

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Split the Cryptography Section](#)

crazyycast13 - May 20, 2015, 1:46 am

Well, here goes nothing.

SUMMARY OF THIS POST:

- 1) Crypter and cryptography threads are so vastly different that they need to have different sections
- 2) Both topics create enough threads to merit their own sections
- 3) If a split does happen, create a new subforum labeled "Crypters" to put all crypter related threads and stickies. This should not affect business in any shape or form, and instead should be able to help someone who owns a sticky to find customers faster than before.

To start, this has been suggested multiple times in the past. The most recent time Omni commented on the thread, but there was no closure.

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

TIMELINE OF SUGGESTIONS FROM RECENT TO 2010

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4382342> most recent, Omni responded, but thread was never closed and I fully agree with OP

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4535463> wrong way of fixing the section

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4415811> closed with no response

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3964517> thread was never closed, wrong way of fixing the section anyways

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3525136> Omni said to make your own forum :/ Bad way of fixing it anyways

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3391274> No response to fixing the crypto section, he kind of had a good idea

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2981377> I just report these threads and they get junked

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2943490> No response from either staff or mentors, thread is still open

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2548868> I completely agreed with Paradoxum's response, but thread was closed and no staff response (this is 2012).

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=2166348> Thread was closed by Para, nothing happened from it, I somewhat agree with the OP

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=244827> This thread was made 3 months after the section was created. Omni, you said that it wasn't that bad back then, but trust me, it's bad now. Also, the thread was never closed.

The cryptography section currently has two completely different subjects that compete with each other. Crypters, and cryptography. Can crypters be considered cryptography? Technically yes, but somewhere around 95%+ of the threads that are about crypters ask about either

Why doesn't abc crypter work

what is the best crypter

Is this abc crypter compatible with xyz program

Why isn't abc crypter FUD

And the worst of all I need free crypt plox where is free crypt y dont i get free crypt give me free crypt now

None of those threads involve cryptography. None.

Do you want to know what real cryptography is? Take a peek into this thread ->

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4817797>

It's a transposition cipher that bases its keys on random noise. If you understand none of that, don't worry, most do not. However, that is actual cryptography. When people ask if you can crack a hash, that is real cryptography. When people ask how do I decrypt my drive after I forgot the password, that is real cryptography.

Asking about FUD crypters is not.

Now, to get into the actual logistics, and whether or not there would still be activity in both sections. I think that after the split, there would be an increased activity in the crypto section. There have been a lot of people who have told me through PMs, Skype, IRC, and on posts in HF that since the crypto section has been overrun by LQ questions regarding crypters, they are afraid of posting a question since it will most likely disappear. And for the most part, that is completely true. Thankfully, w34k, TDWC, Forezza, Chick3nman, and Tony Spilotro (and others) have tried to help, but even with them it's not enough. I have had to pm different staff more than a couple of times to shut down threads since they were getting out of hand, and it generally feels like the section is always about to spiral out of control.

Here is a current screenshot of the cryptography section:

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

Six of those threads deal with cryptography; 13 do not. I have reported two of them since one is sales trashing and the other is in the wrong forum.

However, to prove that there would be enough activity after a split, I took screenshots for an entire week's worth of posts.

These are in the next spoiler. Warning, they are a little bit big. To make the analysis easier, cryptography related threads will have blue marks, and crypter threads will have red marks. I added comments to threads if I felt they were needed.

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

1 crypto
2 crypter
5 crypter stickies(not included in count)

8 crypto
13 crypter

14 crypto

16 crypter

17 crypto

13 crypter

17 crypto

11 crypter

2 wrong section

5 crypto

3 crypter

Total count for the week:

62 crypto threads

58 crypter threads

Now if you say the average month is 30 days long, to figure out the average amount of threads per month you do $30/7 * \text{threads in a week} =$

265.7 crypto threads per month

248.5 crypter threads per month

And a lot of junked posts and threads, ty to the staff/admins who do that.

Since those numbers seem to be a little bit high I'll round them down to the nearest 10th, which still gets you 260 crypto threads and 240 crypter threads.

To make a new section, you normally need 100 new threads per month. In this case, we have over 200. This definitely qualifies for an entire new section, assuming that the 100 threads per month holds true.

What would the new section look like? (and where it would go)

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

Crypters would be under the Hacking Tools and Programs, along with RATs and Keyloggers

Things that should be allowed in the planned section: the discussion of and links to programs which either crypt programs, pack programs, spoof extensions, change the file icon, or bind programs to other programs.

The threads that would be moved into the section from the cryptography section would be any thread's OP that has one of the following keywords: "FDE" "crypter" "scanner". Considering that you are naming a new section "crypter" I highly doubt many users would post the wrong forum, but considering I already report around 30 posts in that section a week, I doubt there would be that much of a difference. If someone would actually be needed to just move the thread, from the crypto section into a new crypter section, I know a couple of member who would be more than happy to do so, myself included.

On a side note, if you do accept this suggestion, how about renaming the "Cryptography and Encryption Market" to "Crypter Market"? I don't see anything other than crypters in there.

Thank you for reading my suggestion.

JDCL - May 20, 2015, 1:50 am

I'm going to be a little bit harsh here, but if it was already answered, why would you make another thread? Things like this don't change because of a new layout on a thread. I understand that you may have worked hard on the thread, but if it was denied before, it will probably be denied again.

crazyycast13 - May 20, 2015, 1:54 am

(May 20, 2015 01:50 AM)Joclings Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm going to be a little bit harsh here, but if it was already answered, why would you make another thread? Things like this don't change because of a new layout on a thread. I understand that you may have worked hard on the thread, but if it was denied before, it will probably be denied again.

It was never fully denied.

Omni's last post on the subject:

(Sep 21, 2014 11:54 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) While I can agree with the intent of your suggestion it's also not practical. It would impossible to split threads. And if we did what would be left in the Cryptography section? Then we'd also have to deal with constant incorrectly posted threads to move.

Just not worth it.

But maybe I will consider a subforum for a more direct topic.

A) I showed that you could move threads (I've seen him do it before when he made the anonymity section)

B) The cryptography section would still be active

C) There are already a lot of things I report, and I don't think it would make a dent. Anyways, it's kind of hard to post a thread about crypters in the wrong section if there is a section specifically devoted to crypters.

JDCL - May 20, 2015, 2:37 am

(May 20, 2015 01:54 AM)crazyycast13 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It was never fully denied.

Omni's last post on the subject:

A) I showed that you could move threads (I've seen him do it before when he made the anonymity section)

B) The cryptography section would still be active

C) There are already a lot of things I report, and I don't think it would make a dent. Anyways, it's kind of hard to post a thread about crypters in the wrong section if there is a section specifically devoted to crypters.

Im going to use a bit of a weird example here, but if you've ever played runescape, you would know that when they came out with the EOC (Evolution of Combat), they received bad ratings on it. They then created (or re-released) and old and more favorable version of the game. Though the company that created it knew it would split the community in half, they went forward with it. Well, after about a month, more then 400,000 people switched from the normal (EOC) runescape to the 07 recreation. This really killed the activity of the EOC and it became an extremely unfavorable game compared to the 07 version.

What im trying to say is that if this happened, there is absolutely no guarantee that it will be an even split between the two topics. Although it may help some of the posts, the overall risk of doing this for the sake of just separating the two topics is just too high. If something were to happen with the activity, the damage would be done and the payout wouldn't be worth the cause.

But even so, i wish you the best of luck with your suggestion. Im off for the night.

Autocorrect - May 20, 2015, 2:37 am

Fully supported. It's already an even split and the high amount of poorly coded crypters are killing the section.

Kill?Muvz - May 20, 2015, 2:54 am

I would support this. Though splitting forums may be too much of an effort even just creating a fresh new subforum for crypters would also be a great action.

Autocorrect - May 20, 2015, 3:07 am

Also, this helps with the fact that cryptography shouldn't really be linked to blackhat activities, which in this section, it is.

crazyycast13 - May 20, 2015, 9:21 am

(May 20, 2015 02:54 AM)Kill?Muvz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I would support this. Though splitting forums may be too much of an effort even just creating a fresh new subforum for crypters would also be a great action.

Even though splitting the section down the middle would take a while, I feel that it would be a better fix. By completely removing crypters from cryptography section both sections would do better instead of as it is right now, competing to be on top of the section.

Since the only threads that are made about crypters are skid level questions, I feel that they have no place in the cryptography section.

TheDubWubCub - May 20, 2015, 11:35 am

As always, you'll have my support for this.

This section has been slowly going through its version of mitosis, and the crucial split it must make won't kill off or weaken each other, but they will instead thrive as the result.

The amount of crypter threads aren't to be worried about, they'll keep coming.

More pertinent crypto threads aren't going away either, but I'm assuming the concern is more focused upon whether or not the flow of these threads will actually remain constant or increase/decrease.

This split offers both parties to flourish and to not compete against each other, there's simply too much to discuss and share for each of them to weaken or die off; it's highly unlikely within my eyes and others.

Considering the potential benefits and the possible cons, I am an advocate for the split.

djaqib209 - May 20, 2015, 12:04 pm

i support this as well u that page is flooded with crypters sales

Aura - May 20, 2015, 2:21 pm

I support that suggestion. If there's someone who knows the Cryptography section, it's crazyycast. The suggestion's good and the facts are there, so I don't know why it would be refused.

MrOrang - May 20, 2015, 2:37 pm

Really supporting crazyycast here. Its looks like an utter mess. Wanted to post some things in there but decided not to.

Would be nice if the section got cleaner.

JuiceProductions - May 20, 2015, 2:47 pm

I deff support this and it'd be good if you placed a temp ban on the users that posted in the wrong section. It gets annoying to see the same 20 threads when they could've just searched and saw for themselves.

iDuL - May 20, 2015, 3:52 pm

I believe you can bring justice to the section OP.

crazyycast13 - May 20, 2015, 4:00 pm

(May 20, 2015 03:52 PM)iDuL Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I believe you can bring justice to the section OP.

Thanks man, it's just something that needs to be done for the good of the section. Honestly, when I see all these threads about "Does ABC crypter work with luminosity/Plasma Rat", I feel that being a sf the "Advanced Hacking" is just an inside joke.

Unauthenticated - May 20, 2015, 4:08 pm

What about a section for crypters in Beginner Hacking, etc.... RATs

And leave this place alone, ban ALL crypter related stuff in here and make a section about it in RATs place.

Cuz honestly, everything about crypters = RATs.

I totally support the split but, mind sharing your opinion about my idea :D

crazyycast13 - May 20, 2015, 4:18 pm

(May 20, 2015 04:08 PM)CriticalMatt's Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What about a section for crypters in Beginner Hacking, etc.... RATs

And leave this place alone, ban ALL crypter related stuff in here and make a section about it in RATs place.

Cuz honestly, everything about crypters = RATs.

I totally support the split but, mind sharing your opinion about my idea :D

Well, my suggestion is to make a sf for crypters and crypters alone in the hacking tools and programs section. There are so many crypter threads on a daily basis that they should get their own section, separate from hash cracking, failed encryption, new crypto methods, breaking ssl; actual crypto.

Crypters don't only = RATs, you can also use them for normal programs since they do offer good obfuscation, and people use them for general malware. Even though the average user who makes a thread is talking about RATs, some just want to crypt stubs for botnets and stuff. All of that blackhat stuff shouldn't be in the crypto section. Crypto shouldn't have a hat. It should just be math, something you can't say is or is not illegal. If these crypters only crypted files, I wouldn't have a single problem with them.

But they don't.

These crypters have spreading support, usb spreading, filetype obfuscation, hiding from antimalware, stuff that has nothing, I repeat, nothing, to do with cryptography.

That's the basis of why crypters shouldn't be in the crypto section. Because a crypter's main function isn't to use cryptography to make a file uncrackable buy people buying it; its main purpose is to spread and entrench malware into victim PCs.

Thank you for your support by the way, and the same goes to the rest of the people who posted on the thread.

NoScript - May 20, 2015, 4:31 pm

I support this suggestion to the max, It would feel a lot better to see this whole thing cleaned up to be honest.

crazycast is the god of the cryptography section so I say his advice should be taken!

crazyycast13 - May 20, 2015, 5:46 pm

(May 20, 2015 04:31 PM)NoScript Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I support this suggestion to the max, It would feel a lot better to see this whole thing cleaned up to be honest.

crazycast is the god of the cryptography section so I say his advice should be taken!

I'm not the god of the section, please don't see me that way :/

I'm just one of a couple of people who want to fix the cryptography section.

Thanks for the support.

Emoticon - May 20, 2015, 8:03 pm

Thank you, holy shit. I'm a big crypto geek and I absolutely hate the crypto section here. So much potential from members here, and it doesn't get used because they're (we're) too afraid to post something other than a new 1337 cryptz0r

crazyycast13 - May 21, 2015, 12:23 pm

(May 20, 2015 08:03 PM)Xerucide Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thank you, holy shit. I'm a big crypto geek and I absolutely hate the crypto section here. So much potential from members here, and it doesn't get used because they're (we're) too afraid to post something other than a new 1337 cryptz0r

I completely agree with what you said. The amount of crypter threads can be and is often very intimidating to random crypto people, and it implies to people who visit the section for actual crypto that this foul as a whole doesn't care too much, when in fact it does. Problem is crypter threads just swallow the section sometimes.

Thank you for your support.

Hash G. - May 21, 2015, 12:29 pm

I'm supporting this as well, and well done on your research OP, you put a lot of time into it!

chick3nman - May 21, 2015, 1:15 pm

I agree with the idea that the sections should be split. Full support from me.

sp3ctrum - May 21, 2015, 3:06 pm

I fully support this decision. Glad to see you made a move on this too crazy considering we was discussing this the other day xD Anyways I'm a big crypto fan myself, but after making numerous attempts to write tutorials for this section and them getting trashed in literally a few hours, I completely gave up on the section and rarely even visit it. Examples:

Code # See threads everyday regarding brute force attacks and password list generation: 1 post
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3383473>

How to use hashcat threads everywhere: 0 posts (even though its CPU hashcat still useful for those who find themselves having to stick to CPU version)
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3383481>

Bit manipulations in python: 0 posts, moved to WHF section: 0 posts
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3488905>

Recursive Subroutine Permutations: 0 posts, moved to WHF section and actually got some posts
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3817196>

Bottom line just about any real cryptography thread or tutorial gets trashed and over ran by all these LQ crypter threads. And everyone wonders why only a select few members here participate in hash cracking, and code breaking. No tutorials to learn from, and no one is going to search 8 pages back just to find a crypto thread or your question.

crazyycast13 - May 21, 2015, 4:01 pm

(May 21, 2015 12:29 PM)Hash G. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm supporting this as well, and well done on your research OP, you put a lot of time into it!

Thanks, just tried to show my point.

(May 21, 2015 01:15 PM)chick3nman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I agree with the idea that the sections should be split. Full support from me.

You need to fix your station, more people have needed to get their hashes cracked lol

(May 21, 2015 03:06 PM)The_Chang Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I fully support this decision. Glad to see you made a move on this too crazy considering we was discussing this the other day xD Anyways I'm a big crypto fan myself, but after making numerous attempts to write tutorials for this section and them getting trashed in literally a few hours, I completely gave up on the section and rarely even visit it. Examples:

Code # See threads everyday regarding brute force attacks and password list generation: 1 post
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3383473>

How to use hashcat threads everywhere: 0 posts (even though its CPU hashcat still useful for those who find themselves having to stick to CPU version)

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3383481>

Bit manipulations in python: 0 posts, moved to WHF section: 0 posts
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3488905>

Recursive Subroutine Permutations: 0 posts, moved to WHF section and actually got some posts
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=3817196>

Bottom line just about any real cryptography thread or tutorial gets trashed and over ran by all these LQ crypter threads. And everyone wonders why only a select few members here participate in hash cracking, and code breaking. No tutorials to learn from, and no one is going to search 8 pages back just to find a crypto thread or your question.

Completely agree with what you are saying. I've made a couple of crypto threads talking about how some encryption method just got cracked or how a different crypto program was found to have flaws, and I got no replies on them. I just stopped making the threads and I junked them all because what's the point of making a thread if the people you want to read it would have to weed through so many threads about crypters it would be almost pointless? It's not like you could just search "encryption" and find all of the best threads.

Hopsin - May 21, 2015, 6:13 pm

I also support this and I think it should be implement. It would be better and cleaner, good luck OP!

SIM-2212192 - May 21, 2015, 6:41 pm

I just posted one of those threads like a day or two ago. Being one of those people, I fully support this.

Canonsx130 - May 21, 2015, 8:34 pm

Amen to you sir. I lost all my love for the section with the gay crypter threads.

Funtage - May 22, 2015, 12:52 am

You put a strong case forward - if it'd make your experience on HF better, I support this well and truly.

ThePenumbra - May 22, 2015, 1:34 am

I support this to the fullest, but can't vote since I don't have enough posts yet.

I love how both of the people who don't support this own crypters lol.

crazyycast13 - May 22, 2015, 10:45 am

Just to restate what my OP means,

- 1) Crypter and cryptography threads are so vastly different that they need to have different sections
- 2) Both topics create enough threads to merit their own sections
- 3) If a split does happen, create a new subforum labeled "Crypters" to put all crypter related threads and stickies. This should not affect business in any shape or form, and instead should be able to help someone who owns a sticky to find customers faster than before.

As always, thanks for the support.

crazyycast13 - May 23, 2015, 11:31 am

Bumping this to the top, I want to see this section improved.

crazyycast13 - May 24, 2015, 12:17 pm

Bringing it back to the top, I would like to have this topic have a little bit more attention.

Hooded Robin - May 24, 2015, 3:50 pm

This has been a long standing issue, would be nice if someone made the change now that you have provided a lot of data behind the request

Xorex - May 24, 2015, 3:53 pm

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4643093>

crazyycast13 - May 24, 2015, 3:59 pm

(May 24, 2015 03:53 PM)Xorex Wrote: [\[CITE\] https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4643093](https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4643093)
I saw your suggestion, and I don't want that. I want crypters to be removed from the crypto section. They have nothing to deal with actual crypto, unlike hash cracking. Merely making a sub section just for hash cracking won't save threads that are about ciphers.

Niko - May 24, 2015, 9:56 pm

You have my full support on this! I love cracking hashes, and I like to learn about cryptography, But I myself honestly couldn't care about the "Next FUD crypter only 69.99" or "Check out my free crypter that kind of works". As I said, Full support :)

crazyycast13 - May 25, 2015, 12:19 pm

(May 24, 2015 09:56 PM)LegitBytes Wrote: [\[CITE\]](https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4643093) You have my full support on this! I love cracking hashes, and I like to learn about cryptography, But I myself honestly couldn't care about the "Next FUD crypter only 69.99" or "Check out my free crypter that kind of works". As I said, Full support :)

Thanks for the support, I appreciate it.

If you do say "I do not support this", or "I support this to a lesser extent", I would like you to post as to exactly why you feel that way, so I could clear any misconceptions or rewrite something in the OP that is currently explained poorly.

Canonsx130 - May 26, 2015, 9:03 pm

Bringing this back to the top. Good or bad, I'd like to see a decision made.

Grupi - May 26, 2015, 9:55 pm

Didn't mean to vote to not support this. My bad <3

crazyycast13 - May 27, 2015, 10:43 am

(May 26, 2015 09:03 PM)Canonsx130 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Bringing this back to the top. Good or bad, I'd like to see a decision made.

I would like to see more people give their opinions on the matter too. I also would like to see a decision, but first what people think of it.

(May 26, 2015 09:55 PM)Grupi Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Didn't mean to vote to not support this. My bad <3
Thanks for clarifying your stance.

Updating the OP to include that the suggested crypter section should also have binders and packers as RDCA suggested. I'm also going to add that I think that file extension changers and file icon changers should be allowed in the planned section too.

Niko - May 28, 2015, 1:13 pm

I still think this is a great idea! Also, just to add a bit to this, I think the forums may get some more people too, Such as hashcrackers from other forums etc.

Inkling - May 28, 2015, 1:21 pm

I fully support this. I think it would improve this section greatly.
Crypters do not belong in the cryptography section.

crazyycast13 - May 28, 2015, 10:19 pm

(May 28, 2015 01:21 PM)Inkling Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I fully support this. I think it would improve this section greatly.

Crypters do not belong in the cryptography section.

Thanks for the support. Pushing this back to the top so more people take a look at the thread, 55 votes isn't that much when on average there are about 60+ posts in that section a day.

crazyycast13 - May 29, 2015, 10:17 pm

(May 28, 2015 11:31 PM)xadamxk Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It makes sense to me to break it up.

I can only imagine if my favorite sections were home to their own marketplace too ???
See? You get it. There are a lot of crypter threads that weren't even made in 2015 that constantly get ed to the top of the section by random users with less than 25 posts.
Here's the total thread count for today: 10 threads about crypters, 14 threads about crypto
Spoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)

And yes, I will post on this thread at least once a day until work makes that not possible.

Nadisty - May 30, 2015, 5:05 am

I support this also. But at the same time it makes sense that it's all grouped together. It's what the users post that makes the section.

crazyycast13 - May 30, 2015, 7:09 am

(May 30, 2015 05:05 AM)Fantisah Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I support this also. But at the same time it makes sense that it's all grouped together. It's what the users post that makes the section.

No, it doesn't make sense at all considering that the discussion on HF makes crypters and crypto have nothing to do with each other, which is what the beginning of my OP is about.

As I said in the OP, there is enough posts in the section that there could be two sections, 1 for each topic, and there would be enough activity that neither section would die. Also, slowly after the split, the crypto section should get more activity as it would no longer appear to be a marketplace section for crypters.

Ichi - May 30, 2015, 1:39 pm

I fully support this. I love math and I'm starting to become more interested in crypto..I take a look at the crypto section on HF from time to time and it's always utter trash. There needs to be something done

Karambitz - May 30, 2015, 1:45 pm

I agree, this would increase sales for crypters as it will be easier to find.

Mons - May 30, 2015, 1:56 pm

This is a great idea and I'm fully behind it.

crazyycast13 - May 30, 2015, 6:27 pm

(May 30, 2015 01:39 PM)Ichi Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I fully support this. I love math and I'm starting to become more interested in crypto..I take a look at the crypto section on HF from time to time and it's always utter trash. There needs to be something done

Thanks for the support man.

(May 30, 2015 01:45 PM)Karambitz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I agree, this would increase sales for crypters as it will be easier to find.

That's what I was thinking too. It's a win-win scenario.

(May 30, 2015 01:56 PM)Mons Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is a great idea and I'm fully behind it.

Thanks for the support, could you also vote in the poll too? ?

Happy to see that we now have 75 votes total, with over 90% voting for some form of change to come to the crypto section.

Tony S - May 30, 2015, 6:56 pm

I completely agree with every point you've made.

Introvert - May 31, 2015, 7:40 am

Every so often there is a suggestion which is absolutely needed and is a great idea. This is one of those suggestions.

I fully support this and hope Omni makes the change.

Cmasson - May 31, 2015, 8:02 am

I support this, its a diffrent thing and i't will make more things clear and easier to acces!

crazyycast13 - May 31, 2015, 12:33 pm

(May 30, 2015 06:56 PM)Tony Spilotro Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I completely agree with every point you've made.

Thanks.

(May 31, 2015 07:40 AM)Introvert Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Every so often there is a suggestion which is absolutely needed and is a great idea. This is one of those suggestions.

I fully support this and hope Omni makes the change.

I made the suggestion because I thought that it was a good idea and could be backed up by proof.

Also, welcome to the crypto section.

(May 31, 2015 08:02 AM)Cmasson1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I support this, its a diffrent thing and i't will make more things clear and easier to acces!

Exactly.

77 votes in favor for the split, thanks HF.

Mathew425 - May 31, 2015, 12:51 pm

I totally agree with the OP. Threads like hash cracking are important while threads about crypters, not so much...

Introvert - May 31, 2015, 1:55 pm

(May 31, 2015 12:33 PM)crazyycast13 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Also, welcome to the crypto section.

Thanks. I don't have the best hash cracking setup but I just chill in the crypto section most of the time anyway. :)

crazyycast13 - May 31, 2015, 6:46 pm

(May 31, 2015 12:51 PM)Mathew425 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I totally agree with the OP. Threads like hash cracking are important while threads about crypters, not so much...

Since you agree with what I'm saying, could you please add your vote then?

(May 31, 2015 01:55 PM)Introvert Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thanks. I don't have the best hash cracking setup but I just chill in the crypto section most of the time anyway. :)

No problem.

Not too many crypter threads today, only had to have one junked. A larger than average amount of hash cracking threads though.

Ichi - June 1, 2015, 11:20 am

This thread needs some more love. The crypto section could be a great section for learning, (it's in the advanced section after all...) but it's not.

Instead it's a cesspool of garbage threads about the newest crypter from some guy trying to make a quick buck off skids

crazyycast13 - June 1, 2015, 1:27 pm

(Jun 1, 2015 11:20 AM)Ichi Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This thread needs some more love. The crypto section could be a great section for learning, (it's in the advanced section after all...) but it's not.

Instead it's a cesspool of garbage threads about the newest crypter from some guy trying to make a quick buck off skids

It's not a total cesspool (I just replied to three recent threads and I am currently waiting for the OP to

respond) when there is heavy moderation, but when people stop reporting posts / messaging staff about threads, the section can be overrun by crypter threads fast.

And this is now the 60th post on the thread (I'm 1/3 of the posts, way better than I thought I would be) and there have been 85 votes for doing something about the crypto section.

Wow, thanks for all the support, I'll be honest, I didn't expect to see so many votes since I usually don't see new faces in the section.

crazyycast13 - June 2, 2015, 1:57 pm

Bumping this up so more people can see this, only 4 votes in 24 hours is barely anything when I know a lot of people visit this section every day. If you don't understand something about the suggestion or need something clarified, just post on the thread.

Im gone brah - June 2, 2015, 2:19 pm

Even due I am not very interested with cryptography I do believe this should be implemented and you have my support. However, suggest before and denied. Probably too much work for Mr.boss.

crazyycast13 - June 2, 2015, 2:24 pm

(Jun 2, 2015 02:19 PM)Zayne Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Even due I am not very interested with cryptography I do believe this should be implemented and you have my support. However, suggest before and denied. Probably too much work for Mr.boss.

All that you have to do is move threads from the crypto section that have the word "binder" "crypter" /"scanner" or "FUD". I saw him do it to make the anonymity section so it could be done again. It might take a little while due to the sheer amount of threads, but it will be worth it.

If you agree, could you vote on the poll then?

Telstra - June 3, 2015, 2:56 am

Voted on the poll, hopefully this change comes into effect.

Royal ! - June 3, 2015, 3:51 am

I do not Support this, at all. Sometimes, change is Necessary but more then often, it Should just be left as it is. Like 90% of you Guys voting yes and saying "The section would become a good place for learning", majority of you guys are Pure lounge trolls, nothing else.

Sorry to say, this would Just be a very awkward Change.

Canonsx130 - June 3, 2015, 7:43 am

(Jun 3, 2015 03:51 AM)Royal ! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I do not Support this, at all. Sometimes, change is Necessary but more then often, it Should just be left as it is. Like 90% of you Guys voting yes and saying "The section would become a good place for learning", majority of you guys are Pure lounge trolls, nothing else.

Sorry to say, this would Just be a very awkward Change.
Please explain how this change would be awkward.

Ich - June 3, 2015, 8:32 am

(Jun 2, 2015 02:19 PM)Zayne Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Probably too much work for Mr.boss.

that's a good one, Zanye. 10/10

If he cared enough it wouldn't an issue but i dont get that vibe from "Mr Boss"

(Jun 3, 2015 03:51 AM)Royal ! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I do not Support this, at all. Sometimes, change is

Necessary but more then often, it Should just be left as it is. Like 90% of you Guys voting yes and saying "The section would become a good place for learning", majority of you guys are Pure lounge trolls, nothing else.

Sorry to say, this would Just be a very awkward Change.

Mind explaining your reasoning? Why would cleaning up the crypto section and getting rid of shitty crypter threads be "awkward"?

crazyycast13 - June 3, 2015, 8:58 am

(Jun 3, 2015 03:51 AM)Royal ! Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I do not Support this, at all. Sometimes, change is Necessary but more then often, it Should just be left as it is. Like 90% of you Guys voting yes and saying "The section would become a good place for learning", majority of you guys are Pure lounge trolls, nothing else.

Sorry to say, this would Just be a very awkward Change.

You're right. I'm not 100% sure how much better the section will become. But if you want, I can start posting all of the pms I regularly send staff that are always crypter threads breaking some kind of rule. If crypter threads never werre made in utter disregard of the rules, and people searched for a little bit rather than make a thread, I would never have to have had made this suggestion.

But that's not the case. I probably have around 500 reporrted posts just from that section, and day in and day out I see the same threads. This has been a constant problem with crypters. The worst thing is that crypter threads only in very rare cases have anything that remotely deal with cryptography.

Also, if you looked at the people who voted, not everyone is a loiunge whore. W34k, dubwubcub, Tony spilotro, Forezza, other crypter sticky owners, and myself all have over 250 posts in thes section. Chick3nman has over 1k. Xch4nge has occasionally popped in the section to close threads and RDCA voted yes on the poll. I made this suggestion because I am constantly there. I did not make this as an errant though as I came to the section; it's because after day after day of constant spam with crypters I decided to make this thread.

If you can give a counterargument that I can't argue, I will close the thread and wipe the OP. But so far, when I have pmed people about why they voted no, they either said "wrong option", "don't care", "can't explain it", and as you said "it would be awkward". None of that is a valid counterargument. I'm from Void, I like debating, and I know when I have lost a debate. But until then, I'm going to keep ing this thread.

Omniscient - June 3, 2015, 11:40 am

You can't have a crypter without using cryptography. But I understand what you're saying. I'll consider it.

EDIT: Done. Enjoy.