

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Backcat - Back-connect Utility](#)

cheatson - October 23, 2013, 2:16 pm

[+] Outline:

A simple utility [*nix compatible] for making life easier when back-connecting from a foreign host where firewall rules are not known. Run a copy locally specifying a port range to listen on (optionally specify another program to handle the connection). And on the server run a copy specifying the port destination range to try and 'brute-force' (optionally specify a local port range to bind to instead of taking the first available / and optionally specify a program to pass the connection to, e.g. `"/bin/sh -i"`).

It's for the issue of firewall rules blocking outgoing requests to certain port ranges (e.g. anything but designated web-server ports). You can run a listener on the protected range for example and then brute-force a back-connect from the server on that range. If you listened on range 1-1000 and the server only allowed 443 for example, you would get a connection in a second or two on that port with a shell.

[+] Notes:

Port range limited to 1024 per process due to using `select()` instead of `poll()`. Reason is because debugging `poll` on older distros gives me a headache!

Things to make sure of before using: Your ports are forwarded correctly for the listening range, and if you are binding to ports <1024 you must be root/sudoer!

See `--help` for usage examples.

[+] C source:

<http://hackers2devnull.blogspot.co.uk/2013/10/release-backcat-back-connect-utility-nix.html>

[img]<http://img24.imageshack.us/img24/1800/5o9x.png>[/img]

The Fail Collective - October 23, 2013, 2:31 pm

I have no use for this but nice code layout! :)

cheatson - October 24, 2013, 7:19 am

(Oct 23, 2013 02:31 PM)The Fail Collective Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have no use for this but nice code layout! :)

Thnx bud, been working on my code readability lately :)

The Fail Collective - October 24, 2013, 8:49 am

(Oct 24, 2013 07:19 AM)cheatson Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thnx bud, been working on my code readability lately :)

It's defiantly an improvement on layout compared to your LastDoor.c ;)

cheatson - October 24, 2013, 9:05 am

(Oct 24, 2013 08:49 AM)The Fail Collective Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's defiantly an improvement on layout compared to your LastDoor.c ;)

yeah I know lol. I was a newb back then TT (still a newb). [checking out your C stuff, looks good]

EXPLICIT CONTENT - October 24, 2013, 10:00 am

HQ as always, cheatson. Nice release & bookmarked ;)

cheatson - October 25, 2013, 3:28 am

(Oct 24, 2013 10:00 AM)Codah Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) HQ as always, cheatson. Nice release & bookmarked ;)
eatson. Ni

Thanks pal, appreciate it.

VipVince - October 25, 2013, 3:39 am

Nice share mate, keeping it HQ as always.

cheatson - October 25, 2013, 3:46 am

(Oct 25, 2013 03:39 AM)VipVince Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Nice share mate, keeping it HQ as always.
thanks bro, more tools and utils to come :)

-?Divine- - October 25, 2013, 4:04 am

Nice share Cheatson. The picture on top looks sexy as fuck. (no beastality)

cheatson - October 25, 2013, 4:36 am

(Oct 25, 2013 04:04 AM)-?Divine- Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Nice share Cheatson. The picture on top looks sexy as fuck. (no beastality)

Thanks man, and I know- I think it was even all done in illustrator quite sexy indeed.

ida - October 25, 2013, 6:40 am

Looks good Cheatson, and thanks for sharing.

cheatson - October 25, 2013, 7:23 am

(Oct 25, 2013 06:40 AM)Lani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Looks good Cheatson, and thanks for sharing.
Thanks Lani :). I'm supposed to be studying lol. Zero willpower!

Ixslicew - October 25, 2013, 11:37 am

This is really good. HQ Program trying it.

lemonweapon - October 26, 2013, 12:56 am

This is pointless, why are you trying to reinvent the wheel. Next time try to be innovative..

Mind Hacker - October 26, 2013, 4:02 am

(Oct 26, 2013 12:56 AM)lemonweapon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is pointless, why are you trying to reinvent the wheel. Next time try to be innovative..

If everyone had your mindset nothing would ever be improved!

Your analogy is also wrong, a better analogy would be why are you making candles when you could be using light bulbs.

lemonweapon - October 26, 2013, 6:02 pm

(Oct 26, 2013 04:02 AM)Mind Hacker Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If everyone had your mindset nothing would ever be improved!

Your analogy is also wrong, a better analogy would be why are you making candles when you could be using light bulbs.

Ok, "Mind Hacker", whatever you say bud.