

However, @iphish If you don't want your inbox filled up then just post the DL link/program in the thread. ?

Positive - February 1, 2013, 11:49 am

(Feb 1, 2013 12:32 PM)D?lusion Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) He wants his inbox size increased due to so many people messaging him for the DL link/program.

However, @iphish If you don't want your inbox filled up then just post the DL link/program in the thread. ?

That, or he can just upgrade to increase his inbox size. ?

Doctor Blue - February 1, 2013, 12:33 pm

It's funny.

I had a guide written directly in the post, still I have 2-3 replies saying "Can I get this?".

This is a good decision because those posts asking for a download link are pretty much useless and raise users post count (Which is meant to show activity).

I was thinking you could create a plugin for MyBB with a download button, and then when you clicked it, the post would be ed without adding a post. I highly doubt this would be worthwhile for anyone capable of doing it. Good things will get to the top eventually.

splantoon - February 1, 2013, 2:20 pm

Awesome ! We are finally done with this way to do thanks !

nastytrickshotz - February 1, 2013, 4:27 pm

was wondering why my threads all got closed last week haha. thanks for the update. wont be doing the pm me for link because i like my message space open haha

Grumpy - February 1, 2013, 7:43 pm

Hopefully will help to reduce low quality posts on the forum, good work admin.

PinkPanther - February 1, 2013, 11:02 pm

YES!! Thank you Omni!! I hate people that do this shit.

?exy - February 2, 2013, 3:23 am

about time we needed this :D Thanks for the update ^.*

OBliviON - February 2, 2013, 8:59 am

So thats why one of my other threads got closed ._ Oh well.

Artisanal - February 2, 2013, 2:25 pm

Nice Rule ?

Those posts where annoying ??? ...

Reptar - February 2, 2013, 2:31 pm

(Feb 2, 2013 03:25 PM)Zombay Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Nice Rule ?

Those posts where annoying ??? ...

I agree. Those posts "WERE" annoying, but I can't find "WHERE" they are anymore because those threads are gone ???

Artisanal - February 2, 2013, 3:29 pm

(Feb 2, 2013 03:31 PM)Reptar ? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I agree. Those posts "WERE" annoying, but I can't find "WHERE" they are anymore because those threads are gone ??? I still see enough for example marketplace and vb ? ...

drugsbunny - February 3, 2013, 4:28 am

Yeah and the netflix section they dont even send you the accounts they just want you to post its annoying

Yeah and the netflix section they dont even send you the accounts they just want you to post its annoying

hackexplorer - February 3, 2013, 4:35 am

Wow. Its a great rule to implement.Thanks a lot...

V???g??? - February 3, 2013, 5:30 am

(Feb 2, 2013 04:29 PM)Zombay Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I still see enough for example marketplace and vb ? ... He was talking about your incorrect usage of the words "were" and "where"

Don't let it get to you, alot of people make that mistake.

Prince™ - February 3, 2013, 8:44 am

Finally, it has come. But I'm afraid that posts count will get reduced a bit now.

Menalix - February 3, 2013, 11:39 am

Finally I have been waiting much for this.

ALiEN_r00t - February 3, 2013, 1:11 pm

?This is best ever message i've read on hackforums great..

because all things on this forum which have been posted owner said: P0st and i will pm you. What is this???? just to get more comments and his post to be on TOP ??? bad..

Nice this is great rule.

Anyone who use this method " P0st and i will pm u " i don't like those guys who show off.

this new rule will change the forum now better.

Krew - February 3, 2013, 1:50 pm

This will make a lot of good threads die. Is it allowed to ask users to PM you for it (to prevent leakage), and they have the option to post?

SouthStreetDjs - February 3, 2013, 1:52 pm

I like this because there will be a lot less boosting

pelayo - February 3, 2013, 7:58 pm

(Feb 3, 2013 02:50 PM)Krew Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This will make a lot of good threads die. Is it allowed to ask users to PM you for it (to prevent leakage), and they have the option to post?

This may also make a lot of threads that are actually quality threads flourish and stay on page 1 longer.

Honestly, most threads that ask for PM are complete garbage.

Tutelary - February 3, 2013, 8:05 pm

(Feb 3, 2013 02:50 PM)Krew Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This will make a lot of good threads die. Is it allowed to ask users to PM you for it (to prevent leakage), and they have the option to post?

Yes. You cannot require them to post, though.

Omur - February 3, 2013, 8:07 pm

Damn, I don't like this at all :/

Oh well

Devising - February 3, 2013, 8:42 pm

This is great. no more of this post to get something.

it will make threads die faster but oh well.

Jet??? - February 3, 2013, 9:47 pm

Didn't see this coming but I'm glad it did. This was getting annoying.

Goodies - February 4, 2013, 12:23 am

Oh my god thank you! I see these so much! One of the best most hq rules yet! I really appreciate it omni <3

FrAnK_BaUeR - February 4, 2013, 3:27 am

Does it mean, i need Reputation for POST Comments?

TheVortex - February 4, 2013, 3:30 am

(Feb 4, 2013 04:27 AM)FrAnK_BaUeR Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Does it mean, i need Reputation for POST Comments?Wow a 1 post l33t member. Anyways on topic I don't really understand your question you can't make post and pm me threads no matter what.

jamesblake - February 4, 2013, 5:45 am

Off topic but if someone is posting your ebook or method and claiming it's theirs can i report the thread?

TheVortex - February 4, 2013, 5:49 am

(Feb 4, 2013 06:45 AM)jamesblake Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Off topic but if someone is posting your ebook or method and claiming it's theirs can i report the thread? Yes you can report their thread for Leeches Post and contact staff with proof that it is yours.

jamesblake - February 4, 2013, 5:56 am

still people posting shit, ban hammer should be used to get rid of leechers and morons

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3224148&page=3>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2750292&page=149>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3214002&page=4>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3143064&page=6>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3215689>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3230686>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3195919&page=6>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3226505>

kray - February 4, 2013, 6:10 am

(Feb 4, 2013 06:56 AM)jamesblake Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) still people posting shit, ban hammer should be used to get rid of leechers and morons

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3224148&page=3>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2750292&page=149>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3214002&page=4>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3143064&page=6>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3215689>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3230686>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3195919&page=6>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3226505>

PM the threads to an online staff, mentor or (last resort) Admin, preferably not Omniscient, as he is busy.

Positive - February 4, 2013, 6:32 am

(Feb 4, 2013 06:56 AM)jamesblake Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) still people posting shit, ban hammer should be used to get rid of leechers and morons

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3224148&page=3>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2750292&page=149>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3214002&page=4>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3143064&page=6>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3215689>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3230686>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3195919&page=6>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3226505>

Taken care of.

(Feb 4, 2013 07:10 AM)Kray Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) PM the threads to an online staff or Admin, preferably not Omniscient, as he is busy.

Don't bother admins with these types of threads.

PM me with threads in sections I moderate; a Staff member with those I/Mentors don't.

Miki - February 4, 2013, 6:52 am

Finally, couldn't wait for this rule any longer, goodbye LQ threads.

Paradoxum - February 4, 2013, 6:53 am

(Feb 4, 2013 06:56 AM)jamesblake Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) still people posting shit, ban hammer should be used to get rid of leechers and morons

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3224148&page=3>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2750292&page=149>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3214002&page=4>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3143064&page=6>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3215689>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3230686>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3195919&page=6>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3226505>

Half of the threads you posted are following the new policy...

TukangRumput - February 4, 2013, 6:59 am

i like it :D

thank you admin :D

because when send PM link is share cash -_-

i can't download :(

jamesblake - February 4, 2013, 7:57 am

(Feb 4, 2013 07:53 AM)Paradoxum Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Half of the threads you posted are following the new policy...

They aren't though are they? the whole point was to stop "pm me this" and in those threads it's still happening!

seriously this isn't going to work all that is happening is instead of
"PM me this"
its changing to
" i am pming you now".

either ban members, neg rep them or delete their posts.

Tech Experts - February 4, 2013, 8:23 am

That's was Nice policy update.! keep it up omni.:P

Secrets - February 4, 2013, 8:27 am

Surely stops those extra posts to accumulate , Thanks for this.

Positive - February 4, 2013, 8:55 am

(Feb 4, 2013 08:57 AM)jamesblake Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) They aren't though are they? the whole point was to stop "pm me this" and in those threads it's still happening!

seriously this isn't going to work all that is happening is instead of
"PM me this"
its changing to
" i am pming you now".

either ban members, neg rep them or delete their posts.They can say "PM me to get this" etc. they just can't require a post.

Michael. - February 4, 2013, 9:05 am

Scurries away to edit threads

Nice update (;

Prospect - February 4, 2013, 10:14 am

Finally! I waited so long for this! <3

Arbitrage - February 4, 2013, 12:14 pm

Glad this new rule is in, waited a while for this! :3

jamesblake - February 4, 2013, 4:19 pm

(Feb 4, 2013 09:55 AM)Positive Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) They can say "PM me to get this" etc. they just can't require a post.

You seriously can't be that thick?

People are putting in there posts, not the OPs post.

"I will PM you now" instead off "PM me this"

DO YOU UNDERSTAND..???

Positive - February 4, 2013, 4:21 pm

(Feb 4, 2013 05:19 PM)jamesblake Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You seriously can't be that thick?

People are putting in there posts, not the OPs post.

"I will PM you now" instead off "PM me this"

DO YOU UNDERSTAND..???Yes, I understood the first time. What you're not getting is that is allowed.

As long as OP doesn't require a post, the thread is fine. If people post in the thread, that is on them. Not OP.

Gold Lion - February 4, 2013, 5:59 pm

I completely get the rule about all of this but I have just one question regarding the Member Contests section.

When holding a contest for something that requires OP to PM the winning member with details, what happens?

Vlad1k - February 5, 2013, 12:09 am

(Feb 4, 2013 06:59 PM)Odissea™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I completely get the rule about all of this but I have just one question regarding the Member Contests section.

When holding a contest for something that requires OP to PM the winning member with details, what happens?

Omni said that its fine if its a contest.

Post to enroll is fine.

MH_Valko - February 5, 2013, 7:35 am

Finally this got fixed, Hate old threads being bum*ped like this.

MsToxic - February 6, 2013, 12:11 pm

how come its not allowed? just wonderin

x2SiKJaYzZ - February 7, 2013, 12:08 pm

I like this rule but there will be more leechers and less replys

Dr. Michio Kaku™ - February 7, 2013, 11:21 pm

Another step towards increasing the Quality of Hackforums.

Everyone loves you Omni <3.

adampelletgun - February 9, 2013, 7:59 am

I am glad you finally made this official Omni.

Mordacai - February 10, 2013, 1:05 pm

This doesn't make sense. Why would people want a bunch of PM's? I only like PM's if it's really something private. I mean I suppose rules exist because some idiot did it, but...yeah that behavior doesn't make sense lol.

CPA Exposé - February 10, 2013, 3:46 pm

(Feb 10, 2013 02:05 PM)MasterZuFu Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This doesn't make sense. Why would people want a bunch of PM's? I only like PM's if it's really something private. I mean I suppose rules exist because some idiot did it, but...yeah that behavior doesn't make sense lol.

I guess because it gives the person sharing a way to filter exactly who getting the link or whatever is being shared.

Nipun - February 19, 2013, 4:49 am

There are still many threads saying "post to get PM" here:

<https://hackforums.net/forumdisplay.php?fid=223>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2696095> &
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2406212> , for example.

Tutelary - February 19, 2013, 10:20 am

(Feb 19, 2013 05:49 AM)Nipun Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There are still many threads saying "post to get PM" here: <https://hackforums.net/forumdisplay.php?fid=223>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2696095> &
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2406212> , for example.

Contact a Mentor with Thread Links and they will be closed.

Seer - February 19, 2013, 10:22 am

(Feb 10, 2013 02:05 PM)MasterZuFu Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This doesn't make sense. Why would people want a bunch of PM's? I only like PM's if it's really something private. I mean I suppose rules exist because some idiot did it, but...yeah that behavior doesn't make sense lol.

I get 5-40 private messages in a 24 hour period regarding things I give away. It gets annoying but I still love seeing those green bars indicating a new private message ;).

Mr Kewl - February 19, 2013, 10:35 am

(Feb 19, 2013 05:49 AM)Nipun Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There are still many threads saying "post to get PM" here: <https://hackforums.net/forumdisplay.php?fid=223>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2696095> &
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2406212> , for example.

We have to close threads manually. I'll assume there are 10k+ post and PM threads, we can't close all of them instantly, it takes time, PM me with links if you find which are posted before the creation of this thread.

Jack Bauer - February 19, 2013, 10:42 am

This shit still sucks Quote:3. Say "Send me a PM for download link".

I'll rather have direct links, getting tired of this PM me to get a download link...

Never seen anyone post a direct link, other than myself.

Seer - February 19, 2013, 10:43 am

(Feb 19, 2013 11:42 AM)Defcon11 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This shit still sucks

I'll rather have direct links, getting tired of this PM me to get a download link...

Never seen anyone post a direct link, other than myself.

It keeps the little leeches away from your threads. I don't know about you but I don't want to give something away to some regular member with 1-20 posts all asking for free stuff.

Positive - February 19, 2013, 10:44 am

(Feb 10, 2013 02:05 PM)MasterZuFu Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This doesn't make sense. Why would people

want a bunch of PM's? I only like PM's if it's really something private. I mean I suppose rules exist because some idiot did it, but...yeah that behavior doesn't make sense lol.

What's the big deal? You'd be PMing them anyway -- wouldn't you rather just reply to a PM that you get notifications about rather than searching for posts?

Hacker 4949 - February 20, 2013, 3:37 am

Good Rule Omni shit can be prevented.

Geld - February 20, 2013, 3:42 am

Good work, it was a stupid rule lol ;)

Angrboda - February 21, 2013, 4:03 am

Still many people don't understand the different between "Post to get the method" and "PM to get the method". I usually make the latter one but most of the time some people say that I am breaking the rule whereas I am not breaking any rules as "PM to get method" is allowed. I hope people read OP more thoroughly to avoid further confusion.

xonic34 - February 21, 2013, 6:53 am

I agree with this rule, it does stop low quality posts.

Here are 7 active threads in the E-Whoring section breaking this new rule.

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2642347>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3035298>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3006459>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2625637>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2670953>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3037962>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2723790>

Wonders - February 21, 2013, 6:54 am

Is this thread still going?

I have seen a lot of threads breaking this rule anyway, like Penguin said lol.

Tutelary - February 21, 2013, 7:07 am

(Feb 21, 2013 07:54 AM)MxRE- Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Is this thread still going?

I have seen a lot of threads breaking this rule anyway, like Penguin said lol.

Report the threads to a Mentor, they will be dealt with.

Farcast - February 21, 2013, 2:38 pm

Maybe the update was that if you posted a PM and post thread it insta raped you.

Reaper289 - February 23, 2013, 3:00 pm

(Feb 21, 2013 05:03 AM)Eion Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Still many people don't understand the different between "Post to get the method" and "PM to get the method". I usually make the latter one but most of the time some people say that I am breaking the rule whereas I am not breaking any rules as "PM to get method" is allowed. I hope people read OP more thoroughly to avoid further confusion.

does not make sense and omni has contradicted himself.

"PM to get method" is so fucking annoying because why the FUCK should we wait hours just for a

download link

"Post to get a PM" is also the same thing!

If there is no download link you should be banned for 1 year for wasting our fucking time!

Omni you have not thought this through! i cannot believe you contradicted yourself too.

Traitor-Panda - February 23, 2013, 3:08 pm

Apparently it's such a drastic change that it had to take 2 years of consideration until being taken into action.

Been suggesting this shit numerous times over the years.

Anyways it's good to see this finally happening.

CPA Exposé - February 23, 2013, 4:32 pm

(Feb 23, 2013 04:00 PM)Reaper289 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) does not make sense and omni has contradicted himself.

"PM to get method" is so fucking annoying because why the FUCK should we wait hours just for a download link

"Post to get a PM" is also the same thing!

If there is no download link you should be banned for 1 year for wasting our fucking time!

Omni you have not thought this through! i cannot believe you contradicted yourself too.
You're a leecher getting something for free.

If you have to wait an hour or a week for a PM, deal with it.

Reaper289 - February 23, 2013, 4:34 pm

(Feb 23, 2013 05:32 PM)?lue Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You're a leecher getting something for free.

If you have to wait an hour or a week for a PM, deal with it.
yeah... not the point. it makes the forum look so low quality...

Byakuya Kuchiki - February 25, 2013, 12:56 pm

Thanks Omni but to be honest I think it would still not be that effective. Personally I think that if they have a giveaway they should just put the download link in the spoiler or something instead of using the pm system for links.

webdev77 - February 25, 2013, 1:22 pm

(Feb 25, 2013 01:56 PM)Dalek Youb Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thanks Omni but to be honest I think it would still not be that effective. Personally I think that if they have a giveaway they should just put the download link in the spoiler or something instead of using the pm system for links.

What would be ideal and what will realistically happen are two different things.