

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [New Forum: MySQL](#)

Kansas - December 20, 2013, 12:34 pm

Forum Details

New forum: MySQL

Forum parent: [Programming, Coding, and Languages](#)

Forum icon(s): Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

If you have a suggestion post it below

Why should it be added?

Every day I find myself answering MySQL query questions in the PHP Development forum, which is okay, but I think for the amount of questions pertaining to MySQL that we have, we should have a sub-forum for it.

[Search Query showing 42 pages with 20 threads per page \(approx: 840 threads\)](#) (using these options: <http://prntscr.com/2cojmk>) [Search Query showing 4 pages with 20 threads per page \(approx: 80 threads\)](#) (using these options: <http://prntscr.com/2cojz9>)

Community add-ons to this suggestion

(Dec 20, 2013 01:38 PM)Shadow Rising Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Neat idea, I agree that there should be a section for Database languages in general, not strictly MySQL. (Dec 20, 2013 01:42 PM)Haxalot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think it would just be nice to have a database-centric subforum - it shouldn't be specific to any particular type of database. (Dec 20, 2013 04:00 PM)Snorlax Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How about going for SQL instead of MySQL?

Big difference. (Dec 20, 2013 04:19 PM)Snorlax Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Now we're talking different subjects. SQL is a language, and database is.. well. I'd go with "structure".

Database Structure forum ?

Tutelary - December 20, 2013, 12:35 pm

Please provide 100 recent threads to prove there's enough activity to warrant a section.

Kansas - December 20, 2013, 12:36 pm

(Dec 20, 2013 01:35 PM)Tutelary Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Please provide 100 recent threads to prove there's enough activity to warrant a section. Someone didn't read the entire thread.. ?

ShadowRi5ing - December 20, 2013, 12:38 pm

Neat idea, I agree that there should be a section for Database languages in general, not strictly MySQL.

Tutelary - December 20, 2013, 12:39 pm

(Dec 20, 2013 01:36 PM)Kansas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Someone didn't read the entire thread.. ? Recent being the keyword.

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

That's on the last page, supposedly the most recent one is from 6 days ago. The section would be dead.

Haxalot - December 20, 2013, 12:42 pm

I think it would just be nice to have a database-centric subforum - it shouldn't be specific to any particular type of database.

Kansas - December 20, 2013, 12:43 pm

(Dec 20, 2013 01:39 PM)Tutelary Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Recent being the keyword.

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

That's on the last page, supposedly the most recent one is from 6 days ago. The section would be dead. I feel as if, if we had it, it would open up discussion quite nicely is the thing.

Tutelary - December 20, 2013, 12:45 pm

(Dec 20, 2013 01:43 PM)Kansas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I feel as if, if we had it, it would open up discussion quite nicely is the thing.

"If it was open" is not a valid excuse to my criticism. A section has to have enough activity as it is, with a simple keyword in the title and tons of activity. Sections are in it for the longhaul. There are not that many people talking about MySQL on HF incessantly to warrant a section.

Kansas - December 20, 2013, 12:46 pm

(Dec 20, 2013 01:45 PM)Tutelary Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) "If it was open" is not a valid excuse to my criticism. A section has to have enough activity as it is, with a simple keyword in the title and tons of activity. Sections are in it for the longhaul. There are not that many people talking about MySQL on HF incessantly to warrant a section. I see where you are coming from, and the community has come up with a rather nice suggestion I did not think of, a database section in general.

Gustav - December 20, 2013, 1:18 pm

I find most of the above thread rather old. Can you supply 100 current threads? that are 2013, with activity-- (2 weeks old minimum atleast)

Kansas - December 20, 2013, 1:19 pm

(Dec 20, 2013 02:18 PM)Chief? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I find most of the above thread rather old. Can you supply 100 current threads? that are 2013, with activity-- (2 weeks old minimum atleast) I ordered the threads by ascending order, go to the last page to see the most recent ?

oz@bsd - December 20, 2013, 1:25 pm

Already a section here:

[Website Construction](#)

No need besides what's provided.

Also, its for web dev not programming, are you even familiar with the language?

Kansas - December 20, 2013, 1:31 pm

(Dec 20, 2013 02:25 PM)Mint. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Already a section here:

[Website Construction](#)

No need besides what's provided.

Also, its for web dev not programming, are you even familiar with the language? To combat your assumption about me I'm fluent and I will prove it if need-be in the following languages - PHP/MySQL/JavaScript/jQuery/Mod-rewrite/Python (and HTML/CSS which I don't consider programming/coding languages)

And I've used mySQL in programming before, considering PHP/Python are programming languages. mySQL is a very versatile database type.

And I'm aware of the website construction forum, and to me its somewhat of a joke-ish forum coming from an actual web developer (Sites I've made - <http://gcorner.co.nf/> | <http://linklance.us/> | <http://wiztec.org/> | <http://revolutionarypvp.com/> | <http://cubecraftz.net/> | those are just the live ones, I've also developed a blog as a learning project and things like csv formatters, etc.)

So that's my rebuttle..

Viral Dragon - December 20, 2013, 1:57 pm

OP:

Normally, for a section (a new one anyway) to be granted...it's customary to have 100+ recent threads within the last 2 weeks alone. So for the last 2 weeks:

<https://hackforums.net/search.php?action=results&sid=a8d227c2ac65cfa8afeeae2ea8c567b5&sortby=lastpost&order=desc>

And just for fun, a month:

<https://hackforums.net/search.php?action=results&sid=b68cdfb95f4d2f48e91568d5840a6&sortby=lastpost&order=desc>

-- As you can see, not even a single page. More like half of one. But...let's get even nicer, and go further. We'll extend the results by 3 months. Far beyond what's normally accepted. --

3 Months:

<https://hackforums.net/search.php?action=results&sid=e48059d7ad0d1818ffe9a07d49ce62de&sortby=lastpost&order=desc>

ALMOST 2 pages worth. By far not even close to the required 100+ threads.

--

But as a fellow web developer, I could see where you are coming from. Sadly HF has standards/rules. ._. Though I do agree, if a section was opened for a more centralized in general and not just mysql, then that might bring in more activity for it.

-Viral.

'Snorlax - December 20, 2013, 3:00 pm

How about going for SQL instead of MySQL?

Big difference.

Tiny Hippo - December 20, 2013, 3:14 pm

(Dec 20, 2013 04:00 PM)Snorlax Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How about going for SQL instead of MySQL?

Big difference.

Or a title like "Databases and SQL."

'Snorlax - December 20, 2013, 3:19 pm

(Dec 20, 2013 04:14 PM)Tiny Hippo Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Or a title like "Databases and SQL."

Now we're talking different subjects. SQL is a language, and database is.. well. I'd go with "structure".

Database Structure forum ?

Omniscient - *December 20, 2013, 4:06 pm*

Yes it's time. If you don't see this in 2 weeks send me a PM.