

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: ["Reasonable Amount" of threads per group in Group and Crew General Discussions](#)

Douchebag # 145 - May 14, 2014, 3:16 pm

Source: [Group and Crew General Discussions](#)

I am not sure I have to further my point.

The l33t hax0r - May 14, 2014, 3:18 pm

They are by different members, different recruitments.

It may be large, but, its not one member spamming.

Roger Waters - May 14, 2014, 3:18 pm

I don't see this as a real problem but more of an annoyance. They could have combined the threads into one central one.

Douchebag # 145 - May 14, 2014, 3:23 pm

(May 14, 2014 03:18 PM)Roger Waters Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't see this as a real problem but more of an annoyance. They could have combined the threads into one central one.

When other threads are entirely drowned out of the first page, I should suggest you consider it a problem.

(May 14, 2014 03:18 PM)Bobby Orr Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) They are by different members, different recruitments.

It may be large, but, its not one member spamming.

Consider cross-posting in the marketplace: one seller can not post in two places, and two sellers can not post the same thread. It is reasonable to suggest that each group is limited to not one, but a reasonable amount of threads -- whatever reasonable means to Omni should he see this suggestion through.

Justin Timberlake - May 14, 2014, 3:28 pm

I was so confused when I went to the group page. It's like they went ham on threads.

Douchebag # 145 - May 14, 2014, 3:30 pm

(May 14, 2014 03:28 PM)Justin Timberlake Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I was so confused when I went to the group page. It's like they went ham on threads.

I wonder if such an event was jointly constructed and discussed in-group.

Note I really don't have any fight to fight with the group.

Justin Timberlake - May 14, 2014, 3:49 pm

I guess they opened up recruitment for a gazillion games across different consoles.

Squidward - May 14, 2014, 5:52 pm

Yeah, they basically posted them all at around the same time...

Ricky? - May 14, 2014, 6:02 pm

I don't see what's wrong. They opened recruitment for different categories. It's not by the same person.

Justin Timberlake - May 14, 2014, 6:26 pm

A few of them are. I think it was Tom? Like 2 or 3 different threads. Oh well, I have nothing against instinct anyway.

Wheezy Gang - May 14, 2014, 7:53 pm

I would actually consider this smart. More threads people see about a certain group and less about other groups.

Douchebag # 145 - May 14, 2014, 10:23 pm

Eh, who knows. I'll just leave this open. Perhaps Omni doesn't frequent the [Group and Crew General Discussions](#) section and this may be the easiest way for him to see what's going on and perhaps take action if he sees fit.

Thanks.

Rivalry - May 15, 2014, 2:43 am

I think that they should all be merged into a single thread, rather than having the entire first page filled up with them. It's better that way, as it doesn't crowd out other groups' recruitments from the front page. It might be trickier to manage for the Instinct guys who are running it, but they could always use an off-site method of applying (like Google Docs) to host all of these different game application threads, and then just have one 'mothership' thread that links to the Google Docs.

D?vil - May 15, 2014, 4:43 am

Instinct are currently recruiting so they are making recruitment threads, I don't see what's so bad, and Vinnie posted somewhere that you should expect to see a lot of recruitment threads a few days ago.

There is nothing wrong with this.

de morte hominis - May 15, 2014, 5:05 am

I don't even browse groups anymore because of this issue. A group like them should have one main thread for gaming NOT 10.

Next thing they will do is keep Bum.ping each others threads & Honestly I'd never join any group on HF because of how LQ these groups have gotten & the sad thing is we have 3 groups for market place aka massive Bum.ping, & a gaming group that thinks there should be threads on HF for each game on the internet.

Gustav. - May 15, 2014, 5:09 am

It's a large group. I don't see anything wrong with it.

Effexion - May 15, 2014, 5:29 am

(May 14, 2014 05:58 PM)- Sam - Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There is nothing wrong with this. Individual members recruiting for different games.

It doesn't mean that this many threads are justified. I mean, why not just have one recruitment thread for each platform instead of each game on each platform. Categories can be explained INSIDE the thread.

That being said, a single thread should suffice.

[Instinct Recruitment Multi-Platform Wide Range of Games. Apply Here]

Raz?r - May 15, 2014, 5:30 am

I 100% agree with this, they could have made 1 thread for all that.

Vinnie - May 15, 2014, 5:57 am

Like I said in my previous post, what exactly are you suggesting from this thread, Yellows? I've also messaged Omniscient to verify the rules just for you. He's busy enough as it is with OMC so this is just a waste of his time but oh well some people can't help themselves from mini-modding.

Douchebag # 145 - May 15, 2014, 6:01 am

(May 15, 2014 05:57 AM)Vinnie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Like I said in my previous post, what exactly are you suggesting from this thread, Yellows? I've also messaged Omniscient to verify the rules just for you. He's busy enough as it is with OMC so this is just a waste of his time but oh well some people can't help themselves from mini-modding.

My suggestion: to dissuade groups from flooding that section however Omni sees fit -- should he see fit.

I am not attempting to mini-mod.

I don't think PMing Omni about something so petty is the best idea, but whatever floats your boat.

Vinnie - May 15, 2014, 6:05 am

(May 15, 2014 06:01 AM)Farmer Yellows Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't think PMing Omni about something so petty is the best idea, but whatever floats your boat.What, really? Then why would you say this if you don't want Omniscient to see it? I mean he's going to see it eventually.

(May 14, 2014 10:23 PM)Farmer Yellows Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Eh, who knows. I'll just leave this open. Perhaps Omni doesn't frequent the [Group and Crew General Discussions](#) section and this may be the easiest way for him to see what's going on and perhaps take action if he see fit.

Thanks.

Staff didn't say anything about it to me so you want Omniscient to see it. He'll see it shortly and we'll get an answer from him, I'll do as he says.

HAX ME IM FAMOUS - May 15, 2014, 6:20 am

I don't see the problem with this at all, hope we get some nice people to the Instinct Family
:Thumbsup:

Douchebag # 145 - May 15, 2014, 7:54 am

(May 15, 2014 06:05 AM)Vinnie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What, really? Then why would you say this if you don't want Omniscient to see it? I mean he's going to see it eventually.

Staff didn't say anything about it to me so you want Omniscient to see it. He'll see it shortly and we'll get an answer from him, I'll do as he says.

I said that because it's fairly common knowledge that PMing Omni about a suggestion thread isn't going to usually end up too well. He doesn't like when that happens. I do want him to see it, but not necessarily by you, or anyone else, PMing him linking it (just assuming that's what you did, idk).

Anyway, thanks to the staff member who removed all those unneeded flaming posts.

Vinnie - May 15, 2014, 8:27 am

(May 15, 2014 02:43 AM)Rivalry Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think that they should all be merged into a single

thread, rather than having the entire first page filled up with them. It's better that way, as it doesn't crowd out other groups' recruitments from the front page. It might be trickier to manage for the Instinct guys who are running it, but they could always use an off-site method of applying (like Google Docs) to host all of these different game application threads, and then just have one 'mothership' thread that links to the Google Docs. How would that work? ?

They're all different consoles + PC. Each person hosting the recruitment is going to be practically playing with the people whom posted, you can't exactly have 10-20 different recruitments out on one thread now can you? And another thing, we don't really want to use anything off-site since this is for a paid group so we're using the section that is there for recruitment, I don't see anything wrong with this. It's not spamming either, some people don't understand the definition of spamming nor LQ if anything the recruitment threads are all in good quality with the layout provided and what not.

(May 15, 2014 07:54 AM)Farmer Yellows Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I said that because it's fairly common knowledge that PMing Omni about a suggestion thread isn't going to usually end up too well. He doesn't like when that happens. I do want him to see it, but not necessarily by you, or anyone else, PMing him linking it (just assuming that's what you did, idk). I don't think you can talk on behalf of Omniscient, you don't have that right, he'll decide for himself and the way you describe him is just disgusting. He's not some rabid person wanting to just attack members.

But let me show you something pretty funny which is exact same as this, someone posted it but the post was deleted.

Should I go and make a thread about these threads being posted in the correct section? ?

Dame. - May 15, 2014, 8:40 am

The news section is different then the recruitment section plus all those threads are by the same person (Sir), not 10 different people with 10 different threads for 10 different games for the same 1 group. They could of gone in 1 game recruitment thread separated by the type of game or console they are played on. That is my opinion.

Vinnie - May 15, 2014, 8:49 am

(May 15, 2014 08:40 AM)Dame. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The news section is different then the recruitment section plus all those threads are by the same person (Sir), not 10 different people with 10 different threads for 10 different games for the same 1 group. They could of gone in 1 game recruitment thread separated by the type of game or console they are played on. That is my opinion. Not really, it's the same situation just another way around. Those threads are all posted in the correct section and our threads are posted in the correct section which is the recruitment section for groups.

And like I said above, how do you post 10-20 recruitments for different consoles and a computer related recruitment into one thread? ?

Show me an example please.

Effexion - May 15, 2014, 9:02 am

(May 15, 2014 08:49 AM)Vinnie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) And like I said above, how do you post 10-20 recruitments for different consoles and a computer related recruitment into one thread? ?

Show me an example please.

You could have the categories inside your thread and ask people to state what they are going for. If you list all your available sectors then people can chose from that. It would be a hell of a lot easier and all your recruitments would be contained in one thread.

Vinnie - May 15, 2014, 9:06 am

(May 15, 2014 09:02 AM)Effexion Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You could have the categories inside your thread and ask people to state what they are going for. If you list all your available sectors then people can chose from that. It would be a hell of a lot easier and all your recruitments would be contained in one thread.What and go through a thread with over 500 applications and then every single page several times and get the names of everyone who applied for a single recruitment?

Did you even think that through or was it for the hell of it? Do you understand how much of a time was that would be, going through a thread that has Xbox 360, Xbox One, PS3, PS4, Steam, MineCraft and other games recruitment and then singling them out one by one? ?

The GRim - May 15, 2014, 9:13 am

Nice thread mate, I feel the same way you does.

Vinnie - May 15, 2014, 9:15 am

(May 15, 2014 09:13 AM)The GRim Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Nice thread mate, I feel the same way you does. Sadly I don't feel the same way you does.

Effexion - May 15, 2014, 9:20 am

(May 15, 2014 09:06 AM)Vinnie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What and go through a thread with over 500 applications and then every single page several times and get the names of everyone who applied for a single recruitment?

Did you even think that through or was it for the hell of it? Do you understand how much of a time was that would be, going through a thread that has Xbox 360, Xbox One, PS3, PS4, Steam, MineCraft and other games recruitment and then singling them out one by one? ?

You list all your games under each of thier respective consoles.

Xbox One

Titanfall

Ghosts

BF4

FIFA

and so on.

Then, when it comes to recruitment go through the thread IGNORING what it is they applied for for the time being. Then when you have the people that catch your eye you can shortlist them and work from there putting them in their desired sections.

Vinnie - May 15, 2014, 9:26 am

(May 15, 2014 09:20 AM)Effexion Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You list all your games under each of thier respective consoles.

Xbox One

Titanfall

Ghosts

BF4

FIFA

and so on.

Then, when it comes to recruitment go through the thread IGNORING what it is they applied for for the time being. Then when you have the people that catch your eye you can shortlist them and work from there putting them in their desired sections. What a waste of time that would be, seriously.

Rivalry - May 15, 2014, 9:28 am

(May 15, 2014 08:27 AM)Vinnie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How would that work? ?

If I was going to recruit this many users for this many games, I'd have each section set up a Google Docs application form, with your traditional questions. HF username/email/contact method would be a field on the form that applicants would fill in along with the other questions.

The leader of said section then checks their email every so often and goes through all of the applications. They can then PM users on HF that they want to trial practically in-game.

The single thread on HF can link to 10-20 different Google Docs applications. That way Google Docs is clogged up, not the groups section here :P

It's not really having recruitment off-site, since the 'mothership' link thread would still be on-site and users would still be trialled on-site. It just removes a lot of the legwork that clogs up the group forum from HF, that's all.

Just a thought. What you're doing doesn't break any rules, but there must be more efficient ways of doing it. Good luck with your recruitment!

Vinnie - May 15, 2014, 9:30 am

(May 15, 2014 09:28 AM)Rivalry Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If I was going to recruit this many users for this many games, I'd have each section set up a Google Docs application form, with your traditional questions. HF username/email/contact method would be a field on the form that applicants would fill in along with the other questions.

The leader of said section then checks their email every so often and goes through all of the applications. They can then PM users on HF that they want to trial practically in-game.

The single thread on HF can link to 10-20 different Google Docs applications. That way Google Docs is clogged up, not the groups section here :P

It's not really having recruitment off-site, since the 'mothership' link thread would still be on-site and users would still be trialled on-site. It just removes a lot of the legwork that clogs up the group forum from HF, that's all.

Just a thought. What you're doing doesn't break any rules, but there must be more efficient ways of doing it. Good luck with your recruitment! You just repeated what you said last time so I'm not going to waste my time replying the same thing, go back a page or two and you'll see what I originally said.

Effexion - May 15, 2014, 9:31 am

(May 15, 2014 09:26 AM)Vinnie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What a waste of time that would be, seriously. It really isn't. I know what I am trying to say, but it is difficult to word.

Right, say I was a hotel manager hiring for all positions. I would hand out application forms and then read through them. I would throw the forms out from the people I knew not to hire leaving me with a shorter list. With the new list I would have my Barman, my front-of-house receptionist, my head of house-maids, my house-maids, my kitchen staff and etc. You then repeat the process until you have the desired number of successful applicants whom you contact with a 'Congratulations'.

Vinnie - May 15, 2014, 9:34 am

(May 15, 2014 09:31 AM)Effexion Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It really isn't. I know what I am trying to say, but it is difficult to word.

Right, say I was a hotel manager hiring for all positions. I would hand out application forms and then read through them. I would throw the forms out from the people I knew not to hire leaving me with a shorter list. With the new list I would have my Barman, my front-of-house receptionist, my head of house-maids, my house-maids, my kitchen staff and etc. You then repeat the process until you have the desired number of successful applicants whom you contact with a 'Congratulations'. So you're going to be hiring people for different positions under one application based on one type of a job? Like I said it's not as easy as you think, you're just thinking about it, doing it is different.

It's like Omni atm, he is thinking about features and it sounds easy but implementing them is going to be harder.

Effexion - May 15, 2014, 9:41 am

(May 15, 2014 09:34 AM)Vinnie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So you're going to be hiring people for different positions under one application based on one type of a job? Like I said it's not as easy as you think, you're just thinking about it, doing it is different.

It's like Omni atm, he is thinking about features and it sounds easy but implementing them is going to be harder.

All application forms are normally standard across different internal jobs anyway. They just have a box for position applied for (section applied for in your case). You just ween out the crap and you're left with the standard you want. From there you have less to chose from, but what you do have will be real potentials.

Looking over 1001 threads is going to be ten times harder.

Robust - May 15, 2014, 10:15 am

They're different sectors posted at the same time, too many sectors/areas but that's the groups' choice. It seems allowed. Also, half the page after that is also Instinct recruitments :P

Vinnie - May 15, 2014, 10:34 am

Omniscient replied and he doesn't mind it.

He doesn't care about it is what he really said so I don't think anything will be done. ?

[Video: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cepZIk0PlvM>]

/Thread.

Homeland. - May 15, 2014, 10:41 am

Instinct is simply recruiting for many different sections. It would be a real cluster if it was all merged into one thread. Each thread created has its own separate leader to read the applications thus making it easier for the leader and group to decide who is being accepted and who isn't. I really don't see what the big deal is.

Scrandy - May 15, 2014, 2:21 pm

I think it should be allowed maybe, but there should be an option along the lines of "avoid all threads from XX group" for people who have no interest in a group. Let's hypothetically say I hated Vinnie and never wanted to talk to him. I could click "ignore all Instinct threads" or something like that.

PS: I love Vinnie, just an example.

Douchebag # 145 - May 15, 2014, 2:44 pm

(May 15, 2014 08:27 AM)Vinnie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't think you can talk on behalf of Omniscient, you don't have that right, he'll decide for himself and the way you describe him is just disgusting. He's

not some rabid person wanting to just attack members.

I was not talking on behalf of Omni. The way I describe him sounds "disgusting?" Lol, Vinnie, what are you on? I'm aware he's not some freaky member-hating guy, I actually like him. I said that it's not a good idea to private message Omni about a suggestion thread because in [this thread](#) it says:

Quote:If you PM me a thread to read in this section I'm going to automatically rep fuck you, ban you, and rip your account open like a can of tuna.

Got me!

When Omni clearly states he doesn't want people to PM him, well, I would assume he would mean you as well.

(May 15, 2014 08:27 AM)Vinnie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) But let me show you something pretty funny which is exact same as this, someone posted it but the post was deleted.

Should I go and make a thread about these threads being posted in the correct section? ?

The HF News sections and the group section isn't the same situation. I won't bother to change your mind because we both know even if I provided convincing evidence you wouldn't change your thoughts, but, well, it's not.

Personally I think that group's should have their own respective sections for things like recruitment or announcements as a sub-section in the group section, but I don't really care enough about that to suggest it.

(May 15, 2014 10:34 AM)Vinnie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Omniscient replied and he doesn't mind it.

He doesn't care about it is what he really said so I don't think anything will be done. ?

Sorry, Vinnie, but I am sure you understand when I say that I am going to completely disregard you stating that because [you do not exactly have the best track record for private messages](#).

Now, if Omni would close this thread himself and say he did indeed verify via PM that it's perfectly okay and nothing will be changed about it, then I'll fully accept it (not like I have much of another choice, lol).

(May 15, 2014 10:41 AM)Homeland. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I really don't see what the big deal is.

The first, and half of the second, page in the group section is filled with Instinct threads. That's what I think the big deal is, anyway.

(May 15, 2014 10:43 AM)Vodge Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Just because we're not mormons doesn't mean you have to discriminate against us for recruiting into our organisation, thank you.

Please do not post on my thread with off-topic information and flaming content. Thank you.

Let me again make it clear I have nothing against Vinnie or Instinct.

CatSoup - May 15, 2014, 6:13 pm

Who cares? Instinct is a big group, we have a lot of recruitment.

OnTheFly - May 15, 2014, 6:22 pm

(May 15, 2014 02:44 PM)Farmer Yellows Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Sorry, Vinnie, but I am sure you understand when I say that I am going to completely disregard you stating that because [you do not exactly have](#)

[the best track record for private messages.](#)

I wonder if Vinnie is still in pain from Yellows ripping him a new one...

webdev77 - May 15, 2014, 6:23 pm

Vinnie, I realize that you believe all the threads are necessary and I'm not going to try and tell you how you should manage any group of yours, but I do find it somewhat annoying to only see Instinct threads throughout the entire first page. It's not a big deal, but I see why people don't like it.

I would suggest in the future trying to keep threads from flooding sections, but if you still want to in an effort to put more attention on recruitment, go right ahead. I'll just sit back and continue business as usual.

Douchebag # 145 - May 15, 2014, 9:22 pm

(May 15, 2014 06:13 PM)CatSoup Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Who cares? Instinct is a big group, we have a lot of recruitment.

To answer your question: At least the people who've posted in this thread in favor of something happening.

Robust - May 15, 2014, 11:21 pm

Honestly, once again, this is like having 30 job positions and one application form for them. The section is MADE for recruitments. It's like having 10 threads on different stories of Jesus in the SRPP SF.

Douchebag # 145 - May 15, 2014, 11:26 pm

(May 15, 2014 11:21 PM)Robust Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Honestly, once again, this is like having 30 job positions and one application form for them. The section is MADE for recruitments. It's like having 10 threads on different stories of Jesus in the SRPP SF.

Thanks for posting your opinion for the second (third?) time.

We'll see whatever Omni says, when/if he decides to.

Robust - May 15, 2014, 11:28 pm

(May 15, 2014 11:26 PM)Farmer Yellows Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thanks for posting your opinion for the second (third?) time.

We'll see whatever Omni says, when/if he decides to.

Second. I take that sarcastically. You don't have an issue with people supporting it but any negative claim and you're pretty sarcastic about it. wat yellers

Based God Tyrone - May 15, 2014, 11:52 pm

I dont see the problem if the recruitment will end soon.

It is not like they have been there for 2 weeks.

Joey Tribbiani - May 16, 2014, 4:24 am

So, you're suggesting that this classifies as spam postig/ cross posting? That's not really a suggestion, I think.

If you think this type of posting does violate the above mentioned rules, please PM staff and see what they think.