

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [When you have 69 Adware Tracking Cookies found in SuperAntiSpyware, is that bad?](#)

N!ck - March 7, 2013, 9:12 pm

I'm scanning right now (Malwarebytes, too) and I think this is the highest I've ever gotten in SuperAntiSpyware lol

Websync - March 7, 2013, 9:14 pm

I wouldn't worry. SuperAntiSpyware sucks, it's resource hungry and has a lot of false positives.

N!ck - March 7, 2013, 9:30 pm

(Mar 7, 2013 10:14 PM)Marty Kaan Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I wouldn't worry. SuperAntiSpyware sucks, it's resource hungry and has a lot of false positives.

Really? I remember reading some "essentials" thread on this forum saying that Malwarebytes Anti-Malware and SuperAntiSpyware were one of the must-haves for keeping your system safe.

Zabuza? - March 7, 2013, 10:00 pm

I just made this thread on this issue. <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3311300>

phybe? - March 8, 2013, 5:47 am

(Mar 7, 2013 10:14 PM)Marty Kaan Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I wouldn't worry. SuperAntiSpyware sucks, it's resource hungry and has a lot of false positives.

It doesn't "suck" and the fact that it detects a lot of false positives isn't necessarily a bad thing because they could be backdoored for all you know.

Websync - March 8, 2013, 6:22 am

(Mar 8, 2013 06:47 AM)phybe? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It doesn't "suck" and the fact that it detects a lot of false positives isn't necessarily a bad thing because they could be backdoored for all you know.

Yeah it sucks compared to other softwares. Is not needed at all in any pc, others do the job 1000x better.

So yeah, almost useless piece of software. ?