

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [My signature has been removed twice](#)

Trisomy 21 - January 16, 2015, 9:26 am

It's not a huge issue, but I'm wondering why my signature has been removed twice without any notification.

My signature was simply:

Code 35 chars 35 chars 35 charsI didn't have any images, links, or any customization of font or color.

I went over the [Help Docs](#) that have to do with profile violations and don't see where I broke a rule.

Code Basic Rules List

1. You cannot buy, sell, trade, or share your account.
2. We do not allow multiple accounts except a UID_TEMP account as explained in our "Stolen and Lost HF Accounts" help doc.
3. No religious, nationality, or political expression in your profile.
4. No adult images such as nudity.
5. You cannot link to competing sites. We consider competing sites to be anything that has topics similar to those under our Hacking tab.
6. No image rotation or scripts images (ip grabber) for your avatar or signature.
7. No site goals such as "1000 Posts" or "100 Reps".
8. All text must be in English or readable as English in the case of fancy unicode.
9. You cannot impersonate staff, admins, or mentors.
10. No advertising of ddos, stress testing, or booter services in your profile.
10. No advertising of NFA (Non-Free Account) threads, sales, or services in your profile.
11. No linking to shock sites.

Was I breaking a rule? If not why was it removed twice?

Jason™ - January 16, 2015, 9:28 am

Just a wild guess but maybe it was removed because it was "LQ"?

b?xxy - January 16, 2015, 9:28 am

It looks really low quality, and you're not allowed to post that.

It doesn't look like a signature, but a post and it encourages the same behavior for other members.

Trisomy 21 - January 16, 2015, 9:30 am

(Jan 16, 2015 10:28 AM)Jason™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Just a wild guess but maybe it was removed because it was "LQ"?

There's no rule that says what you choose to say in your signature can't be ""LQ"". I assumed we could do what we wanted with the 255 characters as long as it didn't break any specified rules.

Jason™ - January 16, 2015, 9:30 am

(Jan 16, 2015 10:30 AM)Trisomy 21 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There's no rule that says what you choose to say in your signature can't be ""LQ"". I assumed we could do what we wanted with the 255 characters as long as it didn't break any specified rules.

I'm not sure that is why, I'm just pretty much assuming as there would be no other reason I could think of.

Niely - January 16, 2015, 9:31 am

(Jan 16, 2015 10:28 AM)Jason™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Just a wild guess but maybe it was removed because it was "LQ"?

Then 50% of the signatures here could be removed. :)

Based God Tyrone - January 16, 2015, 9:31 am

i dont see it as a problem wtf you guys on about 'lq signature' hurrr gaaayyyy

he payed for the right to have an image signature i think he should be able to write 35 chars in his signature

it doesnt bypass the char count or break any rules from what i can see

if his signature is lq then what the fuck is mine

b?xxy - January 16, 2015, 9:33 am

You can't write 35 characters in posts, and the signature make it appear as a part of the post.

Trisomy 21 - January 16, 2015, 9:34 am

(Jan 16, 2015 10:33 AM)- Sam - Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Your signature lacked quality because bypassing the character limit is prohibited.

For the third time, where does it say my signature has to meet a required quality as long as it complies with the rules? And nothing I did bypassed a character limit.

'Psyduck - January 16, 2015, 9:37 am

Maybe because it encourages other people to write LQ posts such as "35 chars".

Trisomy 21 - January 16, 2015, 9:39 am

(Jan 16, 2015 10:37 AM)Psyduck Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Maybe because it encourages other people to write LQ posts such as "35 chars".

What? Does your signature encourage members to randomly write psyduck at the end of their posts? I fail to see the connection.

Emylbus - January 16, 2015, 9:41 am

We can't read the staff member's mind. Please PM a staff member and they will be able to tell you what the reason was or if there was a mistake made.

Strictly speaking, if your signature was really just "35 chars", then I can't think of a specific rule that it was violating. However context is important and only the responding staff member would know what that was.