

Forum: [Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [EZ-YTMP3](#)

ASV - July 9, 2014, 3:34 pm

A quick, easy, and lightweight youtube MP3 downloader. Does not use that sluggish ffmpeg junk. this will work pretty much as fast as your internet allows u to download the file, it converts nearly instantly. Im no pro forum poster so my post will be ugly :P

Screenshot:

Virustotal:

<https://www.virustotal.com/en/file/7b35af9be8d39c6996a440580674b0e02ef2782f24b1415bd6d1fad5bbe73e4d/analysis/1404944883/>

Download:

[MEDIAFIRE DOWNLOAD](#)

Its EXTREMELY simple. Want the Source? get it (i did not pack or obfuscate at all, its wide open) OR you could PM me and ill give u the entire project :)

Reason for creation: this was more for me and my family to download youtube songs quick and easy (my family isnt very good with computers so speed and ease of use were the key here). i figured maybe someone else online might fancy this as well

ENJOY :D

douchebag - July 9, 2014, 3:35 pm

Hey man, fix the download link it's the virus link too. Thanks!

ASV - July 9, 2014, 3:40 pm

(Jul 9, 2014 03:35 PM)douchebag Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hey man, fix the download link it's the virus link too. Thanks!

realized that, the clipboard copy feature on mediafire didnt work lol sorry

its fixed

douchebag - July 9, 2014, 3:48 pm

(Jul 9, 2014 03:40 PM)cruizrisner Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) realized that, the clipboard copy feature on mediafire didnt work lol sorry

its fixed

Show's its malicious on google chrome lol

Silent ? - July 9, 2014, 3:50 pm

(Jul 9, 2014 03:48 PM)douchebag Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Show's its malicious on google chrome lol
Chrome does that for a lot of stuff, I doubt its malicious.

@OP looks nice :)

Trojans - July 9, 2014, 3:51 pm

Looks nice, I was doing something similar in my spare time as well :p I'll give rep when i figure how to lol.

ASV - July 9, 2014, 3:51 pm

(Jul 9, 2014 03:48 PM)douchebag Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Show's its malicious on google chrome lol
PM me i will give u the whole project if u are that suspicious lol

CodeAssassin - July 10, 2014, 6:27 am

Dose this just use someone else's hardwork to download? le you connect to website and just download the converted file, or did you actually take the time to implement ffmpeg and convert yourself??

morphine1337 - July 10, 2014, 8:22 am

So you used www.youtube-mp3.org. You could try webrequest instead of webbrowser.

ASV - July 10, 2014, 1:08 pm

(Jul 10, 2014 06:27 AM)sweetysuckscok Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Dose this just use someone else's hardwork to download? le you connect to website and just download the converted file, or did you actually take the time to implement ffmpeg and convert yourself??
of course it just downloads via website

did u happen to read the whole purpose of the application?
speed, ease of use, simplicity (was creating it for my family not for commercial reasons. i just put it here in case there was any non-coder who wanted this tool)

the ffmpeg method is just too slow for my taste. ive made one of them before and i got sick of how slow it is. i always found myself using that site cuz its lightning fast. so if im looking for speed, why would i go back to ffmpeg and not use the already faster website?

Angel Beats?? - July 30, 2014, 6:05 am

Because that site does not use the highest quality video.

Dispersia - July 30, 2014, 8:42 am

(Jul 30, 2014 06:05 AM)Ang?l Beats Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Because that site does not use the highest quality video.

Youtube has audio and video separate. Assuming you meant video instead, it most likely changes the channel for the audio to be max, while video not (since you're downloading an mp3, not an mp4).

Madara-Uchiha - July 30, 2014, 9:33 am

Very useful program :)
Thanks for sharing it!

@ThoseGuysWhoAreSuspicious: Check the src yourself, this program is not malicous:

ASV - July 30, 2014, 4:31 pm

(Jul 30, 2014 06:05 AM)Ang?l Beats Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Because that site does not use the highest quality

video.

did u mean audio? this downloads audio not video

pulled this straight from their site: "All our conversions will be performed in high quality mode with a bitrate of at least 128 kBit/s"

Angel Beats?? - July 30, 2014, 4:57 pm

(Jul 30, 2014 04:31 PM)ASV Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) did u mean audio? this downloads audio not video

pulled this straight from their site: "All our conversions will be performed in high quality mode with a bitrate of at least 128 kBit/s"

That site downloads the video from youtube and then strips the audio from it...

CodeAssassin - July 30, 2014, 5:38 pm

(Jul 10, 2014 01:08 PM)ASV Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) of course it just downloads via website

did u happen to read the whole purpose of the application?
speed, ease of use, simplicity (was creating it for my family not for commercial reasons. i just put it here in case there was any non-coder who wanted this tool)

the ffmpeg method is just too slow for my taste. ive made one of them before and i got sick of how slow it is. i always found myself using that site cuz its lightning fast. so if im looking for speed, why would i go back to ffmpeg and not use the already faster website?

The ffmpeg is the BEST quality version, The only reason the other persons hard work is fast, is they store a copy of the convert for future use.

It's just considered a poor way to code to just rip of another developers work so your app works.
Lets face it without his service you have no app.

" i always found myself using that site cuz its lightning fast. so if im looking for speed, why would i go back to ffmpeg and not use the already faster website?"

Maybe because you can code and do a good job instead of stealing others work?? agree?? NO?

Angel Beats?? - July 30, 2014, 5:58 pm

(Jul 30, 2014 05:38 PM)sweetysuckscok Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The ffmpeg is the BEST quality version, The only reason the other persons hard work is fast, is they store a copy of the convert for future use.

It's just considered a poor way to code to just rip of another developers work so your app works.
Lets face it without his service you have no app.

" i always found myself using that site cuz its lightning fast. so if im looking for speed, why would i go back to ffmpeg and not use the already faster website?"

Maybe because you can code and do a good job instead of stealing others work?? agree?? NO?
agree. I made an mp3 downloader which searches youtube and has a queue system. It then downloads and converts whatever you added to the queue with ffmpeg.

ASV - July 30, 2014, 6:07 pm

(Jul 30, 2014 05:38 PM)sweetysuckscok Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The ffmpeg is the BEST quality version, The only reason the other persons hard work is fast, is they store a copy of the convert for future use.

It's just considered a poor way to code to just rip of another developers work so your app works.

Lets face it without his service you have no app.

" i always found myself using that site cuz its lightning fast. so if im looking for speed, why would i go back to ffmpeg and not use the already faster website?"

Maybe because you can code and do a good job instead of stealing others work?? agree?? NO? this is not stealing his work, this is utilizing his free service without doing it in a browser tab. it would be ripping his work if i just copied everything he did on his server and put it on mine.

ive done the ffmpeg version and its going to be slow no matter what so naturally i just scrapped that tool from my computer and made this one. i made this for me and my family, not as a production release. i decided to put it on here incase anyone else found it to be pleasant and useful.

Angel Beats?? - July 30, 2014, 6:38 pm

(Jul 30, 2014 06:07 PM)ASV Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) this is not stealing his work, this is utilizing his free service without doing it in a browser tab. it would be ripping his work if i just copied everything he did on his server and put it on mine.

ive done the ffmpeg version and its going to be slow no matter what so naturally i just scrapped that tool from my computer and made this one. i made this for me and my family, not as a production release. i decided to put it on here incase anyone else found it to be pleasant and useful.

"ive done the ffmpeg version"

Considering you used a web browser to make this, I highly doubt you made an ffmpeg version. If so answer me this. How did you call ffmpeg to convert the video and where did you get the video from?

Dispersia - July 30, 2014, 6:54 pm

There are plenty of ways he could have done it. There isn't one set way. Hell, he could use something like NReco (used so long ago, I don't even know if it's still a project) which is based on FFmpeg to convert it.

Angel Beats?? - July 30, 2014, 7:03 pm

(Jul 30, 2014 06:54 PM)Dispersia Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There are plenty of ways he could have done it. There isn't one set way. Hell, he could use something like NReco (used so long ago, I don't even know if it's still a project) which is based on FFmpeg to convert it.

Like this?

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4369018>

Ban - July 30, 2014, 7:49 pm

Holy shit are some of you snobbish judgmental assholes. Read what OP states, he utilized someone else's work through a desktop application. Yea, if the site goes down his application goes down, just as if YouTube suddenly shifts a bunch of their crap around and your application stops functioning.

He made something simple that works, he utilized what was already out there. What? Are you going to bitch next that someone makes a Imgur image uploader using Imgur's API? How about when you take the DLL file of someone else and utilize it for your own project? Is that not the same damn thing going on here? You're utilizing the work of someone else?

How many of you have attempted a project and had to download a DLL file to achieve something? How many of you have used source code elsewhere for your own project? Guess what... in essence, you're doing the same thing he is doing in a different respect. You're utilizing the work of others.

Just enjoy what the hell he provided.

Also look at what he wrote:

Quote:speed, ease of use, simplicity (was creating it for my family not for commercial reasons. i just put it here in case there was any non-coder who wanted this tool)

If he was selling it, then yea I'd understand your comments and concerns but jeez to each who complained, you're part of the reason why there is so LQ or unneeded commentation in this section.

Angel Beats?? - July 30, 2014, 7:55 pm

(Jul 30, 2014 07:49 PM)Ban Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Holy shit are some of you snobbish judgmental assholes. Read what OP states, he utilized someone else's work through a desktop application. Yea, if the site goes down his application goes down, just as if YouTube suddenly shifts a bunch of their crap around and your application stops functioning.

He made something simple that works, he utilized what was already out there. What? Are you going to bitch next that someone makes a Imgur image uploader using Imgur's API? How about when you take the DLL file of someone else and utilize it for your own project? Is that not the same damn thing going on here? You're utilizing the work of someone else?

How many of you have attempted a project and had to download a DLL file to achieve something? How many of you have used source code elsewhere for your own project? Guess what... in essence, you're doing the same thing he is doing in a different respect. You're utilizing the work of others.

Just enjoy what the hell he provided.

Also look at what he wrote:

If he was selling it, then yea I'd understand your comments and concerns but jeez to each who complained, you're part of the reason why there is so LQ or unneeded commentation in this section. No body complained. People were just telling him better ways to do things. If you can't tale constructive criticism don't post in the section

ASV - July 31, 2014, 4:54 am

(Jul 30, 2014 07:55 PM)Ang?I Beats Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No body complained. People were just telling him better ways to do things. If you can't tale constructive criticism don't post in the section
jeez man calm it lol

i can take constructive criticism but really idc if u like this tool or not or how i make it work for me... cuz it was literally designed for me and my family, not for commercial use. i shared it here incase anyone wanted to use it. i like the website and how it works better then any other downloaders (ffmpeg ones too). I initially contacted the administrator about an API but he never responded. so i went ahead and just made this tool real quick and used it for my personal use. i put it here so maybe some other non-coder out there could enjoy it (this is why i said if u want the source just PM)

really this program is soo simple (as i already stated) that i really didnt expect much thanks and didnt expect any rep out of this. i mean it may be almost unheard of to u that someone would put something here simply for the use of others and not ask for a thanks or rep in return, but thats me. this was just me being nice man :) ?

Angel Beats?? - July 31, 2014, 4:55 am

(Jul 31, 2014 04:54 AM)ASV Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) jeez man calm it lol

i can take constructive criticism but really idc if u like this tool or not or how i make it work for me... cuz it was literally designed for me and my family, not for commercial use. i shared it here incase anyone wanted to use it. i like the website and how it works better then any other downloaders (ffmpeg ones too). I initially contacted the administrator about an API but he never responded. so i went ahead and just made this tool real quick and used it for my personal use. i put it here so maybe some other non-coder out there could enjoy it (this is why i said if u want the source just PM)

really this program is soo simple (as i already stated) that i really didnt expect much thanks and didnt expect any rep out of this. i mean it may be almost unheard of to u that someone would put something here simply for the use of others and not ask for a thanks or rep in return, but thats me. this was just me being nice man :) ?

Try to use web requests instead of web browsers to fetch a download link and download it.