

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [fudPE - Accountless, automatic, anonymous - web based C++ crypting service](#)

qwerty101 - October 19, 2014, 12:27 pm

Note: Before using any of our services, please read the important terms and conditions [HERE](#). We take no responsibility for the misuse of our service outside of these conditions.

_ARMDec - October 19, 2014, 12:37 pm

I vouch for this service. This will provide the best crypts guaranteed !

DierDeer - October 19, 2014, 12:48 pm

Vouch for this service! I use it all the time and the crypts are always HQ!

Aristocles - October 19, 2014, 12:56 pm

- 100% Fully undetected

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=91758_dpl02l

qwerty101 - October 19, 2014, 12:58 pm

(Oct 19, 2014 12:56 PM)N?etzsche Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) - 100% Fully undetected

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=91758_dpl02l

Hi, if you get this detection, just recrypt. Sometimes the random icon that is assigned to your EXE is detected like this.

_ARMDec - October 19, 2014, 1:01 pm

(Oct 19, 2014 12:56 PM)N?etzsche Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) - 100% Fully undetected

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=91758_dpl02l

Evo-gen is for version resource. just recrypt

yamoney - October 19, 2014, 1:21 pm

Hello, what is difference between crypter.club and this?

qwerty101 - October 19, 2014, 1:23 pm

(Oct 19, 2014 01:21 PM)yamoney Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hello, what is difference between crypter.club and this?

This is basically an account less version of crypter.club. This allows you to upload, see the result of the scan of the crypt, then pay if you are happy with it. (just \$10 per file).

yamoney - October 19, 2014, 1:40 pm

(Oct 19, 2014 01:23 PM)qwerty101 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is basically an account less version of crypter.club. This allows you to upload, see the result of the scan of the crypt, then pay if you are happy with it. (just \$10 per file).

In that case, why is crypter.club's single crypt \$15, but this is \$10?

qwerty101 - October 19, 2014, 1:43 pm

(Oct 19, 2014 01:40 PM)yamoney Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) In that case, why is crypter.club's single crypt \$15, but this is \$10?

Because it's autobuy; our staff dont have to do anything like crypting it manually, so we can knock

some money off the price!

kikke - October 19, 2014, 10:11 pm

Interesting, but is there a way you could add an option to upload your own icon?

thanks.

qwerty101 - October 20, 2014, 7:50 am

Just issued a reFUD! Site is online and taking all orders, autobuy is online and working!

Buy now!

(Oct 19, 2014 10:11 PM)kikke Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Interesting, but is there a way you could add an option to upload your own icon?

thanks.

After you have crypted, you will see instructions on how to do this!

Thanks!

qwerty101 - October 21, 2014, 9:06 am

Just issued a re-FUD. Website is online and ready to process any orders ;)

_ARMDec - October 22, 2014, 12:13 pm

All FUD, and have multiple stubs available, do not hesitate to buy !

VanThrie - October 22, 2014, 8:49 pm

I used single-crypt from crypter.club sometimes, the file still FUD until now, unbelievable!!!

Thank you for your good service!

qwerty101 - October 24, 2014, 7:12 am

Thanks for the vouch!.

Updated stub, site is ready to take any orders!

qwerty101 - October 25, 2014, 10:43 am

FUD.pw online and FUD guys! Support is also online and taking any questions.

qwerty101 - October 28, 2014, 2:34 am

FUD.pw online, fud, and ready to take any orders at all!

Thanks for the purchases guys!

Public.Enemy1 - October 28, 2014, 3:09 am

can you add process persistance + binder + hidden start up ?

sirhiro93 - October 28, 2014, 10:05 am

Tried this out today and it worked flawlessly. :)

Royal Bash - October 28, 2014, 1:26 pm

This is just the best web based crypter I've ever seen man! Really just cant thank you enough!

Fud all da time <3

Kind regards

Royal Bash

_ARMDec - October 28, 2014, 6:49 pm

Thanks for your support guys

(Oct 28, 2014 03:09 AM)Public.Enemy1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) can you add process persistence + binder + hidden start up ?

No. persistence and start-up should be handled by your bot. This is not the job of the crypter. These features give antiviruses opportunities to make more detections (shortens FUD time), so it's not included in the stub.

Binding is offered but you will need to contact support as the automated system isn't configured to handle it

qwerty101 - October 29, 2014, 2:39 pm

This service is still going strong guys. Perfect for spreading (gets a very high execution rate)!

Taking any questions.

D.Dos - November 1, 2014, 5:21 pm

Yes, it is working, but it prompts you run my betabot file. Please give me a refund, did not work for my stub

IntelliJ - November 1, 2014, 5:28 pm

Tested with my Betabot file above. It prompts to run, and when it is run it doesn't join the site. It's unfortunate that it doesn't work. :/

qwerty101 - November 2, 2014, 2:13 am

Please contact support rather than posting on a sales thread.

We have many customers who it works fine for with betabot, so clearly you did something wrong. We will be glad to sort it out or refund though.

_ARMDec - November 3, 2014, 9:45 am

Service is going great. perfect for loads, high exec rate !

(Nov 1, 2014 05:28 PM)Red Reddington Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Tested with my Betabot file above. It prompts to run, and when it is run it doesn't join the site. It's unfortunate that it doesn't work. :/

IT prompts to run ? First, who's IT? If IT = Windows, it's because you downloaded the file with your browser. Windows always warns when running applications downloaded with your browser. This prompt will not show if you do loads, etc.

If betabot isn't connecting, it's something on your end, because betabot has been long tested on the crypts

qwerty101 - November 6, 2014, 2:46 pm

Crypter is online and FUD! Thanks for all sales and feedback.

Jokeh - November 7, 2014, 2:06 am

\$10 per file is a little expensive bro, \$10 per crypt, I personally would buy a crypter for a month for

that \$10 :/

qwerty101 - November 7, 2014, 8:32 am

(Nov 7, 2014 03:06 AM)Jokeh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) \$10 per file is a little expensive bro, \$10 per crypt, I personally would buy a crypter for a month for that \$10 :/
And you would get 1% of the quality.

Let me make it very clear: this crypter is not intended for kids playing with RATs. This is intended for serious herders/spreaders.

Thanks

Hamware - November 7, 2014, 8:59 am

This may sound a bit silly but how long does the file usually stay FUD? I recently got into spreading, so I'm very interested in this.

bandors - November 8, 2014, 2:39 pm

Hey man. Is this crypter polymorphic?

xHAXORx12 - November 8, 2014, 4:19 pm

cant see the scan before paying... the link redirects to payment needed :/

Bull™ - November 8, 2014, 5:35 pm

To be Honest , This is the best product I have ever used . I do not vouch for the seller but crypter has great execution rate which is awesome .

_ARMDec - November 8, 2014, 8:34 pm

(Nov 7, 2014 09:59 AM)TehYellowSnow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This may sound a bit silly but how long does the file usually stay FUD? I recently got into spreading, so I'm very interested in this.

FUD time depends on so many variables. FUD.pw is used by many heavy spreaders, so the average FUD time is 1.5 days or so. If you want longer FUD times, you should check out crypter.club. FUD.pw is designed for quick, heavy loads however

(Nov 8, 2014 03:39 PM)Bandors Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hey man. Is this crypter polymorphic?
Yes, it is

(Nov 8, 2014 05:19 PM)xHAXORx12 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) cant see the scan before paying... the link redirects to payment needed :/

The scan4you subscription died, and we need to wait for qwerty to come online to renew it. Sorry

(Nov 8, 2014 06:35 PM)Bull™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) To be Honest , This is the best product I have ever used . I do not vouch for the seller but crypter has great execution rate which is awesome .

Thank you for the vouch Bull!

qwerty101 - November 9, 2014, 1:24 am

Everything is back and working 100% now! Any questions, PM me.

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=ef4c3_ea5arI

0/35

_ARMDec - November 10, 2014, 10:13 am

All FUD

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=0d9dc_eathnj

john12 - November 12, 2014, 4:54 am

How does crypter work with Athena HTTP?

HaCkTrOjAn - November 12, 2014, 1:48 pm

i have sent to u private mesg u not answeare

qwerty101 - November 14, 2014, 1:29 pm

FUD.pw is online and FUD!

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=71417_edb6t9

Zwerford - November 16, 2014, 4:13 am

How long is the FUD-time now?

Best Regards

Zwerford - November 16, 2014, 4:14 am

How long is the FUD-time now?

Best Regards

cryptoman - November 16, 2014, 8:39 am

please reply, I sent you PM, added you on jabber and also skype.

qwerty101 - November 16, 2014, 8:51 am

FUD.pw is online and working great. Contact me for any questions.

™BlackPanther - November 16, 2014, 7:57 pm

ok just purchased service everything worked like a charm at least it good to know a serious seller online in these days as everyone else is falling short of quality in crypters thanks brother keep up the good work will be back hell i might buy your crypter subscription

qwerty101 - November 17, 2014, 11:40 am

Thanks for the vouch!

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=32a0e_ef63ol

FUD.

qwerty101 - November 18, 2014, 1:04 pm

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=c5a29_efq8md

All working and FUD guys! Hmu with any questions via PM.

Slaver - November 18, 2014, 1:25 pm

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=fb426_efqg97

for me

qwerty101 - November 18, 2014, 2:11 pm

(Nov 18, 2014 02:25 PM)Slaver Wrote: [\[CITE\] http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=fb426_efqg97](http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=fb426_efqg97)

for me
Recrypt please.

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=efb02_efr3k7

Working fine for me.

micheul - November 19, 2014, 3:02 am

Stub is FUD !! Yeeesssss !!! ;) !!

But I would like buy a custom crypt for binded file !! Is it possible ?

I already PMed you !!

THX!!

_Stoner - November 19, 2014, 9:56 am

(Nov 19, 2014 10:55 AM)_Stoner Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I've been testing and using this crypter for sometime now and I've got to say: it's one of the best crypters I have used ever. The coders of the software are very thorough in their techniques for keeping the crypter FUD and always seem to thoroughly randomize the output stubs from it. Qwerty does a nice job of always keeping the live stubs on the site as FUD ones as well, unlike most other crypters I have seen and used.

Like Crypter.club , this crypter is amazing. I can always expect quality in crypters and other products produced by Qwerty and his team. I haven't seen them shoot for anything less.

-ceramic- - November 21, 2014, 12:38 pm

Have been using like 10 stubs so far. Everything went really smooth.

Really cheap , other crypts like Crypt4You take \$15-20.

FUD time is pretty nice aswell for that price.

Relatively small stub.

=====

?

_ARMDec - November 21, 2014, 1:18 pm

(Nov 21, 2014 01:38 PM)-ceramic- Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Have been using like 10 stubs so far. Everything went really smooth.

Really cheap , other crypts like Crypt4You take \$15-20.

FUD time is pretty nice aswell for that price.

Relatively small stub.

=====

?

(Nov 19, 2014 10:56 AM)_Stoner Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Like Crypter.club , this crypter is amazing. I can always expect quality in crypters and other products produced by Qwerty and his team. I haven't seen them shoot for anything less.

Thank you both for kind words ^^^

And if you want to cut 5-15kb off the stub, you can use Resource Hacker to replace the generated icon with your own, small icon. It will get you around a 45kb stub size. Also you can use the `upx` option for large binaries (but be careful, this corrupts some binaries, so make sure to test). Sometimes your generated output is smaller than the original due to UPX, so it's a nice option

qwerty101 - November 22, 2014, 8:20 am

Thanks for kind words.

Refud:

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=345cb_eholjf

qwerty101 - November 23, 2014, 2:27 pm

Refud:

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=d6c45_eib5jb

sirhiro93 - November 23, 2014, 8:44 pm

Can you confirm this works for LoadHTTP? I tested in a stable VM and I get flooded with error windows that don't stop.

qwerty101 - November 24, 2014, 7:40 am

(Nov 23, 2014 09:44 PM)sirhiro93 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Can you confirm this works for LoadHTTP? I tested in a stable VM and I get flooded with error windows that don't stop.

It should work fine, you probably used some incompatible option (UPX or .net).

PM me for further help on this issue.

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=1f5f6_eilb83

FUD and ready to go guys!

qwerty101 - November 25, 2014, 10:35 am

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=985a9_ej8e09

FUD. If you see an avast detection, just recrypt and it should be gone.

Thanks

qwerty101 - November 27, 2014, 10:18 am

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=f9e45_ek99n7

FUD again. Reduced the frequency of some inconsistent detections that we were getting.

Enjoy guys.

qwerty101 - November 28, 2014, 1:01 pm

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=f8678_ekq2gr

ReFUD and performed some security upgrades.

qwerty101 - November 30, 2014, 2:21 am

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=2c476_elje27

ReFUD. Currently testing a new system to completely remove all inconsistent detections!

qwerty101 - December 2, 2014, 7:32 am

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=783a8_emihep

Still FUD.

We had some issues with payments yesterday and the day before, so if your crypt did not activate, PM me payment information and fud.pw link and I will manually activate it for you.

john12 - December 2, 2014, 12:43 pm

Does it work with _Stoner's C++ home page changer?

xxGoldenNuggetsxx - December 3, 2014, 12:45 am

Hey mate. I got a 1/35

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=f3dc0_eg3ie1

If you can re-fud then ensure me it'll work with steam stealer then I'll buy thanks

_ARMDec - December 3, 2014, 3:54 pm

(Dec 3, 2014 01:45 AM)xxGoldenNuggetsxx Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hey mate. I got a 1/35

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=f3dc0_eg3ie1

If you can re-fud then ensure me it'll work with steam stealer then I'll buy thanks

That's a stub detection. If no one from support is online, it may take about 30 min for the stub to change. You won't get this detection now.

It should work fine with any software, but if you want a test, contact support

Wardow - December 4, 2014, 9:39 pm

(Dec 2, 2014 01:43 PM)john12 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Does it work with _Stoner's C++ home page changer?

I guess, when The Forcer is Native (C++).

qwerty101 - December 5, 2014, 10:51 am

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=fe9a8_enpafb

Fud and working great guys. Please PM me with any problems as skype and jabber support is currently experiencing some issues.

(Dec 2, 2014 01:43 PM)john12 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Does it work with _Stoner's C++ home page changer?
Yes, it should work fine with this software. Ensure you choose NO to UPX and NO to .net compatibility.

_Stoner - December 6, 2014, 12:00 pm

qwerty's crypters are literally always FUD! Great quality here.

LiveSupport - December 7, 2014, 1:15 pm

I paid and i didnt get it bud... I used this many times first time this problem

qwerty101 - December 7, 2014, 2:34 pm

(Dec 7, 2014 02:15 PM)LiveSupport Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I paid and i didnt get it bud... I used this many times first time this problem

The payment API was a bit clogged up. It's all sorted now. Please PM me if there are any more problems.

Online and FUD guys!

LiveSupport - December 9, 2014, 10:01 am

yeah problem having it again need to fix your payment gateway boss

Flaxman - December 9, 2014, 12:45 pm

I still haven't recieved my replacement for the error when I crypted. I've waited for like 6 days now..

_ARMDec - December 10, 2014, 9:47 pm

All issues should be fixed. Sorry for any troubles. And thanks for all the vouches for those who did.

Any issues contact support

qwerty101 - December 12, 2014, 9:29 am

Online and FUD.

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=d92ed_eqbp9t - 0/35

Please PM me with any issues as we are currently having some problems with skype and jabber support.

Thanks.

qwerty101 - December 13, 2014, 12:05 pm

Fud.pw is online and fully FUD.

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=3df8f_eqnmg1

PM me with any questions!

_Stoner - December 13, 2014, 12:23 pm

qwerty101 sells a great product and is a good and proper businessman. Don't hesitate to deal!

eedouardu44 - December 14, 2014, 11:13 am

His scan :

FUD

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=030d4_er4man

My scan (just after 2minutes):

NOT FUD : 2/59

<http://razorscanner.com/result.php?id=668936>

10\$ just to crypt a file non fud, sorry but I feel scammed...

EDIT :

Moreover, the crypt break my file (yes it's a .NET file, I took the right box and my file works without crypt), now i really feel scammed

qwerty101 - December 14, 2014, 12:17 pm

(Dec 14, 2014 12:13 PM)eedouardu44 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) His scan :

FUD

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=030d4_er4man

My scan (just after 2minutes):

NOT FUD : 2/59

<http://razorscanner.com/result.php?id=668936>

10\$ just to crypt a file non fud, sorry but I feel scammed...

EDIT :

Moreover, the crypt break my file (yes it's a .NET file, I took the right box and my file works without crypt), now i really feel scammed

Please contact support to get support. This thread is not support.

Your file is detected by 2 pretty much unknown AVs, so probably either detecting the behaviour of your RAT, or detecting the resources assigned. The detection is also fairly generic sounding ("malware detected") suggesting they aren't going on anything significant, typical of low level AVs.

<http://razorscanner.com/result.php?id=669019> - scan I did of a random crypt from about 8 hours ago.

Please use the proper support system next time, i won't be responding if you solely post on this thread again.

uid=2444325 - December 16, 2014, 5:13 pm

I made a ticket about 16 hours ago and still no response.

Solomon Grundy - December 18, 2014, 6:48 am

<http://fud.pw> is down, u might wanna take a look at it asap. more so i await your responds on my order

qwerty101 - December 21, 2014, 8:47 am

Sorry for the downtime and lack of support the past few days. We are having some issues with the domain name, but they should be sorted soon.

In the meantime, we set up a mirror for you to use: <http://fud.io/> - this works exactly as the old site.

Thanks for your patience.

Solomon Grundy - December 22, 2014, 12:20 am

vouch going to this awesome service..... ?

qwerty101 - December 26, 2014, 3:51 am

Main site is still down, use <http://fud.io>

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=0b3dc_f1o12j

0/35

qwerty101 - December 27, 2014, 3:04 pm

The fud.pw domain has now been fully restored and should be working normally again, Thanks for your patience guys.

qwerty101 - December 29, 2014, 2:16 pm

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=3e262_f2qbs7

Online and 0/35

PM me with any problems to get a fast response.

Ecasrev - December 31, 2014, 9:51 am

Love this service cuz I'm on a mac and don't need any software, will continue to use as long as its fud!

_ARMDec - January 2, 2015, 11:35 am

(Dec 31, 2014 10:51 AM)Goldman Sachs Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Love this service cuz I'm on a mac and don't need any software, will continue to use as long as its fud!

Sounds good mate!

Everything is running smooth

qwerty101 - January 3, 2015, 8:03 am

Service is running smoothly. Feel free to PM me or contact the support email with any questions.

qwerty101 - January 4, 2015, 2:09 pm

We have decided to increase the price to 0.08 bitcoins per crypt. This is because of the recent drop in bitcoin price, and the surge in demand for this service.

Landsky - January 4, 2015, 5:36 pm

Vouch for this fast service! thanks man

qwerty101 - January 6, 2015, 3:36 am

(Jan 4, 2015 06:36 PM)Landsky Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Vouch for this fast service! thanks man
Thanks for the vouch!

Service online and ready to take orders. Please contact us with any problems you may have.

Choucroute - January 6, 2015, 10:29 am

What is duration of fud? :p acterslonger

qwerty101 - January 8, 2015, 8:09 am

Thanks for the stick, omni!

Service is online and working great. Feel free to PM me with any questions, thanks.

_ARMDec - January 9, 2015, 7:26 am

FUD.pw is having domain issues again. FUD.io and FUD.pe are working fine

-ViTALiTY - January 9, 2015, 1:19 pm

For 20\$ I prefer to buy a crypter instead of a single crypt.

_ARMDec - January 9, 2015, 4:43 pm

(Jan 9, 2015 02:19 PM)arierep60 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) For 20\$ I prefer to buy a crypter instead of a single crypt.

This service is not intended for casual users. If you think the price is unreasonable, it's because you don't understand differences in quality. This service is actually cheap relative to competitors who offer similar services, and it offers higher quality crypts than them.

qwerty101 - January 10, 2015, 9:39 am

Online and FUD.

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=0ae0b_f6588p

SIM-595622 - January 11, 2015, 4:02 am

Awesome guy qwerty101! Green apples for you and your service buddy!

qwerty101 - January 12, 2015, 2:52 am

Thanks for the vouch!

Service is online and running smoothly.

0/35 as usual:

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=089da_enhq69

(if you get evo-gen, recrypt)

Please use either fud.io or fud.pe to access the service as the usual domain is still down. It should be up very soon.

Bangerz - January 12, 2015, 12:30 pm

Does it also works for imminent monitor?

qwerty101 - January 14, 2015, 1:33 am

(Jan 12, 2015 01:30 PM)lovro2000 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Does it also works for imminent monitor?

This is unconfirmed. As far as I know it is .net dependant so it is probably going to work with the .net option set to YES, although we do not really recommend any .net product with our crypter.

In other news, the crypter is completely fud:

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=60274_f778db

(<http://fud.pe> or <http://fud.io>)

Crying Blood - January 14, 2015, 3:20 am

Im looking for a file to be made FUD,
i went to your site and followed the steps,
then it asks me to pay
0.08 BTC
0.08 = 15.80usd is this correct?

PhenomHF - January 14, 2015, 4:51 am

qwerty101 has HQ products, good luck with sales.

VladimirDracula - January 14, 2015, 7:17 am

Highest quality Crypter on the market atm. I've being using this about a week and every crypt is fud and doesn't affect my execution rate at all.

qwerty101 - January 14, 2015, 7:42 am

(Jan 14, 2015 04:20 AM)Crying Blood Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Im looking for a file to be made FUD,
i went to your site and followed the steps,
then it asks me to pay
0.08 BTC
0.08 = 15.80usd is this correct?
Yes it's correct. We have recently increased our prices because of high demand.

Thanks for the feedback/vouches guys. Please let me know if there is anything anyone needs help with.

qwerty101 - January 16, 2015, 9:19 am

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=24cff_f7rabh

Online and FUD - contact with any questions please :)

theranger - January 16, 2015, 3:10 pm

(Dec 3, 2014 04:54 PM)_ARMDec Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That's a stub detection. If no one from support is online, it may take about 30 min for the stub to change. You won't get this detection now.

It should work fine with any software, but if you want a test, contact support
What exactly is a "stub detection" when the result is 1/35?

qwerty101 - January 18, 2015, 1:46 am

(Jan 16, 2015 04:10 PM)theranger Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What exactly is a "stub detection" when the result is 1/35?

It means that the stub is detected, and will be fixed in a few minutes by an employee.

Online and fud:

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=e36ac_f8csh9

(If you get evo gen, please recrypt).

Access with: <http://fud.io> OR <http://fud.pe>

theranger - January 18, 2015, 8:12 am

(Jan 18, 2015 02:46 AM)qwerty101 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) (If you get evo gen, please recrypt).
What exactly is "evo gen"?

Thanks for answering my questions.

qwerty101 - January 18, 2015, 8:23 am

(Jan 18, 2015 09:12 AM)theranger Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What exactly is "evo gen"?

Thanks for answering my questions.

It's a random avast detection that comes up on scan4you sometimes. Fixed by recrypting.

qwerty101 - January 19, 2015, 11:23 am

Site is online and ready to take orders. All is working fine.

Updated thread with brand new thread design and branding, let me know what you all think.

Lasso - January 19, 2015, 1:25 pm

Clean, thanks for offering this quick service. Vouch!

[\[IMG\]http://scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=386744\[/IMG\]](http://scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=386744)

yamoney - January 19, 2015, 1:54 pm

Huge vouch, provides amazing c++ crypts that are small too!

qwerty101 - January 20, 2015, 1:10 pm

Thanks for the feedback guys!

Online and FUD:

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=de1bd_f96nqb

420 Blaze It - January 22, 2015, 12:21 am

Great, a FUD Crypter which is web based and paid per crypt.

UMirinBro - January 22, 2015, 12:26 am

Nice cryper everyone take a look at it not bad!

qwerty101 - January 22, 2015, 9:39 am

Online and FUD;

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=f4326_f9p9b1

In the rare event that you get an avast (evo gen) detection, just recrypt and it will be gone.

Service is live on all 3 of our domains:

<http://fud.pw>

<http://fud.io>

<http://fud.pe>

Please contact me with any questions.

Tx.xT - January 23, 2015, 1:11 pm

thx alot

Does it also works for njrat?

qwerty101 - January 23, 2015, 3:00 pm

(Jan 23, 2015 02:11 PM)TooQH. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) thx alot

Does it also works for njrat?

Sorry, we do not currently support njrat.

Sidenote:

Currently fud: http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=b2ff2_fa88bl

s0ZNlZ - January 24, 2015, 8:50 am

Nice crypter bro , i like it ;))))

iWANTSlaves-NOTBlackPeopl - January 24, 2015, 4:36 pm

really think someone is going to pay 20\$ for a single crypt?

nemosky - January 24, 2015, 9:46 pm

does this crypter suppose unicode? if it does then i will buy

qwerty101 - January 25, 2015, 1:20 am

(Jan 24, 2015 05:36 PM)iWANTSlaves-NOTBlackPeopl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) really think someone is going to pay 20\$ for a single crypt?

We have many, many daily customers who pay for these crypts, so yes.

(Jan 24, 2015 10:46 PM)nemosky Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) does this crypter suppose unicode? if it does then i will buy

Yes, all unicodes are fully supported.

Sql Lover - January 25, 2015, 12:38 pm

how long is is FUD? if its even FUD

qwerty101 - January 25, 2015, 11:22 pm

(Jan 25, 2015 01:38 PM)Sql Lover Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) how long is is FUD? if its even FUD
Of course it is FUD.

There are too many variables for me to give you an accurate fud time for any crypt.

Barbaros-DZ - January 26, 2015, 3:03 am

(Jan 26, 2015 12:22 AM)qwerty101 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Of course it is FUD.

There are too many variables for me to give you an accurate fud time for any crypt.
have this been tested with hawkeye keylogger?

need to crypt urgently. but worried if i will have compatibility issues.

qwerty101 - January 26, 2015, 7:27 am

(Jan 26, 2015 04:03 AM)1doLLar Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) have this been tested with hawkeye keylogger?

need to crypt urgently. but worried if i will have compatibility issues.
PM me your file. I'll be more than happy to test it for you.

qwerty101 - January 28, 2015, 9:12 am

Had some downtime earlier today, all problems are now fixed and service is back up and running.

RESULTS: 0/35
AVG Free OK
Avast OK
AntiVir (Avira) OK
BitDefender OK
Clam Antivirus OK
COMODO Internet Security OK
Dr.Web OK
eTrust-Vet OK
F-PROT Antivirus OK
F-Secure Internet Security OK
G Data OK
IKARUS Security OK
Kaspersky Antivirus OK
McAfee OK
MS Security Essentials OK
ESET NOD32 OK
Norman OK
Norton Antivirus OK
Panda Security OK
A-Squared OK
Quick Heal Antivirus OK
Solo Antivirus OK
Sophos OK
Trend Micro Internet Security OK
VBA32 Antivirus OK
Zoner AntiVirus OK
Ad-Aware OK
BullGuard OK
FortiClient OK

K7 Ultimate OK
NANO Antivirus OK
Panda CommandLine OK
SUPERAntiSpyware OK
Twister Antivirus OK
VIPRE OK

(Might need to recrypt if you see avast or g data detection)

PM me with any problems or questions.

kauf - January 28, 2015, 9:28 am

i vouch for this service, i have been using it quit sometimes now and it's impressive. Keep the work up guys and always stay in the lane you keep right from the start :D

qwerty101 - January 29, 2015, 12:40 pm

(Jan 28, 2015 10:28 AM)hostbob Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) i vouch for this service, i have been using it quit sometimes now and it's impressive. Keep the work up guys and always stay in the lane you keep right from the start :D

Thanks so much for the vouch! PM me if you have any problems.

Service is online and operating well, if you get a detection from AVAST or G DATA please recrypt and it should disappear.

All support emails have been responded too, thanks.

?nknown - January 29, 2015, 6:25 pm

Huge vouch, provides amazing c++ crypts

qwerty101 - January 30, 2015, 11:35 am

(Jan 30, 2015 12:31 PM)fuxx00R Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't want to post here ,but since you igonred my first PM I have no way otherthan posting here

I tried to crypt Cybergate server through your service but the file didn't work So I'm asking for a refund or fixing the problem with cybergate .

you ignored my second PM now

If I didn't get a reply here I will open a scam report (I screenshoted the buying page and the address to which I sent the coins)

I did not "ignore" your first PM. I can't just read a PM and instantly tell what the issue was, I needed time to check the raw file and check the options that you have used.

It is worth nothing that cybergate is a .net file, and as it says in our thread I do not currently recommend using these with our crypter we currently do not support them very well.

I have PMed you with a possible solution to the problem.

SIM-1422930 - January 30, 2015, 12:05 pm

The problem is fixed now

Thanks !!!

qwerty101 - January 31, 2015, 2:02 am

(Jan 30, 2015 01:05 PM)fuxx00R Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The problem is fixed now

Thanks !!!

Glad we got that sorted out, thanks!

Service is online and 100% FUD:

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=927ba_fco8hh

RESULTS: 0/35

AVG Free OK

Avast OK

AntiVir (Avira) OK

BitDefender OK

Clam Antivirus OK

COMODO Internet Security OK

Dr.Web OK

eTrust-Vet OK

F-PROT Antivirus OK

F-Secure Internet Security OK

G Data OK

IKARUS Security OK

Kaspersky Antivirus OK

McAfee OK

MS Security Essentials OK

ESET NOD32 OK

Norman OK

Norton Antivirus OK

Panda Security OK

A-Squared OK

Quick Heal Antivirus OK

Solo Antivirus OK

Sophos OK

Trend Micro Internet Security OK

VBA32 Antivirus OK

Zoner AntiVirus OK

Ad-Aware OK

BullGuard OK

FortiClient OK

K7 Ultimate OK

NANO Antivirus OK

Panda CommandLine OK

SUPERAntiSpyware OK

Twister Antivirus OK

VIPRE OK

Feel free to PM with any questions.

Assault - January 31, 2015, 10:14 am

(Jan 30, 2015 12:35 PM)qwerty101 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It is worth nothing that cybergate is a .net file
nothing ---> noting***

&& cybergate is not a .net file, it's based off of spynet which is delphi.

qwerty101 - January 31, 2015, 5:14 pm

(Jan 31, 2015 11:14 AM)Assault Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) nothing ---> noting***
&& cybergate is not a .net file, it's based off of spynet which is delphi.
We realised this and sorted the problem out completely with the user.

Thanks.

EDIT: Completely fud: http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=aa252_fd1k8p

Solomon Grundy - February 1, 2015, 2:14 am

i vouch for this product as it is worth the price

qwerty101 - February 1, 2015, 11:05 am

(Feb 1, 2015 03:14 AM)Owned HF Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) i vouch for this product as it is worth the price
Thanks for the vouch!

Online and fud: http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=f518a_fd9mmb

PM with any problems/questions.

qwerty101 - February 3, 2015, 10:10 am

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=2f77b_fe1krf

RESULTS: 0/35

AVG Free OK

Avast OK

AntiVir (Avira) OK

BitDefender OK

Clam Antivirus OK

COMODO Internet Security OK

Dr.Web OK

eTrust-Vet OK

F-PROT Antivirus OK

F-Secure Internet Security OK

G Data OK

IKARUS Security OK

Kaspersky Antivirus OK

McAfee OK

MS Security Essentials OK

ESET NOD32 OK

Norman OK

Norton Antivirus OK

Panda Security OK

A-Squared OK

Quick Heal Antivirus OK

Solo Antivirus OK

Sophos OK

Trend Micro Internet Security OK

VBA32 Antivirus OK

Zoner AntiVirus OK

Ad-Aware OK

BullGuard OK

FortiClient OK

K7 Ultimate OK

NANO Antivirus OK
Panda CommandLine OK
SUPERAntiSpyware OK
Twister Antivirus OK
VIPRE OK

Online and fully FUD.

Let me know of any problems :)

gregforthewin - February 3, 2015, 9:14 pm

Is there a way for paypal payment?????/

qwerty101 - February 4, 2015, 11:10 am

(Feb 3, 2015 10:14 PM)gregforthewin Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Is there a way for paypal payment?????/
No, sorry, we do not currently nor do we plan to allow PayPal payments.

s??l?s? - February 6, 2015, 12:53 am

anyone tested it with legacy link~?if it works with legacy i am interested.

qwerty101 - February 6, 2015, 11:46 am

(Feb 6, 2015 01:53 AM)s??l?s? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) anyone tested it with legacy link~?if it works with legacy i am interested.

Feel free to PM me a sample of the executable. I'll be more than happy to test it for you.

qwerty101 - February 8, 2015, 2:20 am

Online and FUD for anyone interested!

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=907fc_ffogor

qwerty101 - February 9, 2015, 9:40 am

Sorry for the downtime earlier today.

We had a problem with DDoS attacks. We have since installed new protection, which should ensure that the site stays online in the future.

FUD: http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=4d084_fg6kh9

s??l?s? - February 12, 2015, 3:59 am

Can it By pass EsetNod32,Norton360 Or kaspersky In Runtime (what matters)....

qwerty101 - February 12, 2015, 9:29 am

(Feb 12, 2015 04:59 AM)s??l?s? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Can it By pass EsetNod32,Norton360 Or kaspersky In Runtime (what matters)....

The crypter itself is not detected on runtime, no. Be aware that if your executable's behaviour is detected runtime, there is nothing we can or any other crypter can do about it.

We do not include any "bypasses" as often these themselves can cause runtime detections.

starforce - February 12, 2015, 9:59 pm

it is 17\$ per one fud exe one file\$

0.08 btc it is 17\$

<http://currency.wiki/currencytools?sum=0.08&from=BTC&to=USD>

qwerty101 - February 14, 2015, 1:27 am

(Feb 12, 2015 10:59 PM)starforce Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) it is 17\$ per one fud exe one file\$ 0.08 btc it is 17\$

<http://currency.wiki/currencytools?sum=0.08&from=BTC&to=USD>

That is correct, and we are well aware of the current price of BTC, thanks.

Online and FUD: http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=d06d4_fhte6r

Johny.s - February 16, 2015, 3:33 am

Man check your website , its have been stuck for 2 days. or atleast answer on jabber.

qwerty101 - February 16, 2015, 9:30 am

(Feb 16, 2015 04:33 AM)Johny.s Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Man check your website , its have been stuck for 2 days. or atleast answer on jabber.

Contacted on jabber about this issue. Site should be working fine.

qwerty101 - February 17, 2015, 12:33 pm

0/35 currently. Scan: http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=2a8f6_fj9d5j

Please PM me with any questions or problems.

Thanks

lexcrobls - February 19, 2015, 9:56 am

i have send to you pm check please

qwerty101 - February 23, 2015, 11:40 am

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=031d7_fllqtl

Online and FUD. Feel free to PM me any questions you may have.

nightmare2much - February 26, 2015, 10:16 pm

Nice but should include PM in payment method

apnits - February 26, 2015, 11:40 pm

fud.pe and fud.io seems down. :(i hope it will be alright. the best crypter i have ever had.

MyNameIsNe0 - February 28, 2015, 7:45 am

Seriously? 20 USD for a single crypted file?

Comedic - February 28, 2015, 10:55 am

I like the idea of pay per crypt, saves so much time. ? GLWS man!

nightmare2much - February 28, 2015, 1:20 pm

I think I will give this crypter a trial. Please does it works perfectly with Zeus Botnets?

_ARMDec - February 28, 2015, 3:21 pm

(Feb 27, 2015 12:40 AM)apnits Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) fud.pe and fud.io seems down. :(i hope it will be alright. the best crypter i have ever had.

The crypter is up and thank you for vouch !

(Feb 28, 2015 08:45 AM)MyNameIsNe0 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Seriously? 20 USD for a single crypted file? This is a standard-to-low price for high quality crypting (fudPE has best exec rate currently)

(Feb 28, 2015 11:55 AM)Legend. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I like the idea of pay per crypt, saves so much time.
? GLWS man!

I agree thank you for vouch

(Feb 28, 2015 02:20 PM)nightmare2much Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think I will give this crypter a trial. Please
does it works perfectly with Zeus Botnets?

It should work with any native PE , but if you contact support you can get a test done

qwerty101 - March 2, 2015, 11:16 am

Thanks for the questions and feedback guys.

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=1bd3e_fo3579

Online and FUD. PM me with any queries, problems, or questions!

Sold. - March 3, 2015, 3:32 am

Nothing but the best comes from this man, do not hesitate to buy anything from him.

_ARMDec - March 6, 2015, 10:17 pm

fudPE is running as strong as ever. Thanks for all the vouches from all.

qwerty101 - March 8, 2015, 9:13 am

(Mar 8, 2015 07:47 AM)K4rtoha Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I used this service 2 times with 2 different malware..
Both time corrupt to files, crypted but exe not working and there is no refund at all. I sent email but
support email is wrong. I ll never use this service again, i lost \$40 and wasted my time..

Yet you have not PMed me here. The support email is dodgy and sometimes does not work, we are
working on a fix.

PM me with proof of payment & crypted files and I will gladly refund or fix the problem for you.

Johny.s - March 12, 2015, 10:37 pm

Man i have to come and write this here.. This is the second time the site has fucked me and eaten
the funds ! And i am left without a crypt in a critical moment.

I msged you on jabber without response, fix it.

qwerty101 - March 13, 2015, 7:19 am

(Mar 12, 2015 10:37 PM)Johny.s Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Man i have to come and write this here.. This is the
second time the site has fucked me and eaten the funds ! And i am left without a crypt in a critical
moment.

I msged you on jabber without response, fix it.

Please PM me here, as I have asked you too multiple times.

Our payment system is a little faulty at the moment, but a fix will be issued shortly.

qwerty101 - March 14, 2015, 2:07 pm

We are pleased to announce that we have implimented a faster, more consistant way for you to get
your crypts from us. This means that you can get your crypts much faster and much more easily.

Rather than waiting for our somewhat slow payment processor, you can now insert your bitcoin
transaction ID and the file will unlock within seconds!

All issues with slow bitcoin payments should now be sorted.

Current scan: http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=12e50_frtc bd 0/35

Service available at: <http://fud.pe> and <http://fud.io> and <http://fud.pw>

LiveSupport - March 16, 2015, 11:46 am

I have waited a few hours for my crypt and have paid still nothing why is this so slow

qwerty101 - March 16, 2015, 12:06 pm

(Mar 16, 2015 11:46 AM)LiveSupport Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have waited a few hours for my crypt and have paid still nothing why is this so slow

Did you use our new transaction ID verification system? Probably not if its taking a while as the verification system should be instant.

If you did, PM me and I will look into the problem. It's working fine for everybody else.

?Mystical - March 17, 2015, 9:00 am

Vouch for this, one of the best crypters money can buy.

Homunculus - March 19, 2015, 9:25 am

faster services is what encourage more customers. kindly fix asap payment processor should include perfect money too.

you are doing a good job man.

qwerty101 - March 23, 2015, 11:40 am

(Mar 19, 2015 09:25 AM)kallysky Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) faster services is what encourage more customers. kindly fix asap payment processor should include perfect money too.

you are doing a good job man.

Sorry supporting perfect money is not viable for us at all at the moment, so we will not be putting this in any time soon sorry.

qwerty101 - March 28, 2015, 12:11 pm

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=8158a_g1sm89

Online and fully FUD guys.

Don't forget to enter your transaction ID for faster transaction unlocking.

PM me with any issues.

qwerty101 - April 1, 2015, 9:24 am

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=11ff8_g326qb

Just updated with refud. Service up and running as normal guys, contact me with any problems!

qwerty101 - April 2, 2015, 2:07 pm

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=fcc97_g3d4h3

FUD as usual.

HMU with any problems/questions guys!

ffsagain - April 2, 2015, 4:38 pm

(Mar 14, 2015 02:07 PM)qwerty101 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) We are pleased to announce that we have implemented a faster, more consistent way for you to get your crypts from us. This means that you can get your crypts much faster and much more easily.

Rather than waiting for our somewhat slow payment processor, you can now insert your bitcoin transaction ID and the file will unlock within seconds!

All issues with slow bitcoin payments should now be sorted.
A1 for this ? Wish more sites and sellers would do the same

qwerty101 - April 3, 2015, 9:59 am

(Apr 2, 2015 04:38 PM)ffsagain Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) A1 for this ? Wish more sites and sellers would do the same

Thanks man. We tried many other systems, but this seems by far the most reliable, as long as people put in the right transaction ID (somehow people manage to put the wrong ones in all the time!).

Online and FUD:

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=f7e11_g3k1e5

Taking any questions!

Mr. Meeseeks - April 5, 2015, 6:19 pm

If you don't accept PayPal then damn. Please do lol, I wanna buy this.

qwerty101 - April 13, 2015, 5:01 am

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=c1d55_g6e9kp

Online and FUD.

If there are any problems PM me, thanks!

poufpater - April 14, 2015, 5:38 am

am getting 2/35

:(

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=3da25_g6lt7n

qwerty101 - April 14, 2015, 9:45 am

(Apr 14, 2015 05:38 AM)SILVERSKULL Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) am getting 2/35

:(

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=3da25_g6lt7n

Shows clean 0/35 for me:

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=74ab6_g6n93j

Recrypt, and if you keep having this problem, contact support directly (there is a contact listed on the payment page). This thread is not the appropriate place to get a support response.

Vadamee - April 16, 2015, 7:12 pm

I contacted support regarding this crypter, hopefully we figure out how to solve it now

_ARMDec - April 17, 2015, 7:23 pm

(Apr 14, 2015 05:38 AM)SILVERSKULL Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) am getting 2/35
:({

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=3da25_g6lt7n

Always try a re-crypt if you get some detections

qwerty101 - April 21, 2015, 7:25 am

Online and FUD:

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=72490_g8a9op

Always try a recrypt if you see an odd detection, usually it will go.

qwerty101 - April 22, 2015, 8:02 am

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=3128c_g8jded

Online and FUD, message me with any questions!

qwerty101 - April 26, 2015, 10:46 am

Completely FUD, let me know if anyone has any questions or queries.

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=9db8d_g9dp17

RESULTS: 0/35
AVG Free OK
Avast OK
AntiVir (Avira) OK
BitDefender OK
Clam Antivirus OK
COMODO Internet Security OK
Dr.Web OK
eTrust-Vet OK
F-PROT Antivirus OK
F-Secure Internet Security OK
G Data OK
IKARUS Security OK
Kaspersky Antivirus OK
McAfee OK
MS Security Essentials OK
ESET NOD32 OK
Norman OK
Norton Antivirus OK
Panda Security OK
A-Squared OK
Quick Heal Antivirus OK
Solo Antivirus OK
Sophos OK
Trend Micro Internet Security OK
VBA32 Antivirus OK
Zoner AntiVirus OK
Ad-Aware OK
BullGuard OK

FortiClient OK
K7 Ultimate OK
NANO Antivirus OK
Panda CommandLine OK
SUPERAntiSpyware OK
Twister Antivirus OK
VIPRE OK

qwerty101 - May 1, 2015, 8:55 am

Everything running smoothly and is fully FUD.

Don't forget to use transaction ID checker for faster payment processing.

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=6fe82_gat7k9

lvvan - May 1, 2015, 12:39 pm

is possible buy crypter via paypal?

qwerty101 - May 2, 2015, 1:17 am

(May 1, 2015 12:39 PM)lvvan Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) is possible buy crypter via paypal?
No sorry, we only accept bitcoin.

qwerty101 - May 3, 2015, 5:47 am

Online and running with no problems:

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=5cae9_gbefej

0/35

qwerty101 - May 5, 2015, 7:53 am

Online and FUD:

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=0f817_gc24c3

qwerty101 - May 8, 2015, 8:16 am

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=4bae0_gcqhhd9

RESULTS: 0/35
AVG Free OK
Avast OK
AntiVir (Avira) OK
BitDefender OK
Clam Antivirus OK
COMODO Internet Security OK
Dr.Web OK
eTrust-Vet OK
F-PROT Antivirus OK
F-Secure Internet Security OK
G Data OK
IKARUS Security OK
Kaspersky Antivirus OK
McAfee OK
MS Security Essentials OK
ESET NOD32 OK

Norman OK
Norton Antivirus OK
Panda Security OK
A-Squared OK
Quick Heal Antivirus OK
Solo Antivirus OK
Sophos OK
Trend Micro Internet Security OK
VBA32 Antivirus OK
Zoner AntiVirus OK
Ad-Aware OK
BullGuard OK
FortiClient OK
K7 Ultimate OK
NANO Antivirus OK
Panda CommandLine OK
SUPERAntiSpyware OK
Twister Antivirus OK
VIPRE OK

PM with any questions!

?Roxas - May 9, 2015, 12:36 am

Thinking about buying a crypt, does this support babylon?

qwerty101 - May 9, 2015, 3:41 am

(May 9, 2015 12:36 AM)?Roxas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thinking about buying a crypt, does this support babylon?

Hey, Yes we support babylon, I have tested this myself personally. We work with most native PEs.

?Fetz? - May 12, 2015, 2:39 pm

How is this better than just buying a crypter with unlimited crypts? Sorry for my ignorance.

_ARMDec - May 12, 2015, 3:44 pm

(May 12, 2015 02:39 PM)Fetz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How is this better than just buying a crypter with unlimited crypts? Sorry for my ignorance.

It depends what you want to do. if you're looking for crypts of low quality (if you don't need an optimal execution rate), then you may be happy with another crypter

This crypter is designed for those who want an optimal execution rate

Some disadvantages of using other crypters:

1. Most have dependencies even if they think they don't
 2. Most have massively large stub sizes
 3. Most have atrocious execution rates
 4. Most have suspicious behavior which makes them detected in runtime
 5. Most have stubs shared with ignorant people
 6. Most have bullshit marketing schemes to get ignorant customers (e.g "AutoIT/ASM" (LOL)).
- When you see people overcompensating their products with bullshit, then you know there's something wrong there ;)

qwerty101 - May 16, 2015, 10:22 am

Online and FUD;

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=a857b_gek797

Let me know if you have any questions.

David F. - May 16, 2015, 11:22 am

The fact you try to call an exception to trick AVs causes errors on 70% of the computers.

The files that are dropped into AppData/Roaming cause the exception. What kind of files are those? The stub itself? Just some random files? Everything is really weird even though you are getting the FUD result. To be honest with you should never, EVER, drop files into disk when crypting a file. That is called BAD programming. Bad because you are dropping files into disk and bad because you are using someone else file to drop it.

Not sure if its backdoored. Didn't bother to debug all those csrss processes and WinLogon processes created. If I had to guess they are backdoored and have an anti-vm that is causing those processes to get created and run every 2 seconds.

Probable backdoor aside, the intention is good. The exception will obviously cause most AV's to assume it's not malware for the first few days. Execution rate is shit do to all the execution exceptions.

If the exception is not intentional, than it's even worse.

Tried to explain to them on support but they came with a story that is tested on over 100.000 computer botnet and didn't bother to hear.

Good luck

qwerty101 - May 16, 2015, 12:03 pm

(May 16, 2015 11:22 AM)David F. Wrote: [CITE](#) The fact you try to call an exception to trick AVs causes errors on 70% of the computers.

The files that are dropped into AppData/Roaming cause the exception. What kind of files are those? The stub itself? Just some random files? Everything is really weird even though you are getting the FUD result. To be honest with you should never, EVER, drop files into disk when crypting a file. That is called BAD programming. Bad because you are dropping files into disk and bad because you are using someone else file to drop it.

Not sure if its backdoored. Didn't bother to debug all those csrss processes and WinLogon processes created. If I had to guess they are backdoored and have an anti-vm that is causing those processes to get created and run every 2 seconds.

Probable backdoor aside, the intention is good. The exception will obviously cause most AV's to assume it's not malware for the first few days. Execution rate is shit do to all the execution exceptions.

If the exception is not intentional, than it's even worse.

Tried to explain to them on support but they came with a story that is tested on over 100.000 computer botnet and didn't bother to hear.

Good luck

Hello,

Our crypter only opens one process, and then spawns another for your bot, then closes itself. Any other processes are not caused by the crypter, but could be caused with a conflict with your bot.

We can confirm that we do not get errors on 70% of computers, and we have tested this thoroughly.

We have tested execution on windows 2000, all the way to windows 10. No problems were found.

As for the files that drop to appdata; this is just encrypted data with random file names, and we have already verified that an AV cannot use this for any form of a detection.

I can also assure you that the crypter is not backdoored - please post proof before you make such bold and stupid claims. We will post a video later today showing exactly what the crypter does.

There is also no exception thrown by the crypter at any point. Just tested this myself on VMs from windows 2000 to windows 10, and didn't see anything of the sort. If there is an exception, again it's likely something with your bot and the crypter conflicting. This has not happened with any other bots we have tested, so not really sure what could be causing the conflict with yours.

I would also like to offer you a full refund for the crypts that you did with us, just contact me via PM with the 2 non working files, and we will issue a refund. We will also add "cybergate excel?" to our list of incompatible products, which we plan to add to the front page of our site.

Thanks!

ryanparker - May 16, 2015, 12:33 pm

I've pushed over 100k loads through his service without an issue, and Ive looked into the cryptd they all seemed legit to me,

_ARMDec - May 16, 2015, 12:50 pm

(May 16, 2015 11:22 AM)David F. Wrote: [CITE](#) The fact you try to call an exception to trick AVs causes errors on 70% of the computers.

The files that are dropped into AppData/Roaming cause the exception. What kind of files are those? The stub itself? Just some random files? Everything is really weird even though you are getting the FUD result. To be honest with you should never, EVER, drop files into disk when crypting a file. That is called BAD programming. Bad because you are dropping files into disk and bad because you are using someone else file to drop it.

Not sure if its backdoored. Didn't bother to debug all those csrss processes and WinLogon processes created. If I had to guess they are backdoored and have an anti-vm that is causing those processes to get created and run every 2 seconds.

Probable backdoor aside, the intention is good. The exception will obviously cause most AV's to assume it's not malware for the first few days. Execution rate is shit do to all the execution exceptions.

If the exception is not intentional, than it's even worse.

Tried to explain to them on support but they came with a story that is tested on over 100.000 computer botnet and didn't bother to hear.

Good luck

This is pretty laughable:

1. It doesn't call exceptions to "trick AVs".
2. There's absolutely no down side to dropping files to unprivileged locations. The execution rate confirms this. They're just data files related to your bin. The data files are 100% unique for each crypt and cannot be used for detection in any way.
3. Backdooring accusation is laughable. All you need to do to test if its backdoored is run wireshark while running a crypt. It doesn't create csrss nor winlogon in anyway. Also it doesn't have any kind of anti-vm. You could also run it in any sandbox, or virtual machine, because it doesn't prevent execution in any virtualized environment.

4. I assume the exception you're talking about is the "Failed to write file" exception. All you need to do is re-encrypt. It's a rare bug which will be fixed soon.

Beyond me why someone would make such baseless accusations with such limited knowledge of encrypting and security software in general

David F. - May 16, 2015, 4:54 pm

Like I said, then it is even worse if the exception are not called on purpose.
I couldn't give a flying fuck how many tests you make.

The exceptions occur when calling the random files.
There's screenshots. Tons of them if you need them. Not with one bot but with Netwire, CyberGate, DarkComet.

See, when you encrypting Bots most of the times you have no idea of what kind of errors the server throws mainly because most bots don't give you real time info of active windows or the possibility of checking the remote desktop. With RATS you can. So maybe that's why your tests are coming really clean. Try rats and you will see what kind of errors you will get.

The stupidity about all this is that you can't accept constructive criticism. That's what I tried to do when reached support on jabber. But the answer was once again the same, the usual answer of copy and paste kids that obviously CANNOT solve any issues, basically they simply don't KNOW.

I wish the best of luck. I honestly do.

Regarding the backdooring, I was very clear that I didn't debug enough to say for sure. Not even important as I refuse myself to use a crypted file that drops files (it can be anything) into disk. For all potential customers all I have to say is use it at your own risk.

It's already bad enough having to drop the real server. Why would someone in its perfect mind do such thing? There's only 2 possible reasons .. to cause an exception on purpose (and yes _ARMdec, if properly done tricks av's. Old trick. Just needs to be properly adapted to current times to work. Stop talking about what you clearly don't understand) or it's backdoored.

If you need that file to do whatever you want why not execute it in memory? Can that be done for whatever you are trying to do with the random files?

qwerty101 I do not wish a refund. To get what 20? 100 USD back? That means nothing for me. But I appreciate the fact you offered a refund. I don't believe in bug free applications. All applications have their glitches. When I've decided to contact you on support was to honestly help you improve your service as customer. I wasn't expecting to hear the same old explanation that everything can cause the issue besides the crypter. LOL

The exception is caused when calling the random files so OBVIOUSLY it is a problem with the crypter. It can be specific to a few rats or bots, it CAN, but STILL it is a problem with the crypter.
See when you encrypting a file it's the crypter's job to encrypt and decrypt in memory the original file without interfering whatsoever with its routine. This being said, I know it's not easy to make files FUD, but that same principle needs to apply. I obviously don't have the code of the crypter so I can't be much of a help here what I can tell you is that in some computers (a big percentage) when encrypting some RAT servers, your crypter causes that error. So you don't think I am bullshitting you, I use maybe 15 or 20 others on a regular basis to test them on my activity and those errors do not occur and they do not occur as well with original non-encrypted files.

Once again, I wish you the best of lucks. Coding crypters is easy. What is really hard is to keep

them FUD with the amount of users I am sure you guys have and specially to make them compatible with the jungle of apps in market nowadays so you have my respect.

_ARMdec, laugh only of your ignorance. You were still swimming in you dads balls when i was already playing with bits of code that clearly you have no idea what they do. When I've read your posts i thought to myself .. "Who the fuck is this genius? Maybe he coded Zeus or a well known RAT or an awesome tool. Let me check him out." Meh....Guess I was wrong he?!

_ARMDec - May 16, 2015, 6:11 pm

@ David F

You need to show me a screenshot of the exception you're talking about. If you're talking about the "Failed to open file" exception, then that's a recent bug that just came up, but re-crypts solve it, so it's not a high priority issue.

I don't know about exceptions being used to "trick" AVs. I've never researched that, but I can assure you it's not what we're doing.

The reason encrypted files are dropped to disk is just related to the method. It's neither good or bad. It just is. It has never caused any runtime-detected issues, so why would we change a working method that delivers the best execution rates on the market? It would be stupid of us to change the method.

About my posts, I never discuss anything technical on HF, because this isn't a good place to discuss it. So I don't really know what posts you were reading which would be significant in any way.

Ultimately, If you don't like it, don't use it. We have many happy customers who distribute on a massive scale with no complaints.

Again, cyber gate excel was added to the list of applications which the crypter is incompatible with. I haven't even looked into see why it's incompatible, but it's not a priority for us so it doesn't matter.

Also I think qwerty was willing to give you either a recrypt or refund, so I don't know what you're doing complaining. Everyone else who experienced the "Failed to open file" bug accepted the recrypt/refund with no complaints.

I wish you the best as well, take care and please redirect further contact to PM if you're interested in discussing further.

qwerty101 - May 17, 2015, 1:29 am

(May 16, 2015 04:54 PM)David F. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) paragraphs of meaningless text "Copy and paste kids". I challenge you to find 1 other crypter which works in the same way we do. Our crypting method is completely unique and has not been used before in this market or any other.

As armdec said above, if you do not like our service, do not use it. We have many users, such as the one who posted a bit earlier in this thread, who distribute on a massive scale with no issues at all; in fact most of them say that the exec rate is among the best they have seen.

We do not think our service is fit for everybody, so if you do better with other crypters, please use them. As I have said, we will add "cybergate excel?" to our list of unsupported RATs and bots, which is coming soon to our homepage.

Thanks for your input and if you have any further issues, PM me. I'll gladly issue you a refund for the crypts that did not work for you.

poufpater - May 17, 2015, 12:08 pm

David F buddy , calm down, you aren't coming across very well with the tones of your messages... I appreciate that you have a problem but I dont think that your way of voicing it out is helping the matter.

Am not a big shot hacker or anything, just a plain guy who rats for fun from time to time.
I have never bought any crypts from these guys in the past and was just taking a look at the latest posts
but seeing how professionally the OP is handling a dispute like this one, I feel encouraged to deal with them
in the future.

@ qwerty101

Hello, I understand the crypter works best with native rats but supports most .NET ones too but just to be sure

I'd like to confirm, does the this work with IM3 , Nanocore and Hawkeye Logger?

drewkasp - May 17, 2015, 3:34 pm

Looks like a nice service....can crypted file be executed on unicode systems?

qwerty101 - May 18, 2015, 2:06 am

(May 17, 2015 12:08 PM)SILVERSKULL Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) David F buddy , calm down, you aren't coming across very well with the tones of your messages...

I appreciate that you have a problem but I dont think that your way of voicing it out is helping the matter.

Am not a big shot hacker or anything, just a plain guy who rats for fun from time to time.
I have never bought any crypts from these guys in the past and was just taking a look at the latest posts
but seeing how professionally the OP is handling a dispute like this one, I feel encouraged to deal with them
in the future.

@ qwerty101

Hello, I understand the crypter works best with native rats but supports most .NET ones too but just to be sure

I'd like to confirm, does the this work with IM3 , Nanocore and Hawkeye Logger?

Hi, currently our .net support is a bit spotty and I'd advise you to PM me with a sample of the exe before you start using our service to crypt it.

(May 17, 2015 03:34 PM)drewkasp Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Looks like a nice service....can crypted file be executed on unicode systems?

Yes, the crypted files with absolutely fine on unicode systems.

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=486d1_get1b9

RESULTS: 0/35

AVG Free OK

Avast OK

AntiVir (Avira) OK

BitDefender OK

Clam Antivirus OK

COMODO Internet Security OK

Dr.Web OK

eTrust-Vet OK
F-PROT Antivirus OK
F-Secure Internet Security OK
G Data OK
IKARUS Security OK
Kaspersky Antivirus OK
McAfee OK
MS Security Essentials OK
ESET NOD32 OK
Norman OK
Norton Antivirus OK
Panda Security OK
A-Squared OK
Quick Heal Antivirus OK
Solo Antivirus OK
Sophos OK
Trend Micro Internet Security OK
VBA32 Antivirus OK
Zoner AntiVirus OK
Ad-Aware OK
BullGuard OK
FortiClient OK
K7 Ultimate OK
NANO Antivirus OK
Panda CommandLine OK
SUPERAntiSpyware OK
Twister Antivirus OK
VIPRE OK

Mar1juana™ - May 21, 2015, 4:56 am

i wish the price was 0.03 per crypt then i would crypt every day there :)

qwerty101 - May 21, 2015, 11:57 pm

(May 21, 2015 04:56 AM)Mar1juana™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) i wish the price was 0.03 per crypt then i would crypt every day there :)

Unfortunately we are unable to lower the price at this time.

Online and FUD:

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=1fee5_gg1end

qwerty101 - May 23, 2015, 5:12 am

Online and FUD:

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=ba679_ggc80l

RESULTS: 0/35
AVG Free OK
Avast OK
AntiVir (Avira) OK
BitDefender OK
Clam Antivirus OK
COMODO Internet Security OK
Dr.Web OK

eTrust-Vet OK
F-PROT Antivirus OK
F-Secure Internet Security OK
G Data OK
IKARUS Security OK
Kaspersky Antivirus OK
McAfee OK
MS Security Essentials OK
ESET NOD32 OK
Norman OK
Norton Antivirus OK
Panda Security OK
A-Squared OK
Quick Heal Antivirus OK
Solo Antivirus OK
Sophos OK
Trend Micro Internet Security OK
VBA32 Antivirus OK
Zoner AntiVirus OK
Ad-Aware OK
BullGuard OK
FortiClient OK
K7 Ultimate OK
NANO Antivirus OK
Panda CommandLine OK
SUPERAntiSpyware OK
Twister Antivirus OK
VIPRE OK

minerA1 - May 24, 2015, 10:15 pm

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=8a6fd_ggr0n1

poufpater - May 30, 2015, 7:25 am

on average, how long does does one of your crypts stay FUD for ?

qwerty101 - May 31, 2015, 1:47 am

(May 30, 2015 07:25 AM)SILVERSKULL Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) on average, how long does does one of your crypts stay FUD for ?

Up to 36-48 hours depending on how much you use it to spread.

These are intended as single use disposable crypts, not long term crypts

poufpater - May 31, 2015, 3:36 am

(May 31, 2015 01:47 AM)qwerty101 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Up to 36-48 hours depending on how much you use it to spread.

These are intended as single use disposable crypts, not long term crypts
how about for if i deploy it on just one machine?

bamboleo - May 31, 2015, 1:47 pm

Looool ? 0,08 for 1 crypt ? Each sells crypter for lower price for month license... Who normal buy this ? xd

qwerty101 - May 31, 2015, 2:03 pm

(May 31, 2015 03:36 AM)SILVERSKULL Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) how about for if i deploy it on just one machine?

The crypts aren't private, so it would still be detected, although it might last a little longer than I said.

If you are planning on very low level spreading, i recommend you buy a private stub (see crypter.club thread)

(May 31, 2015 01:47 PM)bamboleo Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Looool ? 0,08 for 1 crypt ? Each sells crypter for lower price for month license... Who normal buy this ? xd

People who value an excellent execution rate, low stub size and consistency, which is evidently a lot of people judging by how popular we are across forums.

Shards - June 1, 2015, 5:53 pm

How is the detection rate for a .net stub? Why is it not recommended?

qwerty101 - June 2, 2015, 3:10 am

(Jun 1, 2015 05:53 PM)Shards Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How is the detection rate for a .net stub? Why is it not recommended?

We currently do not support .net very well, so we recommend you PM us to check it works correctly before crypting.

Crypting a .net file won't affect the detection rate at all.

qwerty101 - June 6, 2015, 7:03 am

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=f79cf_gkc0n1

Online and FUD.

Senna - June 6, 2015, 9:48 am

Vouch for this. Very high quality crypting service.

Archer - June 6, 2015, 12:57 pm

Huge vouch for this service and the seller, very high quality. Very satisfied customer would highly recommend to anyone looking for a no dependency crypt fast!

qwerty101 - June 15, 2015, 8:07 am

Online, working well, and fully fud:

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=e0524_gmoo91

We've had a few technical issues the past few days but they are all sorted now. If anyone was affected, please PM me and I will sort you out.

Thanks

Wardow - June 16, 2015, 2:46 am

Just to inform you, your thread design got rekt on pomf.se, i advise to update with a new link ;) !

Metro Boomin' - June 17, 2015, 1:17 pm

I have bought over 6 crypts this week, looking to see if we can make a deal for like 15 crypts a week send me a PM.

qwerty101 - June 18, 2015, 10:15 am

(Jun 17, 2015 01:17 PM)Ripp Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have bought over 6 crypts this week, looking to see if we can make a deal for like 15 crypts a week send me a PM.
Have responded to your PM. I'm sure we can work something out.

Online FUD and working well:

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=3dd9a_gnjbdI

PM me or contact support with any technical issues please. Thanks.

qwerty101 - June 23, 2015, 12:32 pm

Our thread design has been fixed.

We did experience some more technical issues over the past few days - PM me if you were affected. All issues are fixed now.

Here is a current scan: http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=172e4_gop457

??? - June 23, 2015, 12:34 pm

This was great idea. How often do you update it?

qwerty101 - June 23, 2015, 12:40 pm

(Jun 23, 2015 12:34 PM)I?y. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This was great idea. How often do you update it?
The crypter is updated as often as is needed, using an automatic system we made. This usually happens at least once a day.

qwerty101 - June 27, 2015, 1:06 am

Thanks for the sticky, omni.

We are online and FUD: http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=73249_gpggtp

PM me or contact us on jabber @ crypterclub@exploit.im for support/questions.

-Oh- - June 28, 2015, 1:06 am

I think this is the best cloud-crypter I have ever seen.... finally!
It's not that shit where you pay and then staff manually crypt it and just upload it.
Nice job OP! glws

Blizzard - June 29, 2015, 5:05 am

Around \$20 per crypt? That's great.

qwerty101 - June 29, 2015, 9:19 am

(Jun 29, 2015 05:05 AM)Blizzard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Around \$20 per crypt? That's great.
Yes 20\$ at current BTC price is correct.

Online and FUD: http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=9a8cb_gq3hnt

Feel free to contact us with any questions, problems, or concerns.

qwerty101 - July 4, 2015, 12:15 pm

Online and FUD: http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=8be61_gr2i5I

Just moved to a new server. Site should be a lot faster and with better uptime.

PM me with any problems. Post any questions here. Thanks.

DamienVC - July 5, 2015, 11:57 am

Vouch for this site, did a hq and fast crypt for me in the past!

qwerty101 - July 10, 2015, 1:35 pm

(Jul 5, 2015 11:57 AM)DamienVC Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Vouch for this site, did a hq and fast crypt for me in the past!

Thanks for the vouch;

We're online and running well!

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=934b8_gsapq9 - 0/35 FUD

qwerty101 - July 17, 2015, 11:50 am

Back online and running well. Sorry for the sites downtime and technical issues the past few days. All should now be fixed.

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=ca8f7_gtmjrg

RESULTS: 0/35

AVG Free OK

Avast OK

AntiVir (Avira) OK

BitDefender OK

Clam Antivirus OK

COMODO Internet Security OK

Dr.Web OK

eTrust-Vet OK

F-PROT Antivirus OK

F-Secure Internet Security OK

G Data OK

IKARUS Security OK

Kaspersky Antivirus OK

McAfee OK

MS Security Essentials OK

ESET NOD32 OK

Norman OK

Norton Antivirus OK

Panda Security OK

A-Squared OK

Quick Heal Antivirus OK

Solo Antivirus OK

Sophos OK

Trend Micro Internet Security OK

VBA32 Antivirus OK

Zoner AntiVirus OK

Ad-Aware OK

BullGuard OK

FortiClient OK

K7 Ultimate OK

NANO Antivirus OK
Panda CommandLine OK
SUPERAntiSpyware OK
Twister Antivirus OK
VIPRE OK

PM with any questions, problems - thanks.

420 Lord - July 17, 2015, 11:53 am

Vouch for this site, it's awesome.

qwerty101 - July 19, 2015, 12:58 pm

(Jul 17, 2015 11:53 AM)Ale?G?cr??? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Vouch for this site, it's awesome.
Thanks for the vouch.

Current scan: http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=0fb69_h02882

Feel free to PM with any queries.

Slachter - August 7, 2015, 4:08 pm

Does this only work with botnets? If not, does it work with Predator Pain v13?

_ARMDec - August 7, 2015, 6:04 pm

(Aug 7, 2015 04:08 PM)Slachter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Does this only work with botnets? If not, does it work with Predator Pain v13?

It works with any native (non-.NET) executable. .NET is also supported but any native file is virtually guaranteed to run equivalently to the original file.

qwerty101 - August 8, 2015, 11:35 am

(Aug 7, 2015 04:08 PM)Slachter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Does this only work with botnets? If not, does it work with Predator Pain v13?

Feel free to PM me, and I will test your file for you.

Online and FUD: http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=dcc42_h3g1a6

RESULTS: 0/35

AVG Free OK

Avast OK

AntiVir (Avira) OK

BitDefender OK

Clam Antivirus OK

COMODO Internet Security OK

Dr.Web OK

eTrust-Vet OK

F-PROT Antivirus OK

F-Secure Internet Security OK

G Data OK

IKARUS Security OK

Kaspersky Antivirus OK

McAfee OK

MS Security Essentials OK

ESET NOD32 OK

Norman OK

Norton Antivirus OK

Panda Security OK
A-Squared OK
Quick Heal Antivirus OK
Solo Antivirus OK
Sophos OK
Trend Micro Internet Security OK
VBA32 Antivirus OK
Zoner AntiVirus OK
Ad-Aware OK
BullGuard OK
FortiClient OK
K7 Ultimate OK
NANO Antivirus OK
Panda CommandLine OK
SUPERAntiSpyware OK
Twister Antivirus OK
VIPRE OK

PinkiePie_ - August 10, 2015, 10:44 pm

Awesome service man!!!!!!

Benjamin_Kellner - August 11, 2015, 4:07 pm

You don't accept PayPal payments?

qwerty101 - September 14, 2015, 2:41 pm

(Aug 11, 2015 04:07 PM)Benjamin_Kellner Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You don't accept PayPal payments?
No sorry, we do not accept PayPal payments.

(Aug 10, 2015 10:44 PM)PinkiePie_ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Awesome service man!!!!!!
Thanks, we hope you enjoy using our service

Online and FUD: http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=cb6bf_hbktm0

qwerty101 - September 26, 2015, 1:12 pm

Crypter online, working well, and FUD:

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=948f6_hdlc1j

Uiqueblhats - September 27, 2015, 3:16 am

What are the fud timings will stub be fud for at least a week?

qwerty101 - October 4, 2015, 2:03 am

(Sep 27, 2015 03:16 AM)Uiqueblhats Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What are the fud timings will stub be fud for at least a week?

Its highly unlikely that the crypted file will remain FUD for 1 week. Usually the files last a few days, although we do not make guarantees. If you do require long FUD times, please PM me about a private stub, which we sell through crypter.club.

Online and FUD guys:

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=87dc8_herpif

RESULTS: 0/35
AVG Free OK
Avast OK

AntiVir (Avira) OK
BitDefender OK
Clam Antivirus OK
COMODO Internet Security OK
Dr.Web OK
eTrust-Vet OK
F-PROT Antivirus OK
F-Secure Internet Security OK
G Data OK
IKARUS Security OK
Kaspersky Antivirus OK
McAfee OK
MS Security Essentials OK
ESET NOD32 OK
Norman OK
Norton Antivirus OK
Panda Security OK
A-Squared OK
Quick Heal Antivirus OK
Solo Antivirus OK
Sophos OK
Trend Micro Internet Security OK
VBA32 Antivirus OK
Zoner AntiVirus OK
Ad-Aware OK
BullGuard OK
FortiClient OK
K7 Ultimate OK
NANO Antivirus OK
Panda CommandLine OK
SUPERAntiSpyware OK
Twister Antivirus OK
VIPRE OK

PM with any questions

qwerty101 - October 5, 2015, 2:45 am

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=a4a45_hf2n0j

RESULTS: 0/35
AVG Free OK
Avast OK
AntiVir (Avira) OK
BitDefender OK
Clam Antivirus OK
COMODO Internet Security OK
Dr.Web OK
eTrust-Vet OK
F-PROT Antivirus OK
F-Secure Internet Security OK
G Data OK
IKARUS Security OK
Kaspersky Antivirus OK
McAfee OK

MS Security Essentials OK
ESET NOD32 OK
Norman OK
Norton Antivirus OK
Panda Security OK
A-Squared OK
Quick Heal Antivirus OK
Solo Antivirus OK
Sophos OK
Trend Micro Internet Security OK
VBA32 Antivirus OK
Zoner AntiVirus OK
Ad-Aware OK
BullGuard OK
FortiClient OK
K7 Ultimate OK
NANO Antivirus OK
Panda CommandLine OK
SUPERAntiSpyware OK
Twister Antivirus OK
VIPRE OK

Online and fully fud :D

_ARMDec - October 5, 2015, 11:15 pm

Running strong for over a year.

Zwerford - October 8, 2015, 10:46 am

qwerty101 - November 13, 2015, 8:09 am

1.5 years and still going strong.

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=aa6f3_hm4pII

Raymond Reddington - November 13, 2015, 8:20 am

I have used this crypter so many times, the only thing I regret is FUD period. It gets 0/35 to 5/35 detection in 1 day. Are there private stubs available?

Nevertheless, on compatibility and runtime FUD issues, it beats most crypters here on HF. 0.08 BTC is worth the crypt, but had the FUD time been longer or there were private stubs, this would be one stop to all crypting needs.

My review ends with a VOUCH.

qwerty101 - November 13, 2015, 8:22 am

(Nov 13, 2015 09:20 AM)Raymond Reddington Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have used this crypter so many times, the only thing I regret is FUD period. It gets 0/35 to 5/35 detection in 1 day. Are there private stubs available?

Nevertheless, on compatibility and runtime FUD issues, it beats most crypters here on HF. 0.08 BTC is worth the crypt, but had the FUD time been longer or there were private stubs, this would be one stop to all crypting needs.

My review ends with a VOUCH.
Glad to see you enjoy using our service.

Private stubs are indeed available - PM me for info.

Thanks :)

Raymond Reddington - November 13, 2015, 8:26 am

(Nov 13, 2015 09:22 AM)qwerty101 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Glad to see you enjoy using our service.

Private stubs are indeed available - PM me for info.

Thanks :)

Woah. That's all what I need then. Pmed you.

qwerty101 - December 2, 2015, 8:01 am

Online and fully FUD: http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=65341_hp9269

Fully account less and anonymous.

Private stub option is also available now.

_ARMDec - December 3, 2015, 11:01 pm

Still running stong

Now has private stubs

Wardow - December 9, 2015, 6:42 pm

Hello!

I have a question about your service, there is a checkbox on your website which state: "Private Stub Crypt (costs 0.3 BTC, last longer FUD) ".

I wanted to know if it was using another stub which is only for the users who tick this box, or it's a stub generated and modified automaticly for the code to look much different from the other stubs? If it is generated can you explain what methods your generator does use? Is it Control Flow Flattening, Junk Codes, etc..

Thanks for answering!

_ARMDec - December 9, 2015, 11:56 pm

(Dec 9, 2015 07:42 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hello!

I have a question about your service, there is a checkbox on your website which state: "Private Stub Crypt (costs 0.3 BTC, last longer FUD) ".

I wanted to know if it was using another stub which is only for the users who tick this box, or it's a stub generated and modified automaticly for the code to look much different from the other stubs? If it is generated can you explain what methods your generator does use? Is it Control Flow Flattening, Junk Codes, etc..

Thanks for answering!

Hi,

the unique stubs are pre-generated and stored in a database of available stubs. Once someone

crypts on that stub, it is deleted from the database to ensure no one gets the same stub twice

The stubs are obfuscated at the source level, and everything is changed including imports, junk ops, registers, constant values, etc!

Wardow - December 10, 2015, 8:59 am

(Dec 10, 2015 12:56 AM)_ARMDec Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hi, the unique stubs are pre-generated and stored in a database of available stubs. Once someone crypts on that stub, it is deleted from the database to ensure no one gets the same stub twice

The stubs are obfuscated at the source level, and everything is changed including imports, junk ops, registers, constant values, etc!

I've seen you were using the Nullsoft Scriptable Install System (NSIS), for what are you using it for? as far as i know you could make your entire stub using it.

_ARMDec - December 10, 2015, 1:18 pm

(Dec 10, 2015 09:59 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I've seen you were using the Nullsoft Scriptable Install System (NSIS), for what are you using it for? as far as i know you could make your entire stub using it.

not sure what you mean by 'you could make your entire stub using it'. It is possible to do a runpe with NSIS, but re-fuding it would be difficult, since you'd need to use constant strings which are easily extractable. Using functions in NSIS is an annoyance and NSIS doesn't support pointers or arrays, so string encryption would be a huge pain.

We just use it as a wrapper to hold and compress the stub. You could just as easily use the 7zip SDK to make your own LZMA library to hold and extract the stub

qwerty101 - December 10, 2015, 2:14 pm

Just fixed an issue that wasn't allowing users to crypt, all is good now.

0/35 FUD: http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=bb85c_hqe6rh

Private stubs available.

Wardow - December 10, 2015, 6:21 pm

(Dec 10, 2015 02:18 PM)_ARMDec Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) not sure what you mean by 'you could make your entire stub using it'. It is possible to do a runpe with NSIS, but re-fuding it would be difficult, since you'd need to use constant strings which are easily extractable. Using functions in NSIS is an annoyance and NSIS doesn't support pointers or arrays, so string encryption would be a huge pain.

We just use it as a wrapper to hold and compress the stub. You could just as easily use the 7zip SDK to make your own LZMA library to hold and extract the stub

I've also figured it sometime hide some detections of your dll, last time i checked was avira, you also dropping dummy files while executing your dll or somewhat, but agan it was long time ago, i will purchase a new crypt and make a new analysis to see what is up about it.

_ARMDec - December 10, 2015, 8:20 pm

(Dec 10, 2015 07:21 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I've also figured it sometime hide some detections of your dll, last time i checked was avira, you also dropping dummy files while executing your dll or somewhat, but agan it was long time ago, i will purchase a new crypt and make a new analysis to see what is up about it.

no, every major AV will extract it. if you want to hide scantime detections you'd simply need to extract an encrypted archive on runtime

<https://i.gyazo.com/f71795f1eb0529bad99b1177b08f6495.png>

Wardow - December 11, 2015, 9:27 am

(Dec 10, 2015 09:20 PM)_ARMDec Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) no, every major AV will extract it. if you want to hide scantime detections you'd simply need to extract an encrypted archive on runtime

<https://i.gyazo.com/f71795f1eb0529bad99b1177b08f6495.png>

I agree, but this time, Avira did not. I will purchase new crypt for further analysis.

qwerty101 - December 11, 2015, 12:51 pm

(Dec 11, 2015 10:27 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I agree, but this time, Avira did not. I will purchase new crypt for further analysis.

Please feel free to buy a crypt, and if you find any problems feel free to contact us so we can sort them ASAP. We have nothing to hide and appreciate all feedback.

However, can I ask that you stop posting here as really this thread is for customer feedback and reviews; the current conversation is a bit technical for the marketplace.

Current scan: http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=5ce11_hqin5f

Online and working well :)

Wardow - December 11, 2015, 12:52 pm

(Dec 11, 2015 01:51 PM)qwerty101 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Please feel free to buy a crypt, and if you find any problems feel free to contact us so we can sort them ASAP. We have nothing to hide and appreciate all feedback.

However, can I ask that you stop posting here as really this thread is for customer feedback and reviews; the current conversation is a bit technical for the marketplace.

Current scan: http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=5ce11_hqin5f

Online and working well :)

I will not be posting anything technical anymore, sorry.

s??l?s? - December 13, 2015, 6:43 pm

is it 34\$ something for a crypt?

qwerty101 - December 14, 2015, 5:48 am

(Dec 13, 2015 07:43 PM)s??l?s? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) is it 34\$ something for a crypt?

Currently, yes, although we will be reducing that to 20\$ BTC again to account for the recent changes in BTC price shortly.

qwerty101 - December 16, 2015, 2:52 pm

Current scan 0/35 FUD: http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=df944_hrd5pt

Reduced crypt price to 0.05 BTC to account for bitcoin price inflation.

Enhypen - December 16, 2015, 8:43 pm

What the serious fuck? Are people actually paying ~\$35 to crypt one fucking file? fuck me sideways, some people seriously have too much money

qwerty101 - December 17, 2015, 7:31 am

(Dec 16, 2015 09:43 PM)Enhypen Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What the serious fuck? Are people actually paying ~\$35 to crypt one fucking file? fuck me sideways, some people seriously have too much money
The price has now been reduced to 20\$ to account for inflation.

This is not an unreasonable price for a high quality crypt, and you will see it charged elsewhere on other HQ services.

Current scan 0/35 FUD: http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=df944_hrd5pt

Screenwriter - December 21, 2015, 10:07 am

k so first i buy this 20\$ for 1 crypt only... i scan on checkmate now it is 2 detected after having bought and instant scanned on viruchekmate;

and scan link you gave me show 0/35 checkmate give 2/42 so i went to sleep and woke up this morning i scan on virus checkmate and now we are 11/42

i never ever spreader, why is this??? I never even spreader 1 victim, nothing, i never even infected myself

this is no fair to me, i need a refund this no works for me, i get no victim if this is like this my friend. i need 100% FUD file no 11/42 this no fud.

qwerty101 - December 21, 2015, 10:09 am

(Dec 21, 2015 11:07 AM)NobodyKnowsMyName Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) -snip-
Hi

If you would kindly PM me your fudPE link I will gladly refund you as its clear scan4you's engine wasn't up to date when you did your crypt

We could also replace your crypt, whatever you prefer. In the future we would recommend that you do not buy a crypt from us if you do not intend to distribute it, as our crypts are generally detected fairly quickly (they are meant for quick mass distribution). If you plan to use the crypt sparingly, we would instead recommend that you buy a private stub crypt (more expensive but will last a lot, lot longer).

_ARMDec - December 22, 2015, 2:13 am

If you waited 12 hours it's not surprising that it got detections.

You need to buy a private crypt if you want long-term FUD.

The private crypts are polymorphic; the loader code/stub is 100% unique

Screenwriter - December 22, 2015, 8:37 am

(Dec 22, 2015 03:13 AM)_ARMDec Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If you waited 12 hours it's not surprising that it got detections.

You need to buy a private crypt if you want long-term FUD.

The private crypts are polymorphic; the loader code/stub is 100% unique
20\$ for 12 hours of UD. i no think this fair.

_ARMDec - December 22, 2015, 12:24 pm

(Dec 22, 2015 09:37 AM)NobodyKnowsMyName Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 20\$ for 12 hours of UD. i no think this fair.

It is, because it has the best execution rate on the market. \$20 for 95+% exec rate is actually too cheap.

If you want a longer lasting stub with a top execution rate, the price is 0.3 BTC

AlexCanvas - December 22, 2015, 1:04 pm

(Dec 22, 2015 01:24 PM)_ARMDec Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It is, because it has the best execution rate on the market. \$20 for 95+% exec rate is actually too cheap.

If you want a longer lasting stub with a top execution rate, the price is 0.3 BTC

When you say execution rate? Do you count bypasses into that or just executions without antivirus?

Screenwriter - December 22, 2015, 1:11 pm

(Dec 22, 2015 01:24 PM)_ARMDec Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It is, because it has the best execution rate on the market. \$20 for 95+% exec rate is actually too cheap.

If you want a longer lasting stub with a top execution rate, the price is 0.3 BTC

if i code a runpe that support relocation and execute 100% than i can charge 20\$ per 1 crypt??

qwerty101 - December 22, 2015, 1:47 pm

(Dec 22, 2015 02:11 PM)NobodyKnowsMyName Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) if i code a runpe that support relocation and execute 100% than i can charge 20\$ per 1 crypt??

Easier said than done. You have received your refund as promised, thanks.

(Dec 22, 2015 02:04 PM)AlexCanvas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) When you say execution rate? Do you count bypasses into that or just executions without antivirus?

Of course that execution rate includes computers with AVs.

AlexCanvas - December 22, 2015, 2:37 pm

(Dec 22, 2015 02:47 PM)qwerty101 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Easier said than done. You have received your refund as promised, thanks.

Of course that execution rate includes computers with AVs.

95% including AV?

I doubt that, you dont even have custom startup and without that youre already failing at 3 major AVs runtime.

Care to show some proof of all the AV bypasses with startup enabled?

qwerty101 - December 22, 2015, 2:39 pm

(Dec 22, 2015 03:37 PM)AlexCanvas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 95% including AV?

I doubt that, you dont even have custom startup and without that youre already failing at 3 major AVs runtime.

Care to show some proof of all the AV bypasses with startup enabled?

Part of the reason we are not runtime detected by crypters is because we don't offer silly features like "startup". This is the job of your executable, not the crypter.

Planning to make a few videos soon to show that we are runtime UD.

AlexCanvas - December 22, 2015, 2:44 pm

You cant rely on that every malware has startup that bypasses. its very few malware that actually are updated like that.

So you dont take this into consideration and you just do your tests without startup enabled? I see...

Ok looking forward to that.

qwerty101 - December 22, 2015, 2:48 pm

(Dec 22, 2015 03:44 PM)AlexCanvas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You cant rely on that every malware has startup that bypasses. its very few malware that actually are updated like that.

So you dont take this into consideration and you just do your tests without startup enabled? I see...

Ok looking forward to that.

Our product is not intended for use with cheap software / cracked software where the owner hasn't bothered to update the startup methods or made them unique enough.

Most of our customers use respectable software which has adequate startup built in. If yours doesn't, we aren't the crypter for you.

AlexCanvas - December 22, 2015, 2:51 pm

(Dec 22, 2015 03:48 PM)qwerty101 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Our product is not intended for use with cheap software / cracked software where the owner hasn't bothered to update the startup methods or made them unique enough.

Most of our customers use respectable software which has adequate startup built in. If yours doesn't, we aren't the crypter for you.

Dont worry I code my own crypters and bypasses.

I just found this weird so i wanted to clarify.

Good luck with your product.

_ARMDec - December 22, 2015, 3:04 pm

The execution rates are confirmed by statistics from loads. Arguing against it is basically arguing that 2+2=5

Ask Bull about it:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4497851&pid=43237418#pid43237418>

Wardow - December 22, 2015, 3:09 pm

Bit off topic but if anyone wants to have a RunPE with Relocations Support it's public now:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5104518>

AlexCanvas - December 23, 2015, 12:07 am

(Dec 22, 2015 04:04 PM)_ARMDec Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The execution rates are confirmed by statistics from loads. Arguing against it is basically arguing that $2+2=5$

Ask Bull about it:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4497851&pid=43237418#pid43237418>

So youre loading loads and base your statements on that. Well thats not the way i would test.

Looking forward to see all 95% AV bypasses...

Just too bad you cant bypass it with startup enabled and that you have to purchase a special super-up-to-date rat to be able to bypass.

In my opinion a crypter should take care of every obstacle like this. Well thats however what i did.

I dont think its right to the customer to claim that you bypass everything then later on you say "Oh well.. you gotta have super-rat v3000 to bypass, so we are not the right crypter for you"

Dont you think thats a bit off?

_ARMDec - December 23, 2015, 2:49 am

I realize you'd like to distract with this startup nonsense, but if your customer base doesn't care about custom start-up and you're selling many crypts while offering an exec rate > 90%, why would one change anything?

This service is designed for loads, not for RATs. Therefore, custom startup is irrelevant and if you want it, you're in the wrong place. Obviously it could be easily implemented, but it's just a waste of time and would actually deter the customer base who prefers simple effective crypts that don't interfere with the behavior of their bin.

What's advertised is what is offered: top execution rate. I don't think you're familiar with what an execution rate is, which is suspicious from someone involved in the crypting market

This discussion is becoming a waste of time as you're clearly just out to sales trash. If you'd like a real discussion just PM

AlexCanvas - December 23, 2015, 3:31 am

(Dec 23, 2015 03:49 AM)_ARMDec Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I realize you'd like to distract with this startup nonsense, but if your customer base doesn't care about custom start-up and you're selling many crypts while offering an exec rate > 90%, why would one change anything?

This service is designed for loads, not for RATs. Therefore, custom startup is irrelevant and if you want it, you're in the wrong place. Obviously it could be easily implemented, but it's just a waste of time and would actually deter the customer base who prefers simple effective crypts that don't interfere with the behavior of their bin.

What's advertised is what is offered: top execution rate. I don't think you're familiar with what an execution rate is, which is suspicious from someone involved in the crypting market

This discussion is becoming a waste of time as you're clearly just out to sales trash. If you'd like a real discussion just PM

Im absolutely not here to trash any sales, I have no reason to cuz i dont sell anything here?

I wanted to check your product as you trash every other product on hf so i wanted to hear what you

did to counter stuff, but clearly you just blame the malware.

I have written more bypasses and more antis than you can imagine in both NASM and C\C++, i think i know perfectly well what execution rate is.

Anyways, this isnt leading anywhere, Im out.

Merry christmas!

qwerty101 - December 23, 2015, 1:51 pm

(Dec 23, 2015 04:31 AM)AlexCanvas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Im absolutely not here to trash any sales, I have no reason to cuz i dont sell anything here?

I wanted to check your product as you trash every other product on hf so i wanted to hear what you did to counter stuff, but clearly you just blame the malware.

I have written more bypasses and more antis than you can imagine in both NASM and C\C++, i think i know perfectly well what execution rate is.

Anyways, this isnt leading anywhere, Im out.

Merry christmas!

Merry Christmas.

If you have all these "bypasses", make and use your own crypter. We sure won't stop you.

Current scan: http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=816bd_hshdm7

emekau2002 - December 23, 2015, 3:47 pm

could anyone tell me the best cloud crypter for betabot, or at least if not a cloud crypter, but at least a very good crypter that works crazy magic, not the cheap stuff mostly paraded

_ARMDec - December 23, 2015, 8:53 pm

(Dec 23, 2015 04:47 PM)emekau2002 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) could anyone tell me the best cloud crypter for betabot, or at least if not a cloud crypter, but at least a very good crypter that works crazy magic, not the cheap stuff mostly paraded

If you want long FUD - fudPE with private stub

If you want to do loads - fudPE with standard stub

lexcrobls - December 27, 2015, 12:50 pm

check your Mp your support jabber never has never connected why?

lexcrobls - December 30, 2015, 5:14 am

check your Mp man where is support jabber he never here you can tell me why?

qwerty101 - December 30, 2015, 8:50 am

(Dec 30, 2015 06:14 AM)lexcrobls Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) check your Mp man where is support jabber he never here you can tell me why?

Hi I have responded to your query via PM. Apologies for the delay.

qwerty101 - January 2, 2016, 5:26 am

Site online and FUD:

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=ff416_h7cn

RESULTS: 0/35

AVG Free OK

Avast OK
AntiVir (Avira) OK
BitDefender OK
Clam Antivirus OK
COMODO Internet Security OK
Dr.Web OK
eTrust-Vet OK
F-PROT Antivirus OK
F-Secure Internet Security OK
G Data OK
IKARUS Security OK
Kaspersky Antivirus OK
McAfee OK
MS Security Essentials OK
ESET NOD32 OK
Norman OK
Norton Antivirus OK
Panda Security OK
A-Squared OK
Quick Heal Antivirus OK
Solo Antivirus OK
Sophos OK
Trend Micro Internet Security OK
VBA32 Antivirus OK
Zoner AntiVirus OK
Ad-Aware OK
BullGuard OK
FortiClient OK
K7 Ultimate OK
NANO Antivirus OK
Panda CommandLine OK
SUPERAntiSpyware OK
Twister Antivirus OK
VIPRE OK

limb3r - January 18, 2016, 11:59 pm

Any guarantees that fudPE works with AutoLog and LL? What bare settings do you suggest that would ensure compatibility with most/all RATs? I'm not particular about Startup,Icon,Pumping and other fancy settings. Just getting my server FUD everytime....

I haven't used this yet, but need to have an idea before taking the plunge.

_ARMDec - January 23, 2016, 4:09 pm

(Jan 19, 2016 12:59 AM)limb3r Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Any guarantees that fudPE works with AutoLog and LL? What bare settings do you suggest that would ensure compatibility with most/all RATs? I'm not particular about Startup,Icon,Pumping and other fancy settings. Just getting my server FUD everytime....

I haven't used this yet, but need to have an idea before taking the plunge.

fudPE has been long proven to be compatible with all software

Wardow - January 24, 2016, 2:23 am

(Jan 23, 2016 05:09 PM)_ARMDec Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) fudPE has been long proven to be compatible with all software

AutoLOG is very special trust me, it's AutoIT and it has a resource for the script, but NAH they wont

read from the resource! they just read the file itself finding the resource delimited by some bytes, GG!

_ARMDec - January 24, 2016, 11:11 am

(Jan 24, 2016 03:23 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) AutoLOG is very special trust me, it's AutoIT and it has a resource for the script, but NAH they wont read from the resource! they just read the file itself finding the resource delimited by some bytes, GG!

Very low percentages of software customers want crypted are made on autoit for that exact reason. The script needs to be able to be read from disk apparently

If there was a demand for it I would virtualize the script on disk somehow or create some custom solution

But there's no demand for autoit compatibility that I can see

#Exploit - January 26, 2016, 8:52 am

If you looking for " PREMIUM CRYPTER SERVICE " , just go with FudPE. Amazing and HQ product.

GLWS m8

_ARMDec - January 26, 2016, 10:19 am

(Jan 26, 2016 09:52 AM)?LEGEND? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If you looking for " PREMIUM CRYPTER SERVICE " , just go with FudPE. Amazing and HQ product.

GLWS m8

Thank you

New engine is in effect. FUD times will be much longer than before.

Mcbride - January 30, 2016, 10:15 am

I crypted a file on your site and it downloaded a file less than a kilobyte

Invulner - January 30, 2016, 11:50 pm

Can you please fix the sit it is offline.

qwerty101 - February 8, 2016, 7:50 am

After some downtime, this service is back up and running again.

Result:

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=78d7d_i4t4mt

RESULTS: 0/35

AVG Free OK

Avast OK

AntiVir (Avira) OK

BitDefender OK

Clam Antivirus OK

COMODO Internet Security OK

Dr.Web OK

eTrust-Vet OK

F-PROT Antivirus OK

F-Secure Internet Security OK

G Data OK

IKARUS Security OK
Kaspersky Antivirus OK
McAfee OK
MS Security Essentials OK
ESET NOD32 OK
Norman OK
Norton Antivirus OK
Panda Security OK
A-Squared OK
Quick Heal Antivirus OK
Solo Antivirus OK
Sophos OK
Trend Micro Internet Security OK
VBA32 Antivirus OK
Zoner AntiVirus OK
Ad-Aware OK
BullGuard OK
FortiClient OK
K7 Ultimate OK
NANO Antivirus OK
Panda CommandLine OK
SUPERAntiSpyware OK
Twister Antivirus OK
VIPRE OK

qwerty101 - February 13, 2016, 7:11 am

http://scan4you.net/result.php?id=86433_i5k321

RESULTS: 0/35
AVG Free OK
Avast OK
AntiVir (Avira) OK
BitDefender OK
Clam Antivirus OK
COMODO Internet Security OK
Dr.Web OK
eTrust-Vet OK
F-PROT Antivirus OK
F-Secure Internet Security OK
G Data OK
IKARUS Security OK
Kaspersky Antivirus OK
McAfee OK
MS Security Essentials OK
ESET NOD32 OK
Norman OK
Norton Antivirus OK
Panda Security OK
A-Squared OK
Quick Heal Antivirus OK
Solo Antivirus OK
Sophos OK
Trend Micro Internet Security OK
VBA32 Antivirus OK

Zoner AntiVirus OK
Ad-Aware OK
BullGuard OK
FortiClient OK
K7 Ultimate OK
NANO Antivirus OK
Panda CommandLine OK
SUPERAntiSpyware OK
Twister Antivirus OK
VIPRE OK

Resolved some issues and the site is running fine

_ARMDec - February 13, 2016, 9:35 pm

Viruscheckmate now used as scanner & command line support was added

qwerty101 - February 15, 2016, 2:27 am

[img]http://viruscheckmate.com/image/WeucsZYlt3Ah/full/img.png[/img]

Service is online and running really well with a new scanning system.

Mcbride - February 15, 2016, 2:56 am

great, hope to use this service again soon.

qwerty101 - February 16, 2016, 9:42 am

(Feb 15, 2016 03:56 AM)Mr. Fix IT Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) great, hope to use this service again soon.
Hope to see you again soon.

[img]http://viruscheckmate.com/image/st3DHoHYoMMI/full/img.png[/img]

Online and FUD.

_ARMDec - February 17, 2016, 1:08 pm

FUD always

[img]http://viruscheckmate.com/image/hKOhY0pURluj/full/img.png[/img]

Mr Reddington - February 18, 2016, 1:44 am

Hey, it says here that it's 0.08 cents a crypt but on your website the Bitcoin cost is 0.05 which is a lot more than that. Am I missing something?

_ARMDec - February 19, 2016, 1:12 am

(Feb 18, 2016 02:44 AM)Mr Reddington Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hey, it says here that it's 0.08 cents a crypt but on your website the Bitcoin cost is 0.05 which is a lot more than that. Am I missing something?
It was 0.08 when the btc price was lower. Now it's 0.05 which is around \$20 at the current exchange rate

[img]http://viruscheckmate.com/image/LEQa2dfSBaQZ/full/img.png[/img]

qwerty101 - February 22, 2016, 7:13 am

(Feb 18, 2016 02:44 AM)Mr Reddington Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hey, it says here that it's 0.08 cents a crypt but on your website the Bitcoin cost is 0.05 which is a lot more than that. Am I missing something?
We always quote prices in terms of BTC. Never \$.

Service online and FUD.

[img]http://viruscheckmate.com/image/xJno1EcGnssz/full/img.png[/img]

<https://fud.pe>

_ARMDec - February 24, 2016, 8:34 pm

new .NET stub added to significantly improve .NET compatibility

[img]http://viruscheckmate.com/image/s5AjDRNjGiF3/full/img.png[/img]

qwerty101 - February 29, 2016, 10:39 am

[img]http://viruscheckmate.com/id/QBeUYkbnwr2S[/img]

Online and fully FUD.

NoLimit - March 4, 2016, 6:46 am

I'm interested in buying this. how long does it stay fud on average guys?

Flacon - March 4, 2016, 7:04 am

(Mar 4, 2016 07:46 AM)NoLimit Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm interested in buying this. how long does it stay fud on average guys?

it depends how you spread this can last up to 12 hours or 1 day you auto buy from their site fud.io enjoy

_ARMDec - March 5, 2016, 2:47 pm

Always FUD and running strong

[img]http://viruscheckmate.com/image/qQqXiuEcis18/full/img.png[/img]

qwerty101 - March 12, 2016, 4:07 am

As usual, we are open for business at <http://fud.pe>

[img]http://viruscheckmate.com/image/JcTSqcon9v6z/full/img.png[/img]

qwerty101 - March 13, 2016, 12:28 pm

[img]http://viruscheckmate.com/image/JceAs7XI4TzU/full/img.png[/img]

Online and 100% fud

qwerty101 - March 20, 2016, 10:37 am

The site is online and working well, contact me with any queries.

Wardow - March 20, 2016, 1:38 pm

(Mar 20, 2016 10:37 AM)qwerty101 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The site is online and working well, contact me with any queries.

Just helping out, you hosted your signature image onto your website but it has UAM from Cloudflare and it cannot load the signature unless i have visited your website, you may want to make a page rule to it, or use an external website like Imgur.

Narcist - March 22, 2016, 3:21 pm

would this work with LL rat?

qwerty101 - March 23, 2016, 12:01 pm

(Mar 22, 2016 03:21 PM)Narcist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) would this work with LL rat?
Hi, PM me a sample and we'll gladly test for you.

Online and FUD.

PhuongBum - April 1, 2016, 3:46 am

It's really FUD for long time I used. Today It's 1/35. Wait for an update!

qwerty101 - April 7, 2016, 9:50 am

(Apr 1, 2016 03:46 AM)PhuongBum Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's really FUD for long time I used. Today It's 1/35. Wait for an update!

We have now implemented an update which should extend the FUD time significantly for each crypt.

Xenderquito - April 17, 2016, 3:24 am

whenever i try to test it out-

"Website is offline No cached version of this page is available."

ridfesh1 - April 24, 2016, 1:20 am

fud.pe

Well I'm thinking about getting a private crypt tomorrow. How long will this stay FUD if I don't spread?

qwerty101 - April 26, 2016, 9:45 am

(Apr 24, 2016 01:20 AM)ridfesh1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) fud.pe

Well I'm thinking about getting a private crypt tomorrow. How long will this stay FUD if I don't spread?

If you don't spread it should stay FUD a good amount of time, however we do not put any sort of a guarantee in place.

current scan: <http://viruscheckmate.com/id/rergMgHR4HQZ>

Mirakuru - April 26, 2016, 1:47 pm

can you add binder feature?

qwerty101 - April 27, 2016, 12:49 am

(Apr 26, 2016 01:47 PM)Mirakuru Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) can you add binder feature?

This should be added soon, it was on there but we removed it due to some issues. Please note if you bind an EXE it will not be crypted, only the original file will be

qwerty101 - May 2, 2016, 3:58 am

[img]<http://viruscheckmate.com/image/HLfpyQSO2pUm/full/img.png>[/img]

Can confirm service is online and FUD.

Oven Mitts - May 10, 2016, 9:08 am

With each crypt being pegged in bitcoin that's making it about \$36/crypt right now, isn't that a little pricey considering for \$36 you can get at least a month from any other crypter if not even 3+ months with some?

EvilNerd - May 11, 2016, 9:36 am

(May 10, 2016 09:08 AM)Oven Mitts Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) With each crypt being pegged in bitcoin that's making it about \$36/crypt right now, isn't that a little pricey considering for \$36 you can get at least a month from any other crypter if not even 3+ months with some?36\$/crypt is hefty

I have problem with AVG memory detection, any rat ?
does this crypter can solve this problem ?

Wardow - May 11, 2016, 2:18 pm

(May 11, 2016 09:36 AM)EvilNerd Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 36\$/crypt is hefty

I have problem with AVG memory detection, any rat ?
does this crypter can solve this problem ?
Crypter job is not to solve memory detections, you'd have to ask your seller

gooby2 - May 18, 2016, 4:26 pm

cool great deal 10 bucks for scantime so i read... is it runtime?

Juicers27 - May 19, 2016, 1:26 am

its never fud windows defender found it as virus! i paid for 2 private stubs 0.3 BTC, and after downloading windows defender delete it! lol..

Selfpwned - May 21, 2016, 6:52 am

Its no working. Every time a timeout on the website.

_ARMDec - May 23, 2016, 12:31 pm

(May 21, 2016 06:52 AM)Selfpwned Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Its no working. Every time a timeout on the website.

Everything has been working fine. Must have been some server downtime

(May 19, 2016 01:26 AM)Juicers27 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) its never fud windows defender found it as virus! i paid for 2 private stubs 0.3 BTC, and after downloading windows defender delete it! lol..

Confirmed MSE/Defender is not detecting anything. This looks like a sales trash from a competitor.

Wardow - May 23, 2016, 1:24 pm

(May 23, 2016 12:31 PM)_ARMDec Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Confirmed MSE/Defender is not detecting anything. This looks like a sales trash from a competitor.

Check the "Microsoft MAPS" Cloud Scan on VirusCheckMate, I was being detected by them too, but as they are not listed on the regular scans I was not aware, should not take a long time to fix, just like any other.

qwerty101 - July 24, 2016, 8:26 am

There should be no issue atm, service running smoothly.

[img]http://viruscheckmate.com/image/ITuYBzilB93I/full/img.png[/img]

bigt22 - July 24, 2016, 1:50 pm

i would try it but 32\$ for a single crypt is a little bit on the expensive side

Backopy - July 28, 2016, 5:21 pm

Vouch for fudPE!

As a person who codes similar things myself I can with great certainty vouch for the quality of this product. The people behind this are brilliant and intuitive. fudPE is probably the single highest quality crypter on HF. Money talks, and fudPE is no different. fudPE is a pay-per-crypt service that charges per-crypt, unlike traditional crypters; I believe this offers a tenfold advantage over traditional client-based crypters, too many to simply name.

After analyzing the bin outputs from fudPE I can say to you all that it's very rare to come across such a high quality standard for such a cheap price.

Thank you qwerty101 and I hope you continue to provide this service in the future too.

P3TER P4RKER - July 29, 2016, 9:49 pm

Man this was just what I was looking for but my btc wallet is suffering right now and you dont take pp. No clue how those pp to btc work since last I checked they wanted 1\$ btc for 3 bucks and my ID.

qwerty101 - August 2, 2016, 1:47 pm

(Jul 29, 2016 09:49 PM)Brutus Forcington Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Man this was just what I was looking for but my btc wallet is suffering right now and you dont take pp. No clue how those pp to btc work since last I checked they wanted 1\$ btc for 3 bucks and my ID.

Sorry, we can only accept bitcoin at this time.

Undefined - August 2, 2016, 1:48 pm

Very nice and cheap service. Will use soon. Also, weren't you that guy who sold the metamorphic cryper?

MemoryLeak0 - August 2, 2016, 2:34 pm

(Aug 2, 2016 01:48 PM)Undefined Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Very nice and cheap service. Will use soon. Also, weren't you that guy who sold the metamorphic cryper?

Cheap, really?!

qwerty101 - August 4, 2016, 2:08 am

(Aug 2, 2016 01:48 PM)Undefined Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Very nice and cheap service. Will use soon. Also, weren't you that guy who sold the metamorphic cryper?

It's still for sale. I was actually selling this before the other crypter.

TrinityUK - August 8, 2016, 1:07 pm

I used this site yesterday for a native file that other crypters kept breaking. So far it's working really well and it's a great idea your website. I'll definately be using it again.

qwerty101 - August 19, 2016, 1:52 am

Update: LL and other .net products should work better now. Please contact us with any problematic files.

Scan:

[img]<http://viruscheckmate.com/image/K82GdcpDYAtu/full/img.png>[img]

#Exploit - August 20, 2016, 11:24 am

Works very well and FUD %100 too.

But I hope you put some other options, like the startup , Persistence option.

Thank you and big Vouch for this service.

Regards

qwerty101 - September 7, 2016, 12:23 pm

Due to excessive demand, the private crypt option has now been updated to limit # of these crypts in a given day.

qwerty101 - September 26, 2016, 7:47 am

There are now a limited number of private stubs available per day. Be fast to ensure that you get one if you want one. We have taken this measure to increase FUD time and help manage demand.

kefeiwu1 - September 28, 2016, 1:47 am

Does this have startup option auto added?

ikbeninrotterdam - September 28, 2016, 6:40 am

hello can you pm with your skype adress?

Thomaz000 - September 28, 2016, 9:42 am

forget my PM,.. website working now

seems like a really great service but it was asking me for \$30 i nearly had heart attack thank god im still here

qwerty101 - October 12, 2016, 4:43 am

<http://viruscheckmate.com/image/ruEzTDhPfNCn/full/img.png>

Online and fully FUD. Pm or contact on jabber for support.

TheMedigator - October 13, 2016, 8:20 am

so this is about \$50 for ONE crypt?

qwerty101 - October 13, 2016, 9:34 am

(Oct 13, 2016 08:20 AM)TheMedigator Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) so this is about \$50 for ONE crypt?

Nope. It's about \$30. About \$190 for a private crypt.

(preev.com prices)

If you can't see the value, it's not for you.

onyeoga - October 18, 2016, 3:47 pm

do they crypt java files? can anyone help me with were I can crypt a java file?

cryptking - November 12, 2016, 11:33 am

Reduced my bin size from 400kb to 350kb, very nice. After testing I can say this is a much higher quality than most

??????? ????? - November 12, 2016, 11:33 pm

What makes this better than maskPE? I'm not sure which to buy... They're both the same price but which one performs better?

qwerty101 - November 14, 2016, 7:57 am

(Nov 13, 2016 12:33 AM)??????? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What makes this better than maskPE? I'm not sure which to buy... They're both the same price but which one performs better?

I have no idea what this maskPE is, upon doing a quick inspection it appears that they make some

very bold (and some outright stupid) claims. I will do some further research later.

Jelokadi - November 16, 2016, 4:26 pm

(Nov 16, 2016 04:13 AM)Backopy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Owner of maskPE here.

I've always preached the quality of fudPE, even months before. But hey, let's not call each other stupid.

I am here to call you a stupid because you are actually genuinely stupid, and retarded also.

Scammer, liar, and autistic scum, all of what you're selling is a very simple crypter with a public runpe and a very ineffective junk/code generator in your backend, false advertising is all your thing is; and as qwerty said; its all "very bold (and some outright stupid) claims".

And .. let me just quote you here;

(Nov 13, 2016 03:22 AM)Backopy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) fudPE isn't as actively developed as maskPE.If this isnt negatively speaking about fudPE you can transform me into a frog and I will not complain.

Let me say that its a choice of yours to let people know what happens in your backend, maybe you're missing thread bumps or just like to do attention whoring for useless reasons, but in a malware packer manner you have to give updates rather everyday, or twice a day if your engine doesnt make it last a bit more longer; the time it stays clean until an update is required, (and if you don't have to do a lot of updates, it means your malware packer isnt at all being used in a productive way)

fudPE is not like to post a message about every cleaning they do otherwise you're gonna have a good few hundreds of messages here in less then a year

RogueAgent - November 16, 2016, 5:09 pm

(Nov 16, 2016 05:26 PM)Jelokadi Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I am here to call you a stupid because you are actually genuinely stupid, and retarded also.

Scammer, liar, and autistic scum, all of what you're selling is a very simple crypter with a public runpe and a very ineffective junk/code generator in your backend, false advertising is all your thing is; and as qwerty said; its all "very bold (and some outright stupid) claims".

And .. let me just quote you here;

If this isnt negatively speaking about fudPE you can transform me into a frog and I will not complain.

Let me say that its a choice of yours to let people know what happens in your backend, maybe you're missing thread bumps or just like to do attention whoring for useless reasons, but in a malware packer manner you have to give updates rather everyday, or twice a day if your engine doesnt make it last a bit more longer; the time it stays clean until an update is required, (and if you don't have to do a lot of updates, it means your malware packer isnt at all being used in a productive way)

fudPE is not like to post a message about every cleaning they do otherwise you're gonna have a good few hundreds of messages here in less then a year

You seem very invested in fudPE judging by the way you speak.

Calling other people autistic retarded scum? Yeah, I'll go ahead and stop reading your post now

since you've pretty much just ruined any credibility you'd have.

Jelokadi - November 17, 2016, 3:46 pm

(Nov 16, 2016 06:09 PM)RogueAgent Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You seem very invested in fudPE judging by the way you speak.

Calling other people autistic retarded scum? Yeah, I'll go ahead and stop reading your post now since you've pretty much just ruined any credibility you'd have.

People who know what they talk about; will be able to say that you're just a great piece of retarded guy.

qwerty101 - November 18, 2016, 9:51 am

Both of you, please stay OFF my thread. Right now, I have no issues with the way backcopy is advertising his product

qwerty101 - December 5, 2016, 5:56 am

Service is online and running. Feel free to PM with queries.

majcin - December 7, 2016, 10:24 am

(Oct 19, 2014 12:27 PM)qwerty101 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

Almost 40\$ per crypt?? Are you kidding me ?

QINGDAO - December 7, 2016, 11:43 am

(Dec 7, 2016 11:24 AM)majcin Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Almost 40\$ per crypt?? Are you kidding me ?

Wondering too, hope it works as advertise

The Unknown Coder - December 7, 2016, 6:32 pm

(Dec 7, 2016 11:24 AM)majcin Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Almost 40\$ per crypt?? Are you kidding me ?

(Dec 7, 2016 12:43 PM)QINGDAO Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Wondering too, hope it works as advertise

Neither of you have any idea what a private crypt goes for, only in this marketplace is it considered expensive.

Jelokadi - December 8, 2016, 2:26 pm

(Dec 7, 2016 07:32 PM)The Unknown Coder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Neither of you have any idea what a private crypt goes for, only in this marketplace is it considered expensive.
this is not private crypt : PP

Athos - December 12, 2016, 3:40 am

Test

1.Fud after crypt

2.I did not speard crypted file and next day already eset and karspersky detect it.

3.Good run

Ideea,good but i think its a bit expensive..for that results.

skelly76 - December 14, 2016, 4:26 am

\$40 for a standard crypt is ridiculous lol why even waste money to sticky this.

Kabir - December 14, 2016, 9:13 pm

how about private stub crypt how long it lasts FUD and dat \$ for one file

so this must bypass windows defender on win 10 machine /

qwerty101 - December 15, 2016, 7:19 am

(Dec 12, 2016 04:40 AM)Athos Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Test

1.Fud after crypt

2.I did not speard crypted file and next day already eset and karspersky detect it.

3.Good run

Ideea,good but i think its a bit expensive..for that results.

We are having a pricing review soon. Unfortunately, due to heavy spreaders and the like, we cannot place a guarentee on how long any of our crypts will remain FUD. If you require further explanation, please feel free to PM me.

I'm glad to here your last run went well though.

(Dec 14, 2016 05:26 AM)Aerial Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) \$40 for a standard crypt is ridiculous lol why even waste money to sticky this.

Thanks for your concern about our finances - I assure you they are well in hand. We are scheduled to have a price review soon, which will determine whether the crypts increase or decrease in price. Until then, the prices are as follows:

- Standard Crypt Price: 0.05 BTC

- Private Crypt Price: 0.3 BTC

(Dec 14, 2016 10:13 PM)Robinnh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) how about private stub crypt how long it lasts FUD and dat \$ for one file

so this must bypass windows defender on win 10 machine /

- Standard Crypt Price: 0.05 BTC

- Private Crypt Price: 0.3 BTC

Private crypts have a custom generated stub and loader. Although we place no guarantees on any of our crypts, if you don't use them much, they should last a good while

qwerty101 - January 29, 2017, 2:44 am

We have now added dynamic bitcoin pricing. The price has been set at 25\$ per regular crypt and 150\$ per private crypt.

qwerty101 - February 13, 2017, 10:51 am

Hi,

We will now be having up to 2 private crypts available per day

thanks

Xatu - February 18, 2017, 8:08 pm

I'm not happy with this service, a waste of time and money. I paid \$150 for a private crypt and after 24 hours there was 5 detections. I contacted the live support and received another refud that had many detections after 24 hours. WTF ?

cryptking - February 19, 2017, 10:42 am

(Feb 18, 2017 09:08 PM)Xatu Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm not happy with this service, a waste of time and money. I paid \$150 for a private crypt and after 24 hours there was 5 detections. I contacted the live support and received another refud that had many detections after 24 hours. WTF ?

I can vouch that the stubs on their site are 100% private. Mine would last for weeks. If you need long FUD then you cant send it to ppl that will scan it on VT (if ur doing HQ loads then its going to

get detected quickly). Dont blame service when u dont know ur shit

qwerty101 - February 20, 2017, 1:41 am

(Feb 18, 2017 09:08 PM)Xatu Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm not happy with this service, a waste of time and money. I paid \$150 for a private crypt and after 24 hours there was 5 detections. I contacted the live support and received another refud that had many detections after 24 hours. WTF ?

Pretty what the guy above me has said. From your post history, I can see you are heavily distributing to tier1 bots which will result in ANY crypt you do to get detected fast.

When private crypts are used for their intended purpose (small scale spreading), they can last weeks or even months.

We are sorry you are not satisfied with our service however I do not think you will find any improvement in other crypters considering your use case.

Xatu - February 20, 2017, 2:58 am

(Feb 20, 2017 02:41 AM)qwerty101 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Pretty what the guy above me has said. From your post history, I can see you are heavily distributing to tier1 bots which will result in ANY crypt you do to get detected fast.

When private crypts are used for their intended purpose (small scale spreading), they can last weeks or even months.

We are sorry you are not satisfied with our service however I do not think you will find any improvement in other crypters considering your use case.

I will admit you have good crypts, I was refuding on your website most days and had a great time spreading. I was just shocked that the private stub and shared stub had the same fud times. I guess that was my bad, sorry ?

qwerty101 - February 20, 2017, 12:32 pm

(Feb 20, 2017 03:58 AM)Xatu Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I will admit you have good crypts, I was refuding on your website most days and had a great time spreading. I was just shocked that the private stub and shared stub had the same fud times. I guess that was my bad, sorry ?

No need to apologise. We always value feedback and we will try to make the purpose of the private crypt function more obvious in the future.

With that said, I am glad you like using our service for reFUDing and if you ask support I have asked them to activate your next few crypts on us.

EverliKillz - February 20, 2017, 2:45 pm

I have used this service years back, and i must say has been my best till date, no jokes, i haven't seen non like this, Never ...

It's wonderful, works like charm.

FUD- Always Runtime/Scantime

Execution rate is 101/100

I couldn't afford it No longer, on the high side, but trust me it worth the money if you have it i can vouch for his service any day anywhere and anytime

High Quality

Good Luck With Sales

qwerty101 - February 22, 2017, 7:35 am

(Feb 20, 2017 03:45 PM)EverliKillz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have used this service years back, and i must say

has been my best till date, no jokes, i haven't seen non like this, Never ...

It's wonderful, works like charm.

FUD- Always Runtime/Scantime

Execution rate is 101/100

I couldn't afford it No longer, on the high side, but trust me it worth the money if you have it

i can vouch for his service any day anywhere and anytime

High Quality

Good Luck With Sales

Thanks! We have actually recently implemented dynamic bitcoin pricing which has made most services cheaper (if you didn't already see).

Service is online.

qwerty101 - February 27, 2017, 6:20 am

Just added a new thread design from xitoxic. It has some updated info about the service.

Thanks!

KingCoin - February 27, 2017, 6:22 am

(Feb 27, 2017 07:20 AM)qwerty101 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Just added a new thread design from xitoxic. It has some updated info about the service.

Thanks!

Its 100% clean?

read some bad things.

xitoxic - February 27, 2017, 6:23 am

Designed the thread for OP.

Vouch and Goodluck with sales.

qwerty101 - March 8, 2017, 2:37 am

Thanks for the vouch xitoxic.

Site is online and running well. Currently getting through a large volume of questions on the support email so please be patient if you haven't already received a response.

Teleforce - March 10, 2017, 11:22 am

Very sharp and high quality crypter.

qwerty101 - March 14, 2017, 6:45 am

A few limited private stubs are available at the moment for anyone interested.

Shadow4133 - March 14, 2017, 6:53 am

wtf??? 30\$/crypt ?

why would someone pay 30\$ for 1 crypt if there are crypters for sale 1 month or even 3 months for 30\$?

Teleforce - March 14, 2017, 1:18 pm

(Mar 14, 2017 06:53 AM)Shadow4133 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) wtf??? 30\$/crypt ?

why would someone pay 30\$ for 1 crypt if there are crypters for sale 1 month or even 3 months for 30\$?

Beacaus kids like you still don't know what is real crypter. There is private crypt for 150\$ per one file , there is crypter cost 2000\$ per month...huh

Shadow4133 - March 15, 2017, 9:32 am

(Mar 14, 2017 01:18 PM)Teleforce Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Beacaus kids like you still don't know what is real crypter. There is private crypt for 150\$ per one file , there is crypter cost 2000\$ per month...huh 2000\$ month? well thats just insane.

qwerty101 - March 28, 2017, 1:46 am

<http://viruscheckmate.com/id/o3d5C5eriPP1>

Crypter updated, fully clean and running well.

Any questions to cryptsupport@protonmail.com

? Death Disturbance ? - April 15, 2017, 2:01 pm

Crypter is high quality, shame I had some issues with it. GLWS OP!

qwerty101 - May 11, 2017, 12:38 am

I have just set our new site to live, fudPEv2!

This is a brand new system, so there may be some bugs when you use it. If you come across any, PM me or email cryptsupport@protonmail.com

Everything should be running smoothly for now though, feel free to PM with any feedback or suggestions about the new system.

RedWaters - May 11, 2017, 5:15 am

Vouch for qwerty and his service is high quality

antonym - May 13, 2017, 8:26 am

does not even work lol... hangs at crypting all the time... fix would be nice.

RedWaters - May 14, 2017, 8:05 am

(May 13, 2017 08:26 AM)antonym Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) does not even work lol... hangs at crypting all the time... fix would be nice.

Just tested, everything works perfectly

turkojan1976 - June 20, 2018, 7:00 pm

Today 6.60.2018, this program is not working fine anymore, its a scam to pay \$30 for nothing it is not working, back in october 2017 was perfect but now i feel scammed, im tired of this fud.io and fud.pe