

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [\[Best Graphics Card\] Looking to buy a Graphics Card](#)

Swag Leader Juan - February 23, 2014, 7:17 pm

Anyone can recommend me to a graphics card with the budget of 125-160\$ that can run bf3 stable on ultra or bf4 on high stable? It doesnt matter what type of brand just between that budget.

Thank you!

Xez - February 23, 2014, 7:18 pm

No card can do that, not for that price. You are looking at at least a 760 if you wanna run on ultra

Kappa - February 23, 2014, 7:24 pm

650 May be able to run it on Ultra @ 720p.

Law - February 23, 2014, 7:26 pm

(Feb 23, 2014 08:24 PM)Kappa Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 650 May be able to run it on Ultra @ 720p. Not at a playable FPS it wont, he will be at a disadvantage.

Swag Leader Juan - February 23, 2014, 7:27 pm

how about a radeon hd? I heard it runs good.

GL1TCH3D - February 23, 2014, 7:29 pm

Have you even looked at any cards and prices?

Amd card prices are skyrocketing for crypto mining

Even a 7850 goes for about \$110-140 which definitely will not give you good results on ultra.

Japanese Congress - February 23, 2014, 7:35 pm

You could pick up an R7 260x.

Should be able to play Battlefield 3 on High with stable frames.

<http://www.newegg.ca/Product/Product.aspx?Item=N82E16814127781>

The-Noob - February 24, 2014, 12:45 am

(Feb 23, 2014 08:18 PM)ReX? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No card can do that, not for that price. You are looking at at least a 760 if you wanna run on ultra

huh? that is a load of bullshit right there. I could run BF4 on ultra with a gtx660 and same goes now with my GTX680.

This is why people need to post these types of threads in the right sections to get a more accurate response.

Renagade - February 24, 2014, 2:04 am

(Feb 23, 2014 08:29 PM)GL1TCH3D Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Have you even looked at any cards and prices? Amd card prices are skyrocketing for crypto mining

Even a 7850 goes for about \$110-140 which definitely will not give you good results on ultra.

Card prices are stable in UK/Europe/Australia/Asia the overpriced cards only appear on American markets

[Example](#)

Just A Lion - February 24, 2014, 3:59 am

760 SLI or 780SLI is good

but i recommend just getting a 760,
battlefield 4 looks good in high aslong as you have 1080p monitor.

anythinga - February 24, 2014, 6:37 am

(Feb 24, 2014 01:45 AM)The-Noob Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) huh? that is a load of bullshit right there. I could run BF4 on ultra with a gtx660 and same goes now with my GTX680.

This is why people need to post these types of threads in the right sections to get a more accurate response.

agreed, my gtx680 easily gets 60fps on ultra bf4
why the fuck would you need ATLEAST a 760 for that?
honestly a 650ti could probably run bf4 on ultra without AA

Kaszz - February 24, 2014, 8:22 am

(Feb 24, 2014 03:04 AM)Renegade Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Card prices are stable in UK/Europe/Australia/Asia the overpriced cards only appear on American markets

[Example](#)

Watch your tongue. I Live in Denmark, and we might have a stable price, however it is still much more expensive than America!.

Rozzi - February 24, 2014, 8:27 am

I would save some more cash and go for the R9 280x. It is a really good card and most power per \$. If you invest now you don't have to change it next year for a better one.

Arm4L1t3 - February 24, 2014, 8:38 am

(Feb 24, 2014 07:37 AM)anythinga Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) agreed, my gtx680 easily gets 60fps on ultra bf4
why the fuck would you need ATLEAST a 760 for that?
honestly a 650ti could probably run bf4 on ultra without AAYeah but ultra without AA is a breeze for any mid-range card.

(Feb 24, 2014 09:27 AM)Rozzi Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I would save some more cash and go for the R9 280x. It is a really good card and most power per \$. If you invest now you don't have to change it next year for a better one.The 280X is like \$500 now. Might as well get a 770 (about same performance and almost \$200 cheaper.)

OP: Wait a few days and you could get a R7-265 (basically a 7850) for \$150. It performs similar to a 750 Ti overall, but in BF4 it beats it.

Steve Kosh - February 24, 2014, 9:00 am

My HD 7850 can get ~50 FPS average on Ultra at 1080p with AA off. I also have a FX-8320 as my CPU. I can do a FRAPS benchmark on a 64 man server if need be, just ask. For this budget I would recommend a R7 260X, or a GTX 750 Ti.

<http://pcpartpicker.com/part/msi-video-card-r7260x2gd5ocv1>
<http://pcpartpicker.com/part/asus-video-card-gtx750tioc2gd5>

kevepeter - February 24, 2014, 10:01 am

(Feb 24, 2014 09:38 AM)Arm4L1t3 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) OP: Wait a few days and you could get a R7-265 (basically a 7850) for \$150. It performs similar to a 750 Ti overall, but in BF4 it beats it.

^This. Wait for R7 265 to be available. Afaik, it's already available.

SIM-1179501 - February 24, 2014, 11:25 am

The nvidia 660 ti should be able to run it at around 40 fps.

Nonon Jakuzure - February 24, 2014, 12:08 pm

A GTX 650 Ti can run BF3 at Ultra, trust me I did it. Or if you want you can grab a 660 for a few extra bucks,

Arm4L1t3 - February 24, 2014, 3:42 pm

(Feb 24, 2014 11:01 AM)kevepeter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) ^This. Wait for R7 265 to be available. Afaik, it's already available.It says it's been released...but I can't find it in any stores.

Antinormality - February 24, 2014, 4:12 pm

(Feb 24, 2014 03:04 AM)Renegade Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Card prices are stable in UK/Europe/Australia/Asia the overpriced cards only appear on American markets

[Example](#)

This.

In Europe (Netherlands + Germany atleast) all AMD cards are at reasonable prices and in stock.

Crypto mining is not really a thing here, most of the people here don't even know what a Bitcoin/Litecoin is.

(Feb 24, 2014 04:59 AM)Just A Lion Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 760 SLI or 780SLI is good

but i recommend just getting a 760,
battlefield 4 looks good in high aslong as you have 1080p monitor.
Yeah, if OP states a budget of 125-160 bucks I'd suggest getting two 700 buck cards...

K?ala - February 24, 2014, 4:22 pm

You are going to want to get something like a 260x 265x or 270. You want to stay with AMD due to battlefield taking advantage of the mantle API.

perilperilperil - February 24, 2014, 5:22 pm

I have a ASUS GTX 660 2GB and amd 6300 3.5 ghz, and 8gb of ram.
I play BF4 at 1600x900 at 50+ frames stable on ULTRA any map.

Trenchguns - February 24, 2014, 5:31 pm

I think it'll be a little hard to find what you're looking for... but you should probably go with 260 or a little better. that's minimum.

Metalocalypse - February 24, 2014, 7:25 pm

You people really underestimate cards in that range.
Playable FPS is anything above 30 in my opinion.
[\[img\]http://tpucdn.com/reviews/Sapphire/R7_265_Dual-X/images/bf4_1920_1080.gif](http://tpucdn.com/reviews/Sapphire/R7_265_Dual-X/images/bf4_1920_1080.gif)
That's with 4xAA. Turn AA off and you'd get mid 40s on average with an R7-265.

Arm4L1t3 - February 24, 2014, 7:37 pm

(Feb 24, 2014 08:25 PM)Metalocalypse Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You people really underestimate cards in that range.
Playable FPS is anything above 30 in my opinion.

[img]http://tpucdn.com/reviews/Sapphire/R7_265_Dual-X/images/bf4_1920_1080.gif[/img]
That's with 4xAA. Turn AA off and you'd get mid 40s on average with an R7-265. Who's underestimating? I suggested a R7-265 :P

Metalocalypse - February 24, 2014, 7:49 pm

(Feb 24, 2014 08:37 PM)Arm4L1t3 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Who's underestimating? I suggested a R7-265 :P
Whole front page is just "that's not enough, you need to spend \$500 to get it playable."

Tostig - February 24, 2014, 8:06 pm

(Feb 23, 2014 08:17 PM)Bot™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Anyone can recommend me to a graphics card with the budget of 125-160\$ that can run bf3 stable on ultra or bf4 on high stable? It doesn't matter what type of brand just between that budget.

Thank you!

Alright. Your expectations are WAY too high. Save up money for a bit and get a 670 or 680. You will run both on medium settings with 70FPS constant with some user.cfg mods. DONT USE MSAA. ONLY USE AA POST

Metalocalypse - February 24, 2014, 8:12 pm

(Feb 24, 2014 09:06 PM)YouHackIt Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Alright. Your expectations are WAY too high. Save up money for a bit and get a 670 or 680. You will run both on medium settings with 70FPS constant with some user.cfg mods. DONT USE MSAA. ONLY USE AA POST

Alright. Your post is WAY too incorrect. Gather up some research on the 670 and 680. You will then realize that to run on medium settings at 60+ FPS takes no more than an R7-260x with no user.cfg mods. RESEARCH AA. AA IS GOOD.

The-Noob - February 25, 2014, 3:02 am

(Feb 24, 2014 09:06 PM)YouHackIt Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Alright. Your expectations are WAY too high. Save up money for a bit and get a 670 or 680. You will run both on medium settings with 70FPS constant with some user.cfg mods. DONT USE MSAA. ONLY USE AA POST

Like I said in a previous reply, I was able to run BF4 on ultra with a GTX660(2gig) and currently still run it in ultra with a GTX680(4 gig), so why are people still insisting that it will only run in medium?

K?ala - February 25, 2014, 3:32 am

(Feb 24, 2014 09:06 PM)YouHackIt Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Alright. Your expectations are WAY too high. Save up money for a bit and get a 670 or 680. You will run both on medium settings with 70FPS constant with some user.cfg mods. DONT USE MSAA. ONLY USE AA POST

You do realize they are last gen cards... do you also know about Mantle? It would be stupid for a battlefield player not to get an AMD card.

The-Noob - February 25, 2014, 8:23 am

(Feb 25, 2014 04:32 AM)K?ala Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You do realize they are last gen cards... do you also know about Mantle? It would be stupid for a battlefield player not to get an AMD card.

Why would it be stupid? Should all us hardcore fans of battlefield go out and spend £££ on a card just to take advantage of mantle? which by the way was only added later on?

For them being a "last gen" card they certainly pack a punch still and can run anything you throw at them.

Mt. Everest - February 25, 2014, 8:26 am

Just save and get a 770. It's worth it believe me. Was going to go cheaper on my video card and I'm glad I didn't. Cost me like \$340 with shipping.

The-Noob - February 25, 2014, 8:26 am

btw - i DO agree that being able to use Mantle will change how BF4 is played drastically, but at the end of the day, going out buying a high priced card just to get the best out of one game is a bit ridiculous. I myself will most probably make the switch from nvidia to AMD if Mantle becomes present in most next gen games.

Arm4L1t3 - February 25, 2014, 9:03 am

(Feb 25, 2014 09:23 AM)The-Noob Wrote: [CITE](#) Why would it be stupid? Should all us hardcore fans of battlefield go out and spend £££ on a card just to take advantage of mantle? which by the way was only added later on?

For them being a "last gen" card they certainly pack a punch still and can run anything you throw at them. The thing is that the 760 = 670, and a 680 = 770. Also Radeon HD 7000 series cards support Mantle. But TBH it's not what it's all cracked up to be in the mid-range to high graphics level.

Bengali - February 25, 2014, 9:51 am

Mantle is only really good for low end CPUs. It doesn't do much improvement than a driver update on mid-high end CPUs. Depending on what CPU the OP has, I wouldn't be bothered with strictly sticking with AMD. The GTX 750Ti would be my recommendation.