

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Absolute Crypter Review](#)

FastCrypt - August 5, 2015, 10:01 am

Sales thread: <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4616066>

Ok here is my review.

I was instructed not to leave any negative feedback or i would lose my license as soon as i bought this crypter.

I thought to myself that there must be a reason why he writes this as his first statement to me after i purchased it.

However I'm still going to give my honest opinion on this. I bought this, it took about two days before i actually got the program but okay i can live with that.

First, i thought he wrote in his thread that it was coded in C++ ?

It's not?

Stub size is about 408kb, stuffed with junk, clearly the one who wrote this does not know how to code a proper crypter.

After receiving it i was curious because he advertises that it "bypasses ALL" antivirus runtime so i put it to the test in my boxed environment.

Testing performed with ESET

Testing performed with Norton

Testing performed with Kaspersky

Testing performed with Bitdefender

A little cute loop that counts nothing, after this a few more useless loops followed along with sleep etc... i bet this is effective against runtime antivirus(ironic).....

EDIT - A few hours after i wrote this thread, the files became heavily detected obviously because the lack of runtime, even though he made it "undetected" ~14 hours ago.

14 hours later....

I was planning on doing further analysis in ollydbg, but as it didnt succeed on even one of my tests i assume this was not even necessary.

But what I can say so far, poorly coded crypter with little to none protection against both AV and reversers.

When a crypter like this is not runtime against any of the major AV's they will all distribute the samples caught, and share them between eachother.

No wonder this crypter is fud for just a few hours.

You can gangrep me all you want, i dont care. I just feel that people should know this before they buy.

False advertising is not the way to go as a scantime only crypter can be coded FUD in C++ in less than a day.

Maxi - August 5, 2015, 10:04 am

Glad to see that there are some good members here. Thanks.

Wardow - August 5, 2015, 11:01 am

Edit, seem like real, omg.

Raymond Reddington - August 5, 2015, 11:06 am

Wow, that's why you go with some crypter from a quality coder.

FastCrypt - August 5, 2015, 11:18 am

(Aug 5, 2015 11:01 AM)W????? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) These are either error messages because you run the file in a Virtual Machine, he would not sell a crypter like that lol.

No this is memory detection, i have written my own crypter in C++ and its the same problem. Runtime.

This has nothing to do with AntiVM, and for that case anti vm was neither turned on.

Wardow - August 5, 2015, 11:18 am

(Aug 5, 2015 11:18 AM)011010 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No this is memory detection, i have written my own crypter in C++ and its the exact same way.

This has nothing to do with AntiVM and for case anti vm was neither turned on.

then explain me why the error message does not follow the standard?

FastCrypt - August 5, 2015, 11:25 am

(Aug 5, 2015 11:18 AM)W????? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) then explain me why the error message does not follow the standard?

It hits ResumeThread, then AV message pops up in right corner then disables the execution.

If i encrypt a messagebox or putty.exe for that instance the execution will be successfull because its not detected by their memory scanner. But as soon as you encrypt malware with it, you will get what i just showed you.

If you want me to show you my own self written crypter in a demonstration against the same issue, i will do it with pleasure, contact me in PM.

kneegurs - August 5, 2015, 11:26 am

good nice to see some people not bsing for once.

Hash G. - August 5, 2015, 11:30 am

I'd call this an honest review, thanks for the heads up OP.

Bull™ - August 5, 2015, 11:32 am

Marvid is an artistic scammer Thats all I want to say .

'An0ny - August 5, 2015, 2:27 pm

You've done some excellent research here my friend, thanks for sharing the truth about the Crypter.

FastCrypt - August 5, 2015, 7:25 pm

Added a bit more to the thread.

OneHundred14 - August 6, 2015, 6:58 am

I'm glad to see a honest review. The crypter section need more honest review and less fake vouchs. Also, i must say that 7/35 are not the real detections, kazy and vary detections are for assembly and icon. Its only detected by avira and kaspersky.

Before to buy any Crypter, first read all his sales thread to avoid be scammed by fake vouch done by idiots. There is any crypter in all HF that can bypass 100% of AV. If you found it, just pm because i want test it on my VM with every AV on runtime with all heuteristic enabled.

BLiNDzZ - August 6, 2015, 7:01 am

lol so glad someone finally wrote a review. i knew this months ago but i was too lazy to say my claims and provide proof good post glad this idiot is getting exposed.

Meow - August 6, 2015, 7:53 am

Thanks a lot for this review!

Angel Beats?? - August 6, 2015, 7:57 am

Was wondering why stub size was so huge when i tried it.

PirateSec - August 6, 2015, 8:00 am

Awesome review bro you need to do these reviews more often

FastCrypt - August 6, 2015, 10:05 am

Funny how he got my review link removed from his sales thread.

I thought people were allowed to give their opinion about an item when they've purchased it? Seems not

Uiqueblhats - August 6, 2015, 10:33 am

Thnaks for honest review but everyone knows here his truth

Hash G. - August 6, 2015, 10:38 am

(Aug 6, 2015 10:05 AM)011010 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Funny how he got my review link removed from his sales thread.

I thought people were allowed to give their opinion about an item when they've purchased it? Seems not!It's considered as sales trashing. Unfortunately.

PirateSec - August 6, 2015, 12:24 pm

Atleast try to lie about 1 laungage you used for the crypter instead of 2 ?

?Cyber - August 6, 2015, 12:28 pm

(Aug 6, 2015 12:24 PM)PirateSec Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

Atleast try to lie about 1 laungage you used for the crypter instead of 2 ?

I was so confused when i was looking at this a few days ago and saw that LOL.

nice ass review op, you going to keep reviewing some more crypters? or thinking about trying out RAT's and other things?

FastCrypt - August 6, 2015, 12:35 pm

(Aug 6, 2015 12:28 PM)?Salvation Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I was so confused when i was looking at this a few days ago and saw that LOL.

nice ass review op, you going to keep reviewing some more crypters? or thinking about trying out RAT's and other things?

Thanks, well usually i don't review stuff like this, but i found this crypter extremely poor, and that just made me want to document everything.

I'm currently writing my own crypter in C++ which is more or less done, so im quite busy with that. Maybe you'll see more reviews in the future if i find them funny enough to write about :)

Forc?d - August 6, 2015, 12:59 pm

I just bought this crypter around the same time as 011010 did

I have used it 7 times it has crashed 5 out of 7 before i even got to crypt.

At also took 3 days to recive

And i was wondering how the hell this can be an C++ crypter and have bigger stub size then anything i have seen.

Glad someone ho new his shit came along and did this review, i think way to much is considered sales trashing on HF

Gamer222 - August 7, 2015, 2:33 am

011010, you da real mvp for making a thread like this. I would love to see more reviews like this, or atleast a short version with conclusions.

BLiNDzZ - August 7, 2015, 4:32 am

hey man it doesnt matter if you showed proof of a scam. omni doesnt give a fuck . if they pay for a sticky he would put child porn up if they paid the right price.

bamboleo - August 7, 2015, 5:32 am

I bought this crypter and i was waiting 2 days without contact. He was online but my order was not completed.

When u trying to ask him where is your order , he will return your payment. "Children's behavior" - seller

Proof:

Spoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)

FastCrypt - August 8, 2015, 11:39 am

(Aug 7, 2015 04:32 AM)BLiNDzZ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) hey man it doesnt matter if you showed proof of a scam. omni doesnt give a fuck . if they pay for a sticky he would put child porn up if they paid the right price.

Well thats Indeed sad news

Gangster Disciple - August 9, 2015, 11:34 am

Thanks alot for this review.

Enjoyed the .gifs

bamboleo - August 10, 2015, 10:31 am

Why all the negative reviews of Marvid topic disappear ? Marvid pays for this moderator ? Pathetic vendor....

Someone can report this scam ?

munachim - August 10, 2015, 10:58 am

sometime truth can not be hidden i like c++ crypters because of there non dependence but coders should work hrad on it as we are working hard to pay for their services

PirateSec - August 10, 2015, 3:13 pm

I actually want to this, so more people can see.

bamboleo - August 11, 2015, 11:01 am

Moderator of course deletes all negative comments from "Absolute sh*t Crypter" thread. Marvid (and his monkeys) gives us negative reputation.