

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Wikipedia approval](#)

-Dartz - May 15, 2010, 8:05 pm

I have some ideas.

Idea #1

Sources:

1. <http://www.darknet.org.uk/2009/07/hospital-hacker-ghostexodus-owns-himself-arrested/>
2. <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=97564>

Someone from HF got arrested. Not an award, but noteworthy.

[deleted]

Idea #3

Sources: -Will be up later. I just watched a news report on WPIX 11 about hacking, it was basically a botnet / RAT, easily obtainable on HF.

A news report on hacking, and the tools are easily obtainable on HF. The user could have EASILY gotten the tools from here.

Idea #4

This is a last resort, I guess. According to them, sites must have an award to have a wiki, yes? Then we attack their statement. We wiki a ton of useless sites, and point them all out, and show that they have no awards at all.

Idea #5

Sources: <http://forums.malwarebytes.org/index.php?showtopic=36808>

MBAM and HF almost had a lawsuit because of a false positive.

Post your opinion. If you have any other ideas, if they're decent, I'll add them. Post away!

Xerotic - May 15, 2010, 8:07 pm

I suggest not posting too many more ideas.. Omni already seems pissed as it is, which he has a right to be. We need something more solid to work with.

Mr Smith - May 15, 2010, 8:08 pm

4chan has no awards, no?

~Jake - May 15, 2010, 8:08 pm

I say either get a professional to write it or just shut it down.

Whoever wrote the current page botched it and gave it a bad name.

D0ggyD0gg - May 15, 2010, 8:08 pm

LMAO Nice idea #4. That could definitely work.

-Dartz - May 15, 2010, 8:09 pm

(May 15, 2010 08:08 PM)General Dee Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 4chan has no awards, no?
Most retarded boards.

Most CP on a site. xD

Omniscient - May 15, 2010, 8:10 pm

(May 15, 2010 08:08 PM)jakeomatic Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I say either get a professional to write it or just shut it down.

Whoever wrote the current page botched it and gave it a bad name.

Yup. Lots of typos and bad statements making it read as an advertisement. The page is going to get deleted unless it's seriously redone from scratch.

Momiji - May 15, 2010, 8:10 pm

[It just needs to follow these guidelines.](#)

~Jake - May 15, 2010, 8:10 pm

(May 15, 2010 08:08 PM)General Dee Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 4chan has no awards, no?
4chan is the 2nd largest board in existence. There isn't a reason why it shouldn't have a wikipedia page.

Fallenour - May 15, 2010, 8:11 pm

there is an article thats noteworthy, hf was recognized ina computer magazine as being topnotch, with a little time I can find out what mag, and which edition for you guys, is that good enough?

-Dartz - May 15, 2010, 8:12 pm

(May 15, 2010 08:10 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yup. Lots of typos and bad statements making it read as an advertisement. The page is going to get deleted unless it's seriously redone from scratch.

I could help edit/update it.

(May 15, 2010 08:11 PM)Fallenour Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) there is an article thats noteworthy, hf was recognized ina computer magazine as being topnotch, with a little time I can find out what mag, and which edition for you guys, is that good enough?

ADd a link, I'll put it up.

Momiji - May 15, 2010, 8:13 pm

(May 15, 2010 08:11 PM)Fallenour Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) there is an article thats noteworthy, hf was recognized ina computer magazine as being topnotch, with a little time I can find out what mag, and which edition for you guys, is that good enough?

Yeah that's actually good for wikipedia standards.

Fallenour - May 15, 2010, 8:15 pm

ok ill go check and see what magazine did it, Ill be back in a few with name, dont lock this thread, cause ill forget D;

-Dartz - May 15, 2010, 8:21 pm

Good luck finding it. I hope we get this Wikipedia, and I hope to help write it.

N3w_2_H@Ck1n™ - May 15, 2010, 8:26 pm

I suggest contacting a few experienced wikipedia users and asking them if they'd like to make you a wikipedia page. You can view which users made/edited articles, like this..

<http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=2channel&action=history>

You might have better luck, even though I know you probably want somebody who actually experienced some of the events, it doesn't look like you're finding anyone on HF who's good enough. ./

Zach - May 15, 2010, 8:27 pm

(May 15, 2010 08:10 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yup. Lots of typos and bad statements making it read as an advertisement. The page is going to get deleted unless it's seriously redone from scratch.

Omni, I PM'd you about a week ago doing a completely professional page, PM me if you really want me to help out.

You pm'd me wanting help, so lets continue.

Momiji - May 15, 2010, 8:30 pm

[Could this be used?](#)

If Omni is allowed to give a summary of what went down we might have a chance. After all Malware bytes is a significant organization.

Xch4ng3 - May 15, 2010, 8:34 pm

#4

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/AXXo>

They have aXXo up there but they don't have HF?

-Dartz - May 15, 2010, 8:37 pm

(May 15, 2010 08:30 PM)Lunagron Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) [Could this be used?](#)

If Omni is allowed to give a summary of what went down we might have a chance. After all Malware bytes is a significant organization.

Will add that.

(May 15, 2010 08:34 PM)Xch4ng3 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) #4

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/AXXo>

They have aXXo up there but they don't have HF?

See? D:

We can attack their credibility. (Probably the wrong word)

Momiji - May 15, 2010, 8:37 pm

(May 15, 2010 08:34 PM)Xch4ng3 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) #4

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/AXXo>

They have aXXo up there but they don't have HF?

They were actually mentioned in news articles.

Xch4ng3 - May 15, 2010, 8:42 pm

Quote:They were actually mentioned in news articles.
So was HF during the Anti-Sec fiasco...right?

-Dartz - May 15, 2010, 8:43 pm

(May 15, 2010 08:42 PM)Xch4ng3 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So was HF during the Anti-Sec fiasco...right?
I believe so, but I think Wiki denied it.

Momiji - May 15, 2010, 8:44 pm

(May 15, 2010 08:42 PM)Xch4ng3 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So was HF during the Anti-Sec fiasco...right?If you can find me the actual newspaper article then I'll believe you. I know that they were involved in that event but I don't think there was a mention in legitimate news articles.

Hide_My_Ass - May 15, 2010, 8:47 pm

Oh I just thought of Tank :P Hes an expert writer, Ask him to do this

Anyway, Oh I never knew about that guy getting arrested

SuperFun - May 15, 2010, 8:49 pm

(May 15, 2010 08:34 PM)Xch4ng3 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) #4

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/AXXo>

They have aXXo up there but they don't have HF?

aXXo has fans, - Lots of them.

HackForums has fans, - Lots of them, however most consists of 4chan faggots who's got the /z/ ban, or just underage kids who have nothing else to do.

(May 15, 2010 08:47 PM)Hide_My_Ass Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Oh I just thought of Tank :P Hes an expert writer, Ask him to do this

Anyway, Oh I never knew about that guy getting arrested

In all honestly I'd disagree with that -

I understand he's respected here and all, however where he states his services (Offered here ; <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=83030>), is full of grammatical errors (Which isn't very often for an expert writer - It is however, say appropriate for school papers.) .

PS:

I'm not saying I'm not better than him, just pointing out some flaws.

Xch4ng3 - May 15, 2010, 8:49 pm

<http://security-sh3ll.blogspot.com/2009/07/anti-sec-next-target-milw0rm-and.html>

<http://www.cybersec.eu/?p=181>

<http://seclists.org/fulldisclosure/2009/Jul/164>

It was a troll, but any of those good enough? I'm pretty sure you can link the real anti-sec movement and them hacking ImageShack to this right? Afterall that's what Anti-Sec would do if they had a chance.

Quote:aXXo has fans, - Lots of them.

HackForums has fans, - Lots of them, however most consists of 4chan faggots who's got the /z/ ban, or just underage kids who have nothing else to do.

*Most of them consist of skids who think hacking is a one click process and that copy/pasting makes them l33t. Most of them also come from Google with key terms like "hack facebook."

Widow's Peak - May 15, 2010, 8:52 pm

(May 15, 2010 08:49 PM)Xch4ng3 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

<http://security-sh3ll.blogspot.com/2009/07/anti-sec-next-target-milw0rm-and.html>

<http://www.cybersec.eu/?p=181>

<http://seclists.org/fulldisclosure/2009/Jul/164>

It was a troll, but any of those good enough? I'm pretty sure you can link the real anti-sec movement and them hacking ImageShack to this right? Afterall that's what Anti-Sec would do if they had a chance.

*Most of them consist of skids who think hacking is a one click process and that copy/pasting makes them l33t. Most of them also come from Google with key terms like "hack facebook." Those will probably be used in it.

BTW Omni, if your reading this, don't recruit from Hack Forums this time.

Mordacai - May 15, 2010, 8:53 pm

Look, here's the problem. The wiki page is obviously going to be under a great deal of scrutiny. For serious a great number of people simply don't like HF because they probably got burned by someone on here. With that in mind, just following the guidelines isn't going to cut it. Your awards and "notability" really aren't that notable. It's going to be very hard to find a notable award for HF that comes from someone other than HF itself.

This page needs to be completely redone, no offense to you guys who worked so hard on it. Really this reminds me of a movie that college guys did. They put a lot of hard work, sweat, and blood into it, but in the end it just doesn't look professional. It's an "ok" movie, but it wouldn't hit big budget. You have quick cuts everywhere, the graphics look bad, the guy with the sword you can tell is holding a piece of wood painted silver, the actors are bad, but they get the job done.

Really the page needs a lot of work. It's going to get deleted unless someone makes some serious edits. Honestly I think the best person for making this page is Omni himself.

SuperFun - May 15, 2010, 8:54 pm

(May 15, 2010 08:49 PM)Xch4ng3 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

<http://security-sh3ll.blogspot.com/2009/07/anti-sec-next-target-milw0rm-and.html>

<http://www.cybersec.eu/?p=181>

<http://seclists.org/fulldisclosure/2009/Jul/164>

It was a troll, but any of those good enough? I'm pretty sure you can link the real anti-sec movement and them hacking ImageShack to this right? Afterall that's what Anti-Sec would do if they had a chance.

*Most of them consist of skids who think hacking is a one click process and that copy/pasting makes them l33t. Most of them also come from Google with key terms like "hack facebook."

And it doesn't help that the forums name is called HackForums.

A simple Google(Or whatever search engine you prefer) for the term "Hack Forum", "Hack Forums", brings up this forums (Which, in the Administrators point of view is great! [More traffic, more \$ via Adsense + optional membership!])

(May 15, 2010 08:53 PM)MasterZuFu Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Look, here's the problem. The wiki page is obviously going to be under a great deal of scrutiny. For serious a great number of people simply don't like HF because they probably got burned by someone on here. With that in mind, just following the guidelines isn't going to cut it. Your awards and "notability" really aren't that notable. It's going to be very hard to find a notable award for HF that comes from someone other than HF itself.

This page needs to be completely redone, no offense to you guys who worked so hard on it. Really

this reminds me of a movie that college guys did. They put a lot of hard work, sweat, and blood into it, but in the end it just doesn't look professional. It's an "ok" movie, but it wouldn't hit big budget. You have quick cuts everywhere, the graphics look bad, the guy with the sword you can tell is holding a piece of wood painted silver, the actors are bad, but they get the job done.

Really the page needs a lot of work. It's going to get deleted unless someone makes some serious edits. Honestly I think the best person for making this page is Omni himself.

In regards to this,

May someone point me a link too the current Wikipedia page?

Possibly I can input my opinions regarding it.

Mordacai - May 15, 2010, 8:56 pm

(May 15, 2010 08:54 PM)SuperFun Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) And it doesn't help that the forums name is called HackForums.

A simple Google(Or whatever search engine you prefer) for the term "Hack Forum", "Hack Forums", brings up this forums (Which, in the Administrators point of view is great! [More traffic, more \$ via Adsense + optional membership!])

The name of the site really doesn't have much to do with its notability in google searches. It helps a little, but I doubt the name has any real importance to search engines.

SuperFun - May 15, 2010, 8:57 pm

(May 15, 2010 08:56 PM)MasterZuFu Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The name of the site really doesn't have much to do with its notability in google searches. It helps a little, but I doubt the name has any real importance to search engines.

Actually,

The domain name itself helps search engine optimization - not to mention random domain guesses (e.g: user types in "hackforums.com", .net, etc)

Mordacai - May 15, 2010, 8:59 pm

(May 15, 2010 08:57 PM)SuperFun Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Actually,

The domain name itself helps search engine optimization - not to mention random domain guesses (e.g: user types in "hackforums.com", .net, etc)

I can promise you that if you typed in the name of my site it wouldn't show up on the first ten pages of google. The name means little to what the content and visit stats do.

-Dartz - May 15, 2010, 9:00 pm

"hackforums.net is a website that ranks 6,700 in Alexa and has a Google PageRank of 2.

hackforums.net is ranked on position 321 within net and has 233 backlinks according to Alexa."

Found that somewhere. Is that any help?

SuperFun - May 15, 2010, 9:00 pm

(May 15, 2010 08:59 PM)MasterZuFu Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I can promise you that if you typed in the name of my site it wouldn't show up on the first ten pages of google. The name means little to what the content and visit stats do.

It doesn't automatically optimize itself, you still have to put effort into optimizing it.

Now, back on topic -

Can you point me to the current HackForums.net article located in Wikipedia?

R1chard™ - May 15, 2010, 9:01 pm

This might be stupid but really.. what's the point in a Wikipedia page?

Xch4ng3 - May 15, 2010, 9:01 pm

(May 15, 2010 09:00 PM)SuperFun Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It doesn't automatically optimize itself, you still have to put effort into optimizing it.

Now, back on topic -

Can you point me to the current HackForums.net article located in Wikipedia?

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hackforums.net>

Mordacai - May 15, 2010, 9:02 pm

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hackforums.net>

Syndicate - May 15, 2010, 9:02 pm

(May 15, 2010 09:00 PM)SuperFun Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It doesn't automatically optimize itself, you still have to put effort into optimizing it.

Now, back on topic -

Can you point me to the current HackForums.net article located in Wikipedia?

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hackforums>

EDIT: Damn, they beat me. You could have just search "hackforums" on wikipedia you know.

SuperFun - May 15, 2010, 9:04 pm

(May 15, 2010 09:01 PM)R1chard™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This might be stupid but really.. what's the point in a Wikipedia page?

It helps spread the word about the site even more, optimizes SEO (backlink[s]), etc.

(May 15, 2010 09:02 PM)Syndicate Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hackforums>

EDIT: Damn, they beat me. You could have just search "hackforums" on wikipedia you know. Didn't come up.

[http://i44.\[censored\].com/vepxsp.png](http://i44.[censored].com/vepxsp.png)

Mordacai - May 15, 2010, 9:05 pm

(May 15, 2010 09:04 PM)SuperFun Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It helps spread the word about the site even more, optimizes SEO (backlink[s]), etc.

(May 15, 2010 09:02 PM)Syndicate Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hackforums>

EDIT: Damn, they beat me. You could have just search "hackforums" on wikipedia you know. Didn't come up.

[http://i44.\[censored\].com/vepxsp.png](http://i44.[censored].com/vepxsp.png)

It's "Hackforums" not "hackforum"

SuperFun - May 15, 2010, 9:07 pm

(May 15, 2010 09:05 PM)MasterZuFu Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Didn't come up.

[http://i44.\[censored\].com/vepxsp.png](http://i44.[censored].com/vepxsp.png)It's "Hackforums" not "hackforum"
[/quote]

Was not aware I misspelled.

Anyway, contacted Omniscient with my offer to write up the content.

Thug Life - May 15, 2010, 9:31 pm

Eh, It does bring alot of others to HF :)

dashoota - May 15, 2010, 9:47 pm

Yeah, it's just informal.

Zach - May 15, 2010, 10:33 pm

It needs to be worked on a lot, I think we should just give up, it's not really important.

Many people hate HF, it would be trashed and trolled if we allow anyone to edit it.

Mordacai - May 15, 2010, 10:54 pm

(May 15, 2010 10:33 PM)Zach Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It needs to be worked on a lot, I think we should just give up, it's not really important.

Many people hate HF, it would be trashed and trolled if we allow anyone to edit it.
Give up? What kind of attitude is that? We never give up. ?

thedankestmeme - May 16, 2010, 12:17 am

Come on guys, I just looked at the article - it is not well written at all.

EDIT: I'm re-writing the article section by section. I hope that a more professionally sounding article will help in the deletion case, but we'll see.

Making reference to members and glorifying events that nobody outside of the forum cares nothing about won't get you very far. Neither will the defacing of pages by faggots like IceGuru who claim that clicking the 'edit' button is a 'hack,' and feel the need to delete all the content from a Wiki page, an action which is easily reverted.

If you people really want the page to stay on Wikipedia you're going to have to stop playing around, take a good hard look at the Wikipedia guidelines and learn the syntax before you go blowing the forum's chance of having a Wikipedia page.

__GS__ - May 16, 2010, 4:53 am

Quote: Based on internet averages, hackforums.net is visited more frequently by males who are in the age range 18-24, have no college education and browse this site from home.

This made me laugh xD.

Has the article been re-written yet? I don't see anything wrong with it :S. Most of the stuff has been deleted I guess.

thedankestmeme - May 16, 2010, 4:58 am

(May 16, 2010 04:53 AM)GOLD\$0µnÐ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This made me laugh xD.

Has the article been re-written yet? I don't see anything wrong with it :S. Most of the stuff has been deleted I guess.

I deleted some of the more poorly written things and re-wrote it based on the information provided. People don't understand that for this article to stand a chance it has to meet a certain standard. If people are going to fill it with poorly written and poorly organized content it won't get anywhere.

Xerotic - May 16, 2010, 5:48 am

I would like to request that EVERYONE submit something in the 'Articles for Deletion/Hackforums' page.

Go to this link, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Articles_for_deletion/Hackforums then click to edit the page (make an account if you have to). Scroll down to the 'keep' section.

Make a new line then insert this code:

Code `""Keep""`

and then put a space. Type your reasons for the site to be made a wiki page. I think hat if we get enough support on the actual wiki site (because here our support doesnt do shit) then we might actually accomplish something. Please do what I suggested, HackForums really needs a wiki page.

Thanks to all who help.

Mordacai - May 16, 2010, 6:12 am

I made my contribution, but honestly Omni said let it die out, and I think it should.

-Dartz - May 16, 2010, 6:49 am

Eh, we still might be able to get a Wiki.

Xerotic - May 16, 2010, 6:55 am

(May 16, 2010 06:49 AM)«Dartz™» Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Eh, we still might be able to get a Wiki. That is what I am hoping for. Now they are saying we just need notability.

Momiji - May 16, 2010, 9:26 am

They semi-protected the deletion discussion. Meaning that only users that are 4 days old and have at least 10 edits can discuss the deletion.

-Dartz - May 16, 2010, 10:31 am

(May 16, 2010 09:26 AM)Lunagron Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) They semi-protected the deletion discussion. Meaning that only users that are 4 days old and have at least 10 edits can discuss the deletion. Damn, there's a dick jammed in someones ass.

Looks like idea #4 might actually work.

Momiji - May 16, 2010, 11:10 am

(May 16, 2010 10:31 AM)«Dartz™» Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Damn, there's a dick jammed in someones ass.

Looks like idea #4 might actually work.They don't have to have an award. They just need to meat one of these

Quote:Keeping in mind that all articles must conform with our policy on verifiability to reliable sources, and that primary sources alone are not sufficient to establish notability; web-specific content is deemed notable based on meeting any one of the following criteria.

1. The content itself has been the subject of multiple non-trivial published works whose source is independent of the site itself. This criterion includes reliable published works in all forms, such as newspaper and magazine articles, books, television documentaries, websites, and published reports by consumer watchdog organizations except for the following:

Media re-prints of press releases and advertising for the content or site.

Trivial coverage, such as (1) newspaper articles that simply report the Internet address, (2) newspaper articles that simply report the times at which such content is updated or made available, (3) a brief summary of the nature of the content or the publication of Internet addresses and site or (4) content descriptions in Internet directories or online stores.

2. The website or content has won a well-known and independent award from either a publication or organization.

3. The content is distributed via a medium which is both respected and independent of the

creators, either through an online newspaper or magazine, an online publisher, or an online broadcaster; except for trivial distribution including content being hosted on sites without editorial oversight (such as YouTube, MySpace, GeoCities, Newgrounds, personal blogs, etc.).

-Dartz - May 16, 2010, 11:24 am

We meet these:

1. The content itself has been the subject of multiple non-trivial published works whose source is independent of the site itself. This criterion includes reliable published works in all forms, such as newspaper and magazine articles, books, television documentaries, websites, and published reports by consumer watchdog organizations except for the following:

3. The content is distributed via a medium which is both respected and independent of the creators, either through an online newspaper or magazine, an online publisher, or an online broadcaster; except for trivial distribution including content being hosted on sites without editorial oversight (such as YouTube, MySpace, GeoCities, Newgrounds, personal blogs, etc.).

Or at least one of those.

Momiji - May 16, 2010, 11:34 am

(May 16, 2010 11:24 AM)«Dartz™» Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) We meet these:

1. The content itself has been the subject of multiple non-trivial published works whose source is independent of the site itself. This criterion includes reliable published works in all forms, such as newspaper and magazine articles, books, television documentaries, websites, and published reports by consumer watchdog organizations except for the following:

3. The content is distributed via a medium which is both respected and independent of the creators, either through an online newspaper or magazine, an online publisher, or an online broadcaster; except for trivial distribution including content being hosted on sites without editorial oversight (such as YouTube, MySpace, GeoCities, Newgrounds, personal blogs, etc.).

Or at least one of those.

We need a source to prove it.

Mordacai - May 16, 2010, 11:36 am

But the notability you're trying to produce is irrelevant. How big HF is doesn't matter. It hasn't done anything notable as a group, something worthy of someone writing about it. It's like if someone won a nobel prize, that's notable. HF having a place as the third largest mybb site is not notable in any way.

-Dartz - May 16, 2010, 3:00 pm

I still think that HF has had something notable that could get it a Wiki.

Juuuuurd - May 16, 2010, 3:09 pm

it would be pretty cool fo hack forums to get a wiki, kinda deserve it aswell.

thedankestmeme - May 16, 2010, 4:41 pm

Guys I really think that you're treating the Wikifolk unfairly, with all the 'something up their ass' talk and everything. You're venturing out of your little hacker community or whatever the fuck this place is into the rest of the web and it's a different place. Wikipedia is strict, its guidelines are strict and

you can't take your anger out on whoever wishes to enforce it, if I was in the same situation and I came across a poorly written article about a site nobody cares about I would do the same thing.

-Dartz - May 16, 2010, 5:27 pm

(May 16, 2010 04:41 PM)manipulate Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Guys I really think that you're treating the Wikifolk unfairly, with all the 'something up their ass' talk and everything. You're venturing out of your little hacker community or whatever the fuck this place is into the rest of the web and it's a different place. Wikipedia is strict, its guidelines are strict and you can't take your anger out on whoever wishes to enforce it, if I was in the same situation and I came across a poorly written article about a site nobody cares about I would do the same thing.
It's not even the article that's being denied.

They're trying to not give us a chance at all to write a wiki.

Momiji - May 16, 2010, 5:43 pm

I've added a few more "important events" to the article. Hopefully it will help extend the debate. If anyone here can pull some strings and get us in a noticeable publication, that would definitely help

-Dartz - May 16, 2010, 5:50 pm

(May 16, 2010 05:43 PM)Lunagron Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I've added a few more "important events" to the article. Hopefully it will help extend the debate. If anyone here can pull some strings and get us in a noticeable publication, that would definitely help
I have many "important events" already. From the creation of HF to April.

Disease - May 16, 2010, 6:07 pm

(May 16, 2010 05:50 PM)«Dartz™» Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have many "important events" already. From the creation of HF to April.
Unfortunately it's not the quality (or lack thereof) of the article that's influencing the deletion case. You can inflate the article all you want. Write it as professionally as possible and to the Wiki standards. None of that is going to change the notability of HackForums.

To get past this deletion case you guys need to prove your impact on society beyond having the largest gathering of teenage brats within the hacking community. Ideally you'd want the HackForums name to be mentioned by a reputable organization (knowingly, not programmatically such as Alexa). One that is entirely hands-off from this community.

Momiji - May 16, 2010, 6:23 pm

(May 16, 2010 06:07 PM)disease Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Unfortunately it's not the quality (or lack thereof) of the article that's influencing the deletion case. You can inflate the article all you want. Write it as professionally as possible and to the Wiki standards. None of that is going to change the notability of HackForums.

To get past this deletion case you guys need to prove your impact on society beyond having the largest gathering of teenage brats within the hacking community. Ideally you'd want the HackForums name to be mentioned by a reputable organization (knowingly, not programmatically such as Alexa). One that is entirely hands-off from this community.^This^

....is completely true

theboner - May 16, 2010, 7:09 pm

Well I could pull a few strings with my bosses at my work. As I work for the 3rd largest health care provider in the world. As we do learn a lot from here, but I think that it wouldn't matter to them. Best

thing at this point is to Wiki other sites that have been approved without something notable. If you find some then it would be in the lines of discrimination as this is a site that alot of people frown upon which is why they are choosing to be harsh with their "strict" policies.

Its something that is hard either way, because no matter what way we go if we are the number 1 hacker community there isn't a ranking system for hacking. Even if we one of the largest HJT teams in the world it still doesn't mean we have been thought of for it.

Disease - May 16, 2010, 7:22 pm

(May 16, 2010 07:09 PM)theboner Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well I could pull a few strings with my bosses at my work. As I work for the 3rd largest health care provider in the world. As we do learn alot from here, but I think that it wouldn't matter to them. Best thing at this point is to Wiki other sites that have been approved without something notable. If you find some then it would be in the lines of discrimination as this is a site that alot of people frown upon which is why they are choosing to be harsh with their "strict" policies.

Its something that is hard either way, because no matter what way we go if we are the number 1 hacker community there isn't a ranking system for hacking. Even if we one of the largest HJT teams in the world it still doesn't mean we have been thought of for it.

You could report other articles but you'd gain nothing and waste time. Your reports would only result in the targeted articles getting looked into like the HackForums article. That "it's okay for me to do it because she did" mentality doesn't reach beyond the elementary school playground.

And you're just blindly throwing around legal terms now. This deletion case is not an act of discrimination nor would it be even if you found articles on Wikipedia that violate the guidelines. As I mentioned already, Wikipedia's response to said violations would be identical to the response you're seeing towards HackForums. Equal punishment means equal treatment. It doesn't get any further away from discrimination than that.

theboner - May 16, 2010, 7:31 pm

I have seen numerous sites over the course of time that have not had a single thing that would give them notability. Yet there still on there, You have to take in account that in legal terms YES it would be considered discrimination. If you would learn what discrimination means maybe you would be able to comment.

Discrimination basically means unfair treatment of a person or group on the basis of prejudice. If something gets approved that doesn't mean the same critieria that you are being denied upon then it would mean your are being discriminated against PLAIN AND SIMPLE.

When you attack a sites credibility then they are forced to act even though it might not be recommended as it could lead to further problems what more then likely happens is that

1. They will approve the site
2. Change policies to allow sites
3. Be forced to remove all sites that do NOT meet there guidelines.

Obviously choosing options 1 and 2 are alot easier then to go through all t he wikis and find which ones meet all guidelines set forth.

Disease - May 16, 2010, 8:02 pm

(May 16, 2010 07:31 PM)theboner Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have seen numerous sites over the course of time that have not had a single thing that would give them notability. Yet there still on there, You have to take in account that in legal terms YES it would be considered discrimination. If you would learn what discrimination means maybe you would be able to comment.

Discrimination basically means unfair treatment of a person or group on the basis of prejudice. If something gets approved that doesn't mean the same criteria that you are being denied upon then it would mean you are being discriminated against PLAIN AND SIMPLE.

When you attack a site's credibility then they are forced to act even though it might not be recommended as it could lead to further problems what more then likely happens is that

1. They will approve the site
2. Change policies to allow sites
3. Be forced to remove all sites that do NOT meet their guidelines.

Obviously choosing options 1 and 2 are a lot easier then to go through all the wikis and find which ones meet all guidelines set forth.

Again, report those articles if you'd like. Their notability will be proven or discredited in the same manner that HackForum's is right now. If it ends up proven then the article will remain. If it's discredited then said article will be deleted.

Considering you actually believe this to be discrimination is enough proof that you're the one with the mistaken definition. HackForums is not being denied due to an act of prejudice. How can you even begin to justify that? Do you even know what the definition of prejudice is? It's the act of passing judgment before considering the facts.

Look at what is happening on Wikipedia right now over the HackForums article. The facts are being [deliberated](#) (verb: To consult with another or others in a process of reaching a decision.) and judgment has yet to be passed.

I feel that I should also inform you that prejudice plays a smaller role in discrimination than you're trying to claim. Gender discrimination is a form of prejudice because it's stating that a person of said gender is incapable of performing the same task as someone of the opposite gender. For example: claiming that women cannot lift 75lbs worth of product continuously, as such women may not work in the stocking department of a grocery store. We all know there are plenty of women out there who could do that job; enter prejudice. On the flip side, saying that Jews may not shop at your store is not an act of prejudice. You're not claiming that Jews are any less physically or mentally capable than Christians. All you're stating is that they're not welcome. No prejudice there.

Now at this point I should likely end this post because all you're doing is making up your own legal definitions in order to make the useless accusation that HackForums is being discriminated against. But I'm bored so I'll continue to bite.

In order for this to be discrimination you'd have to put every person in this community into a neat little box. How do you categorize a community of damn near 200,000 people? A community that almost certainly has representatives from a variety of races, religions, creeds, castes, etc. And should you find an appropriate label for this community you then have to prove that Wikipedia is barring service to all who fit it.

The simple fact is: this isn't discrimination and you were mistaken to even bring that word up. What is happening is a legitimate inquiry into HackForums' qualification under Wikipedia's guidelines. The very same guidelines Wikipedia has had for years. And an inquiry that is being done by peer volunteers through the act of voting.

theboner - May 16, 2010, 8:24 pm

As I stated in my previous posts, discrimination by term means the act of denying right to something. This would imply that all other sites or Wikis that do not contain "notability" should have never been

approved. This is where the discrimination comes into play.

This could be further proved if you put a site this size without the type of material all the same stats, the other site would be approved.

Uchiha majordave - May 16, 2010, 9:30 pm

See Wikipedia pages for Milw0rm and Cult of the Dead Cow:

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Milw0rm>

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cult_of_the_Dead_Cow

and even:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chaos_Computer_Club

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2600_The_Hacker_Quarterly

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Masters_of_Deception

and what's Phrack anyway?

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Phrack>

There was a convention named "What the Hack"?

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/What_the_Hack

and H.O.P.E. is "Hackers On Planet Earth"?

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/H.O.P.E.>

Miscellaneous:

Wikipedia page for Backtrack, Wireshark, NMap

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/BackTrack>

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wireshark>

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nmap>

And gotta love the one for Zeus Botnet

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zeus_\(trojan_horse\)](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zeus_(trojan_horse))

These are the Wikipedia pages you should be studying, and working on emulating! Hackforums.net may not yet be as notable as "Cult of the Dead Cow" or Milw0rm....yet! But it's certainly more notable than, well, something called "XeroBank Browser". Never heard of it, but still, it's got it's own Wikipedia page: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/XeroBank_Browser

EDIT: I guess there was at least one notable event that occurred at the H.O.P.E. convention:

Quote: Steve Rambam, a noted private investigator who runs Pallorium, Inc., an online investigative service, was set to lead a panel discussion titled "Privacy is Dead ... Get Over It." A few minutes before the start of the panel, Rambam was arrested by the FBI on charges that he unlawfully interfered with an ongoing case prosecutors filed against Albert Santoro, a former Brooklyn assistant district attorney who was indicted in Jan. 2003 with one count of money-laundering. [2][3][4] The charges were eventually dropped [5] and the talk was held in November 2006, long after the conference ended.[6]

Jello Biafra began his talk by referring to the above arrest, noting the convention had been more "spook heavy" than usual. [7] He then announced a "special message" to "any Federal agents that may be in the audience", and mooned the convention.

N3w_2_H@Ck1n™ - May 16, 2010, 10:31 pm

Lol, so being arrested is notable... well wasn't a HF user arrested for hacking a hospitals air conditioning? That gives us the wrong image though.

What about all the hundreds or probably thousands of members our HJT team has disinfected?

Momiji - May 16, 2010, 10:57 pm

If we got TrendMicro to give us something like a "letter of recognition" then that seems pretty notable.

Uchiha majordave - May 17, 2010, 1:40 am

(May 16, 2010 10:31 PM)N3w_2_H@Ck1n™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Lol, so being arrested is notable... well wasn't a HF user arrested for hacking a hospitals air conditioning? That gives us the wrong image though.

What about all the hundreds or probably thousands of members our HJT team has disinfected?
It was the mooning, not the arrest I found notable, lol!

The problem with mentioning the service the HJT team offers in a Wikipedia article is that it could come across as advertising, which is prohibited.

It's not that easy to get a Wikipedia article published, or keep it from getting deleted. Requires a very specific type of writing talent and stringent guidelines.

I'm checking...

Metal Tiger - May 17, 2010, 7:47 am

I could help with writing a bit. I'm not bad.

theboner - May 17, 2010, 9:13 am

(May 17, 2010 07:47 AM)Metal Tiger Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I could help with writing a bit. I'm not bad.

First off your grammar is bad. Secondly if you would actually read what it is up for deletion for, it is up because they decided that they(meaning Wiki) wants this site to prove something notable such as an award or publication. Yes we can prove that we are the number one hacker community BUT there is no way to give an award for that.

The Wiki has been written correctly but they are unjustly applying a rule that they have over looked for NUMEROUS sites in the past. Reason most likely being that people outside of this community see this site as a bunch of kid hackers who are trying to steal what other people have worked so hard for.

So it makes it harder for people overlook the fact what this site has accomplished but rather what alot of the people that are members come here to learn which is to be malicious with the things either released or taught on here.

When you are going back and forwarth with someone your best bet is to either try to find an award or publication about this site. If this fails then you try to reason with them.

If all else fails then you not attack their statement/guideline but rather present examples of Wikis that have been approved that do not have a notable award or publication. As it is alot easier for them to relax on the rule then it is for them to delete all the other Wikis and/or change the policy.

Uchiha majordave - May 17, 2010, 10:07 am

(May 17, 2010 09:13 AM)theboner Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) First off your grammar is bad. Secondly if you would actually read what it is up for deletion for, it is up because they decided that they(meaning Wiki) wants this site to prove something notable such as an award or publication. Yes we can prove that we are the number one hacker community BUT there is no way to give an award for that. No, I think it just wasn't done in accordance with Wikipedia's specific requirements.

I just had a Wikipedia editor, who has written over 100 Wikipedia articles and THOUSANDS of edits, take a look at the page for me. He might be willing to do some editing, but would appreciate some assistance in the form of some credible 3rd party references.

His writing is flawless, but could use help with content so 3rd party references would be REALLY helpful, so if anyone has some, or is willing to look them up, please provide some links. I gave him the ones Omni posted in the other thread, but he's not going to spend the time to do any additional research.

-Dartz - May 17, 2010, 10:10 am

(May 17, 2010 10:07 AM)Uchiha majordave Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No, I think it just wasn't done in accordance with Wikipedia's specific requirements.

I just had a Wikipedia editor, who has written over 100 Wikipedia articles and THOUSANDS of edits, take a look at the page for me. He might be willing to do some editing, but would appreciate some assistance in the form of some credible 3rd party references.

His writing is flawless, but could use help with content so 3rd party references would be REALLY helpful, so if anyone has some, or is willing to look them up, please provide some links. I gave him the ones Omni posted in the other thread, but he's not going to spend the time to do any additional research.

3rd party references? May you please provide examples of what you mean?

Uchiha majordave - May 17, 2010, 10:41 am

(May 17, 2010 09:13 AM)theboner Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The Wiki has been written correctly but they are unjustly applying a rule that they have over looked for NUMEROUS sites in the past. Reason most likely being that people outside of this community see this site as a bunch of kid hackers who are trying to steal what other people have worked so hard for.I really don't think that's it. Wikipedia has never had ANY problem publishing articles about hackers or hacking sites -- there's dozens of them on there!

It's the way it was written. Wikipedia has very specific criteria. There's a certain finesse to it and details so subtle, that it usually requires training to even perceive. I'm not criticizing because I can't perceive them either. I doubt I'd try because the guys who do this type of writing pop it out so fast, cheap and perfect, that even if I was capable of learning, it wouldn't be worth my time.

(May 17, 2010 10:10 AM)«Dartz™» Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 3rd party references? May you please provide examples of what you mean?

<http://seclists.org/fulldisclosure/2009/Jul/164>

<http://www.tribalwar.com/forums/archive/t-588441.html>

<http://bsdpunk.blogspot.com/2008/12/hackforumsnet-was-rooted-and-9unkz0r.html>

<http://www.cyber-threats.com/?cat=11>

<http://www.g4hq.com/forum/general-discussion/27275-hackers-guide-galaxy-i.html>

<http://www.xmarks.com/site/hackforums.net/>

Hopefully someone can come up with BETTER articles, reviews, mentions, etc. from even more credible sources.

<http://safeweb.norton.com/report/show?name=hackforums.net>

The funniest I've run accross so far is this one, <http://www.aboutus.org/Hw-forum.net>

Where it says:

Quote:HackForums.net is a login page for a forum about foreplay.

Oh? Really?

Omniscient - May 17, 2010, 2:07 pm

I personally feel the sec-list hoax threat is notable enough to get us the page. It just has to be written out properly by someone that understands wikipedia really well. We are almost a top 5k Alexa site. We are by far the #1 hacker community online. We get 500k uniques here per month. Are we very notable outside our community? Well maybe not but our community is huge and well this isn't exactly the type of site people will link to very often.

-Dartz - May 17, 2010, 2:09 pm

(May 17, 2010 02:07 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I personally feel the sec-list hoax threat is notable enough to get us the page. It just has to be written out properly by someone that understands wikipedia really well. We are almost a top 5k Alexa site. We are by far the #1 hacker community online. We get 500k uniques here per month. Are we very notable outside our community? Well maybe not but our community is huge and well this isn't exactly the type of site people will link to very often.

Maybe it's time HF gets spread around more.

Maybe HF could possibly win an award for our HJT team here? Our amazing white hat helpers? Our white hat resources here?

Momiji - May 17, 2010, 2:16 pm

[Wikipedia's standards for reliable sources.](#)

Omniscient - May 17, 2010, 2:18 pm

I should do a press release and see if any news agencies would pick us up.

-Dartz - May 17, 2010, 2:21 pm

(May 17, 2010 02:18 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I should do a press release and see if any news agencies would pick us up.

Have you ever watched PIX11/WPIX news? They did a report on hackers and things like RATs.

They talked about functions, how they can spy on your webcam, etc. Maybe you should contact them, maybe appear in a report if possible?

I know it's not easy, but worth a try?

?ßorder?ineExtr(A) - May 17, 2010, 2:46 pm

(May 17, 2010 02:21 PM)«Ðartz™» Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Have you ever watched PIX11/WPIX news? They did a report on hackers and things like RATs.

They talked about functions, how they can spy on your webcam, etc. Maybe you should contact them, maybe appear in a report if possible?

I know it's not easy, but worth a try?

That's an idea. We could get an award by explaining these kinds of stuff to normal people. Coders would explain how they are created, users would explain how they are used and spread, everything is explained by an insider for an outsider.

Jaiimc - May 17, 2010, 2:49 pm

(May 17, 2010 02:46 PM)A-Sh™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That's an idea. We could get an award by explaining these kinds of stuff to normal people. Coders would explain how they are created, users would explain how they are used and spread, everything is explained by an insider for an outsider.

It's a good idea but it really would give hackforums a bad name.

Hackers are seen as these people with malicious intent, I'm not saying that RATs are hacking, but they are definitely what uneducated people in this field assume is hacking.

If HackForums were to show up on a TV show on in the news or something for explaining how a RAT works, imagine how badly that could tarnish our already tarnished name.

As well as tarnishing the definition of hacker, yet again.

I'm not against this idea, it just needs to be approached delicately.

Momiji - May 17, 2010, 2:57 pm

(May 17, 2010 02:18 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I should do a press release and see if any news agencies would pick us up.

If any semi-well-known news does a report that counts as notability.

?ßorder?ineExtr(A) - May 17, 2010, 3:03 pm

(May 17, 2010 02:49 PM)Jaiimc Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's a good idea but it really would give hackforums a bad name.

Hackers are seen as these people with malicious intent, I'm not saying that RATs are hacking, but they are definitely what uneducated people in this field assume is hacking.

If HackForums were to show up on a TV show on in the news or something for explaining how a RAT works, imagine how badly that could tarnish our already tarnished name.

As well as tarnishing the definition of hacker, yet again.

I'm not against this idea, it just needs to be approached delicately.

I am not telling to explain users how to make RATs work, but what changes they do to the registry, how RATs affect the computer...But yes, it would actually give HF a bad name.

-Dartz - May 17, 2010, 3:05 pm

OS HIT. I have a decent idea. Think I might PM Omni with it.

Uchiha majordave - May 17, 2010, 3:50 pm

One of the things it could use are citations -- and not all the citations have to be about this site, but rather about related subjects.

For example, on the Milw0rm page, it uses citations to define the word "hacktivists," references the Wikipedia page that defines the word "Hacker(computer security)," as well as incorporating a quote from Kevin Mitnick.

But the Wikipedia page for Openwall Project?

Quote:The Openwall Project is a source for various software, including Openwall GNU/*/Linux (Owl), a security-enhanced operating system designed for servers. Openwall patches and security extensions have been included into many major Linux distributions.

As the name implies, Openwall GNU/*/Linux draws source code and design concepts from numerous sources, most importantly to the project is its usage of the Linux kernel and parts of the GNU userland, others include the BSDs, such as OpenBSD for its OpenSSH suite and the inspiration behind its own blowfish-based crypt for password hashing, compatible with the OpenBSD implementation.

That's it! Those 2 sentences are the ENTIRE article! http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Openwall_Project

There really isn't any reason this forum can't get a page.

javi2828 - May 17, 2010, 4:27 pm

Wow Omni is good with law suits.

If u saw what he posted he is really good at this.

Stuxnet - May 17, 2010, 4:44 pm

(May 17, 2010 04:27 PM)javi2828 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Wow Omni is good with law suits.

If u saw what he posted he is really good at this.

Where can you see what he posted?

Xerotic - May 17, 2010, 7:36 pm

I had been talking to this guy on the articles for deletion page (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Articles_for_deletion/Hackforums) for ages a few days ago. He keeps saying that size doesnt matter, but when i refer him to articles that dont have notability, he points out there their rank on the Alexa site, I really think this guy just might not like us. But keep fighting I say..

Also some incorrect information. About the Malwarebytes , from the time Omni sent the PM to 'problem resolved' it had been 20 minutes, not a little over an hour. Also at the top, it shouldnt have a 'worth' or \$187,000, it should have a 'value'. We do need better writers..

~Jake - May 17, 2010, 7:44 pm

(May 17, 2010 03:50 PM)Uchiha majordave Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There really isn't any reason this forum can't get a page.

The only reason why the article is planned for deletion is because whoever made it fucked it up.

I think the members fighting for the article to stay should just stop because all they are doing is irritating the Wikipedia staff & members. I think Omni should just take care of this himself considering the damage already done.

(May 17, 2010 07:36 PM)titanofdank Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Also some incorrect information. About the Malwarebytes , from the time Omni sent the PM to 'problem resolved' it had been 20 minutes, not a little over an hour. Also at the top, it shouldnt have a 'worth' or \$187,000, it should have a 'value'. We do need better writers..

It doesn't matter. People don't understand things that should go on a Wikipedia page and that isn't one of them. Being blocked by MBAM is probably one of the most insignificant things that could be put on that page. Gayspinningdickvideo.com is also blocked by MBAM, does that mean it should

have a Wikipedia page too?

(May 17, 2010 04:27 PM)javi2828 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Wow Omni is good with law suits.

If u saw what he posted he is really good at this.

This has nothing to do with a lawsuit at all.

Uchiha majordave - May 18, 2010, 11:29 am

(May 17, 2010 07:44 PM)jakeomatic Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The only reason why the article is planned for deletion is because whoever made it fucked it up.

They didn't "fuck up," they just didn't have enough experience with the criteria or formatting requirements specific to Wikipedia.

Omni's agreed to allow the editor I contacted earlier see if he can help improve upon the content. He has a lot of experience editing Wikipedia pages, so please leave it alone and let him see what he can do.

Anonymoose - May 18, 2010, 3:04 pm

(May 15, 2010 08:47 PM)Hide_My_Ass Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Oh I just thought of Tank :P Hes an expert writer, Ask him to do this

Anyway, Oh I never knew about that guy getting arrested

Agreed, Tank is a pretty stellar writer. I'm sure he'd do this...might take a donation being pointed his way, but he'd do the job correctly.