

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [My Newest Signature.](#)

Slyestcat - February 5, 2013, 3:02 pm

[img]http://i.minus.com/jbtQDW9CJrW4o4.png[/img]

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))Old one

[img]http://i.minus.com/jU448ThU51frd.png[/img]

The Client really liked it, but what does everyone else think?

Rate, Hate, Appreciate.

Wales - February 5, 2013, 3:10 pm

That is sweet! Very nice dude, good thing he liked it!

Grimassassin - February 5, 2013, 3:10 pm

thats a freaking amazing graptic bro! keep it up!

Anal Voyage. - February 5, 2013, 3:12 pm

Dual focal ruined it.

Would have been better if the canvas ended at the edge of the right box.

Coton Pker - February 5, 2013, 3:12 pm

is it supposed to have a double frame like that?

looks weird to me O.o

Slyestcat - February 5, 2013, 3:13 pm

(Feb 5, 2013 04:12 PM)AnalVoyage Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Dual focal ruined it.

Would have been better if the canvas ended at the edge of the right box.

Yeah I think I have been using this technique too much. I've only just started testing it out.

Thanks for the feed back everyone!

Wolf Style - February 5, 2013, 3:14 pm

I like it alot, although the font seems a little bleh.

Fireworks - February 5, 2013, 5:34 pm

(Feb 5, 2013 04:13 PM)Slyestcat Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah I think I have been using this technique too much. I've only just started testing it out.

Thanks for the feed back everyone!

Yeah, word to the wise. Don't do it unless you know how to properly pull it off.

Slyestcat - February 5, 2013, 6:46 pm

Since the dual focal was the huge downside to this signature I have updated it with out it. Feel free to choose which you like best, although I do prefer the one without the dual focal.

John Maus - February 5, 2013, 9:08 pm

at first glance, it's okay. but there is just way too much going on once you look deeper.

Text is blurred strangely, TONS of empty space, nothing going on in bckgrnd, etc.

Astronome - February 6, 2013, 3:36 am

I don't like it, the character is too sharpened.

The background looks out of place, and lighting is poor.

Border is bad, and text looks wrong.

I could go all day with a list of bad things, can't believe someone would pay for such a thing.

Slyestcat - February 6, 2013, 2:29 pm

(Feb 6, 2013 04:36 AM)Heisenberg. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't like it, the character is too sharpened.

The background looks out of place, and lighting is poor.

Border is bad, and text looks wrong.

I could go all day with a list of bad things, can't believe someone would pay for such a thing.

No one paid me, it was just a request. Thanks for the feedback though, I'll be looking to improve on these things you've stated.

Fireworks - February 6, 2013, 3:23 pm

Yea, don't use the default sharpening filter. There's an excellent third-party sharpening tool out there, but I can't remember what its called.

ImagineDreamz - February 6, 2013, 7:04 pm

It's nice but there is too much blur on the text and you need to increase the text size.

ctxd - February 7, 2013, 11:48 am

Cool signature! Keep it up!

Nice job, keep working!

LEGION - February 7, 2013, 12:22 pm

It looks very sexy. nice work ?

Vyzek - February 9, 2013, 6:14 am

I think it looks really good. However, I don't like how the text is blurred.

Elements. - February 9, 2013, 6:31 am

Thats fucking sweet. Just add some animation to the stars and it will be a 10/10