

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [\[Dev\] Celsius Loader -- *UPDATED* 2013/02/27 - Coded in C -](#)

jackman - February 6, 2013, 10:36 pm

Introduction

Let's keep it short

- [*] Coded in pure C (runs on all NT based operating systems)
- [*] Small binary size (6KB uncompressed)
- [*] Needs crypter with startup and installation
- [*] Its primary functions is to keep your bots, you can use it for downloading and running files
- [*] Supports "unlimited" amount of servers
- [*] Stable, you won't see crashes
- [*] HTTP control panel for easy bot controlling
- [*] Easy to setup

Screenshots

- [*] <http://pasteboard.co/gVkk1Hk.png>
- [*] <http://pasteboard.co/gVoXx6Q.jpg>

Tested and working crypters

- [*] Absolute Crypter
- [*] Ininity Crypter v 4

Changelog

Code 2013/02/27

Plugin system done

First plugin (persistence almost finished)

feel the noize - February 7, 2013, 3:11 am

Ok no screens off panel.. (youtube blocked in my country to lazy to start tor).

I wish you the best :)

jackman - February 7, 2013, 10:42 am

(Feb 7, 2013 04:11 AM)feel the noize Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ok no screens off panel.. (youtube blocked in my country to lazy to start tor).

I wish you the best :)

Yeah no screenshots of it (not finished) because i'm writing the panel myself instead of using a panel from any public bot..

Also the youtube video doesn't tell much so you don't miss anything

xyfir - February 7, 2013, 12:23 pm

The video is currently set to private. Good luck with your project ?

ngrBot - February 7, 2013, 2:21 pm

So whats unique about it? Anything special that advanced users are going to want?

jackman - February 7, 2013, 2:29 pm

(Feb 7, 2013 03:21 PM)Inline ASM Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So whats unique about it? Anything special that advanced users are going to want?

stuff between bot and server is crypted, settings are crypted, unlimited amount of servers supported, uses only pure winsock for file downloading, 6kb binary, well coded bot that doesn't crash and does its job, will work with almost every crypter available, if you're going to say that vertexnet, umbra loader etc are the same then you're wrong

ngrBot - February 7, 2013, 2:34 pm

(Feb 7, 2013 03:29 PM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) stuff between bot and server is crypted, settings are crypted, unlimited amount of servers supported, uses only pure winsock for file downloading, 6kb binary, well coded bot that doesn't crash and does its job, will work with almost every crypter available, if you're going to say that vertexnet, umbra loader etc are the same then you're wrong Sounds like copy and paste to me. I asked you if it will have unique features and you listed stuff that I could google. How are you encrypting the data between bot and server? Are you using IPC or anything?

Aldi - February 7, 2013, 2:35 pm

If its a stable bot it will be highly sought after. Does the download and execute command work flawlessly?

jackman - February 7, 2013, 2:38 pm

(Feb 7, 2013 03:35 PM)Aldi Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If its a stable bot it will be highly sought after. Does the download and execute command work flawlessly?Yeah i can guarantee that download and execute works extremely good :)

Aldi - February 7, 2013, 2:42 pm

(Feb 7, 2013 03:38 PM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah i can guarantee that download and execute works extremely good :)

excellent. will the builder be an .exe or will you have to compile it yourself?

jackman - February 7, 2013, 2:46 pm

(Feb 7, 2013 03:42 PM)Aldi Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) excellent. will the builder be an .exe or will you have to compile it yourself?

Clients won't get the builder (they don't need it) but the builder edits settings and compiles the bot

There might be a web-based bot editor though, not sure yet.

Aldi - February 7, 2013, 2:50 pm

(Feb 7, 2013 03:46 PM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Clients won't get the builder (they don't need it) but the builder edits settings and compiles the bot

There might be a web-based bot editor though, not sure yet.

I have started several botnets but the public sources I try and adapt to my liking are always unstable. Commands dont work and I cant do what I want with them. If this is infact stable and you DONT sell the builder. You will get alot more sales and your project wont get leaked. The main selling points for loaders is the web panel (pretty easy) and the stability of the bot. Goodluck my friend I wish you luck :)

ngrBot - February 7, 2013, 2:51 pm

(Feb 7, 2013 03:46 PM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Clients won't get the builder (they don't need it) but the builder edits settings and compiles the bot

There might be a web-based bot editor though, not sure yet.
OP ignores me because his bot is shit and is most likely copy and paste.

jackman - February 7, 2013, 2:54 pm

(Feb 7, 2013 03:51 PM)Inline ASM Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) OP ignores me because his bot is shit and is most likely copy and paste.

Sorry but I didn't see your question, it's not shit nor copy & pasted.

(Feb 7, 2013 03:50 PM)Aldi Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have started several botnets but the public sources I try and adapt to my liking are always unstable. Commands dont work and I cant do what I want with them. If this is infact stable and you DONT sell the builder. You will get alot more sales and your project wont get leaked. The main selling points for loaders is the web panel (pretty easy) and the stability of the bot. Goodluck my friend I wish you luck :)

No I'm not selling the builder, it builds the bot from source.

I hate the fact that people care only about sexy looking web panel :|

jackman - February 7, 2013, 3:12 pm

(Feb 7, 2013 04:08 PM)Inline ASM Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ok, and you still don't answer the question. You're a fucking idiot.

I don't feel like explaining anything to you after you come to my thread, call me copy & paster + "fucking idiot".

Now please leave

happy valentines day - February 7, 2013, 3:21 pm

(Feb 7, 2013 03:51 PM)Inline ASM Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) OP ignores me because his bot is shit and is most likely copy and paste.

you're one to talk, considering your past...

Aldi - February 7, 2013, 3:44 pm

(Feb 7, 2013 03:54 PM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Sorry but I didn't see your question, it's not shit nor copy & pasted.

No I'm not selling the builder, it builds the bot from source.

I hate the fact that people care only about sexy looking web panel :|
unfortunately aesthetics are everything these days. You could go along the whole "Text based" "Green text on a black background". As long as you stress that the botnet is stable as fuck and you have proof that it can handle heaps of slaves.

(Feb 7, 2013 03:51 PM)Inline ASM Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) OP ignores me because his bot is shit and is most likely copy and paste.

Why are you trashing a de4velopment thread? Thats fucking dumb. Who cares if its a copy pasta. He's not selling it you fucking idiot.

ngrBot - February 7, 2013, 7:00 pm

(Feb 7, 2013 04:44 PM)Aldi Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) unfortunately aesthetics are everything these days. You could go along the whole "Text based" "Green text on a black background". As long as you stress

that the botnet is stable as fuck and you have proof that it can handle heaps of slaves.

Why are you trashing a de4velopment thread? Thats fucking dumb. Who cares if its a copy pasta. He's not selling it you fucking idiot.

He cant answer my questions because he cant google them.

(Feb 7, 2013 04:21 PM)happy valentines day Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) you're one to talk, considering your past...exactly, past, this is the present day. I did that stuff almost 3 years ago... Things have changed, ALOT.

jackman - February 7, 2013, 7:16 pm

(Feb 7, 2013 08:00 PM)Inline ASM Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) He cant answer my questions because he cant google them.

exactly, past, this is the present day. I did that stuff almost 3 years ago... Things have changed, ALOT.

Why would I tell you how i encrypt the data sent between bot and server? Also what does IPC have to do with that? You make no sense and you only want to argue / prove that this bot was copy & pasted.

Well, I can tell you something: I can code and this bot isn't copy & pasted.

If you want to discuss, that's alright but I don't like that you try to trash my thread without reason.

ngr_code - February 7, 2013, 7:42 pm

(Feb 7, 2013 08:00 PM)Inline ASM Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) exactly, past, this is the present day. I did that stuff almost 3 years ago... Things have changed, ALOT.

[img]<http://ih1.redbubble.net/image.11051469.8222/sticker,375x360.png>[/img]

jackman - February 10, 2013, 5:14 pm

Few screens

<http://pasteboard.co/9B4Zk99.png>

<http://pbrd.co/11A84v2>

verbti - February 10, 2013, 6:24 pm

Looks pretty good. Good job on your little project. I wouldn't sell it here if I were you.

jackman - February 11, 2013, 7:56 am

Just want to inform you that beta testing of the bot will start soon.

Here's another quick video: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2eLCO_4Gm2A

Disfigure - February 11, 2013, 7:07 pm

Looks good, im going to try to betatest this and write a review on this product, might be good!

nonA? - February 12, 2013, 6:33 am

Im very interested to try betatest, looks really good & simple botnet. Already send a PM you.

Unacknowledged - February 12, 2013, 6:34 am

The screenies are down, but it's pretty cool to see someone from HF code this.

Snow.Eskimo - February 12, 2013, 9:43 am

Seems like a great botnet, might be the new revolutionary product from HF, if it will do almost instant connection/command execution and it will NEVER crush I will definitely purchase it (and I'm sure that the price will be a fair one).

Btw I've got an OFF topic question, I will PM you now about it.

whattheheck - February 12, 2013, 9:46 am

(Feb 12, 2013 10:43 AM)Snow.Eskimo Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Seems like a great botnet, might be the new revolutionary product from HF, if it will do almost instant connection/command execution and it will NEVER crush I will definitely purchase it (and I'm sure that the price will be a fair one).

Btw I've got an OFF topic question, I will PM you now about it. It's a fucking loader.
Revolutionary? lol

Snow.Eskimo - February 12, 2013, 9:51 am

(Feb 12, 2013 10:46 AM)whattheheck Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's a fucking loader.
Revolutionary? lol
Don't ruin my hopes ??

Haven't your mummy teach u that if you don't have anything good to say about somebody then don't say anything.

whattheheck - February 12, 2013, 9:52 am

(Feb 6, 2013 11:36 PM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) - One more note, this is not a public crappy loader, this is much better Wtf is that suppose to mean.
?

EMPIRERAIDER - February 12, 2013, 10:56 am

Good Job Bro keep it up, Also does the Bot have a Root-kit of some type

jackman - February 15, 2013, 12:54 pm

Keeping you updated.

Panel updated, more features and easier to use. (see first post)
Bot updated (some minor bugs fixed)

Thanks to my beta tester for these suggestions.

wacked3 - February 16, 2013, 6:37 am

Make it show how often the task was executed successfully.

If it has no installation feature you might want to make it possible to delete the dead bots. (Also a loader like that is normally called non-resident.)

And you really should add some kind of installation - I'm sure you can find a way apart from the Autorun folder and creating a new key in CurrentVersion\Run.

(Feb 12, 2013 10:43 AM)Snow.Eskimo Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) ... it will NEVER crush I bet it will get a crush on betabot. I've seen it and it is sexy.

RyanC - February 16, 2013, 6:43 am

(Feb 6, 2013 11:36 PM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) - Needs crypter with startup and installation Why the fuck would a crypter do this?

ngrBot - February 16, 2013, 6:54 am

(Feb 16, 2013 07:43 AM)Who? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why the fuck would a crypter do this?
Because the fucking idiot can't code.

jackman - February 16, 2013, 7:46 am

(Feb 16, 2013 07:54 AM)Inline ASM Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Because the fucking idiot can't code.
Because that's useless in the bot. Why would I include those in the binary if most of the crypters can do that stuff anyway?

Use your brain and think before you write.

RyanC - February 16, 2013, 7:47 am

(Feb 16, 2013 08:46 AM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Because that's useless in the bot. Why would I include those in the binary if most of the crypters can do that stuff anyway?
Only horrible skid crypters do anything but crypt.

jackman - February 16, 2013, 7:51 am

(Feb 16, 2013 08:47 AM)Who? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Only horrible skid crypters do anything but crypt.
There's a risk that things get fucked up if you use installation and startup in bot, depending on crypter.

Let's say your crypter injects binary into iexplore.exe when run.

Well, then it executes the bot, bot sees it's not in the right directory ->
It copies "itself" = (iexplore.exe) into correct location.

RyanC - February 16, 2013, 8:05 am

(Feb 16, 2013 08:51 AM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There's a risk that things get fucked up if you use installation and startup in bot, depending on crypter.

Let's say your crypter injects binary into iexplore.exe when run.

Well, then it executes the bot, bot sees it's not in the right directory ->
It copies "itself" = (iexplore.exe) into correct location.
Why the fuck would the crypter do anything but crypt the bot?

jackman - February 16, 2013, 8:14 am

(Feb 16, 2013 09:05 AM)Who? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why the fuck would the crypter do anything but crypt the bot?
Luckily they do. If you see the marketplace, there are no crypters that only crypt.

RyanC - February 16, 2013, 8:47 am

(Feb 16, 2013 09:14 AM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Luckily they do. If you see the marketplace, there are no crypters that only crypt.
So you intentionally force your clients to use shitty hackforums crypters?

jackman - February 16, 2013, 8:49 am

(Feb 16, 2013 09:47 AM)Who? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So you intentionally force your clients to use shitty hackforums crypters?
No. What does your non-hackforum crypter that "only crypts" exactly do? ;)

RyanC - February 16, 2013, 8:55 am

(Feb 16, 2013 09:49 AM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No. What does your non-hackforum crypter that

"only crypts" exactly do? ;)

It crypts Windows PEs and doesn't do a shitty job at it. No bullshit.

wacked3 - February 16, 2013, 8:58 am

Oh god.

(Feb 16, 2013 09:14 AM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Luckily they do. If you see the marketplace, there are no crypters that only crypt. Well you also have to ask yourself if there is any crypter that you would consider good. E.g. not using RunPE.

(Feb 16, 2013 08:51 AM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There's a risk that things get fucked up if you use installation and startup in bot, depending on crypter.

....a) That only happens with RunPE shit crypters

b) That clearly is a bug. The crypter was supposed to setup the process so that the normal functions of the malware (And installation clearly is one) work.

Also just imagine for one second that you would use a uncommon startup. E.g. andro uses/used(?) a uncommon registry key - this increased the survivability against shitty botkillers and maybe also some shitty AVs.

Now there comes the crypter with his usual CurrentVersion\Run and ruins everything.

jackman - February 16, 2013, 8:59 am

(Feb 16, 2013 09:55 AM)Who? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It crypts Windows PEs and doesn't do a shitty job at it. No bullshit.

Looks like you don't know what you're talking about.

How does it execute the crypted image?

Vinnie - February 16, 2013, 9:13 am

(Feb 16, 2013 09:59 AM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Looks like you don't know what you're talking about.

How does it execute the crypted image?

Uh, maybe by decrypting it first? I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THIS SHIT, I JUST WRITE CRYPTERS. Anyways, now to why hackforums crypters suck: The authors seem to be unable to understand the difference between high and low entropy, and how antiviruses respond to that, oh yeah, they are also written in .NET. From Ryan.

jackman - February 16, 2013, 9:16 am

(Feb 16, 2013 10:13 AM)V?nnie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Uh, maybe by decrypting it first? I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THIS SHIT, I JUST WRITE CRYPTERS. Anyways, now to why hackforums crypters suck: The authors seem to be unable to understand the difference between high and low entropy, and how antiviruses respond to that, oh yeah, they are also written in .NET. From Ryan. I haven't said that hf crypters don't suck.

Btw. there are other (non-hf) crypters too with startup etc.

Vinnie - February 16, 2013, 9:19 am

(Feb 16, 2013 10:16 AM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I haven't said that hf crypters don't suck.

Btw. there are other (non-hf) crypters too with startup etc.

Doesn't mean they aren't written by some retard even if they aren't released on hackforums - Ryan.

jackman - February 16, 2013, 9:21 am

(Feb 16, 2013 10:19 AM)V?nnie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Doesn't mean they aren't written by some retard even

if they aren't released on hackforums - Ryan.

Doesn't mean they're written by some retard even if they are released on hackforums?

whattheheck - February 16, 2013, 9:32 am

(Feb 16, 2013 08:46 AM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Because that's useless in the bot. Why would I include those in the binary if most of the crypters can do that stuff anyway?

Use your brain and think before you write. Basically your loader just runs and downloads a file...?

It has nothing but download/execute?

jackman - February 16, 2013, 9:38 am

(Feb 16, 2013 10:32 AM)whattheheck Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Basically your loader just runs and downloads a file...?

It has nothing but download/execute?

You can see the features in the first post.

whattheheck - February 16, 2013, 11:07 am

(Feb 16, 2013 10:38 AM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You can see the features in the first post. A simple "yes" to my question would have sufficed.

jackman - February 16, 2013, 1:35 pm

(Feb 16, 2013 12:07 PM)whattheheck Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) A simple "yes" to my question would have sufficed.

Well, "yes", those are the functions (like the first post states).

Aldi - February 16, 2013, 5:30 pm

I'm unbelievably keen for this to be released! good luck finalizing it!

Marijuana Crops - February 16, 2013, 5:32 pm

The left of the panel reminds of zeus

jackman - February 16, 2013, 5:54 pm

(Feb 16, 2013 06:30 PM)Aldi Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm unbelievably keen for this to be released! good luck finalizing it!

Thanks! Things are going great so far so hopefully luck isn't needed!

(Feb 16, 2013 06:32 PM)Visionary Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The left of the panel reminds of zeus

My beta tester suggested me to add some status info on the left side.

????Chicago Bulls - February 16, 2013, 6:35 pm

Lol, the panel looks like an andromeda rip ?

Marijuana Crops - February 16, 2013, 6:39 pm

(Feb 16, 2013 07:35 PM)?lluminati Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Lol, the panel looks like an andromeda rip ?

If its not broke why fix it? :P It needs more pictures and better colors

jackman - February 17, 2013, 8:57 am

(Feb 16, 2013 07:35 PM)?lluminati Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Lol, the panel looks like an andromeda rip ?

Something wrong with that? Or do you think i've stolen andromeda panels's code?

(Feb 16, 2013 07:39 PM)Visionary Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If its not broke why fix it? :P It needs more pictures

and better colors

Uh what pictures and don't you like the colours?

Marijuana Crops - February 17, 2013, 8:16 pm

More pictures of the panel and builder and what not. And the colors are... meh.

Zher0 - February 17, 2013, 8:28 pm

I believe, since it's a loader, it should have startup persistence etc. as it's meant to keep your bots.

jackman - February 17, 2013, 9:15 pm

(Feb 17, 2013 09:28 PM)Ace - Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I believe, since it's a loader, it should have startup persistence etc. as it's meant to keep your bots.

You are going to use a crypter anyway, why not use the features your crypter offers? There's always this problem with crypters that they inject your bot into "some .exe" and your bot thinks it's (for example C:\program files\internet explorer\iexplore.exe" - what happens when your bot tries to install itself after your crypter injects it and runs it?

whattheheck - February 17, 2013, 10:33 pm

(Feb 17, 2013 10:15 PM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You are going to use a crypter anyway, why not use the features your crypter offers? There's always this problem with crypters that they inject your bot into "some .exe" and your bot thinks it's (for example C:\program files\internet explorer\iexplore.exe" - what happens when your bot tries to install itself after your crypter injects it and runs it? Could you point out the cryptors that do that?

Is it one of the .net polymorphic kill all AVs cryptors? ?

Are you really going to rely on a cryptor to install your shit (which is one of the most important things)?

A loader + relying on a cryptors basic shit install method. Damn, your loader is looking fearsome.

jackman - February 17, 2013, 10:40 pm

(Feb 17, 2013 11:33 PM)whattheheck Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Could you point out the cryptors that do that? Is it one of the .net polymorphic kill all AVs cryptors? ?

Are you really going to rely on a cryptor to install your shit (which is one of the most important things)?

A loader + relying on a cryptors basic shit install method. Damn, your loader is looking fearsome. There are crypters with working install and startup, for example packfish.

I still think adding those features in the loader will only cause problems, especially when certain user buys the bot, uses crappy crypter and blames the bot because he lost all his bots.

But i'm open to suggestions and as so many people have been unhappy with the fact it doesn't have installation and startup included, i'm going to add that feature as optional module.

Btw, name a crypter that doesn't inject your bot and cause your bot to think it's the process that you've injected your bot into?

_Stoner - February 18, 2013, 12:36 am

(Feb 17, 2013 11:40 PM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There are crypters with working install and startup, for example packfish.

I still think adding those features in the loader will only cause problems, especially when certain user buys the bot, uses crappy crypter and blames the bot because he lost all his bots.

But i'm open to suggestions and as so many people have been unhappy with the fact it doesn't have installation and startup included, i'm going to add that feature as optional module.

Btw, name a crypter that doesn't inject your bot and cause your bot to think it's the process that you've injected your bot into?

It's good to code within your own process space, but it's also good to not have to rely on a crypter to do your bots job.

RyanC - February 18, 2013, 1:05 am

(Feb 17, 2013 11:40 PM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There are crypters with working install and startup, for example packfish.

I still think adding those features in the loader will only cause problems, especially when certain user buys the bot, uses crappy crypter and blames the bot because he lost all his bots.

But i'm open to suggestions and as so many people have been unhappy with the fact it doesn't have installation and startup included, i'm going to add that feature as optional module.

Btw, name a crypter that doesn't inject your bot and cause your bot to think it's the process that you've injected your bot into? Name a bot that was sold and didn't suck and relied on a crypter to even function?

jackman - February 18, 2013, 5:20 am

(Feb 18, 2013 02:05 AM)Who? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Name a bot that was sold and didn't suck and relied on a crypter to even function?

Name a bot that did.

It always depends on the crypter if your bot works correctly or not. Also in this case.

Makabra666 - February 18, 2013, 5:55 am

And what about price ?

look very nice coded i C its big Plus
and panel look like andromeda loader i like this desing
its simple and useful

Just awesome !

RyanC - February 18, 2013, 8:13 am

(Feb 18, 2013 06:20 AM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Name a bot that did.

It always depends on the crypter if your bot works correctly or not. Also in this case.
Really? How come then that you couldn't name any of such bots?

jackman - February 18, 2013, 8:28 am

(Feb 18, 2013 09:13 AM)Who? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Really? How come then that you couldn't name any of such bots?

Why do you ask me to name those bots that didn't suck? I don't care about other bots

RyanC - February 18, 2013, 8:37 am

(Feb 18, 2013 09:28 AM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why do you ask me to name those bots that didn't suck? I don't care about other bots

But yet you do care about random hackforums crypters?

Number 9 - February 18, 2013, 8:50 am

Looks good, definitely want to see the finished product.

- 9

jackman - February 18, 2013, 8:53 am

(Feb 18, 2013 09:37 AM)Who? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) But yet you do care about random hackforums crypters?

I only care about the crypters that are compatible with this bot.

People can decide whether they want to use a good crypter or not.

(Feb 18, 2013 06:55 AM)DJ_ASHBA Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) And what about price ?

look very nice coded i C its big Plus

and panel look like andromeda loader i like this desing

its simple and useful

Just awesome !

Price is not yet discussed, and I like the fact that you like the panel!

Obergruppenführer - February 18, 2013, 6:30 pm

This looks good except the part where you don't have start up and depend on a crypter for it. Why don't you just make an option to enable or disable start up in the panel or something?

ngr_code - February 18, 2013, 7:25 pm

autumn bot v2 - no startup module

[img]<http://cdn2.hackforums.net/images/smilies/victoire.gif>[img]

jackman - February 19, 2013, 4:30 am

(Feb 18, 2013 07:30 PM)CrownTheEmpire Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This looks good except the part where you don't have start up and depend on a crypter for it. Why don't you just make an option to enable or disable start up in the panel or something?

That's possible, will make it optional though:)

(Feb 18, 2013 08:25 PM)ngr_code Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) autumn bot v2 - no startup module

[img]<http://cdn2.hackforums.net/images/smilies/victoire.gif>[img]

let me guess autumn bot v2 was shit? ;o

jackman - February 20, 2013, 11:21 am

Compatibility now tested with following operating systems

Windows 2000

Windows XP 32-bit

Windows Vista 32/64-bit

Windows 7 32/64-bit

Windows 8 32/64-bit

Bot confirmed to work with following crypters

Infinity, packfish (probably works with others aswell but not tested yet)

JiiN - February 22, 2013, 5:12 am

Your bot has no install/startup , no persistence , no features , just dl/exec.
How we can update our bots if there is no install/startup on your bot ?
Why we would buy your bot if there is nothing in ?
Why people say : "It's awesome , I will buy it" ? There is nothing awesome in the bot.
There is no rootkit, no formgrabber, no botkiller, no ruskill, no antibotkiller...

jackman - February 22, 2013, 5:49 am

(Feb 22, 2013 06:12 AM)JiiN Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Your bot has no install/startup , no persistence , no features , just dl/exec.

How we can update our bots if there is no install/startup on your bot ?
Why we would buy your bot if there is nothing in ?
Why people say : "It's awesome , I will buy it" ? There is nothing awesome in the bot.
There is no rootkit, no formgrabber, no botkiller, no ruskill, no antibotkiller...
Alright I'm going to try and explain..

1. Quote:How we can update our bots if there is no install/startup on your bot ?
I don't understand what you mean with that.
2. Quote:Why we would buy your bot if there is nothing in ?
It's a loader - you can herd bots with it, it can download and run your files with good execution ratio.
3. Quote:Why people say : "It's awesome , I will buy it" ? There is nothing awesome in the bot.
Maybe they think it's going to do what it's supposed to do: herd bots and download and run files.
4. Quote:There is no rootkit, no formgrabber, no botkiller, no ruskill, no antibotkiller...

It looks like you only want those features because they sound so cool and without those this bot has NOTHING.

About antibotkiller, ruskill and botkiller - do you think every windows system is a battlefield of bots?
So your post was stating that there's nothing why this bot is worth buying, let me explain why this bot is worth buying.

1. The size of the bot (base) is tiny, 6KB.
2. It uses only winsock for connecting to your webserver and for file downloading.
3. It is stable, those functions it has are working, bot won't crash.
4. It uses codecave injection for multiple tasks (no .bat files etc crap are used).
5. There will be free modules for Installation/startup and Process persistence (both are optional).

JiiN - February 23, 2013, 8:56 am

1. If the bot has no startup feature, how can we update the bot if this is the crypter startup ?

2. What I mean is that you want to sold something that there is no feature, I know what is a loader, but if you have no rootkit or atleast persistence, the victim can easily see that he is infected and delete himself the server.
4. No no , it's not what you think, you're right about botkiller or ruskill, but an antibotkiller is always better.

Sorry if you thought that I want to trash, I'm just curious.

StephenRoos - February 23, 2013, 9:15 am

(Feb 23, 2013 09:56 AM)JiiN Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I know what is a loader, but if you have no rootkit or atleast persistence, the slave can easily see that he is infected and delete himself the server.
It's always good to bear in mind that people who design and use bots are typically more aware regarding how to locate and remove them. This gives them a slightly inaccurate perception about

how effective bots that don't use any sort of rootkit can actually be.

The average Windows user does not have any knowledge of the operating system whatsoever; they do not even know what a process or a registry key is. If they knew anything about security, they wouldn't have gotten infected in the first place. The lack of knowledge in the general public is what makes most malware effective in the first place.

Don't get me wrong, the choice between having a bot with a rootkit and having a bot without one is obvious, but it's not like people who get infected by these things are security experts. They're just people.

JiiN - February 23, 2013, 9:41 am

(Feb 23, 2013 10:15 AM)StephenRoos Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's always good to bear in mind that people who design and use bots are typically more aware regarding how to locate and remove them. This gives them a slightly inaccurate perception about how effective bots that don't use any sort of rootkit can actually be.

The average Windows user does not have any knowledge of the operating system whatsoever; they do not even know what a process or a registry key is. If they knew anything about security, they wouldn't have gotten infected in the first place. The lack of knowledge in the general public is what makes most malware effective in the first place.

Don't get me wrong, the choice between having a bot with a rootkit and having a bot without one is obvious, but it's not like people who get infected by these things are security experts. They're just people.

I had victims who know where it is the task manager trust me, it's not difficult to see a new process running or go to msconfig and see the program running at startup.

StephenRoos - February 23, 2013, 10:13 am

(Feb 23, 2013 10:41 AM)JiiN Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I had victims who know where it is the task manager trust me, it's not difficult to see a new process running or go to msconfig and see the program running at startup.

I didn't say it WAS difficult, I said that most people don't know how to do it, and aren't even aware of the possibility that their computer might be being controlled remotely. There are exceptions, of course, but I think we can probably agree that people who know how to manually remove malware are a pretty small percentage of the Windows userbase.

JiiN - February 23, 2013, 10:17 am

Yes you're right, but it's better to have a rootkit to prevent better victims to delete himself the server

StephenRoos - February 23, 2013, 10:19 am

(Feb 23, 2013 11:17 AM)JiiN Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes you're right, but it's better to have a rootkit to prevent better victims to delete himself the server

Well, of course it's better to have one. I was just trying to say that the lack of a rootkit does not automatically make something worthless.

JiiN - February 23, 2013, 10:31 am

Yes I know that it's not because the bot will have rootkit that it will be awesome, the stability is the main important thing

nonA? - February 23, 2013, 11:56 am

Stability is fine, Tasks work's fine. It's easy to use, fresh with nice skin. Compatible crypter's what i know is Infinity Crypter V4 & Absolute Crypter. :)

Oh and forgot, it's easy to install with Cpanel, and .exe file is small.

jackman - February 24, 2013, 1:13 am

(Feb 23, 2013 09:56 AM)JiiN Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 1. If the bot has no startup feature, how can we update the bot if this is the crypter startup ?

2. What I mean is that you want to sold something that there is no feature, I know what is a loader, but if you have no rootkit or atleast persistance, the slave can easily see that he is infected and delete himself the server.

4. No no , it's not what you think, you're right about botkiller or ruskill, but an antibotkiller is always better.

Sorry if you thought that I want to trash, I'm just curious.

1. The bot deletes itself from the system when updating or removing, doesn't matter if it uses the crypter startup or not.

2. Did you read my answer to you? The process will be persistent so average user won't get rid of it easily.

4. It depends on the botkiller if it will remove celsius permanently or not.

(Feb 23, 2013 12:56 PM)tozzue Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Stability is fine, Tasks work's fine. It's easy to use, fresh with nice skin. Compatible crypter's what i know is Infinity Crypter V4 & Absolute Crypter. :) Oh and forgot, it's easy to install with Cpanel, and .exe file is small.

^

This guy has been using the bot for some time now and he seems happy with the stability / functionality :)

tozzue has given me great suggestions and reported bugs, thank you for that =)

JiiN - February 24, 2013, 1:54 am

Thank you for your response, this bot seems better that I thought then.

Again sorry if you thought that I was against you about the bot

rybak66zuo - February 24, 2013, 1:56 am

Looks very nice ,coded i C its big Plus

Panel looks like andromeda loader

Cool design it's simple and useful

Just awesome !

jackman - February 24, 2013, 2:19 am

(Feb 24, 2013 02:54 AM)JiiN Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thank you for your response, this bot seems better that I thought then.

Again sorry if you thought that I was against you about the bot

No problem, and this bot is better than you thought!

(Feb 24, 2013 02:56 AM)rybak66zuo Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Looks very nice ,coded i C its big Plus

Panel looks like andromeda loader

Cool design it's simple and useful

Just awesome !

Thanks for the nice words dude :)

I'm happy that you like the bot and panel.

JiIN - February 24, 2013, 2:46 am

Yes I hope then, if you want to test on french windows let me know, I have 2 VM and my main OS is french.

When it will be finish I will take a look at this one since I just want a loader

nonA? - February 24, 2013, 5:50 am

(Feb 24, 2013 02:13 AM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 1. The bot deletes itself from the system when updating or removing, doesn't matter if it uses the crypter startup or not.

2. Did you read my answer to you? The process will be persistent so average user won't get rid of it easily.

4. It depends on the botkiller if it will remove celsius permanently or not.

^

This guy has been using the bot for some time now and he seems happy with the stability / functionality :)

tozzue has given me great suggestions and reported bugs, thank you for that =)This is more of a pleasure and an honor to test this bot & help in the development in every way. So far been found of bugs have been fixed, at the moment I can not find nothing to change the sentence I gave for this bot.

My rating is now ????

As long as the developer has coded a stable work & plug-ins, i give the last star! I would think that the plug-ins should be kept separate from the original source, as they tend to weaken the stability of all botnet's. But it is the developer to decide what he is doing, this is good enough already in this.

But the plug-ins would give even more extras.

But I for one appreciate the stability and good & easy availability of botnet's, so the plugin does not really matter. I believe that everyone wanting / knowledgeable person owns a variety of programs which are doing almost the same thing as, for example. "Formgrabber" plugin.

jackman - February 25, 2013, 2:45 pm

(Feb 24, 2013 06:50 AM)tozzue Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is more of a pleasure and an honor to test this bot & help in the development in every way. So far been found of bugs have been fixed, at the moment I can not find nothing to change the sentence I gave for this bot.

My rating is now ????

As long as the developer has coded a stable work & plug-ins, i give the last star! I would think that the plug-ins should be kept separate from the original source, as they tend to weaken the stability of all botnet's. But it is the developer to decide what he is doing, this is good enough already in this.

But the plug-ins would give even more extras.

But I for one appreciate the stability and good & easy availability of botnet's, so the plugin does not really matter. I believe that everyone wanting / knowledgeable person owns a variety of programs

which are doing almost the same thing as, for example. "Formgrabber" plugin.

Thank you tozzue. I guess we haven't talked about this but plugin system will be included, selected plugins will be compiled with the main "base" so they're not external - won't cause instability though.

Btw, first two plugins will be install-startup and persistence.

jackman - February 27, 2013, 12:32 am

(Feb 24, 2013 03:46 AM)JiiN Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes I hope then, if you want to test on french windows let me know, I have 2 VM and my main OS is french.

When it will be finish I will take a look at this one since I just want a loader
Doesn't really make any difference if the windows is french or english.

I suggest you have a look at this when this is ready to be sold. :-)

About the bot:

Just a small update :

Plugin system done and persistence plugin almost finished. It won't be that easy for users to kill the process from now on.

feel the noize - February 27, 2013, 2:38 am

Quick question it's resistant our not ?

jackman - February 27, 2013, 9:55 am

(Feb 27, 2013 03:38 AM)feel the noize Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Quick question it's resistant our not ?
What do you mean with that question?

feel the noize - February 27, 2013, 10:28 am

(Feb 27, 2013 10:55 AM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What do you mean with that question?
? i meant what i asked, it's a common question regarding loader's?
I am sorry if you already answered it :/

jackman - February 27, 2013, 10:54 am

(Feb 27, 2013 11:28 AM)feel the noize Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) ? i meant what i asked, it's a common question regarding loader's?

I am sorry if you already answered it :/
Sorry, english isn't my native language.

It's resistant if you use correct crypter that has startup and installation.

Celsius will have a module for those soon though.

feel the noize - February 27, 2013, 2:03 pm

(Feb 27, 2013 11:54 AM)jackman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Sorry, english isn't my native language.

It's resistant if you use correct crypter that has startup and installation.

Celsius will have a module for those soon though.

? Let me ask you have you ever heard off none resistant and resistant loader ?

? Could explain the term resistant for me ? i was considering buying this one (Celsius loader). But

now i think i will pass...

jackman - February 27, 2013, 2:13 pm

(Feb 27, 2013 03:03 PM)feel the noize Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) ? Let me ask you have you ever heard off none resistant and resistant loader ?

? Could explain the term resistant for me ? i was considering buying this one (Celsius loader). But now i think i will pass...

Sorry but at first I didn't understand what you mean by "resistant".

You can make the bot just run once and then remove itself.

StephenRoos - February 27, 2013, 7:15 pm

(Feb 27, 2013 03:03 PM)feel the noize Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) ? Let me ask you have you ever heard off none resistant and resistant loader ?

? Could explain the term resistant for me ? i was considering buying this one (Celsius loader). But now i think i will pass...

The terms you're looking for are "resident" and "nonresident." Not "resistant."

feel the noize - February 28, 2013, 12:08 am

(Feb 27, 2013 08:15 PM)StephenRoos Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The terms you're looking for are "resident" and "nonresident." Not "resistant."

? English is not my native language,
Not even Second after all it's my third ?

To OOP nice after all it looks like you know what you are doing ?

jackman - February 28, 2013, 7:52 am

(Feb 28, 2013 01:08 AM)feel the noize Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) ? English is not my native language,
Not even Second after all it's my third ?

To OOP nice after all it looks like you know what you are doing ?

Yeah I do, thanks though. ;-) So don't hesitate to get celsius when it's ready!

jackman - March 3, 2013, 4:32 pm

Updating this thread with two working crypters:

Absolute Crypter
Infinity Crypter v4

beyzinc - August 14, 2016, 12:13 am

thnx very much!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!