

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Rate My Graphic Re-Vamp](#)

Ienaos - June 29, 2015, 6:10 pm

The Rate My Graphic section has been filled with LQP and posts to increase post count for countless years and nothing has ever been done and a blind-eye has been turned to the section.

1. A Title Change

[Regarding what Buzz Lightyear stated:](#)

Quote:With the name "Rate my Graphic", it causes users to "rate" a piece using an arbitrary number system, with no basis or little reasoning. I believe that it should be renamed to something like "Graphic Critique", "Graphic Showcase and Discussion", or something along those lines, to discourage the use of numerical ratings, and to increase post quality in that subsection.

Why should you not use an arbitrary scale:

1) It does not help the artist in terms of where to improve.

The artist should be told:

- What makes the piece interesting?
- What do you find enjoyable about the piece?
- What seems like a weak area in their piece?
- What are potential ways to improve their piece?

2) Most people who post in the section put no basis behind their rating. Someone might rate a piece "7/10, I love it", but then give no reason behind deducting three points from their rating.

3) Even without a number rating, comments such as "it look good", do not help the artist, but rather boost their ego. Compliments are not wrong, but when artists get no constructive criticism, it hurts the artist.

"Rating" is not helpful to the artist, and at the same time it makes you look like you don't have any idea what you are talking about. Many people who post their work get offended at the first person that doesn't give them a "10/10" on their work. Changing the name would promote higher quality posts and more critique, preventing future tensions caused by offended artists.

Critiquing a piece is much higher quality and much more helpful than rating a piece.

Now you must be wondering. "Why is this a huge deal?"

Here's why:

- 1) Half the comments in there can be reported for LQ content. They contain one liners like "Nice job OP" or "10/10 looks good".
- 2) The section is used by individuals to easily increase their post count, number system or not.

I think it would be most beneficial to the artist, and for the sake of quality in the graphics section, to rename that subsection.

Thank you.

Examples of this (in progress):

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php...id46756560>
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php...id46732215>
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php...id46750045>
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php...id46739814>
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php...id46747120>
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php...id46750003>
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php...id46747327>
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php...id46749393>
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php...id46395359>
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php...id46406308>
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php...id46421997>
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php...id46733231>
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php...id46749336>
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php...id46514783>
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php...id46521827>
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php...id46549750>

[Another thread](#) by Buzz Lightyear regarding the issue:

The name "Rate My Graphic" should be abolished and a new title such as "Critique My Art" implanted, thus not relying on the rating system which is the cause of most LQP and having users express why they dislike or like the pieces.

2. A Possible Section Moderator

Since this section is never dealt with, a section moderator with knowledge in the field should be considered. Posts such as "Looks great 10/10!!" would be removed and looked down upon. The section is in desperate need for some sort of authority. Most people that post in the section have little to no knowledge in this field.

My thread [here](#) can also be referred to.
Thanks.

Bonfire - June 29, 2015, 6:53 pm

I'm all for this. The RMG section is overdue for reform. Many have tried to better the section, none have succeeded.

JuiceProductions - June 29, 2015, 6:59 pm

I'm all for this as well.

* ?? - June 29, 2015, 7:09 pm

Serious vouch from me.

This has been a plague since 2009.

The entire section really is just a huge loophole for shit posting and I feel like we have a chance at a really nice art community here.

Rivalry - June 30, 2015, 1:33 pm

Yep I'm fully behind this. The fact that I can go on the section now and probably submit 50+ LQP reports in 10 minutes suggests something's wrong with it. This is a really good plan to sort it out, because it's a huge mess right now; it's filled with people who have no interest in the graphics and barely even look at them, instead just posting arbitrary numbers to boost their post count.

* ?? - June 30, 2015, 1:51 pm

(Jun 30, 2015 01:42 PM)- Sam - Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think a good solution would be to not allow the

post(s) to count and accumulate on your profile. So if members do post nonsense just to increase their count, it will no longer work.

that is a bandaid fix, if you do that then even the people serious about art will not want to post threads or comments on anyone's work.

Seer - June 30, 2015, 1:55 pm

(Jun 29, 2015 07:09 PM)Ben D. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Serious vouch from me.

This has been a plague since 2009.

The entire section really is just a huge loophole for shit posting and I feel like we have a chance at a really nice art community here.

Your registration date reads 2014? How would you know what the section was like in '09?

On-topic: I'm for this change as the subforum is host to numerous low quality posts. The name of the subforum basically encourages weak replies that usually consist of "nice work 8/10".

FredLeague - June 30, 2015, 1:55 pm

(Jun 30, 2015 01:51 PM)Ben D. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) that is a bandaid fix, if you do that then even the people serious about art will not want to post threads or comments on anyone's work.

Why?

If you're serious about art, it should not matter whether posting will give a +1 to your count.

I mean if you're really serious about art why should you care for such a feature and not just enjoy people's art and help them improve it?

Seems like a good fix to me.

Ienaos - June 30, 2015, 2:07 pm

(Jun 30, 2015 01:55 PM)FredLeague Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why?

If you're serious about art, it should not matter whether posting will give a +1 to your count.

I mean if you're really serious about art why should you care for such a feature and not just enjoy people's art and help them improve it?

Seems like a good fix to me.

A +1 for each post would be nice too.

FredLeague - June 30, 2015, 2:12 pm

(Jun 30, 2015 02:07 PM)Ren? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) A +1 for each post would be nice too.

So you're bitching about people using it for post count but you are doing it yourself as well? Correct me if I'm wrong your post made zero sense.

*** ??** - June 30, 2015, 2:15 pm

(Jun 30, 2015 01:55 PM)Seer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Your registration date reads 2014? How would you know what the section was like in '09?

On-topic: I'm for this change as the subforum is host to numerous low quality posts. The name of the subforum basically encourages weak replies that usually consist of "nice work 8/10".

I joined HF in 09 my account was stolen and closed and omni let me come back just recently.

Ienaos - June 30, 2015, 2:21 pm

(Jun 30, 2015 02:12 PM)FredLeague Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So you're bitching about people using it for post count but you are doing it yourself as well? Correct me if I'm wrong your post made zero sense.

Well I can tell you're a multi-accounter (trust scan too, lol fred?).

I'm saying that yes, you should post there if you care about art. People who post there for solely post count purposes are those who need to be punished. For the people who do post there and are

serious about art, post count is a nice addition.

FredLeague - June 30, 2015, 2:24 pm

(Jun 30, 2015 02:21 PM)Ren? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well I can tell you're a multi-accounter (trust scan too, lol fred?).

I'm saying that yes, you should post there if you care about art. People who post there for solely post count purposes are those who need to be punished. For the people who do post there and are serious about art, post count is a nice addition.

I agree, but there is no way you're going to stop them unless you take away what they're doing it for.

lenaos - June 30, 2015, 2:38 pm

(Jun 30, 2015 02:35 PM)- Sam - Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) At the end of the day a name change will not fix the problem which is occurring. Section rules may however improve quality, but rule suggestions are strictly prohibited.

I would suggest you continue to report the post(s) which purposely lack quality, they will be dealt with.

I still think the idea of a section moderator would be beneficial.

Gustav - June 30, 2015, 2:45 pm

I support, RMG needs total revamp.

Buzz Lightyear - July 1, 2015, 5:04 am

Sam, I am with you with this section name change. Will it fix everything? Of course not. But it acts as a deterrent, as an encouragement to those posting. That was the purpose of my thread. It is hard to create a rule to prevent low quality posting in the section, because then people will always want to test boundaries. "Graphics Showcase and Discussion" was one of the options I listed in my thread, and I think it works best to promote conversation, and not empty posts filled with filler words.

flmnjne - July 1, 2015, 5:10 am

even though it hasn't been long, I have seen the state of that section every time I come on, quite lame really.

Clapton - July 1, 2015, 1:50 pm

I don't even know whats going on or what this thread is about because I didn't read it. But I like your gfx, 8.6/10

Zen???????????????? - July 1, 2015, 6:53 pm

Ren & Zen for life.

I have to agree with this because I can't stand if whether I post something or one of my friends do and all they get are a few numbers but no real help.

If you can't give actual criticism then there shouldn't be a reason to post.

Bluffer - July 1, 2015, 7:28 pm

I agree, and this is a great idea.

Otis???????????????? - July 1, 2015, 7:35 pm

I'm all for this. Change must happen!

*** ??** - July 1, 2015, 8:36 pm

Here is the perfect example.

Here is a member who non stop shit posted the other day.
Just endless LQP

And just look how great his posts are.

And to top that off I reported over 50 posts in RMG the other day, at least 10 of which were PSITICO's posts.

Notice that warning level.

Proof i reported his post.

So why hasn't he been warned for spamming and low quality posting?
Is RMG just totally ignored?

lenaos - July 3, 2015, 8:20 pm

I'm sure staff have seen this by now.

* ?? - July 3, 2015, 11:00 pm

(Jul 3, 2015 08:20 PM)Ren? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm sure staff have seen this by now.

I've messaged two members of staff so far and have not received any confirmation that the problem is being dealt with in any way.

Charlemagne - July 3, 2015, 11:16 pm

(Jul 3, 2015 11:00 PM)Ben D. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I've messaged two members of staff so far and have not received any confirmation that the problem is being dealt with in any way.

Don't PM staff about suggestions. In due time they will view this thread and make a decision.

* ?? - July 3, 2015, 11:42 pm

(Jul 3, 2015 11:16 PM)Charlemagne Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Don't PM staff about suggestions. In due time they will view this thread and make a decision.

Taking care of reported post isn't a suggestion.

It's what they are supposed to do and are not doing.

Entire - July 4, 2015, 3:20 am

I'm confused why the fuck ya'll are crying over a small part of HF?

420 Lord - July 5, 2015, 3:14 pm

I agree with this, that subforum is awful

lenaos - August 9, 2015, 5:25 pm

(Jul 4, 2015 03:20 AM)Entire Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm confused why the fuck ya'll are crying over a small part of HF?

It's not a small part of HF.

HIGH PAID FELLA - August 9, 2015, 5:26 pm

I totally agree with Ren, needs some cleanup.

Buzz Lightyear - August 9, 2015, 9:38 pm

So glad I agree with someone who agrees with me.

Aurora - *August 10, 2015, 2:48 pm*

It's good to see someone providing ideas for forum improvement. I think it'll definitely raise the quality of that section if you ask me.

Zeeka - *August 10, 2015, 2:50 pm*

I agree. I've just browsed that section and found countless examples.