

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [new subsection in cryptograpy ? "antivirus evasion techniques" ?](#)

Stryker™ - June 3, 2013, 9:26 am

hey guys i am a developer and lately i have been working just with crypters and nothing else :P i have made 3 private crypters and i am about to sell one of them, but when i want to look at the cryptography forum to see what other devs are up to, how they bypass AV's and do other things like anti emulation and such i can't find anything at all :/ why ? because the cryptography section has just become the "post ur crypter here" section :L its just filled up with people releasing their crypters, people asking for a free crypt, and people asking what is the best crypters and all these "LQ" questions.

my idea is to open a new section that would be for the developers and the curious on new techniques, old techniques and tricks on how to bypass AV's, what some AV's detect and so on, there is really tons of information that could be put together on this topic but it seems like none is posting it due to the cryptography section is not working anymore. i would love to see a section or sub section that would be "antivirus evasion" or "crypter development discussion" and so on, i think that this could help the development of crypters with even greater techniques and longer FUD time.

what is your opinion on this ? if you are a developer, do u agree with me ?

please do not flame, and do answer with serious reply's only :)

best regards Stryker™.

ScriptKat - June 3, 2013, 9:31 am

I support this, this is a great topic to add to the topic of the forums :)

Ariana Grande - June 4, 2013, 6:02 am

A new sub-section for this topic is highly unnecessary. Omniscient only adds sub-sections for sections that are in dire need of a new one.

Thangorn - June 4, 2013, 9:23 am

It's quite a niche section, I too have developed crypters and have seen how difficult it is to find good quality content. I suggested the introduction of a crypter subsection to filter away that big chunk however understandably omni kindly declined.

I see where you're coming from but I don't think a subsection for such a small minority would be required.

redpois0n - June 4, 2013, 10:23 am

If it was added it would not be added as sub category to that section.

Stryker™ - June 4, 2013, 11:20 am

hmm yea i can see how this would be a minority :/ but there should be some kind of way to filter out all the "spam" in the cryptography section tho, as i said there is tons and tons of unrelated to cryptography posts there like people releasing their tools, shouldn't those be in the hacking tools and programs section ? maybe a new rule to not post their crypters there ? and leave the section for people who actually works with cryptography ? i think omni should think about this for a second and

see if he can come up with one of his cleaver ideas ;)

Upgraded - June 4, 2013, 4:28 pm

I am a developer and I do not think this sub section should be made.

There wouldn't be much support for it, as there is already a coding section.

Making it would be pointless.

Stryker™ - June 5, 2013, 5:07 am

(Jun 4, 2013 04:28 PM)EliteCracker Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I am a developer and I do not think this sub section should be made.

There wouldn't be much support for it, as there is already a coding section.

Making it would be pointless.

there is already a "coding section" but the section i am talking about of the information i want to be able to filter out is about antivirus evasion techniques ? its two very different things, like bread and bacon. antivirus evasion techniques are things like disscussing what not to do and what to do to detect and undetect malware, for example i know for a fact that if u add a resource to ur file that is greater than 16383 bytes avira will detect it as a dropper ;) and a section that could share this kind of knowledge would be great :)

as i said earlier it does not have to be a section but it can also be some kind of way to filter out all the spam from the cryptography section.

Canonsx130 - June 5, 2013, 5:30 am

If there are a 100,000 members in here, probably 1000 of them are good with programming, or good enough to make complex programs like crypters and probably 500 of them are inactive. I don't think a subsection should be made for the very few people who would actually talk about the dev. side of crypters. I can already smell people asking 'how can i code crypter, what should i learn' threads popping up.

Stryker™ - June 5, 2013, 6:52 am

(Jun 5, 2013 05:30 AM)Canonsx130 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If there are a 100,000 members in here, probably 1000 of them are good with programming, or good enough to make complex programs like crypters and probably 500 of them are inactive. I don't think a subsection should be made for the very few people who would actually talk about the dev. side of crypters. I can already smell people asking 'how can i code crypter, what should i learn' threads popping up.

yea might be true :/ but crypters are not really that complex if u know programming, allthought the runtime part can be hard, but read my earlier reply's.

Thangorn - June 5, 2013, 9:26 am

In a way I'm almost glad that we aren't saturated with too many people who can actually code a crypter. Like we do get a lot of 1 star members coming on here and posting a lot of infected crypters and such. The last thing we need is even more crypters saturating an already saturated section of this forum.

Also too much crypter dev is very bad for the HF economy, it would become like the big stresser booter thing where everybody is posting another stressers.

Simply when the Tyna crypter project was alive, Vlad posted the source to his crypter...Suddenly in the Delphi coding section a million threads pop up asking how to reFUD his crypter.

I don't know if my point was very clear, sorry, I'm frightfully tired after a long shift at the hospital :/

Stryker™ - June 5, 2013, 1:49 pm

(Jun 5, 2013 09:26 AM)Thangorn Wrote: [CITE](#) In a way I'm almost glad that we aren't saturated with too many people who can actually code a crypter. Like we do get a lot of 1 star members coming on here and posting a lot of infected crypters and such. The last thing we need is even more crypters saturating an already saturated section of this forum.

Also too much crypter dev is very bad for the HF economy, it would become like the big stresser booter thing where everybody is posting another stressers.

Simply when the Tyna crypter project was alive, Vlad posted the source to his crypter...Suddenly in the Delphi coding section a million threads pop up asking how to reFUD his crypter.

I don't know if my point was very clear, sorry, I'm frightfully tired after a long shift at the hospital :/ yea u are talking about something here, i myself read countless of pages in books to understand how a crypter and the structure of a portable executable works :/ would hate for some skid who barely knows programming and such to refud my "source" and sell it.

Roger Waters - June 5, 2013, 7:31 pm

Don't you think Anti-Virus Evasion could be used for Black Hat Activities?

Omniscient - June 5, 2013, 10:58 pm

If you want that type of thread in Crypto forum then make one. Don't ask me to create a subforum for it.