

Forum: [Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [The Abuse of Sections](#)

Mr.Peanut - February 19, 2014, 10:20 pm

I'm not saying the people who monitor sections aren't doing there job, because reported posts are getting taken care of! But there are MANY sections that are being miss used. I'll give you a prime example:

[Cryptography, Encryption, and Decryption](#)

This section is Cryptography, Encryption, & Decryption

90% of the threads posted there are simply crypter threads for RATS. Isn't there already another section for this? I get some threads are paid stickies, but for the other threads, it really ruins it and kills off a useful section. Not a lot of people here actually understand how true cryptography works..

That's just my 2 cents, let me know what you guys think.

deiski - February 19, 2014, 10:23 pm

Those are free crypters, it's Encryption.

Mr.Peanut - February 19, 2014, 10:25 pm

(Feb 19, 2014 11:23 PM)#Cyan Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Those are free crypters, it's Encryption.

It's more like spam, the term "free" automatically should associate something like that in the giveaway section or rat section.. Just like hacking is considered computer related, but mostly not allowed on hackforums. And yes as it may be considered encrypting, there's a time and place for everything, and being technical only ruins a great section on a computing forum website.

deiski - February 19, 2014, 10:28 pm

(Feb 19, 2014 11:25 PM)Mr.Peanut Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's more like spam, the term "free" automatically should associate something like that in the giveaway section or rat section.. Just like hacking is considered computer related, but mostly not allowed on hackforums. And yes as it may be considered encrypting, there's a time and place for everything, and being technical only ruins a great section on a computing forum website.

The RAT section is for RATS! not crypters.

Just stop.

The Alex - February 19, 2014, 10:29 pm

I saw his original thread and I have to agree. Any kind of HQ Thread about Hashing etc... is just buried down by free crypters. They should have their own sub forum at least to give people who actually know a thing or two to post some good HQ threads and get views on them

Mr.Peanut - February 19, 2014, 10:29 pm

Okay, one last agrument.. The main section is called "advanced hacking" in what possible way is giving out free crypts considered "advanced hacking".. If it was coding your own crypter, that'd be a whole different story, but enlighten me please?

BoomShot - February 19, 2014, 10:29 pm

If they're posting a tool that's associated with cryptography, then it's fine.

(Feb 19, 2014 11:29 PM)Mr.Peanut Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Okay, one last argument.. The main section is called "advanced hacking" in what possible way is giving out free crypts considered "advanced hacking".. If it was coding your own crypter, that'd be a whole different story, but enlighten me please?

It's all personal opinion on what's deemed advanced or not.

Frostix - February 19, 2014, 10:30 pm

The RAT section is for RATs. It's as simple as that. If the core of the thread is about encryption then where do you think it belongs?

TheDubWubCub - February 19, 2014, 10:31 pm

Although Crypter related threads are in relation with cryptography, they SWORM the whole section!

They Deserve their own sub-section within Cryptography!

This will be a win win for everyone!

Threads will be organized and a better forum will be formed!

I hope & support for the Crypters to have their own sub-section within the Cryptography section!

If this is ignored then crypter threads will continue to just overrun the threads that a truly about the subject!

I sincerely ask for your consideration!

Thank you! :]

Mr.Peanut - February 19, 2014, 10:34 pm

(Feb 19, 2014 11:31 PM)TheDubWubCub Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Although Crypter related threads are in relation with cryptography, they SWORM the whole section!

They Deserve their own sub-section within Cryptography!

This will be a win win for everyone!

Threads will be organized and a better forum will be formed!

I hope & support for the Crypters to have their own sub-section within the Cryptography section!

If this is ignored then crypter threads will continue to just overrun the threads that a truly about the subject!

I sincerely ask for your consideration!

Thank you! :]

Yes I agree completely! I mean there can always be meets, halfway. But the whole section is swarmed with the same thing.. And why is it that I get neg'ed by an uber for stating my own opinion. If you disagree, "communicate" it out, and be an enlightened individual.. I'll gladly admit if i'm wrong.

Vodge - February 19, 2014, 10:35 pm

I agree putting free crypters and what not in its own special sub-section would be the best solution to keeping what could widely be considered spam out of that section.

BoomShot - February 19, 2014, 10:37 pm

(Feb 19, 2014 11:34 PM)Mr.Peanut Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes I agree completely! I mean there can always be meets, halfway. But the whole section is swarmed with the same thing.. And why is it that I get neg'ed by an uber for stating my own opinion. If you disagree, "communicate" it out, and be an enlightened individual.. I'll gladly admit if i'm wrong.

Just a fair warning, do not even complain about reputation in the slightest. It will only result in lots more.

I'll be completely honest with you, the cryptography section is being used the way it was supposed to be. This suggestion will never happen.

TheDubWubCub - February 19, 2014, 10:37 pm

(Feb 19, 2014 11:30 PM)Frostix Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The RAT section is for RATs. It's as simple as that. If the core of the thread is about encryption then where do you think it belongs?

It deserves to have it's own sub-section within the cryptography section.

This way, it is in the cryptography section, but separate from the content that is more related to cryptography.

The section would consist of more Cryptography tutorials, Code breaking challenges, cryptography help, and code making and much more!

But all of this is suppressed & almost dead with the constant overflow of the crypter threads.

BoomShot - February 19, 2014, 10:38 pm

(Feb 19, 2014 11:37 PM)TheDubWubCub Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It deserves to have it's own sub-section within the cryptography section.

This way, it is in the cryptography section, but separate from the content that is more related to cryptography.

The section would consist of more Cryptography tutorials, Code breaking challenges, cryptography help, and code making and much more!

But all of this is suppressed & almost dead with the constant overflow of the crypter threads.

The thing is, this will never happen. The cryptography section is being used the way it should be. It will not change.

Mr.Peanut - February 19, 2014, 10:40 pm

(Feb 19, 2014 11:38 PM)BoomShot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The thing is, this will never happen. The cryptography section is being used the way it should be. It will not change.

Well first off, i'll definitely take your rep advice to heart, thanks xD

but most importantly, it's being used "the way it should be" who defines how it should be set? If we asked omni right now, what his intentions were when opening up that section, do you think he would of told you that? And i mean honestly, don't "we" as users make the decision of how the outcome goes? I don't see the point in dismissing it because it's how it should be. But you have your own views, and trust me, i get that. But do you get my views?

Vodge - February 19, 2014, 10:43 pm

(Feb 19, 2014 11:40 PM)Mr.Peanut Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well first off, i'll definitely take your rep advice to heart, thanks xD

but most importantly, it's being used "the way it should be" who defines how it should be set? If we

asked omni right now, what his intentions were when opening up that section, do you think he would of told you that? And i mean honestly, don't "we" as users make the decision of how the outcome goes? I don't see the point in dismissing it because it's how it should be. But you have your own views, and trust me, i get that. But do you get my views?

You're right. Just let Omni or another member of staff share their opinion on your suggestion, you've made your case.

BoomShot - February 19, 2014, 10:44 pm

(Feb 19, 2014 11:40 PM)Mr.Peanut Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well first off, i'll definitely take your rep advice to heart, thanks xD

but most importantly, it's being used "the way it should be" who defines how it should be set? If we asked omni right now, what his intentions were when opening up that section, do you think he would of told you that? And i mean honestly, don't "we" as users make the decision of how the outcome goes? I don't see the point in dismissing it because it's how it should be. But you have your own views, and trust me, i get that. But do you get my views?

"We" don't make the decision for anything. Only Omniscient does.

The sooner you understand that, the sooner you'll begin to enjoy his website.

Mr.Peanut - February 19, 2014, 10:44 pm

(Feb 19, 2014 11:43 PM)Vodge Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You're right. Just let Omni or another member of staff share their opinion on your suggestion, you've made your case.

I agree, I think we've all stated our opinions. hahaha but let's see how things go. And thanks to all the people, for and against, who actually bothered in sharing there opinions. That means more to me, than the outcome itself.

Colorado - February 19, 2014, 10:44 pm

I am actually in favor of this, I'm not active or post in the cryptography section but it's always flooded by LQ (not saying all are) free crypter threads.

Mr.Peanut - February 19, 2014, 10:45 pm

(Feb 19, 2014 11:44 PM)BoomShot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) "We" don't make the decision for anything. Only Omniscient does.

But just a second ago you said it was being used the way it should be used, or in other words, the way it was intended? So was that the way Omni intended it to have it?? I'm pulling your leg, i've decided to let staff weigh in themselves though.

TheDubWubCub - February 19, 2014, 10:45 pm

(Feb 19, 2014 11:38 PM)BoomShot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The thing is, this will never happen. The cryptography section is being used the way it should be. It will not change.

If a section can be improved significantly and will only improve it, why not do it?

I'm not suggesting on removing the section, I encouraging for there to be a new sub-section within the Cryptography section.

Look at the coding section, C# Programming Language is a sub-section to Visual Basic and .NET Framework...

If you are a true participate in the cryptography section then you should know that the difference between crypters and actual cryptography content like what i stated before are just as different as VB and C#.

Creating a subsection within the cryptography section wouldn't affect the crypter threads, if anything it would severely enhance the amount and diversity of the more cryptography related content in the cryptography section.

BoomShot - February 19, 2014, 10:47 pm

(Feb 19, 2014 11:45 PM)Mr.Peanut Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) But just a second ago you said it was being used the way it should be used, or in other words, the way it was intended? So was that the way Omni intended it to have it?? I'm pulling your leg, i've decided to let staff weigh in themselves though. My suggestion to you is if you see a thread that doesn't belong, then report it as such.

Mr.Peanut - February 19, 2014, 10:49 pm

(Feb 19, 2014 11:45 PM)TheDubWubCub Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If a section can be improved significantly and will only improve it, why not do it?

I'm not suggesting on removing the section, I encouraging for there to be a new sub-section within the Cryptography section.

Look at the coding section, C# Programming Language is a sub-section to Visual Basic and .NET Framework...

If you are a true participate in the cryptography section then you should know that the difference between crypters and actual cryptography content like what i stated before are just as different as VB and C#.

Creating a subsection within the cryptography section wouldn't affect the crypter threads, if anything it would severely enhance the amount and diversity of the more cryptography related content in the cryptography section.

Okay i'm back in this! THANK YOU, that section is "advanced hacking" in which there is a BIG difference between cryptography and crypting... ask anyone who has a degree and is knowledgeable in this field... i'm not asking for crypting to be removed, i'm simply asking for what other people who supported this asked for too!

(Feb 19, 2014 11:47 PM)BoomShot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) My suggestion to you is if you see a thread that doesn't belong, then report it as such.

Then you'd be reporting a bunch of threads.. and since there's not a description option on reports, you can't really explain to staff why your reporting like 100 threads, also it'll be considered as nothing wrong with them, unless you can acknowledge the problem as an entity.

BoomShot - February 19, 2014, 10:52 pm

(Feb 19, 2014 11:49 PM)Mr.Peanut Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Then you'd be reporting a bunch of threads.. and since there's not a description option on reports, you can't really explain to staff why your reporting like 100 threads, also it'll be considered as nothing wrong with them, unless you can acknowledge the problem as an entity.

What I meant is if you see a thread that literally isn't anything to do with cryptography, then report it as such.

For example, "what is the best RAT?". Then you just report it and it'll be dealt with.

Mr.Peanut - February 19, 2014, 10:53 pm

(Feb 19, 2014 11:52 PM)BoomShot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What I meant is if you see a thread that literally isn't anything to do with cryptography, then report it as such.

For example, "what is the best RAT?". Then you just report it and it'll be dealt with.

We may have our differences on certain topics, but i'll take your advice on that dude. Without a doubt...

Emoticon - February 19, 2014, 10:53 pm

Though it would be nice if the section contained more information about cryptography, the free crypters and such are in the correct section.

To be honest, though; I wouldn't mind seeing a small reform of the section, maybe a subforum for free crypters or something. It'd give more room for people to share their knowledge on cryptography itself.

You've made a good point here, don't let the haters get you down.

Mr.Peanut - February 19, 2014, 10:55 pm

(Feb 19, 2014 11:53 PM)Xerucide Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Though it would be nice if the section contained more information about cryptography, the free crypters and such are in the correct section.

To be honest, though; I wouldn't mind seeing a small reform of the section, maybe a subforum for free crypters or something. It'd give more room for people to share their knowledge on cryptography itself.

You've made a good point here, don't let the haters get you down.

Hay thanks man :D

For some reason, it really means a lot coming from a writer.. Probably because you spend time writing credible things, so I mean i'd probably value what you have to say more, making it right or wrong..

But thanks for the support bro!

BoomShot - February 19, 2014, 10:56 pm

I'm not certain why people are taking my responses as attacks or "hating", I'm sharing my opinion and he's sharing his.

The main reason I don't think this suggestion is necessary is because those posts belong in that section and if they don't, then you report them and they'll be dealt with.

I'm sorry that people are taking it so personally, but I'm just giving my opinion.

Mr.Peanut - February 19, 2014, 10:58 pm

(Feb 19, 2014 11:56 PM)BoomShot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm not certain why people are taking my responses as attacks or "hating", I'm sharing my opinion and he's sharing his.

The main reason I don't think this suggestion is necessary is because those posts belong in that section and if they don't, then you report them and they'll be dealt with.

I'm sorry that people are taking it so personally, but I'm just giving my opinion.

That's why backed off a little bit, because I took the time to step back and evaluate things. I understand what your saying, but wouldn't it be nice to have a more organized section for people who value that section at the same time? Forget about being right for a moment.. What's better? Reporting threads 24/7, or letting people know its wrong now to do in certain areas, and seeing a new section thrive again?

BoomShot - February 19, 2014, 10:59 pm

(Feb 19, 2014 11:58 PM)Mr.Peanut Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That's why backed off a little bit, because I took

the time to step back and evaluate things. I understand what your saying, but wouldn't it be nice to have a more organized section for people who value that section at the same time? Forget about being right for a moment.. What's better? Reporting threads 24/7, or letting people know its wrong now to do in certain areas, and seeing a new section thrive again?

I'll be blunt about it, even if these sections were added to "organize" the section. It'll still happen over and over, because people see that as the correct section.

Mr.Peanut - February 19, 2014, 11:00 pm

(Feb 19, 2014 11:59 PM)BoomShot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'll be blunt about it, even if these sections were added to "organize" the section. It'll still happen over and over, because people see that as the correct section.

Which... is where reporting them can come into effect.. Making them more guilty, and you doing what you would have originally done.. no? xD

See my side of things bro?

TheDubWubCub - February 19, 2014, 11:02 pm

(Feb 19, 2014 11:56 PM)BoomShot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm not certain why people are taking my responses as attacks or "hating", I'm sharing my opinion and he's sharing his.

The main reason I don't think this suggestion is necessary is because those posts belong in that section and if they don't, then you report them and they'll be dealt with.

I'm sorry that people are taking it so personally, but I'm just giving my opinion.
By all means, your opinion is greatly appreciated, by me at least.

The main point I wish to get out though is, Yes crypter related threads are in the right section along with every other cryptography related threads and posts.

But, there would be no harm and only improvement for all if the crypter threads had their own subsection within the cryptography thread just as the C# does in the VB section.

Like Xerucide said, this would provide a lot more room for more knowledgeable posts to be made and seen in the cryptography section, HQ posts wouldn't be buried within the crypter threads.

BoomShot - February 19, 2014, 11:04 pm

(Feb 20, 2014 12:00 AM)Mr.Peanut Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Which... is where reporting them can come into effect.. Making them more guilty, and you doing what you would have originally done.. no? xD

See my side of things bro?

I understand your opinion and respect it completely, but I just don't see its importance.

The only option I've heard so far that's not bad is a section for "free crypts/crypters" within that section. Other than that, I don't think it's exactly necessary.

Mr.Peanut - February 19, 2014, 11:05 pm

(Feb 20, 2014 12:04 AM)BoomShot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I understand your opinion and respect it completely, but I just don't see its importance.

The only option I've heard so far that's not bad is a section for "free crypts/crypters" within that section. Other than that, I don't think it's exactly necessary.

Well do you take an interest in Cryptography or related things? There are people who value that, and sadly, it's not as big or popular as the lounge. Fair or not, it's not the point, the point is, why not

fix something if it could be fixed?

BoomShot - February 19, 2014, 11:07 pm

(Feb 20, 2014 12:05 AM)Mr.Peanut Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well do you take an interest in Cryptography or related things? There are people who value that, and sadly, it's not as big or popular as the lounge. Fair or not, it's not the point, the point is, why not fix something if it could be fixed?

Of course I have an interest in cryptography, I created my own tool from scratch and use it regularly.

I value its importance and I understand that you expect that section to be booming with great ideas once it's "organized". But realistically, it's going to remain the same.

Be the change in that forum that you want to see so badly.

Emoticon - February 19, 2014, 11:08 pm

What Mr.Peanut is trying to say here is that these crypters in the Cryptography and Encryption section are comparable to having the PHP development section filled with PHP mail form generators. These crypters allow you to encrypt your files with just a few small changes and a push of a button. The section isn't so much about learning things about cryptography, there are just a bunch of free tools that implement various methods of cryptography being posted.

The simple answer is that nothing will likely be done about it, but it's a decent idea; and if implemented, it would bring about some interesting content.

TheDubWubCub - February 19, 2014, 11:10 pm

(Feb 20, 2014 12:04 AM)BoomShot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I understand your opinion and respect it completely, but I just don't see its importance.

The only option I've heard so far that's not bad is a section for "free crypts/crypters" within that section. Other than that, I don't think it's exactly necessary.

For me and unfortunately a few others, we find it very important for this change to occur.

From the majority perspective, it doesn't seem that all important.

Coming from a user who strives for quality cryptography content and is a highly active member in the cryptography section... The importance for these threads to be sorted is enormous to me.

Without them being sorted, High Quality posts and threads will cease to exist in that section and you might as well just rename it to the Crypter section.

Again, All I ask is for the crypter threads to have their own sub-section within the cryptography section.

BoomShot - February 19, 2014, 11:10 pm

(Feb 20, 2014 12:08 AM)Xerucide Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The simple answer is that nothing will likely be done about it, but it's a decent idea; and if implemented, it would bring about some interesting content.

This right here is basically my point. Thank you for stating it for me.

TheDubWubCub - February 19, 2014, 11:21 pm

(Feb 20, 2014 12:10 AM)BoomShot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This right here is basically my point. Thank you for stating it for me.

I realize no action will most likely be taken, but man how much more I would love this place if it did haha.

The few who try to Be the change we wanna see just get swept away and overflowed by the other threads.

Overall I'll always wish for the threads to be properly sorted within the section, but that'll defiantly not happen if it's only a couple of people wanting the change, even if it's greatly beneficial.

Thanks for everyone input though. :]

DeMon Designs - February 20, 2014, 1:28 am

(Feb 19, 2014 11:31 PM)TheDubWubCub Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Although Crypter related threads are in relation with cryptography, they SWORM the whole section!

They Deserve their own sub-section within Cryptography!

This will be a win win for everyone!

Threads will be organized and a better forum will be formed!

I hope & support for the Crypters to have their own sub-section within the Cryptography section!

If this is ignored then crypter threads will continue to just overrun the threads that a truly about the subject!

I sincerely ask for your consideration!

Thank you! :]

I do agree with you... Hope that this will be sorted out... and Hope a new sub-forum is made for this.

Antinormality - February 20, 2014, 3:46 am

I do not take part in contribution to that section but I think having it reform is a good thing as people who want to do challenges like Cody or whomever that was with the King playing card as ava. I enjoyed taking part in those and if those actual cryptography/decyphering threads get burried by free crypters and stuff yeah it's uncool.

'Snorlax - February 20, 2014, 12:11 pm

The definition of the forum is:

For discussion on keys, ciphers, and algorithms often used to keep information secret. Encryption is the art of concealing data and code.

Crypters uses encryption. They can post their crypting thread there, and the topic does not matter. They can post their thread as long as it follows the rules.

BoomShot - February 20, 2014, 12:19 pm

(Feb 20, 2014 01:11 PM)Snorlax Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The definition of the forum is:

For discussion on keys, ciphers, and algorithms often used to keep information secret. Encryption is the art of concealing data and code.

Crypters uses encryption. They can post their crypting thread there, and the topic does not matter. They can post their thread as long as it follows the rules.

Exactly, so I think the forum is being used the way it was intended.

Colorado - February 20, 2014, 12:21 pm

(Feb 20, 2014 01:11 PM)Snorlax Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The definition of the forum is:
For discussion on keys, ciphers, and algorithms often used to keep information secret. Encryption is the art of concealing data and code.

Crypters uses encryption. They can post their crypting thread there, and the topic does not matter. They can post their thread as long as it follows the rules.
OP has discussed this, the issue is the flooding of threads specific to crypters.
It's kind of like we have an mp3 section, yet we have a dubstep subsection

'Snorlax - February 20, 2014, 12:29 pm

(Feb 20, 2014 01:21 PM)Colorado Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) OP has discussed this, the issue is the flooding of threads specific to crypters.

It's kind of like we have an mp3 section, yet we have a dubstep subsection
Crypters are basically encryption based programs. No issue there.
The "MP3 section" is for general music. The dubstep section is for dubstep music. It has over 4000 threads and surely deserves its own section.

Mr.Peanut - February 20, 2014, 2:50 pm

(Feb 20, 2014 01:29 PM)Snorlax Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Crypters are basically encryption based programs. No issue there.

The "MP3 section" is for general music. The dubstep section is for dubstep music. It has over 4000 threads and surely deserves its own section.

Bottom line is, it's only 10% of the forum in that section, and the potential is much greater. If it could get a sub section, then why not try to see if it can? We should really just ask omni dude..

Also, do you consider getting free crypts advanced hacking? If so, please let me know.

TheDubWubCub - February 20, 2014, 3:22 pm

(Feb 20, 2014 01:29 PM)Snorlax Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Crypters are basically encryption based programs. No issue there.

The "MP3 section" is for general music. The dubstep section is for dubstep music. It has over 4000 threads and surely deserves its own section.

If a distinction can be made between mp3 music and dubstep music, visual basic coding and C# coding, and others then one can defiantly be made between crypters and HQ Informational Cryptography content.

Dubstep is related to music, crypters are related to cryptography, yet dubstep is more distinct than general music and crypters aren't enough?

Mr.Peanut - February 20, 2014, 3:25 pm

(Feb 20, 2014 04:22 PM)TheDubWubCub Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If a distinction can be made between mp3 music and dubstep music, visual basic coding and C# coding, and others then one can defiantly be made between crypters and HQ Information Cryptography content.

Dubstep is related to music, crypters are related to cryptography, yet dubstep is more distinct than general music and crypters aren't enough?

oh wow, that's actually a really really statement.. Agreed 100%

'Snorlax - February 21, 2014, 12:28 am

(Feb 20, 2014 04:22 PM)TheDubWubCub Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If a distinction can be made between mp3 music and dubstep music, visual basic coding and C# coding, and others then one can defiantly be made between crypters and HQ Informational Cryptography content.

Dubstep is related to music, crypters are related to cryptography, yet dubstep is more distinct than general music and crypters aren't enough?

I agree. I totally do. Thing is that any of the threads are not breaking any rules (you know what I mean). They are posted in the right section.

Now the next thing to do, is to consider if making a new section is appropriate.

TheDubWubCub - February 21, 2014, 9:08 am

(Feb 21, 2014 01:28 AM)Snorlax Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I agree. I totally do. Thing is that any of the threads are not breaking any rules (you know what I mean). They are posted in the right section.

Now the next thing to do, is to consider if making a new section is appropriate.

I agree too that they are posted in the right section, and I believe it would be appropriate for a sub-section within the Cryptography section to be made for crypters.

Doing so wouldn't stop the flow of crypter threads in their subsection, it would continue to thrive along with an increase in the amount and visibility of non crypter related cryptography threads within the main part of the Cryptography section.

There are many positive benefits from adding a subsection within the Cryptography section for crypters.

I have yet to hear a substantial negative from doing so, but if you truly have one then please state it, otherwise I just see a win win for everyone.

Mr.Peanut - February 22, 2014, 8:34 am

Sweet, a lot of people are liking the idea, just ing so others can see this too!

YeAt - February 22, 2014, 8:52 am

this is an excellent idea bud. much support.

Mr.Peanut - February 24, 2014, 2:52 pm

(Feb 22, 2014 09:52 AM)YeAt Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) this is an excellent idea bud. much support.

Glad you like the idea, xD much support indeed.

Im gone brah - February 25, 2014, 6:15 am

The section got trashed a while ago.

Mr.Peanut - March 3, 2014, 1:24 pm

(Feb 25, 2014 07:15 AM)Zayne Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The section got trashed a while ago.

Your right, it did!

Does anyone else have any feedback they'd like to share/contribute to this idea? It seems as if it's been unresolved by staff.

Lynchings - March 4, 2014, 7:45 pm

I say crypter threads should only be in the market place. Keep cryptography for tutorials and questions.

Mr.Peanut - March 5, 2014, 4:14 pm

(Mar 4, 2014 08:45 PM)Ariana Grande Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I say crypter threads should only be in the market place. Keep cryptography for tutorials and questions.

I couldn't agree more.. but a compromise wouldn't hurt (sub-section?)

Mr.Peanut - March 22, 2014, 9:36 am

Just going to this, so people can see this! This thread has been unresolved and it'd be nice to see others feedback including staff, or even Omni himself. :p

Positive - *March 22, 2014, 10:17 am*

It's simple: report the "abusive" threads as "wrong forum" and they will be taken care of.

You even said it yourself that reports are being dealt with.

We can't make users use a section right. We can only discipline those who use it wrong.