

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [HackForums userscript - Code box select all \[1.1.4\]](#)

The-One - March 3, 2013, 4:47 am

Hey,

As requested <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2884233> . After many hours of testing (been programming in javascript for only one day now) and lots of stress I finally got it working.

This userscript allows you to select all the text in the codebox by simply clicking the link/button.

[img]<http://i.minus.com/jbe24akHI32wFI.png>[img]

You need tampermonkey to use this!

Only fully tested on Google Chrome, I have tested it on Firefox and it worked but I haven't fully tested it fully for bugs.

Link: <http://userscripts.org/scripts/show/147513>

Please report any bugs if you find them,

Enjoy.

Devil Driver - March 3, 2013, 4:48 am

Ehm, there's already a mod for MyBB that you can easily implement for this...

The-One - March 3, 2013, 4:49 am

(Mar 3, 2013 05:48 AM)Pleppiepleppie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ehm, there's already a mod for MyBB that you can easily implement for this...

Omniscient said he wasn't going to install it..

EDIT: <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2884233&pid=26647998#pid26647998>

Irritable - March 3, 2013, 5:26 am

I was seriously thinking about creating that last night.

That is fucking creepy as hell to see that someone else did it. Hah!

Thanks, OP, for saving me the time. ? I shall extensively use, and abuse this GS script now. :wink:

EDIT: Quick test on Firefox

Code std::cout << "Horray OP!!!";

EDIT: Test... successful... Works like a charm. Thanks!!

Third edit: Hey. This is just for anyone who might actually use it (I am loving it so far.. I should've done it sooner, haha).

If you want the text colored, here's a simple fix to turn it black.

Code newHTML = 'Code: <FONT

COLOR="0066CC">[Select All]
;

Here's a link to a basic HTML color generator so you can generate whatever color you want to slap on it:

- http://www.w3schools.com/tags/ref_colorpicker.asp

Example of the modification:

Han. - March 3, 2013, 8:18 am

(Mar 3, 2013 06:26 AM)Irritable Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I was seriously thinking about creating that last night.

That is fucking creepy as hell to see that someone else did it. Hah!

Thanks, OP, for saving me the time. ? I shall extensively use, and abuse this GS script now. :wink:

EDIT: Quick test on Firefox

Code std::cout << "Horray OP!!!";

EDIT: Test... successful... Works like a charm. Thanks!!

Third edit: Hey. This is just for anyone who might actually use it (I am loving it so far.. I should've done it sooner, haha).

If you want the text colored, here's a simple fix to turn it black.

Code newHTML = 'Code: <FONT

COLOR="0066CC">[Select All]
;

Here's a link to a basic HTML color generator so you can generate whatever color you want to slap on it:

- http://www.w3schools.com/tags/ref_colorpicker.asp

Example of the modification:

<style="color:#BLAH;">content</style>

Font tags should not be used. ?

Irritable - March 3, 2013, 8:27 am

(Mar 3, 2013 09:18 AM)Han. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) <style="color:#BLAH;">content</style>

Font tags should not be used. ?

You are saying that HTML should not be used across the internet anymore? ?

captmicro - March 3, 2013, 8:42 am

No just that font tags are shitty when you aren't even using them for fonts. Using p or div or other type of container for just color would actually be the proper way. I prefer <text style="color:#??">Sum Text</text> myself.

Han. - March 3, 2013, 10:29 am

(Mar 3, 2013 09:27 AM)Irritable Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You are saying that HTML should not be used across the internet anymore? ?

Ironically, you seem to be the biggest supporter of people doing their own research and yet you can't even follow what you preach so often.

http://www.w3schools.com/tags/tag_font.asp

The font tag was deprecated in HTML 4.01 and is not used in HTML 5.

However much I hate w3schools, it is sometimes useful. <http://w3fools.com>

Irritable - March 3, 2013, 10:58 am

(Mar 3, 2013 11:29 AM)Han. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ironically, you seem to be the biggest supporter of people doing their own research and yet you can't even follow what you preach so often.

http://www.w3schools.com/tags/tag_font.asp

The font tag was deprecated in HTML 4.01 and is not used in HTML 5.

However much I hate w3schools, it is sometimes useful. <http://w3fools.com>

Pretty ironic coming from someone who thinks they're being clever, when they failed to do any research, thus reinstating my point all the more.

BaussHacker - March 3, 2013, 11:14 am

The font tag is obsolete. While it still works then it's highly encouraged to not be used.

Poppins - March 3, 2013, 3:19 pm

(Mar 3, 2013 11:58 AM)Irritable Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Pretty ironic coming from someone who thinks they're being clever, when they failed to do any research, thus reinstating my point all the more.

No, actually you're wrong. You shouldn't use the font tag. You shouldn't use inline styles either, but I'm guessing a userscript doesn't allow external resources (I've never used one.)

Irritable - March 3, 2013, 10:44 pm

HTML4 has been around for well over a decade. ALL web browsers (both popular and unpopular) support ALL of it's features.

I do not care for non-standardized, non-widespread languages, that target a select few.

Can nobody research anything on their own these days? ???

BaussHacker - March 3, 2013, 11:14 pm

(Mar 3, 2013 11:44 PM)Irritable Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) HTML4 has been around for well over a decade. ALL web browsers (both popular and unpopular) support ALL of it's features.

I do not care for non-standardized, non-widespread languages, that target a select few.

Can nobody research anything on their own these days? ???

You probably also support the usage of system in c++. ?

Irritable - March 3, 2013, 11:16 pm

(Mar 4, 2013 12:14 AM)BaussHacker Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You probably also support the usage of system in c++. ?

Makes sense.

Compare a resource hog statement to a language transparency.

Informal fallacies are all that you have left? I am disappointed in you, BaussHacker.

The-One - March 4, 2013, 12:52 am

(Mar 3, 2013 06:26 AM)Irritable Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I was seriously thinking about creating that last night.

That is fucking creepy as hell to see that someone else did it. Hah!

Thanks, OP, for saving me the time. ? I shall extensively use, and abuse this GS script now. :wink:

EDIT: Quick test on Firefox

```
Code std::cout << "Horray OP!!!";
```

EDIT: Test... successful... Works like a charm. Thanks!!

Third edit: Hey. This is just for anyone who might actually use it (I am loving it so far.. I should've done it sooner, haha).

If you want the text colored, here's a simple fix to turn it black.

```
Code newHTML = 'Code: <a href="javascript:void(0);" id="" + id + "><FONT  
COLOR="0066CC">[Select All]</FONT></a><br>';
```

Here's a link to a basic HTML color generator so you can generate whatever color you want to slap on it:

- http://www.w3schools.com/tags/ref_colorpicker.asp

Example of the modification

Looks awesome, I might add it with an option panel. Though I have to fix my other userscript first. Feel free to use it! ?

Vegas - March 4, 2013, 12:54 am

Really appreciate the time that went into this, thank you for your contribution.

Irritable - March 4, 2013, 2:00 am

(Mar 4, 2013 01:52 AM)The-One Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Looks awesome, I might add it with an option panel. Though I have to fix my other userscript first. Feel free to use it! ?
There's no need to over-complicate something useful and simple. ?

I do not plan on spending hours and hours picking a color. Something as simple as BLUE pops out in my eyes.

It's a dark grey background. It's a medium hue'd blue. I can see it perfectly.

Just my 100 cents (get it? Math joke...)! ?

Poppins - March 4, 2013, 10:13 am

(Mar 3, 2013 11:44 PM)Irritable Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) HTML4 has been around for well over a decade. ALL web browsers (both popular and unpopular) support ALL of it's features.

I do not care for non-standardized, non-widespread languages, that target a select few.

Can nobody research anything on their own these days? ???

Errm what? Why use an old standard? You are saying you should use older software because it's been around longer? The font tag is depreciated. That means that it is no longer supported so eventually browsers will drop support for it.

Using something that's not as good for no reason other than 'herp its old so its cool' is fucking stupid. The font tag is inferior to using a class. You may not give a shit about semantics and code reusability, but you should and most of the development community does. Good luck getting a job using inline styling.

Irritable - March 4, 2013, 2:37 pm

(Mar 4, 2013 11:13 AM)Poppins Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Errm what? Why use an old standard? You are saying you should use older software because it's been around longer? The font tag is deprecated. That means that it is no longer supported so eventually browsers will drop support for it.

Using something that's not as good for no reason other than 'herp its old so its cool' is fucking stupid. The font tag is inferior to using a class. You may not give a shit about semantics and code reusability, but you should and most of the development community does. Good luck getting a job using inline styling.

Great argument. Let me sum it up for you:

Beta is now considered "standard and stable."

Because beta is out: The standard alpha-male for 13 years is immediately obsolete.

No.

I will choose to stick with standard, widely accepted, and above all else: Stable features.

You sound like the type of person that would use a 64-bit OS with 1GB of RAM in 2004.

No real software support. Bugs all fucking over the place. No benefits. But, do it, "Just because."

Good luck getting a job with such a piss poor attitude towards code usability, stability, and a good end product.

captmicro - March 4, 2013, 3:48 pm

Moral of the story: put everything in div tags, use stylesheets, and don't give a single fuck.

The-One - March 5, 2013, 4:57 am

Is there anyone using this on Firefox? If so; does it function correctly?

Irritable - March 5, 2013, 8:39 am

(Mar 5, 2013 05:57 AM)The-One Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Is there anyone using this on Firefox? If so; does it function correctly?

Do you always reply to posts without reading them, saying you did...? Refer to post #2.

The-One - March 5, 2013, 8:44 am

(Mar 5, 2013 09:39 AM)Irritable Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Do you always reply to posts without reading them, saying you did...? Refer to post #2.

I'm just asking for someone who is using this on Firefox to post results back? But do you always reply to posts without reading them? Because I KNOW WITHOUT LOOKING post #2 is about that there is a MyBB plugin for this ;).

Irritable - March 5, 2013, 8:46 am

(Mar 5, 2013 09:44 AM)The-One Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm just asking for someone who is using this on Firefox to post results back? But do you always reply to posts without reading them? Because I KNOW WITHOUT LOOKING post #2 is about that there is a MyBB plugin for this ;).

You know... I could've swore from memory that I was poster #2, and not poster #4...

Sorry.

Let me try that again:

[POST #2](#) (hyperlink) CLEARLY STATES IT! ?

The-One - March 5, 2013, 8:50 am

(Mar 5, 2013 09:46 AM)Irritable Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You know... I could've swore from memory that I was poster #2, and not poster #4...

Sorry.

Let me try that again:

[POST #2](#) (hyperlink) CLEARLY STATES IT! ?

Well sir, you are completely right, I'm very sorry for any inconvenience caused, I swear I'll read previous posts before posting.

Poppins - March 7, 2013, 4:17 am

(Mar 4, 2013 03:37 PM)Irritable Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Great argument. Let me sum it up for you:

Beta is now considered "standard and stable."

Because beta is out: The standard alpha-male for 13 years is immediately obsolete.

No.

I will choose to stick with standard, widely accepted, and above all else: Stable features.

You sound like the type of person that would use a 64-bit OS with 1GB of RAM in 2004.

No real software support. Bugs all fucking over the place. No benefits. But, do it, "Just because."

Good luck getting a job with such a piss poor attitude towards code usability, stability, and a good end product.

Oh please. You're saying HTML5 is instable? This argument is down right stupid. Give me a single reason to use the font tag over an external style sheet (or even god forbid inline styling)?

One single reason. You're probably going to come back with some bullshit about being 'more stable.' That's completely WRONG. Stylesheets and inline styling were in HTML4 too. That means they are no less 'buggy' or and no more 'stable.' Can you point out any bugs in external stylesheets? Any instabilities with using them? If they are so unstable, how is the majority of the web using them?

No benefits?

Underlining text? Aligning text? Indenting? Transform? Letter spacing? Line spacing? Web fonts?

You may be content with piss poor web typography but the majority of web designers aren't.

'Good luck getting a job.' HAHAAHA REALLY? REALLY?!?! A) I have a job as a senior web developer (at 18 :)) and B) Font tags aren't widely accepted. Go to a web design interview and use a font tag in an example project. You will be laughed out the door.

You seem to throw words like 'unstable' and 'buggy' around freely, yet you haven't seemed to point out a single bug nor flaw in using external stylesheets.

Irritable - March 7, 2013, 11:21 am

> Implying web development is difficult ("at 18 smiley face")

> Implying font tags aren't widely accepted

Thanks for the good laugh.

~Dec - March 7, 2013, 12:09 pm

(Mar 7, 2013 12:21 PM)Irritable Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) > Implying web development is difficult ("at 18 smiley face")

> Implying font tags aren't widely accepted

Thanks for the good laugh.

Font tags are not widely accepted. They are deprecated and anyone using them today is laughed at. So, thanks for the good laugh indeed.

Also, web development is a significant level of difficult, if you bother to do it properly.

Irritable - March 7, 2013, 1:59 pm

(Mar 7, 2013 01:09 PM)~Dec Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Font tags are not widely accepted. They are deprecated and anyone using them today is laughed at. So, thanks for the good laugh indeed.

Also, web development is a significant level of difficult, if you bother to do it properly.

You just said web dev. is difficult. LOL!!! Thanks for the good laugh, indeed.

~Dec - March 7, 2013, 2:04 pm

(Mar 7, 2013 02:59 PM)Irritable Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You just said web dev. is difficult. LOL!!! Thanks for the good laugh, indeed.

I'm aware that it is difficult if done properly. I'm not saying I find it difficult, but it can be for many people. You're an amateur C++ programmer who makes tiny little scripts for fun. I'm a qualified engineer with a technical university degree in advanced artificial intelligence and neuropsychanalysis. So, I know what I'm talking about most of the time.

Mohatu - March 7, 2013, 2:07 pm

(Mar 7, 2013 03:04 PM)~Dec Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm aware that it is difficult if done properly. I'm not saying I find it difficult, but it can be for many people. You're an amateur C++ programmer who makes tiny little scripts for fun. I'm a qualified engineer with a technical university degree in advanced artificial intelligence and neuropsychanalysis. So, I know what I'm talking about most of the time.

Just ignore him, he enjoys stirring trouble.

~Dec - March 7, 2013, 2:21 pm

(Mar 7, 2013 03:13 PM)Irritable Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Remember kids:
[snipped /]

No one gives a fuck. You can pretend to be whatever you want to. Web development isn't hard. ?
I wouldn't lie about my qualifications. And your lame attempt at an insult should be in a [spoiler] tag.

Poppins - March 8, 2013, 10:04 am

(Mar 7, 2013 12:21 PM)Irritable Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) > Implying web development is difficult ("at 18 smiley face")

> Implying font tags aren't widely accepted

Thanks for the good laugh.

You ignored every point I made and used some shitty '>implying' 9gag thing to make an extremely hollow point.

Quote:> Implying web development is difficult ("at 18 smiley face")
What?

Quote:> Implying font tags aren't widely accepted HAHAAHAHAHA. Find me one big site that uses them. (Right click > View source if you didn't know.) Go on. I dare you. Google? Github? Twitter? Facebook? Imgur? Flickr? Youtube? Reddit?

If they are so 'widely accepted' how comes none of the biggest sites on the internet actually use

them?

(Mar 7, 2013 02:59 PM)Irritable Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You just said web dev. is difficult. LOL!!! Thanks for the good laugh, indeed.

If web development is so easy, why are you still using depreciated code and not taking the time to learn CSS? ? CSS should be a walk in the park for you bro... Why do you suck so hard at it?

(Mar 7, 2013 03:04 PM)~Dec Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm aware that it is difficult if done properly. I'm not saying I find it difficult, but it can be for many people. You're an amateur C++ programmer who makes tiny little scripts for fun. I'm a qualified engineer with a technical university degree in advanced artificial intelligence and neuropsychanalysis. So, I know what I'm talking about most of the time.

I wouldn't bother bro. He says that web development is easy, while still using techniques that looked old in the 90s haha.

failz4skillz - March 9, 2013, 7:41 am

Not bad i guess i dont really do this programing but its okay i guess.