

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Ultimate Doxing - Ep. 2 - Youtube Doxing](#)

?Criminal - September 24, 2014, 5:43 pm

Yes guys it's time for me to release another crazy doxing method!

you're all gonna be suprised with what magic tricks I have up my sleeve and this one is something not many of you tryed now lets get into it.

So you must be wondering, what is youtube doxing and how do I do it?

Youtube doxing is the art of manipulating false DMCA counterclaims in your favor to obtain dox to ANY youtube channel and I mean ANY*

*It may depend on the victims stupidity so results may vary.

1. <https://support.google.com/youtube/answer/2807622?hl=en> go here and attempt to fill out a DMCA copyright claim
2. check how the claim goes in a few days
3. on the victims end, youtube will notify unless they counter the claim their channel will be suspended and they will fill out a counter claim form
4. using a bit of seing on your end you can have youtube send you back the counter claim form filled out to your email
5. this form will contain usually the gmail attached to the youtube channel, full legal name, address and phone number
6. this will work most of the time as people surely don't wanna lose their precious youtube channel

Hope you guys enjoyed this tutorial and more coming soon!

Iv'e seen this done a few times and I my self have managed to do it once a long time ago, thought i'd resurface this interesting method incase anyone would like to have their hand at it.

?Criminal - September 24, 2014, 5:52 pm

(Sep 24, 2014 05:45 PM)Fedoras Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Nice tutorial bro,this will surely help the noobies on doxing :D

I'd say this is a little something for people who are intermediate-advanced in doxing and want more out of the box methods, if you're not creative your not gonna get far in doxing someone.

Xonar - September 24, 2014, 10:51 pm

Wow, cool. Thanks for sharing. This will be helpful. = P

yayimamember - September 25, 2014, 11:00 am

Thanks for sharing. You always come with good stuff. I'll try this soon.

eyedeekay - September 25, 2014, 11:22 am

Thanks for the tutorial bro, another good one, you should put these out quicker for us C:.

420 Blaze It - September 26, 2014, 12:55 am

Never knew this method actually, thanks for sharing this.

yayimamember - September 30, 2014, 5:57 pm

After 6 days of nothing, the video i reported got removed. Is that a part of the process ?

"This video is no longer available due to a copyright claim by [Not my name]"

BigBird711 - September 30, 2014, 7:41 pm

Thanks for the post this will help a lot

?Criminal - September 30, 2014, 7:49 pm

(Sep 30, 2014 05:57 PM)yayimamember Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) After 6 days of nothing, the video i reported got removed. Is that a part of the process ?

"This video is no longer available due to a copyright claim by [Not my name]"

After enough of their videos get taken down youtube occasionally terminates the channel and the person will usually file a counter claim with real info which you can get since you're the so called "fake company" making the report. You can also apply a similar method like this in other places but im not gonna say which ones that you can mess around and find out on your own. Anyways you're doing good so far. This is more of a bait and wait type of doxing method since most doxing methods are passive and don't require you to actively engage with stuff, more so the last resort. Which is why it's very important the target has a few videos uploaded for this to work. I may overhaul this tutorial with pictures if I ever decide to do it again but it's an add-on to taking peoples videos down and getting benefits out of it. This was how the mysterious panama hacker Conjopi took down nintendocaprisun, archfiend, chuggaconroy and various other Lp'ers, the doxing technique part was used to get archfiends dox since he sent in the counterclaim, not sure about the others.

yayimamember - September 30, 2014, 10:38 pm

Conjopi case was 4 years ago. Are you sure this still works ?

?Criminal - October 1, 2014, 12:23 am

(Sep 30, 2014 10:38 PM)yayimamember Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Conjopi case was 4 years ago. Are you sure this still works ?

Well unless they remove the dmca claiming system, this will always be abusable plus you said you got the video removed right? all someone has to do is send that counterclaim which you can't really influence that much but once they do you have their dox. Most people on here offer video removal services and im almost positive this is a common removal method but the tutorial focuses on post removal aka using the counterclaims to your advantage.

labroca - October 1, 2014, 5:50 am

If you type their youtube username or google+ email into the # database search engine, you may be able to find the real name:

www.#.com/search.php

Once you find their name and general location via IP address, get a detailed free background check at

www.#.com/index.php (you need to login, it's free)

For more information please view:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4470523>

yayimamember - October 1, 2014, 7:52 am

In the retraction page, video icon turned to this:

According to youtube, it means the video either deleted by the uploader or made private.
Can i know wihch one happened ?
And does that mean he'll not counterclaim ?

labroca - October 1, 2014, 8:20 am

(Oct 1, 2014 07:52 AM)yayimamember Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) In the retraction page, video icon turned to this:

According to youtube, it means the video either deleted by the uploader or made private.
Can i know wihch one happened ?
And does that mean he'll not counterclaim ?
No, you cannot tell the difference, sorry.

yayimamember - October 4, 2014, 4:14 am

Apparently it doesn't work on channels that even the owner does not care about.
But i'll try this on a real channel, with subscribers an lots of videos.

Teruz14 - October 4, 2014, 4:56 am

Lol cool post keep up the good work

Tokyo ? - October 4, 2014, 10:20 am

(Sep 24, 2014 05:43 PM)maybeBlue Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) -snip-
Eh.... This is an okay method, unless of course all the information is invalid including the name, email, phone number attached, location, etc. Web Anonymity is getting more and more common, making doxing more challenging unless you are good at SE'ing.

Personally all the information that I fill for websites IS legit, however it's not my own information considering I use my dox victims as information.

You also mentioned that youtube may send it back if you're good at SE'ing, but if you're shit at SE'ing then this tutorial is almost irrelevant. You also have to have a good argument to claim they have something wrong about their channel, otherwise YouTube will disregard it.

Decompress - October 4, 2014, 10:33 am

(Oct 4, 2014 10:20 AM)Meso Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Eh.... This is an okay method, unless of course all the information is invalid including the name, email, phone number attached, location, etc. Web Anonymity is getting more and more common, making doxing more challenging unless you are good at SE'ing.

Personally all the information that I fill for websites IS legit, however it's not my own information considering I use my dox victims as information.

You also mentioned that youtube may send it back if you're good at SE'ing, but if you're shit at SE'ing then this tutorial is almost irrelevant. You also have to have a good argument to claim they have something wrong about their channel, otherwise YouTube will disregard it.

Most people who care about their YouTube channel will fill out the form with their real information and think nothing of it lol.

Tokyo ? - October 4, 2014, 10:56 am

(Oct 4, 2014 10:33 AM)Decompress Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Most people who care about their YouTube channel will fill out the form with their real information and think nothing of it lol.

Read what I said, and apply it to what you just stated. The initial information for the channel is fake, they are able to change it later via google+ now a days. When you use this method it only comes up with the initial logs.

?Criminal - October 4, 2014, 3:36 pm

(Oct 4, 2014 10:20 AM)Meso Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Eh.... This is an okay method, unless of course all the information is invalid including the name, email, phone number attached, location, etc. Web Anonymity is getting more and more common, making doxing more challenging unless you are good at SE'ing.

Personally all the information that I fill for websites IS legit, however it's not my own information considering I use my dox victims as information.

You also mentioned that youtube may send it back if you're good at SE'ing, but if you're shit at SE'ing then this tutorial is almost irrelevant. You also have to have a good argument to claim they have something wrong about their channel, otherwise YouTube will disregard it.

This is the public variant of the method when I personally written it my self I included ways to make sure 100 percent the info sent back is 100 percent real but I snipped all that out because im just showing the basics to people to help out.I'm personally good at se'ing since iv'e been doing it for about 2 years now so I said it as a general statement im not sure about others but yayimamember did pretty well attempting it, he just gave up and moved on to someone else(He got a video removed but didn't get the counterclaim from the person).Also now that I think about it when I overhaul the guide a bit im pretty sure by default if someone fills out the counterclaim youtube has to send it to whoever filed the report aka you so I might edit that tibit in there but it still requires some se'ing on your part for the whole report in general to get the videos actually removed.According to your sig your doing a "Social Engineer" service so my method shouldn't be hard for you to achieve.Another thing is web anonymity is still shit I don't even have to use my whole arsenal of methods just to pull a small dox on someone I can still use the old saturated look up someone on whitepages or spokeo and get a decent dox, using my own methods on someone would be overkill imo.Plus this tutorial isn't irrelevant it's knowledge for the community in case they want to get more leads, it seems like you're trying hard to indirectly bash the thread, if your gonna do it at least outright say "this is a shit method kill your self OP" at least don't sugercoat it I hate when people do that.

Decompress - October 4, 2014, 8:59 pm

(Oct 4, 2014 10:56 AM)Meso Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Read what I said, and apply it to what you just stated. The initial information for the channel is fake, they are able to change it later via google+ now a days. When you use this method it only comes up with the initial logs.

"When you use this method it only comes up with the initial logs. " - Since when does YouTube require you to supply them with your address and phone number while creating an account? LOL.
EDIT: Nevermind, phone number but not address. Lmfao.

?Criminal - October 4, 2014, 9:16 pm

(Oct 4, 2014 08:59 PM)Decompress Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) "When you use this method it only comes up with the initial logs. " - Since when does YouTube require you to supply them with your address and phone number while creating an account? LOL.

EDIT: Nevermind, phone number but not address. Lmfao.

No to mention when they're required to fill out the counterclaim they have to enter their own info beause the counterlclaim doesn't magically pre populate it with the initial creation info.

Buffy Summers - October 4, 2014, 9:17 pm

Wow - this might come in handy. I'm going to PM you now. It's regarding a YouTube legacy account.

Thanks for sharing.

Tokyo ? - October 5, 2014, 12:12 am

(Oct 4, 2014 08:59 PM)Decompress Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) "When you use this method it only comes up with the initial logs. " - Since when does YouTube require you to supply them with your address and

phone number while creating an account? LOL.

EDIT: Nevermind, phone number but not address. Lmfao.

If you receive payment for your uploads, you are required to enter pretty much every detail about you. As you do with most payment methods.

(Oct 4, 2014 03:36 PM)maybeBlue Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) -snip-

First of all, I never once said I would use this method, I just pointed out the fact that you need (according to you), some social engineering skills in order to get further with this method. I am not bashing on this thread, I am simply stating that by saying you need a particular skill, you are limiting the effectiveness that this method will have on the general population here on HF.

Secondly, please don't tell me I don't know what I'm talking about, and this isn't directly aimed at you OP. I've been doing this for a long time, and have one of the most successful doxing services here on HF if you check my threads. This is a decent method, but it is extremely time consuming and could be a bit frustrating if you can't SE.

That is all I'm saying, not bashing, just stating some limitations that you may want to fix in order for this method to be more successful.

Regards.

Decompress - October 5, 2014, 8:06 am

(Oct 5, 2014 12:12 AM)Meso Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If you receive payment for your uploads, you are required to enter pretty much every detail about you. As you do with most payment methods.

First of all, I never once said I would use this method, I just pointed out the fact that you need (according to you), some social engineering skills in order to get further with this method. I am not bashing on this thread, I am simply stating that by saying you need a particular skill, you are limiting the effectiveness that this method will have on the general population here on HF.

Secondly, please don't tell me I don't know what I'm talking about, and this isn't directly aimed at you OP. I've been doing this for a long time, and have one of the most successful doxing services here on HF if you check my threads. This is a decent method, but it is extremely time consuming and could be a bit frustrating if you can't SE.

That is all I'm saying, not bashing, just stating some limitations that you may want to fix in order for this method to be more successful.

Regards.

Pretty much every "advanced" doxing method requires social engineering. Some more than others.

(Oct 5, 2014 12:12 AM)Meso Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If you receive payment for your uploads, you are required to enter pretty much every detail about you. As you do with most payment methods.

You have to be partnered with a company to get paid for uploads... YouTube doesn't pay you lmfao. YouTube doesn't have your address, when a copyright claim is filed against your YouTube channel, they notify you and require you to fill out a DMCA counterclaims form.

Most people will fill out the form with correct info because:

- 1) They don't realize someone can receive a copy of the form.
- 2) They want to keep their YouTube channel.

So no, YouTube does not have your address as they do not "pay you for uploads".

Tokyo ? - October 5, 2014, 8:25 am

(Oct 5, 2014 08:06 AM)Decompress Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Pretty much every "advanced" doxing method

requires social engineering. Some more than others.

You have to be partnered with a company to get paid for uploads... YouTube doesn't pay you Imfao. YouTube doesn't have your address, when a copyright claim is filed against your YouTube channel, they notify you and require you to fill out a DMCA counterclaims form.

Most people will fill out the form with correct info because:

- 1) They don't realize someone can receive a copy of the form.
- 2) They want to keep their YouTube channel.

So no, YouTube does not have your address as they do not "pay you for uploads".

Doxing does not "pretty much involve" SE LOOOOOOL. It doesn't hardly involve it at all if you know what you're doing.

Secondly, YouTube is owned by Google, Google AdSense is how you get paid for ads on your video. I'm not talking about third party partnerships, Many sign up for Google AdSense which involves linking your legit information into the system.

eyedeekay - October 5, 2014, 8:28 am

(Oct 5, 2014 08:25 AM)Meso Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Doxing does not "pretty much involve" SE LOOOOOOL. It doesn't hardly involve it at all if you know what you're doing.

Secondly, YouTube is owned by Google, Google AdSense is how you get paid for ads on your video. I'm not talking about third party partnerships, Many sign up for Google AdSense which involves linking your legit information into the system.

A good dox does require a fair bit of SEing, IMO.

Tokyo ? - October 5, 2014, 8:32 am

(Oct 5, 2014 08:28 AM)eyedeekay Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) A good dox does require a fair bit of SEing, IMO.

How? All the information is online, that's how information is stored now a days. You want their name because you have their phone number and provider? You call their provider and SE them into getting your name, and all they are doing is looking through their database on their computer. SE and Doxing can correlate but it's not necessary. At all.

eyedeekay - October 5, 2014, 9:24 am

(Oct 5, 2014 08:32 AM)Meso Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How? All the information is online, that's how information is stored now a days. You want their name because you have their phone number and provider? You call their provider and SE them into getting your name, and all they are doing is looking through their database on their computer. SE and Doxing can correlate but it's not necessary. At all.

Its easier to SE then hack into a database just to look up one person, you know what I mean? ,

Tokyo ? - October 5, 2014, 10:23 am

(Oct 5, 2014 09:24 AM)eyedeekay Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Its easier to SE then hack into a database just to look up one person, you know what I mean? ,

I understand completely, but I'm an old fashion doxer, hacking is more fun ?

Decompress - October 6, 2014, 12:44 pm

(Oct 5, 2014 08:25 AM)Meso Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Doxing does not "pretty much involve" SE LOOOOOOL. It doesn't hardly involve it at all if you know what you're doing.

Secondly, YouTube is owned by Google, Google AdSense is how you get paid for ads on your video. I'm not talking about third party partnerships, Many sign up for Google AdSense which involves linking your legit information into the system.

Not every YouTube channel uses Google AdSense. But that's beside the point.

The fact is when a copyright claim is filed against your YouTube channel, YouTube/Google requires you to fill out a counterclaim form and none of the information is "already filled out".

And yes, pretty much EVERY "advanced" doxing method requires social engineering.

Do you know what DOXBIN is? If so, check out the best doxes on the site.

EVERY SINGLE ONE OF THEM contains information obtained via social engineering.

Oh and btw, SSNDOB is not classified as an "advanced" or "private" doxing method.

(Oct 5, 2014 10:23 AM)Meso Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I understand completely, but I'm an old fashion doxer, hacking is more fun ?

I'm 100% positive that you don't possess ANY private database, so stop flexing on Hackforums, LOL.

(Oct 4, 2014 09:16 PM)maybeBlue Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No to mention when they're required to fill out the counterclaim they have to enter their own info beause the counterclaim doesn't magically pre populate it with the initial creation info.

^

Retarded Hackforums script kiddies thinking they're l33t because they can buy SSNs off SSNDOB. LOL.

eyedeekay - October 6, 2014, 1:17 pm

(Oct 6, 2014 12:44 PM)Decompress Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Not every YouTube channel uses Google AdSense. But that's beside the point.

The fact is when a copyright claim is filed against your YouTube channel, YouTube/Google requires you to fill out a counterclaim form and none of the information is "already filled out".

And yes, pretty much EVERY "advanced" doxing method requires social engineering.

Do you know what DOXBIN is? If so, check out the best doxes on the site.

EVERY SINGLE ONE OF THEM contains information obtained via social engineering.

Oh and btw, SSNDOB is not classified as an "advanced" or "private" doxing method.

I'm 100% positive that you don't possess ANY private database, so stop flexing on Hackforums, LOL.

^

Retarded Hackforums script kiddies thinking they're l33t because they can buy SSNs off SSNDOB. LOL.

oooooh kill em ooh kill em oooh kill em ooooo

Tokyo ? - October 6, 2014, 1:52 pm

(Oct 6, 2014 12:44 PM)Decompress Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm 100% positive that you don't possess ANY private database, so stop flexing on Hackforums, LOL.

Trolling can be fun unless you have no idea who you are trolling and what their skill set is. In this case, yes, I do have access to databases. 381 In fact. I'm not "flexing" I am simply stating that I am an old fashion doxer and prefer to use my own personal methods.

Decompress - October 6, 2014, 1:57 pm

(Oct 6, 2014 01:52 PM)Meso Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Trolling can be fun unless you have no idea who you are trolling and what their skill set is. In this case, yes, I do have access to databases. 381 In fact. I'm not "flexing" I am simply stating that I am an old fashion doxer and prefer to use my own personal methods.

I'm referring to private databases, not databases that can be found publicly e.g. DATABIN.

Tokyo ? - October 6, 2014, 1:59 pm

(Oct 6, 2014 01:57 PM)Decompress Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm referring to private databases, not databases that can be found publicly e.g. DATABIN.

Did I state I use public databases? I even believe I stated that I prefer to use personal methods.

Decompress - October 6, 2014, 2:01 pm

(Oct 6, 2014 01:59 PM)Meso Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Did I state I use public databases? I even believe I stated that I prefer to use personal methods.

I highly doubt that you have 381 private databases.

Tokyo ? - October 6, 2014, 2:03 pm

(Oct 6, 2014 02:01 PM)Decompress Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I highly doubt that you have 381 private databases.

Yes, well we all have our little secrets that we like to keep. I suppose mine isn't really a secret anymore is it? My old partner has 556 databases, you may know him considering he runs #. His name is Dubitus.

Decompress - October 6, 2014, 2:07 pm

(Oct 6, 2014 02:03 PM)Meso Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes, well we all have our little secrets that we like to keep. I suppose mine isn't really a secret anymore is it? My old partner has 556 databases, you may know him considering he runs #. His name is Dubitus.

LMAO.

Dubitus the h4ck3r g0d.

That kid is a fucking joke.

For example:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4089278>

He also posted a thread saying he needed an "advanced grep specialist" and removed it when he realized that he's a fucking moron. Here's a cached version:

<http://webcache.googleusercontent.com/search?q=cache:https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4091012>

eyedeekay - October 6, 2014, 2:40 pm

How do you harness a database, plz explain to me someone. I've seen many DB lookups etc. etc.

but I'm not familiar on how to put them to use, or how to acquire a database.

?Criminal - October 6, 2014, 2:49 pm

(Oct 6, 2014 02:40 PM)eyedeekay Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How do you harness a database, plz explain to me someone. I've seen many DB lookups etc. etc.

but I'm not familiar on how to put them to use, or how to acquire a database.

SQL Injection + various other techniques but this discussion should be placed elsewhere as this thread is getting trainwrecked so hard.

eyedeekay - October 6, 2014, 2:51 pm

(Oct 6, 2014 02:49 PM)maybeBlue Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) SQL Injection + various other techniques but this discussion should be placed elsewhere as this thread is getting trainwrecked so hard. Yeah alright thanks for the little tip xP, and yeah this thread did get derailed, to stay on topic though, this is good thread thanks for the share.

Decompress - October 6, 2014, 6:57 pm

(Oct 6, 2014 02:51 PM)eyedeekay Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah alright thanks for the little tip xP, and yeah

this thread did get derailed, to stay on topic though, this is good thread thanks for the share.

People usually collect DBs from various sites like DATABIN, ect. and search through them using grep.

If you want to obtain a website's database, you have to find a vulnerability on the server whether it be an exploit within the web pages (web app exploit), within software, or a service running on the server, ect. You can also social engineer the hosting provider if you know how to, brute force logins, ect. theres many ways on getting access to a system.

Once you have access to the server, theres many ways in getting the database like using a tool such as mysqldump to dump the DB to a file once you get the database info which is usually found in a config file, theres many ways.

PowerfulTuan - November 14, 2016, 5:39 pm

what are the chances of someone filing legal actions if you falsley DMCA claim their videos/channel(very small channel). Should you be running vpn and such and maintain good OPSEC or does it not matter

?Criminal - November 14, 2016, 6:48 pm

(Nov 14, 2016 06:39 PM)PowerfulTuan Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) what are the chances of someone filing legal actions if you falsley DMCA claim their videos/channel(very small channel). Should you be running vpn and such and maintain good OPSEC or does it not matter

Personal preference it's completely up to you.

PowerfulTuan - November 15, 2016, 5:29 pm

(Nov 14, 2016 07:48 PM)?Criminal Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Personal preference it's completely up to you.

kind of an off-topic question but how would you get IP of a user in apps such as LINE or WeChat where packets go through the main server instead of directly to the user

?Criminal - November 15, 2016, 5:43 pm

(Nov 15, 2016 06:29 PM)PowerfulTuan Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) kind of an off-topic question but how would you get IP of a user in apps such as LINE or WeChat where packets go through the main server instead of directly to the user

IP grabber links, if those chat platforms support direct linking for your avatar you can embed a fake image that grabs IP's upon the users browser requesting resources on the page which includes that image and that doesn't require interaction so it's much better then IP grabber links.

PowerfulTuan - November 15, 2016, 5:46 pm

(Nov 15, 2016 06:43 PM)?Criminal Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) IP grabber links, if those chat platforms support direct linking for your avatar you can embed a fake image that grabs IP's upon the users browser requesting resources on the page which includes that image and that doesn't require interaction so it's much better then IP grabber links.

fuck. i guess my target will remain undoxable ???

user088 - November 18, 2016, 11:59 am

cool post keep up the good work

xanity - January 10, 2017, 1:43 pm

According to youtube, it means the video either deleted by the uploader or made private.

Twizters - February 10, 2018, 8:53 pm

Any idea if this still works?

LuX98 - February 11, 2018, 10:34 am

Very interesting. Nice one OP

