

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [n0\\$f3ratu\\$ is a Fraud | UID = 6093 | REP. Abuser & Unprofessional Businessman](#)

Depravity - September 18, 2013, 2:35 am

THREAD WILL BE CLOSED ONCE THE CULPRIT PROVIDES EVIDENCE FOR THE FOLLOWING:

- Multiple account(s) accusation
- Previous customer accusation
- \$3.00 USD .NET Seal fee

Accused Fraud's Profile link:

<https://hackforums.net/member.php?action=profile&uid=6093>

Description:

I recently purchased this individual's product, and he had the audacity to partially refund me, disclose my newly activated account, harass me via private messaging system, and -3 REP. me using falsified statements. I HAVE NEVER dealt with this individual in the past, and he is a scumbag, low-quality fraud. User only refunded a partial amount of my money, and his excuse was that the money were to cover his .NET Seal fee. Pathetic fraud, and an inevitable REP. abuser.

Evidence:

n0\$f3ratu\$ - September 18, 2013, 3:09 am

Your previous account: [Pseudonymous -17](#)

Your account on netseal: Pseudonymous which you can't say is a common name and you are just using it.

Our entire conversation: Spoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)

As for retaining the 3\$ fee is only fair considering you didn't contact me before purchasing as well using the software.

In the end get lost.

Edit: I noticed you like to make fake scam reports.

Krew - September 18, 2013, 3:10 am

I foresee many laughs. Getting popcorn..

Depravity - September 18, 2013, 3:24 am

(Sep 18, 2013 03:09 AM)n0\$f3ratu\$ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Your previous account: [Pseudonymous -17](#)

Your account on netseal: Pseudonymous which you can't say is a common name and you are just using it.

Our entire conversation: Spoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)

As for retaining the 3\$ fee is only fair considering you didn't contact me before purchasing as well using the software.

In the end get lost.

Edit: I noticed you like to make fake scam reports.

"Pseudonymous" isn't an uncommon name, because it correlates with anonymity.

A simple I.p. Address checkup would have clarified that the user you're falsely accusing me to be would validate that I am not the same individual.

If you weren't lazy to briefly read my explanation on behalf of my lost, secondary HackForums' account, the screenshot you provided indicates the reason.

The User ID you linked IS NOT my account. You have it completely mixed up.
Also you blatantly bluffed on behalf of the \$3.00 USD .NET Seal fee, which indicates that you're a fraud.

In regards to your statement about my .NET Seal account, I recently created that account, because I forgot the password to my other .NET Seal account, "Depravity".

Also, if you haven't checked the previous usernames the Profile link you provided had 37 username changes, yet, my first account, Depravity, never had an account name change.

Thus, indicating that I strictly use the alias, "Depravity".

You're a fraud, and you inevitably admitted that the reason why you deducted \$3.00 USD from the PayPal payment reversal.

It was not for the .NET SEAL fee, which you claimed it to be.

Last and foremost, you intentionally added an auto-buy system, simply to profit, regardless if potential buyers had to consult you prior to sending the payment.

You're a pathetic fraud, REP. abusing whore, and a low-quality salesperson.

Crazy - September 18, 2013, 3:31 am

I think n0\$f3ratu\$ made the right call. You're obviously a trouble maker who's likely to cause him problems. He cut you loose. 3 bux aint shit don't act like he scammed you for 3 bux, no need really.

Basically you're pissed you got blown off before you could scam or whatever it is your intentions were. Get over it man.

Depravity - September 18, 2013, 3:38 am

(Sep 18, 2013 03:31 AM)_CrAzY_ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think n0\$f3ratu\$ made the right call. You're obviously a trouble maker who's likely to cause him problems. He cut you loose. 3 bux aint shit don't act like he scammed you for 3 bux, no need really. Basically you're pissed you got blown off before you could scam or whatever it is your intentions were. Get over it man.

Get over with it? I wouldn't be surprised if he begged you on Skype to protect his reputation, because he is also known for refunding payments, and keeping a portion of the funds for profit.

First and foremost, the culprit blatantly lied to me, and explained that a portion of the reason on why he deducted \$3.00 USD was because of the so-called "NetSeal fees".

Secondly, the entirety of his falsified explanation is inevitably illogical.

- 1.) Thinks that my PayPal account is illegitimate (how so?)
- 2.) Deducts \$3.00 USD from the payment refund, to cover his so-called "NetSeal fees."
- 3.) States that I was a previous customer.

Yet, the only evidence he provided that was accurate was that singular screenshot where I admitted that I had another HackForums' account, which I've only had a few minutes of activity on.

JayBoss - September 18, 2013, 3:42 am

I just subscribed to this thread, seems like me and Krew are grabbing our popcorn's and watching this thread.

n0\$f3ratu\$ - September 18, 2013, 3:55 am

Quote:You're a fraud, and you inevitably admitted that the reason why you deducted \$3.00 USD from the PayPal payment reversal.

It was not for the .NET SEAL fee, which you claimed it to be.

You are just as stupid as i thought.

There are fees on NetSeal. Depending on the package there is a % that goes to NetSeal.

Also a portion of those 3\$ are for using my software.

I also don't care if you or anyone else start doing math to see how much is coming per day.

I deduct as much as i think is fair.

Quote:Last and foremost, you intentionally added an auto-buy system, simply to profit, regardless if potential buyers had to consult you prior to sending the payment.

Yes you are right.

I am planning to get my self rich from those fees to purchase HF from Omni.

You have a problem with that?

Quote:You're a pathetic fraud, REP. abusing whore, and a low-quality salesperson.

Pathetic fraud???? ...hmmmm i wouldn't day pathetic or fraud in the same sentence.

Rep abusing hore???? ... YES. I like to fuck idiots like you.

Low-quality salesperson.... maybe, but in any case you are not the one who can judge me.

Quote:Thinks that my PayPal account is illegitimate (how so?)
Cut the bullshit: sarah.brooks1995@gmail.com

Chrazy - September 18, 2013, 3:57 am

(Sep 18, 2013 03:38 AM)Depravity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Get over with it? I wouldn't be surprised if he begged you on Skype to protect his reputation, because he is also known for refunding payments, and keeping a portion of the funds for profit.

First and foremost, the culprit blatantly lied to me, and explained that a portion of the reason on why he deducted \$3.00 USD was because of the so-called "NetSeal fees".

Secondly, the entirety of his falsified explanation is inevitably illogical.

- 1.) Thinks that my PayPal account is illegitimate (how so?)
- 2.) Deducts \$3.00 USD from the payment refund, to cover his so-called "NetSeal fees."
- 3.) States that I was a previous customer.

Yet, the only evidence he provided that was accurate was that singular screenshot where I admitted that I had another HackForums' account, which I've only had a few minutes of activity on. Probably the name Sarah, as it's unlikely you're a female.

Given your rep indication, and history it seems you're a pretty wacky untrustworthy user, who makes a lot of scam reports. He got spooked or deemed you too suspicious and cut you loose, and decided to take 3 bux in the process.

Probably the 3 bux was unnecessary but i mean i figure he see's it as compensation for wasting his time? who knows.

What i see from my eyes, is a guy who's made false scam reports, a hothead, appears to be a guy using a chicks name for his paypal, buying a product and the guy selling the product thinking i want nothing to do with him. So the issue is the 3 bux really right? And who cares about 3 bux which is why i said get over it.

I don't know the guy he could be an asshole he could be a great guy, but evidently if he was an asshole don't buy his product, and with your history, i wouldn't trust you with my milk.

Depravity - September 18, 2013, 4:05 am

(Sep 18, 2013 03:55 AM)n0\$f3ratu\$ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You are just as stupid as i thought. There are fees on NetSeal. Depending on the package there is a % that goes to NetSeal. Also a portion of those 3\$ are for using my software. I also don't care if you or anyone else start doing math to see how much is coming per day. I deduct as much as i think is fair.

Yes you are right.

I am planning to get my self reach from those fees to purchase HF from Omni.
You have a problem with that?

Pathetic fraud???? ...hmmmm i wouldn't day pathetic or fraud in the same sentence.

Rep abusing hore???? ... YES. I like to fuck idiots like you.

Low-quality salesperson.... maybe, but in any case you are not the one who can judge me.

Cut the bullshit: sarah.brooks1995@gmail.com

The payment reversal explanation clearly states 3 illogical reasons on why you partially refunded me.

In other words:

- 1.) Scammed me.
- 2.) Scammed me.
- 3.) Scammed me.

Secondly, you mentioned that the money you solicited from others are toward your goal(s) to purchase "HF".

If you're referring to purchasing the forums, you can keep dreaming.

You blatantly admitted that you abuse Reputation ratings.

I also am obliged to judge you, because I, for one, actually purchased your product, and you only partially refunded me.

Not to mention that you had the audacity to harass me, blatantly lied to me, and even -3 REP'd me for no absolute reason.

Last and foremost, cut what bullshit?

There is nothing wrong with my PayPal's E-mail address.

If you believe the account was compromised, and I stole it, you're inevitably a judge-mental idiot.

I'm certain if I was a fraud, my PayPal account would already be limited, and/or would have been discarded, due to insufficient funds.

Unfortunately in your case, I am not, unlike you.

stefsot - September 18, 2013, 4:08 am

He doesnt want you to use his software, he has every right. There are net-seal fees and 3\$ is not that much. Get over it.

Depravity - September 18, 2013, 4:11 am

(Sep 18, 2013 04:08 AM)stefsot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) He doesnt want you to use his software, he has every right. There are net-seal fees and 3\$ is not that much. Get over it.

If \$3.00 USD is not that much, then why didn't he fully refund me?

Same concept applies, and that isn't the reason on why I am aggravated.

It was because he had the audacity to ridicule me, and harass me for no absolute reason.

I am simply informing others that this user is a con-artist, and a compulsive liar.

stefsot - September 18, 2013, 4:12 am

(Sep 18, 2013 04:11 AM)Depravity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If \$3.00 USD is not that much, then why didn't he fully refund me?

Same concept applies, and that isn't the reason on why I am aggravated.

It was because he had the audacity to ridicule me, and harass me for no absolute reason.

I am simply informing others that this user is a con-artist, and a compulsive liar.
He should pay a small fee for every customer like you? The amount adds up. You only lose ~3\$ once.

Depravity - September 18, 2013, 4:17 am

(Sep 18, 2013 04:12 AM)stefsot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) He should pay a small fee for every customer like you? The amount adds up. You only lose ~3\$ once.

For no valid reason.

I am not understanding why you're protecting him, because it's inevitable he is in the wrong. I understand that he is the product seller, and is obligated to sell anyone a product, but he shouldn't add an auto-buy system if he expects people to get approved prior to purchasing.

Regardless, he isn't a trustworthy individual, and he blatantly lied to me.
This guy is a thief, and he has no credibility, other than his REP.

trenth - September 18, 2013, 4:17 am

I want to see proof that you're actually Sarah Brooks, and you're not using a compromised account.
Better yet, using your mother's PayPal account.

stefsot - September 18, 2013, 4:19 am

(Sep 18, 2013 04:17 AM)Depravity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) For no valid reason.

I am not understanding why you're protecting him, because it's inevitable he is in the wrong. I understand that he is the product seller, and is obligated to sell anyone a product, but he shouldn't add an auto-buy system if he expects people to get approved prior to purchasing.

Regardless, he isn't a trustworthy individual, and he blatantly lied to me.
This guy is a thief, and he has no credibility, other than his REP.
Then you should start reading what you are purchasing.

(Dec 26, 2012 01:09 AM)n0\$f3ratu\$ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)
[\[img\]http://img59.imageshack.us/img59/2163/datatos.png](http://img59.imageshack.us/img59/2163/datatos.png)[\[img\]](#)

After purchasing please [PM](#) me the serial you will receive trough email, otherwise your copy will get banned.

Krew - September 18, 2013, 4:20 am

(Sep 18, 2013 04:17 AM)trenth Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I want to see proof that you're actually Sarah Brooks, and you're not using a compromised account.

Better yet, using your mother's PayPal account.

It is called a 'ewhore' PayPal account. Not a bad thing.

n0\$f3ratu\$ - September 18, 2013, 4:21 am

(Sep 18, 2013 04:17 AM)Depravity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) For no valid reason.

I am not understanding why you're protecting him, because it's inevitable he is in the wrong. I understand that he is the product seller, and is obligated to sell anyone a product, but he shouldn't add an auto-buy system if he expects people to get approved prior to purchasing.

Regardless, he isn't a trustworthy individual, and he blatantly lied to me.

This guy is a thief, and he has no credibility, other than his REP.

stefsoft is "protecting" me because i hired him. ???

I also contacted others through Skype, MSN, AOL, Jabber, facebook, ect to come and defend me and make a fool out of your self.

Oh wait i don't need others to do that. You are doing fine by your self.

And yes if you really want to know i want to purchase HF from Omni from your fees. ?????

Learn what sarcasm is pretty boy.

Depravity - September 18, 2013, 4:23 am

(Sep 18, 2013 04:17 AM)trenth Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I want to see proof that you're actually Sarah Brooks, and you're not using a compromised account.

Better yet, using your mother's PayPal account.

First and foremost, who are you? Does your opinion even matter?

Anyways, for those skeptics:

(Pretty sure it was SOLELY USED FOR E-WHORING.)

I am pretty sure all of the following information below somehow CORRELATE. If not, then I must be extremely stupid, and you're correct.

- Joined Craigslist on the same day as my PayPal's registration date.
- Created a Craigslist advertisement on the same day.

(Sep 18, 2013 04:19 AM)stefsoft Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Then you should start reading what you are purchasing.

I proofread his topic, and I did not qualify in any of those criteria.

- I am the PayPal account holder.
- I do not have a negative balance of reputation ratings.
- No scam reports involving me.
- Did not encrypt files for others (had the license for an hour or less) / scanning on forbidden websites.

trenth - September 18, 2013, 4:29 am

I think it was used because you have homosexual closet-tendencies.

Also, not having a legit PayPal account. Doesn't matter if you're "E-Whoring" or not. It's the same as if I was impersonating Barack Obama with a PayPal. This guy has every right to keep 3\$ from you. I don't even know him, but after reading everything you said. You're bitching about 3\$, or trying to "prove him as a scammer". If anyone is in the wrong here, it is you. Can you not see that clearly in black and white?

Goodluck Sarah, maybe if you charged higher prices for taking it in the ass you wouldn't complain about 3\$

n0\$3ratu\$ - September 18, 2013, 4:32 am

Quote:- Did not encrypt files for others (had the license for an hour or less) / scanning on forbidden websites.

Considering the amount of reds and neutrals you have i wouldn't expect any less.

blazer4213 - September 18, 2013, 4:35 am

(Sep 18, 2013 04:23 AM)Depravity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) First and foremost, who are you? Does your opinion even matter?

Anyways, for those skeptics:
(Pretty sure it was SOLELY USED FOR E-WHORING.)

I am pretty sure all of the following information below somehow CORRELATE. If not, then I must be extremely stupid, and you're correct.

- Joined Craigslist on the same day as my PayPal's registration date.
- Created a Craigslist advertisement on the same day.

I proofread his topic, and I did not qualify in any of those criteria.

- I am the PayPal account holder.
- I do not have a negative balance of reputation ratings.
- No scam reports involving me.
- Did not encrypt files for others (had the license for an hour or less) / scanning on forbidden websites.

I find it funny how he banned you for a reason/rule that wasn't even posted on his DATA PROTECTOR T.O.S.

He banned you for multi-accounting yet in his thread it doesn't state that he doesn't allow multi-accounters.

Depravity - September 18, 2013, 4:36 am

(Sep 18, 2013 04:32 AM)n0\$3ratu\$ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Considering the amount of reds and neutrals you have i wouldn't expect any less.

Let me guess.

You're simpleminded, and you're susceptible to being deceived, so you judge another individual's credentials based upon their Reputation ratings?

Please, if you had a brain, go search a valid scam report against me on HackForums, which you so claimed that I was a potential fraud.

Then again, you're the one who has an open scam report, which you inevitably stole \$3.00 USD from me, without my consent.

Pathetic scum.

(Sep 18, 2013 04:35 AM)blazer4213 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I find it funny how he banned you for a reason/rule that wasn't even posted on his DATA PROTECTOR T.O.S.

He banned you for multi-accounting yet in his thread it doesn't state that he doesn't allow multi-accounters.

That so-called "multiple account" that he claimed was mine, isn't mine, and I have more evidence based on his judgement, just because the usernames were the same.

Also, the clueless, so-called "businessman," (inevitably brain-dead, and doesn't even code), claims that "pseudonymous" is an uncommon word, so theoretically, the account would be mine, since the users are similar.

Then again, "pseudonymous," is a legitimate word, and he is incorrect, stubborn, and too cheap to fully refund me, or allow me to continue using his services, rather than blatantly lying to me, then scamming me.

I already stated that I do not care for the amount.

But what happens if he scammed me out of a few hundred dollars?

The outcome would be different, based upon a number?

Ridiculous. If he had the motive to partially refund me, then what makes you think he wouldn't do the same to someone else, just so he can profit?

stefsot - September 18, 2013, 4:42 am

(Sep 18, 2013 04:36 AM)Depravity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Let me guess.

You're simpleminded, and you're susceptible to being deceived, so you judge another individual's credentials based upon their Reputation ratings?

Please, if you had a brain, go search a valid scam report against me on HackForums, which you so claimed that I was a potential fraud.

Then again, you're the one who has an open scam report, which you inevitably stole \$3.00 USD from me, without my consent.

Pathetic scum.

That so-called "multiple account" that he claimed was mine, isn't mine, and I have more evidence based on his judgement, just because the usernames were the same.

Also, the clueless, so-called "businessman," (inevitably brain-dead, and doesn't even code), claims that "pseudonymous" is an uncommon word, so theoretically, the account would be mine, since the users are similar.

Then again, "pseudonymous," is a legitimate word, and he is incorrect, stubborn, and too cheap to fully refund me, or allow me to continue using his services, rather than blatantly lying to me, then scamming me.

I already stated that I do not care for the amount.

But what happens if he scammed me out of a few hundred dollars?

The outcome would be different, based upon a number?

Ridiculous. If he had the motive to partially refund me, then what makes you think he wouldn't do the same to someone else, just so he can profit?

Oh please... n0\$f3ratu\$ posted a screenshot of you saying that you lost the pass for that account and shit.... come on dude, have some self respect.

Null State - September 18, 2013, 4:55 am

(Sep 18, 2013 04:42 AM)stefsot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Oh please... n0\$f3ratu\$ posted a screenshot of you saying that you lost the pass for that account and shit.... come on dude, have some self respect.

Um, I'm pretty sure he's referring to the HF account that has L33T membership, and not the one Depravity said he created.

Depravity - September 18, 2013, 5:01 am

(Sep 18, 2013 04:42 AM)stefsot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Oh please... n0\$f3ratu\$ posted a screenshot of you saying that you lost the pass for that account and shit.... come on dude, have some self respect.

He is referring to the .NET Seal account, which I implied that I lost the password to my first .NET Seal account, which would be, "Depravity".

I am not lying, and there is no reason for me to lie.

(EDIT: Let me clarify this. When my HackForums' account, "Depravity," was banned for consistent harassment, and/or trolling after multiple warnings, I created another account for temporarily access. I was simply attempting to contact the staff members to reconcile my past, since the ban length lasted between 2-weeks to 1-month. My memory is somewhat blurred, because this happened a long time ago.

I can assure you, the second HackForums' account I created were only accessed twice, or three times, and the total amount would have added up to a few minutes of activity. The culprit, (fraud's name here),

claims that I am another low-quality user, who he has dealt with in the past. Although, I am attempting to clear up my username, because we're not affiliated, nor do I even know the user.)

Feather? - September 18, 2013, 5:04 am

(Sep 18, 2013 04:32 AM)n0\$f3ratu\$ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Considering the amount of reds and neutrals you have i wouldn't expect any less.

How does that matter? As long as he don't break your ToS you can't just assume he will and close his account.

I am not really sure what the problem is here, you have a product and a tos and he bought it. After PMing you, you didn't want to go through so just refund him?

Edit: Not taking anyones side here.

n0\$f3ratu\$ - September 18, 2013, 5:12 am

(Sep 18, 2013 05:04 AM)Feather? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How does that matter? As long as he don't break your ToS you can't just assume he will and close his account.

I am not really sure what the problem is here, you have a product and a tos and he bought it. After PMing you, you didn't want to go through so just refund him?

Yes.

When i first saw his pm with the serial i asked from him to reply to his paypal email for verification, but after looking at all his reds and neutrals i decided to cancel it.

I refunded him partially deducting from the amount the fees i pay to net seal 0.25\$ + 2.5% of the total sale which means \$1 in his case.

The other 2\$ are for using my software without waiting for my permission.

He also failed to follow my rules prior purchasing...

GOOGLE-SEO - September 18, 2013, 5:15 am

I no nos for some time longer than most on here , and we in the past have had our issues , but i can say one thing nos is fair guy , he sees it how he sees it, if he has a reason to banned someone he has a good reason , i dont think any member here would not disagree with me on this..

Nos you are more of a man , let this fukwit carry on with shit. walk away as he aint worth even replying back to.

Depravity - September 18, 2013, 5:17 am

(Sep 18, 2013 05:12 AM)n0\$f3ratu\$ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes.

When i first saw his pm with the serial i asked from him to reply to his paypal email for verification, but after looking at all his reds and neutrals i decided to cancel it.

I refunded him partially deducting from the amount the fees i pay to net seal 0.25\$ + 2.5% of the total sale which means \$1 in his case.

The other 2\$ are for using my software without waiting for my permission.

He also failed to follow my rules prior purchasing...

Which rule did I fail?

If nobody had a single negative reputation rating, then why did you sell it to them?

Do I have a negative amount of reputation ratings, yes or no?

If yes, then how am I still eligible to post in the Marketplace section, when you're required to have at least 1 positive reputation rating to post.

The other 2\$ are for using my software without waiting for my permission."

I accessed your product twice (approximately 6-minutes of run time). I encrypted a file once, which I did not use, and it was a mere test.

I also sent you a private message prior to accessing the product.

Which you denied, stated that I was low-quality as fuck, and left an insignificant, deceiving -3 REP. rating on my profile.

Please explain, genius.

Also, you never requested for anything, and you simply stated, "never mind."

Feather? - September 18, 2013, 5:20 am

(Sep 18, 2013 05:12 AM)n0\$f3ratu\$ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes.

When i first saw his pm with the serial i asked from him to reply to his paypal email for verification, but after looking at all his reds and neutrals i decided to cancel it.

I refunded him partially deducting from the amount the fees i pay to net seal 0.25\$ + 2.5% of the total sale which means \$1 in his case.

The other 2\$ are for using my software without waiting for my permission.

He also failed to follow my rules prior purchasing...

Thanks for explaining. He broke the rule and shouldn't complain. I recomend you to add the fee

thing to your thread to avoid this in the future, it can be wise for users to know a fee is deducted.

(Sep 18, 2013 05:17 AM)Depravity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Which rule did I fail?

If nobody had a single negative reputation rating, then why did you sell it to them?

Do I have a negative amount of reputation ratings, yes or no?

If yes, then how am I still eligible to post in the Marketplace section, when you're required to have at least 1 positive reputation rating to post.

The other 2\$ are for using my software without waiting for my permission."

I accessed your product twice (approximately 6-minutes of run time). I encrypted a file once, which I did not use, and it was a mere test.

I also sent you a private message prior to accessing the product.

Which you denied, stated that I was low-quality as fuck, and left an insignificant, deceiving -3 REP. rating on my profile.

Please explain, genius.

You had -rep which clearly stood in his thread that eligible clients can't have.

Automatic Coding - September 18, 2013, 5:23 am

(Sep 18, 2013 05:15 AM)_MAN_OF_MY_WORD_ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I no nos for some time longer than most on here , and we in the past have had our issues , but i can say one thing nos is fair guy , he sees it how he sees it, if he has a reason to banned someone he has a good reason , i dont think any member here would not disagree with me on this..

Nos you are more of a man , let this fukwit carry on with shit. walk away as he aint worth even replying back to.

I disagree with you, personally, because I've seen this happen multiple times, last time I provided a mathematical proof that he owes him at-least more of a refund, if not all of it, and he (or someone else on the thread, it was awhile ago) replied back with "Lol encrypting 24/7", while, I was using no's own logic of 'you could have been encrypting the whole hour you had it'.

He may be fair, but, he sure isn't logical.

GOOGLE-SEO - September 18, 2013, 5:26 am

(Sep 18, 2013 05:23 AM)Automatic Coding Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I disagree with you, personally, because I've seen this happen multiple times, last time I provided a mathematical proof that he owes him at-least more of a refund, if not all of it, and he (or someone else on the thread, it was awhile ago) replied back with "Lol encrypting 24/7", while, I was using no's own logic of 'you could have been encrypting the whole hour you had it'.

He may be fair, but, he sure isn't logical.If he isnt logical he wouldnt have one of the longest running crypters on h/f ..enough said. ?

BloodFire - September 18, 2013, 5:26 am

OP what you're making now is why you're being called LQ. Any seller on this forum would reject you if you're going to use a Ewhore paypal because it will most likely get limited and they would receive a reverse payment from it. Plus your reputation history seems sketchy that's why he rejects you as his customer. I think you should stop this because the only thing that you're proving here is that your negative reputation suits you well.

salmoneus - September 18, 2013, 5:28 am

lol n0\$....looks like some people never learn do they? ?

Depravity - September 18, 2013, 5:28 am

(Sep 18, 2013 05:20 AM)Feather? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thanks for explaining. He broke the rule and shouldn't complain. I recomend you to add the fee thing to your thread to avoid this in the future, it can be wise for users to know a fee is deducted.

You had -rep which clearly stood in his thread that eligible clients can't have.

Then why did his butt-buddy sell him a license, even though he had a Negative reputation rating? Literally, everyone on his sales thread (98%+) have a Negative Reputation rating.

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3124476&pid=29151948#pid29151948>

Theoretically, No\$ did not have a valid reason to oblige my payment, and only partially refund me, because I successfully passed his Terms of Service.

It appears to me that No\$'s brought his fan club.

Fine by me, because this scam report will remain, until No\$ provides evidence for the following 3 criteria that I provided in the beginning of my topic's description.

(P.S: Reputation ratings can be deceiving, and only a simpleminded individual would judge someone's credentials based upon fake vouches.)

Automatic Coding - September 18, 2013, 5:31 am

(Sep 18, 2013 05:26 AM)_MAN_OF_MY_WORD_ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If he isnt logical he wouldnt have one of the longest running crypters on h/f ..enough said. ?

Not really. Very few people selling stuff on HF really understand what they're selling, which, is one of the reasons I don't buy from here. Technical support is basically off the table, literally everything here can be hosted/developed yourself in a matter of minutes, etc... There are obviously a few exceptions to this rule, like every rule, but, honestly, very few.

Great example, literally the first thread on the HF marketplace:-

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3760195>

>Note: This is not some crappy .exe booter like every other one you see as (LOIC, HOIC, Jays Booter) stuff like that. This booter is ran off apis. (8Gb/S) With Instant Attacks.

I laughed, if I'm honest.

Feather? - September 18, 2013, 5:33 am

(Sep 18, 2013 05:28 AM)Depravity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Then why did his butt-buddy sell him a license, even though he had a Negative reputation rating? Literally, everyone on his sales thread (98%+) have a Negative Reputation rating.

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3124476&pid=29151948#pid29151948>

Theoretically, No\$ did not have a valid reason to oblige my payment, and only partially refund me, because I successfully passed his Terms of Service.

It appears to me that No\$'s brought his fan club.

Fine by me, because this scam report will remain, until No\$ provides evidence for the following 3 criteria that I provided in the beginning of my topic's description.

(P.S: Reputation ratings can be deceiving, and only a simpleminded individual would judge someone's credentials based upon fake vouches.)

I can't answer in No\$ place and I don't know that. What I know though is that you broke his ToS.

Just because someone else did something wrong and got away with it it doesn't mean you can.

Still, the mutli-account thing surprise me as he didn't have any proofs.

Depravity - September 18, 2013, 5:36 am

(Sep 18, 2013 05:33 AM)Feather? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I can't answer in No\$ place and I don't know that. What I know though is that you broke his ToS.

Just because someone else did something wrong and got away with it it doesn't mean you can.

Still, the mutli-account thing surprise me as he didn't have any proofs.

As I mentioned, he is illogical, and that is his only valid reason, even though he most likely sold to hundreds of other customers with Negative Reputation Ratings, prior to purchasing.

Ridiculously irrational.

Royal ! - September 18, 2013, 6:39 am

I am sorry OP but you are indeed wrong here. First of all, If you are a multi-accounter, I suggest you Not to buy anything here because you will get same treatment.

Secondly, he took 3\$ and refunded you the rest is completely fair. When you buy the product using that .NET Seal's Auto-Buy payment gateway , 1.5% + 0.5\$ already get cut by the Auto-Buy system. So the refund you received is completely fair enough even if you didn't even used his product for a mere second.

Lastly , insulting you? Well that is just the way deals are done online you know. A coder+seller (In this case both are same) has so much immense pressure he receives 20-70 + Private messages and queries on average , per day. So if there occurs something wrong and its related with the buyer, then the buyer Should be ready for quite a lot flame thing. You'll never understand this till you don't Try coding and selling a crypter here. Heck, some users i personally have met here on hf, They don't even know How to redeem the Serial code on .NET Seal applications. They just copy paste the serial blindly and copy BLANK spaces with it and afterwards they say Serial is not valid ????. So , you can imagine the rest of things.

Depravity - September 18, 2013, 6:49 am

(Sep 18, 2013 06:39 AM)Mark ! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I am sorry OP but you are indeed wrong here. First of all, If you are a multi-accounter, I suggest you Not to buy anything here because you will get same treatment.

Secondly, he took 3\$ and refunded you the rest is completely fair. When you buy the product using that .NET Seal's Auto-Buy payment gateway , 1.5% + 0.5\$ already get cut by the Auto-Buy system. So the refund you received is completely fair enough even if you didn't even used his product for a mere second.

Lastly , insulting you? Well that is just the way deals are done online you know. A coder+seller (In

this case both are same) has so much immense pressure he received 20-70 + Private messages and queries on average , per day. So if there occurs something wrong and its related with the buyer, then the buyer Should be ready for quite a lot flame thing. You'll never understand this till you don't Try coding and selling a crypter here. Heck, some users i personally have met here on hf, They don't even know How to redeem the Serial code on .NET Seal applications. They just copy paste the serial blindly and copy BLANK spaces with it and afterwards they say Serial is not valid ??? So , you can imagine the rest of things.

OK, so you're stating that this so-called "busy" seller was being productive when he left me a negative reputation rating?

I wouldn't classify myself as a "multi-accounter," because I did not close, and/or vacant the account due to my past history. I only created a second account to check HackForums' when this account was banned (2-3 access points: few minutes of activity).

Secondly, was it mature for the seller to blatantly troll me by posting immature posts, such as stating that I am a prostitute?

Also, he even stated that he gypped me out of \$2.00 USD, after taxes.

Regardless of the amount, he shouldn't have lied, harassed me, and trolled me, otherwise I wouldn't have bothered.

It's interesting on how biased the community is, when you guys fail to realize that the concept of scamming doesn't pertain to the amount.

So let's theoretically state that I was scammed out of \$100+ USD within the same situation. The outcome would have been different, right?

Regardless, he shouldn't have scammed me, which is exactly what he did.

Stating that \$3.00 USD was deducted to cover the so-called "NetSeal fees," without notifying the buyer that the real reason were to profit after refunding money is classified as SCAMMING.

Still don't see my logic?

Watch this video:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W5i_m9k7Wos

Sayonara Kodomo - September 18, 2013, 7:46 am

If this was for 100\$ I could understand the points you're making. The entire base of this thread is you claiming principle, all the effort and time wasted with this thread from multiple users is over the top. move on and continue your line of work.

You've already declared there have been some issues and the pair of you don't see eye to eye. This is 6/1 half a dozen of the other. You're both as bad as each other.

iRtehLeet™ - September 18, 2013, 9:26 am

You should be paying no\$ more money for all the time your making him waste posting on this stupid scam report.

Get a life and move on buddy.

Forc?d - September 18, 2013, 9:41 am

n0\$f3ratu\$ follows the TOS as rules strictly and always. This is what makes him HQ.

n0\$f3ratu\$ clearly found reason to believe that dealing with you trough Paypal could cause problems.

So he refunded you 90% of what you sent him.

I can only guess the reason for this i because n0\$f3ratu\$ used the Net-Seal PP auto-buy system ho adds the following fees.

[IMG]http://vvcap.net/db/sRbiNbeRxEEPozTOy391.png[/IMG]

I can't really do the math since i have no idea what the total sale amount is but i can almost ensure you that YOU got more refunded then you should have if n0\$f3ratu\$ was to calculate the fees he had to pay when you sent him the payment.

Go figure

Depravity - September 18, 2013, 12:48 pm

(Sep 18, 2013 09:41 AM)RatBoot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) n0\$f3ratu\$ follows the TOS as rules strictly and always. This is what makes him HQ.

n0\$f3ratu\$ clearly found reason to believe that dealing with you trough Paypal could cause problems.

So he refunded you 90% of what you sent him.

I can only guess the reason for this i because n0\$f3ratu\$ used the Net-Seal PP auto-buy system ho adds the following fees.

[IMG]http://vvcap.net/db/sRbiNbeRxEEPozTOy391.png[/IMG]

I can't really do the math since i have no idea what the total sale amount is but i can almost ensure you that YOU got more refunded then you should have if n0\$f3ratu\$ was to calculate the fees he had to pay when you sent him the payment.

Go figure

As I already stated, the amount of the money this fraud scammed does not matter. It is the principle that I only had access to his product for less than an hour, and he only partially refunded me, harassed me, then blatantly lied to me to keep \$2.00 USD for his own profit.

If it were to be more money, then you guys would care.

This so-called "incident," occurs frequently, and it was illogical to terminate my account, regardless if he is the salesperson.

He did not have a valid reason, because I purchased the product via his auto-buy system, and I successfully passed his Terms of Service.

If, however, I did violate his Terms of Service (Negative Reputation), then so did 90%+ of his other customers, because they all have at least one Negative Reputation rating.

He did not have a valid reason to ...

- 1.) Falsely state that I had multiple accounts, and that I am extremely low-quality.
- 2.) Partially refund me, rather than sending me the complete refund.
- 3.) -3 REP. me for absolutely no reason.
- 4.) Post immature remarks as his defense.

n0\$f3ratu\$ - September 18, 2013, 3:39 pm

This thread has become a joke just like the person who opened it.

Quote:As I already stated, the amount of the money this fraud scammed does not matter. It is the principle that I only had access to his product for less than an hour, and he only partially refunded me, harassed me, then blatantly lied to me to keep \$2.00 USD for his own profit.

The fact that i made you multi accounter and you are LQ doesn't mean i harassed you. I never lied about anything. I stated everything even on the refund paypal note moron.

Quote:He did not have a valid reason, because I purchased the product via his auto-buy system, and I successfully passed his Terms of Service.

I did had a valid reason and NO you didn't passed the TOS.

Quote:If, however, I did violate his Terms of Service (Negative Reputation), then so did 90%+ of his other customers, because they all have at least one Negative Reputation rating.

How i decide to who i sell or not is none of your business.

WTF loser you managed to raise more reds and neutrals then anyone who posted in this thread in the same time manner which describes just as you are.

GTFO you little cunt!

Quote:He did not have a valid reason to ...

- 1.) Falsely state that I had multiple accounts, and that I am extremely low-quality.
- 2.) Partially refund me, rather than sending me the complete refund.
- 3.) -3 REP. me for absolutely no reason.
- 4.) Post immature remarks as his defense.

1.) I am 110% sure you are a multi accounter and you fucking admitted and YES your are more then EXTREMELY LQ on this forum and i am more then sure you are the same in day to day life.

2.) The fact you even breath and you deserve more then -3 which i think most of us here already knows that judging by your posts.

5.) WRONG. I am trying to talk on your level. You can try to talk differently but your IQ will still be lower then to a monkey.

The FACT is: I may be though regarding to peoples i am selling to and/or maybe towards my customers sometimes, but in now way i am a scammer or unprofessional as you mentioned.

How do i know that you may be wondering....

During the years i had my share of idiots like you.

Almost every one of them are gone while i am still here with my own little, small business and i will be here long after you will be gone.

Depravity - September 18, 2013, 6:40 pm

(Sep 18, 2013 03:39 PM)n0\$3ratu\$ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This thread has become a joke just like the person who opened it.

The fact that i made you multi accounter and you are LQ doesn't mean i harassed you. I never lied about anything. I stated everything even on the refund paypal note moron.

I did had a valid reason and NO you didn't passed the TOS.

How i decide to who i sell or not is none of your business.

WTF loser you managed to raise more reds and neutrals than anyone who posted in this thread in the same time manner which describes just as you are.

GTFO you little cunt!

1.) I am 110% sure you are a multi accounter and you fucking admitted and YES you are more than EXTREMELY LQ on this forum and I am more than sure you are the same in day to day life.

2.) The fact you even breath and you deserve more than -3 which I think most of us here already knows that judging by your posts.

5.) WRONG. I am trying to talk on your level. You can try to talk differently but your IQ will still be lower than to a monkey.

The FACT is: I may be though regarding to peoples I am selling to and/or maybe towards my customers sometimes, but in now way I am a scammer or unprofessional as you mentioned.

How do I know that you may be wondering....

During the years I had my share of idiots like you.

Almost every one of them are gone while I am still here with my own little, small business and I will be here long after you will be gone.

You're a complete joke, and I didn't even bother to read your response, because I can already infer every single one of your post would be illogical, and immoral.

You inevitably scammed me, and by law, if you deceived an individual by intentionally lying in regards to your product, then you're classified as an individual who SCAMS.

You're a fraud; you REP. abuse, and even Negative REP'd individuals who understood my situation. You're absolutely pathetic, and you simply hide behind your so-called "credentials," for selling your product.

Mace - September 20, 2013, 6:24 am

I'm almost sure that he banned you, because there's most likely no guys with a paypal, including the girl name: sarah.

On the other hand, I think his use of language, is a little too offensive, just my opinion.

Depravity - September 20, 2013, 4:23 pm

(Sep 20, 2013 06:24 AM)mace Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm almost sure that he banned you, because there's most likely no guys with a paypal, including the girl name: sarah.

On the other hand, I think his use of language, is a little too offensive, just my opinion.

Thanks for understanding, but he did not have a reason to state that I am extremely low-quality, and -REP my profile for his own amusement.

Also, the ban reason was invalid, and I fit the criteria of the product's requirements.

Emoclaw - September 21, 2013, 1:47 am

Holy crap, I have 2 neutrals, I shouldn't buy this crypter.

Thanks OP, hope you sort this out.

Qmz_ - September 21, 2013, 1:52 am

I've been dp's customer once, it was fully undetected 98% of the time and everything worked perfectly from day 1 till to the last day.

Depravity - September 21, 2013, 4:02 am

(Sep 21, 2013 01:52 AM)Qmz_ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I've been dp's customer once, it was fully undetected 98% of the time and everything worked perfectly from day 1 till to the last day.

Sorry, but your information isn't relevant to my scam report.

Shanks - September 21, 2013, 4:11 am

He made the right decision, he did nothing wrong.

You should stop cry and move on, because this is not a scam.

Sayonara Kodomo - September 21, 2013, 4:49 am

(Sep 21, 2013 04:02 AM)Depravity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Sorry, but your information isn't relevant to my scam report.

I think you'll find your scam report isn't relevant full stop. You've now got 6 pages of forum space for absolutely nothing. All this has done is produce drama. As this is actually the second dodgy scam report you've produced I'm just beginning to assume you don't care about the money and just want to be a moaning bitch.

Close your thread already, you look like a fool.

Emoclaw - September 21, 2013, 5:24 am

To sum up.

OP bought a product, got banned and partially refunded.

No\$ bans him for:

Multi - accounting which is not mentioned in the ToS at all.

Negative rep in the past, this IS mentioned in the ToS, all of no\$ customers violate this, but only Depravity is banned.

Yet people say this dispute is invalid.

V For Vendetta - September 21, 2013, 6:17 am

1.5% of 29.50 is ~\$0.45. Adding \$0.50 for extra fees makes it \$0.95. Hell, make it \$1.00. He still took \$2 extra from you. However, I feel as if he had the right to based on the fact that you are indeed very LQ.

Emoclaw - September 21, 2013, 7:38 am

(Sep 21, 2013 06:17 AM)V For Vendetta. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 1.5% of 29.50 is ~\$0.45. Adding \$0.50 for extra fees makes it \$0.95. Hell, make it \$1.00. He still took \$2 extra from you. However, I feel as if he had the right to based on the fact that you are indeed very LQ.

There is no such thing "You must be HQ as fuck or I'll ban you and steal your money" in the sales thread.

Glass of whine - September 21, 2013, 7:43 am

he has the ability to close your account,

something about you must have been wrong.

he had the decency to refund your sorry ass anyway.

\$3 deduction is reasonable considering you crypted a file already

i mean, look at your rep kid, its fucking disgusting,

Im the one to talk because your the one with scammer rep,

I have my red because i like pissing people here off

Lil W?yne - September 21, 2013, 7:47 am

honestly its only 3 dollars man he could of just not refunded you at all. I bought a product from n0\$3ratu\$ and it went very smoothly and I was very satisfied he is a great user your lucky he does refund people.

Depravity - September 21, 2013, 3:25 pm

(Sep 21, 2013 07:43 AM)Glass of whine Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Im the one to talk because your the one with scammer rep,

I have my red because i like pissing people here off
Ironic..

Glass of whine - September 21, 2013, 5:17 pm

(Sep 21, 2013 03:25 PM)Depravity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ironic..

yes, it is 

Depravity - September 21, 2013, 6:53 pm

(Sep 21, 2013 05:17 PM)Glass of whine Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) yes, it is


None of which pertained to me being the fraud, which in your case, you scammed another individual.

Glass of whine - September 21, 2013, 9:18 pm

(Sep 21, 2013 06:53 PM)Depravity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) None of which pertained to me being the fraud, which in your case, you scammed another individual.
no i havent

you did.

I was scammed,

the booter did not provide anything it said it does

Edit: USER HAS A HISTORY OF SCAMMING, AND ALSO HAS MULTIPLE ACCOUNTS.
BEWARE!

haha lol,

multiple accounts, history of scamming, just random accusations.

When people look your rep,

they'll know your full of bull shit

Depravity - September 21, 2013, 9:31 pm

(Sep 21, 2013 09:18 PM)Glass of whine Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) no i havent

you did.

I was scammed,

the booter did not provide anything it said it does

Edit: USER HAS A HISTORY OF SCAMMING, AND ALSO HAS MULTIPLE ACCOUNTS.
BEWARE!

haha lol,

multiple accounts, history of scamming, just random accusations.

When people look your rep,

they'll know your full of bull shit
Isn't it ironic on how you contradict yourself?

Why don't you provide evidence to whereas I have scammed anyone on HackForums?

Please, if anything, you're the fraud, and you blatantly admitted by stating that you scammed the stressing network seller.

Glass of whine - September 21, 2013, 9:32 pm

(Sep 21, 2013 09:31 PM)Depravity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Isn't it ironic on how you contradict yourself?

Why don't you provide evidence to whereas I have scammed anyone on HackForums?

Please, if anything, you're the fraud, and you blatantly admitted by stating that you scammed the stressing network seller.

no i haven't,

he scammed me, selling a stresser that had no effect, and offered no support.

same as your case, except the product was not working

as you were refunded.

so just calm your tits,

Depravity - September 21, 2013, 9:37 pm

(Sep 21, 2013 09:32 PM)Glass of whine Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) no i haven't,

he scammed me, selling a stresser that had no effect, and offered no support.

same as your case, except the product was not working

as you were refunded.

so just calm your tits,

Rather than waiting patiently, you decided to file a dispute, and claim your money back.

Theoretically, you're a fraud, and a simpleminded troll.

Local - September 28, 2013, 1:50 pm

Deal with caution with depravity he is a manipulative liar.

Muddaland - September 29, 2013, 3:53 pm

Depravity, you fucking scumbag. I'VE NEVER DEALT WITH YOU AND YOU LEAVE A FUCKING REP SAYING: "EXTREMELY SKETCHY; USER BLOCKED ME VIA SKYPE AFTER I SENT HIM THE MONEY. DEAL WITH EXTREME CAUTION!". WTF kid, please, go back to being a bitch. No one wants you here. Remove that stupid ass rep and fuck off.

Proof:

[img]http://img203.imageshack.us/img203/4122/rxc1.png[/img]

Psilocin - September 29, 2013, 4:01 pm

Lmfao, You have no right to take \$3 from @OP.

It's not his responsibility to pay for your netseal shit.

But, this thread is also getting out of hand. This could be settled in PM easily, @OP is a moron

csclus - September 29, 2013, 4:04 pm

7 pages for \$3? wow! I need more popcorn ;)

n0\$f3ratu\$ - September 29, 2013, 4:15 pm

Actually the last few pages has nothing to do with the "scam" report he opened against me. This whore as his username sais "Depravity" just likes to talk shit to other peoples. Beside he is a multi accounter, he is a liar as well as you can see 3 posts above this one. I think is time to report this thread as it has absolutely nothing with a scam report.

Depravity - September 29, 2013, 9:22 pm

(Sep 29, 2013 04:15 PM)n0\$f3ratu\$ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Actually the last few pages has nothing to do with the "scam" report he opened against me.

This whore as his username sais "Depravity" just likes to talk shit to other peoples. Beside he is a multi accounter, he is a liar as well as you can see 3 posts above this one. I think is time to report this thread as it has absolutely nothing with a scam report. I thought you were more experienced in terms of business. You should know the difference between a scam report, and a deal dispute.

Correct, this is not a scam report.
It is a valid deal dispute that concludes that you scammed me.

I admitted that I had a second HackForums' account after I created this account, even though I have only accessed the other HackForums' account a few times for a few minutes.

It's amusing on how you had no evidence in regards to your claim of me having another account without me actually admitting that I created a second account, which was never even active.

You're a REP. abusing fraud, and justice will serve you when you end up behind prison bars.

n0\$f3ratu\$ - September 29, 2013, 9:46 pm

(Sep 29, 2013 09:22 PM)Depravity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I thought you were more experienced in terms of business.

You should know the difference between a scam report, and a deal dispute.

Correct, this is not a scam report.
It is a valid deal dispute that concludes that you scammed me.

I admitted that I had a second HackForums' account after I created this account, even though I have only accessed the other HackForums' account a few times for a few minutes.

It's amusing on how you had no evidence in regards to your claim of me having another account without me actually admitting that I created a second account, which was never even active.

You're a REP. abusing fraud, and justice will serve you when you end up behind prison bars.

Yea yea yea i know now.

You are one of those guys who will bring their lawyers to kiss my ass.

HexOhm - *September 29, 2013, 10:05 pm*

n0\$f3ratu\$ is a boss. OP there is no need to be butthurt.

Lugia - *September 29, 2013, 10:17 pm*

Am I still in time for popcorn? I'm kinda hungry.

Football - *September 29, 2013, 10:23 pm*

Depravity i hope you get your money back!! You are 100% legit member and i've done deals with this guy in the past

?