

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Is It Hard To Make A Roulette Bot Program?](#)

1337God - January 11, 2014, 7:02 am

Well i want to try out a strategy, but i need a program to do it for me since i don't have that much time. So basically what i need the program to do is bet \$1 on red, if it comes up black, bet \$2 on black, if it comes red again, bet \$4 on black. If it comes on black then turn back to \$1 betting. I basically need it to double when you lose.

Is it hard to make it?

inferior - January 11, 2014, 7:05 am

The algorithm is the least work.

But you'll have to interact with the roulette, which depending on its type (software, website, ...) might be a lot of work. Also you'll have to read out the results of your spin which again depending on the type of the roulette might be a lot of work.

PS: The bank always wins.

Sc?rf?c3_ - January 11, 2014, 7:08 am

(Jan 11, 2014 08:05 AM)inferior Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The algorithm is the least work.

But you'll have to interact with the roulette, which depending on its type (software, website, ...) might be a lot of work. Also you'll have to read out the results of your spin which again depending on the type of the roulette might be a lot of work.

PS: The bank always wins.

yep....since depending on the min bet..there is always a proportional max bet....which is the way they protect themselves by this kind of practice.

inferior - January 11, 2014, 7:12 am

Even if there was no max bet, statistically you couldn't win.

1337God - January 11, 2014, 7:19 am

(Jan 11, 2014 08:12 AM)inferior Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Even if there was no max bet, statistically you couldn't win.

Actually, i make a lot of money that way, i'm just too lazy to do it manually.

Anyways my question is if making that program is possible, not if i can win or not.

inferior - January 11, 2014, 7:22 am

Well, although I doubt that very much, I've answered on that in the first part of my first answer.

shebang - January 11, 2014, 1:10 pm

Of course it's possible.

I have no clue which interface you're going through though, so I made a general subroutine with the logic you would need.

[img]http://www.lensert.com/5l.png[/img]

mem0rex - January 11, 2014, 1:42 pm

Sorry but the martingale system does not work. You will eventually hit a losing streak that you can not afford and lose everything. Also if you are doubling each time it does not matter how many times you lose in a row, when and if you eventually win you only net a profit of the value of your first bet. (In your example 1\$) Take this series of bets for example:

Code 1-lose
2-lose
4-lose
8-lose
16-lose
32-lose
64-lose
128-lose
256-win

Total bet: $(1+2+4+8+16+32+64+128)=255$
Total won: 256

PROFIT??? = 1

So basically with the martingale system you have to bet alot to make money! Makin it even more likely for you to hit that huge losing streak, at which point you cant double anymore.

shebang - January 11, 2014, 2:02 pm

(Jan 11, 2014 02:42 PM)mem0rex Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Sorry but the martingale system does not work. You will eventually hit a losing streak that you can not afford and lose everything. Also if you are doubling each time it does not matter how many times you lose in a row, when and if you eventually win you only net a profit of the value of your first bet. (In your example 1\$) Take this series of bets for example:

Code 1-lose
2-lose
4-lose
8-lose
16-lose
32-lose
64-lose
128-lose
256-win

Total bet: $(1+2+4+8+16+32+64+128)=255$
Total won: 256

PROFIT??? = 1

So basically with the martingale system you have to bet alot to make money! Makin it even more likely for you to hit that huge losing streak, at which point you cant double anymore.

That's the thing. I've always thought that it's only worthwhile if you have the amount of the second bet (x from now on) to the power of 20 to lose, and quit once you hit your x^5 bet. That way, you have an 'allowance' of 20 losses in a row before being screwed. This gives you a $1/2^{20}$ chance of losing all your money, a.k.a 0.000095% chance or ~1,052,632 roulette spins before you lose.

The problem? You need a \$1,048,576-sized bank to be able to afford this, all for a whopping profit of \$16 or 0.15%. You could downsize to 10 bet breathing room, but then you're 1024x more likely to

lose it all, or about 0.097% (1,031 spins). Only needs a \$1,024 start-up though. Everyone on the 1.6% profit train!

zac_drake - December 11, 2014, 12:18 am

Actually there is a simple way to achieve what you want. JitBit Macro Recorder is a nice lil' program that allows you to make different actions by pixel detecting in a previously selected area. It's intuitive scripting and can easily do whatever you want. I've made some scripts for Facebook in which I'd need to delete a lot of posts and images from a huge group to reset it with the same signed people... BTW, if you're tryin' to play online roulette, in the end you'll always lose.

Ninja - December 12, 2014, 8:11 am

Well, if you start with \$10 you can get to \$100 in a few minutes if you have luck and you are doing it manually. Using a bot like this will make you lose eventually. Manual is a lot safer than automated. Plus, there is a good chance you won't get your money if you win.

i.Code - December 12, 2014, 1:28 pm

That method is called the martingale aka probability theory and is mathematically proven not to work. I don't care how much you've won by winning a few and then having to bet 64\$ to win \$1 profit.

Even if you had a million bank roll eventually you will be betting 400k to win your losses and a \$ back, FACT. You can't beat science.

Edit: Didn't read other posters and someone already told you what it's properly called.