

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Section's top posters](#)

VladHQ - March 18, 2014, 8:11 am

I think it would be a fun thing to look at people who have the most posts in a specific section. Could be added to Member search, or just a new option in a button in the section called "See top posters". I just want to see who hangs out in the section the most, and it's not hard to make it work.

I am really hoping some members would support me, it would add character to the forum because I have not seen it yet.

Geck? - March 18, 2014, 8:16 am

Hmm might be fun to see who is the most active poster in that section, but it might make more people spam random LQ posts to obtain a place in the top posters section.

Hidden™ - March 18, 2014, 8:16 am

This would be nice if it was implemented. I would find it amusing.

supa hot fire - March 18, 2014, 8:20 am

I fully support this suggestion. I would love this implemented.

Hidden™ - March 18, 2014, 8:22 am

I think it would create a nice sort of competition for members.

Kayoz - March 18, 2014, 8:22 am

Think this would encourage a lot of LQ shit, nice idea though.

VladHQ - March 18, 2014, 8:25 am

(Mar 18, 2014 08:22 AM)Kayoz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Think this would encourage a lot of LQ shit, nice idea though.

It shouldn't. If the posts are Low Quality, the posts can just be junked. The current system works fine, this will just be a nice addition, it won't mean anything special.

VladHQ - March 18, 2014, 10:36 am

(Mar 18, 2014 10:25 AM)- Sam - Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) We already have something similar.
<https://hackforums.net/stats.php>

However, a top poster for each section wouldn't be necessary. Members will try to show off to be on the top, which will result in LQ content within the section just to 'boost'.

I was aware of that feature, but there is no actual top posters for sections. And if that stat exists, it promotes LQ by making users post the most, this should just be a fun fact for each section.

Jake Miller - March 18, 2014, 10:36 am

Would most likely turn out good then become a challenge or achievement for users to become top posters, then they'll start spamming meaningless shit.

Nina Dobrev™ - March 18, 2014, 10:47 am

Seems like everyone is in agreement that this would create way too many LQ posts of meaningless because they wanted to be the top poster in that section. Could be one of the reasons it isn't already

implemented.

VladHQ - March 18, 2014, 10:50 am

(Mar 18, 2014 10:47 AM)Nina Dobrev [™] Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Seems like everyone is in agreement that this would create way too many LQ posts of meaningless because they wanted to be the top poster in that section. Could be one of the reasons it isn't already implemented.

Or nah. This in no way promotes LQP, and if there is LQP, it could be junked and the user warned.

Nina Dobrev [™] - March 18, 2014, 10:54 am

(Mar 18, 2014 10:50 AM)VladHQ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Or nah. This in no way promotes LQP, and if there is LQP, it could be junked and the user warned.

Which would create more work for the staff to have to go through countless LQ report after LQ report for something that doesn't even really make sense.

While in theory this may seem nice, there really is no point...why does it really matter who the top poster is?

I can come up with a paragraph for each reply I make, doesn't mean what's in it won't be meaningless, just so I can get top poster.

Aura - March 18, 2014, 10:55 am

I already suggested this (kind of) in the past and Omniscient refused. It would also be very resource intensive on the server, so it won't happen in my opinion.

VladHQ - March 18, 2014, 10:58 am

(Mar 18, 2014 10:54 AM)Nina Dobrev [™] Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Which would create more work for the staff to have to go through countless LQ report after LQ report for something that doesn't even really make sense.

While in theory this may seem nice, there really is no point...why does it really matter who the top poster is?

I can come up with a paragraph for each reply I make, doesn't mean what's in it won't be meaningless, just so I can get top poster.

Not really, people could just spam to be in the top poster of the day.

(Mar 18, 2014 10:55 AM)Aur? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I already suggested this (kind of) in the past and Omniscient refused. It would also be very resource intensive on the server, so it won't happen in my opinion.

Everything is resource intensive on this site, it attracts the members. The more features, the more profit and enjoyment for the members.

Aura - March 18, 2014, 11:02 am

(Mar 18, 2014 10:58 AM)VladHQ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Not really, people could just spam to be in the top poster of the day.

Everything is resource intensive on this site, it attracts the members. The more features, the more profit and enjoyment for the members.

Tell that to Omni.

But anyway, as I said, it has been suggested and denied already, so there's no point in creating that thread I guess. Good luck too.

VladHQ - March 18, 2014, 11:02 am

(Mar 18, 2014 11:02 AM)Aur? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Tell that to Omni.

But anyway, as I said, it has been suggested and denied already, so there's no point in creating that thread I guess. Good luck too.

Do you mind linking me to an older thread?

Aura - March 18, 2014, 11:04 am

(Mar 18, 2014 11:02 AM)VladHQ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Do you mind linking me to an older thread?

Well here's mine for the record anyway

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3968294>

VladHQ - March 18, 2014, 11:12 am

(Mar 18, 2014 11:04 AM)Aur? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well here's mine for the record anyway

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3968294>

How do we utilize the advanced search?

Traitor-Panda - March 18, 2014, 2:01 pm

If this was to be implemented I'd rather call it something like "Bulk Posters" or the like (something that doesn't necessarily hint about the quality of the posts).

Yesterday I visited the "Science, Religion, Philosophy, and Politics" and made a couple of insightful posts but I see the section is being overrun with morons, all going "I really agree with your point".

Quality over quantity please.

VladHQ - March 18, 2014, 3:16 pm

(Mar 18, 2014 02:01 PM)Traitor-Panda Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If this was to be implemented I'd rather call it something like "Bulk Posters" or the like (something that doesn't necessarily hint about the quality of the posts).

Yesterday I visited the "Science, Religion, Philosophy, and Politics" and made a couple of insightful posts but I see the section is being overrun with morons, all going "I really agree with your point".

Quality over quantity please.

I agree with you, but I personally think that in sections such as iOS, the top posters would be the people who are actually dedicated to the section such as xadamxk, and Grin.

Orianthi - March 18, 2014, 3:17 pm

Auto banned. Omni said before "this takes alot of resources and no one makes requests such as these, I make the damn interface"

m0n0p0ly - March 18, 2014, 3:18 pm

A lovely suggestion.

It would definitively increase post count.

VladHQ - March 18, 2014, 3:18 pm

(Mar 18, 2014 03:17 PM)Ace700 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Auto banned. Omni said before "this takes alot of resources and no one makes requests such as these, I make the damn interface"

Can you link the stated response please? I want to be sure before I close this. He could comment on this anyways after it's closed.

Gustav - March 18, 2014, 3:20 pm

I think it would definitely increase spam and nuisance posts being made on the forum. Members will just see this as an competition, whomever gets more posts in a 24 hour period; In my eyes, will definitely increase spam, trolls, and nuisance. But in the other hand you can just use stats.php.

Roger Waters - March 18, 2014, 3:21 pm

This would encourage Low Quality posts and other activity that is not needed on the site. Sorry but I don't see this happening.

Lemur - *March 18, 2014, 3:22 pm*

Been denied multiple times:

- <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3798757&highlight=top+poster>
- <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2782557&highlight=top+poster>
- <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2399884&highlight=top+poster>

Sorry, doubt this will happen.