

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [\[FREE\]\[12B+ Passwords\] Hash Lookup Program \(VB, IPB and more supported](#)

CyberGuard - August 24, 2015, 8:40 am

Hashing & Hash Lookup Program

With a Custom DB!

Mass lookup is not functional yet in the program.

We currently support these hash types for lookup: MD4

MD4x2

MD5

MD5x2

MD5x3

MD5x4

MD5x5

MD5(SHA1())

MD5(SHA1(SHA1()))

SHA1

SHA1x2

SHA1x3

SHA1x4

SHA1x5

SHA1(MD5())

SHA1(MD5(MD5()))

SHA256

SHA512

LM

NTLM

RIPEMD160

Salted:MD5 (all types)

SHA1 (all types)

MyBB/IPB

Vbulletin

PHPAss

bCrypt

Want a hash type added to our database? Let us know!

Hash Count Currently: 12,000,000,000

[Live Statistics](#)

Contributors

Repentance - Developer & Provider of password lists

[True Demon](#) - Thanks for the awesome password lists!

[?Pozdrav](#) - Thanks for the Signature!

[chick3nman](#) - You've been a great help with cracking & tips

Wear our signature!

Show your support for this awesome program by wearing this signature!

Code

[align=center][url=https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4961877][img]https://i.imgur.com/eSpWvc1.png[/img][url][align]

Hashing + Methods

By downloading you accept the following terms: You will not abuse this program

You will not copy the program, give it out as your own or post it elsewhere without giving credit (with credit it's fine)

Downloads

Download: <http://ow.ly/jjJI306YJkE>

Virusscan .exe:

<https://www.virustotal.com/en/file/c0462b20ed78e680a8e17907201101f5ba73deb43147d26c55a075b24e2c010c/analysis/1481304165/>

Virusscan .zip:

<https://www.virustotal.com/en/file/ee93b26dd94fdd68e26313a2ed1148aa41180c8193ba56ad544d96be1387947e/analysis/1481304048/>

Give feedback and show me what you think!

Vouches & Opinions

(Jan 8, 2016 10:21 PM) True Demon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This looks absolutely, balls-to-the-walls awesome! CyberGuard is a personal friend and I totally vouch for his helpful attitude, knowledge, and definitely this hash-db. His quality is demonstrated throughout his history here on HF. Looking forward to seeing this grow. Let me know if I can be of assistance? ?

(Jan 8, 2016 10:33 PM) Root Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Nice hash cracking service dude!

(Sep 16, 2015 05:09 PM) LegitBytes Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Seems like this project is rapidly growing, I wish you good luck with it.

(Sep 17, 2015 01:41 PM) CentralIntelligenceAgency Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah, like LegitBytes said: Good luck!

I really like this project! It's a fair challenge for you and also - since you're sharing it for free - a nice help for everyone interested in this on HF.

chick3nman - August 24, 2015, 11:50 am

(Aug 24, 2015 08:40 AM) CyberGuard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) We currently support these hashes for decryption: MD5

MD5x2

MD5x3

MD5(SHA1())

SHA1

SHA1x2

SHA1x3

SHA1(MD5())

SHA512

Want a hash type added to our database? Let us know!

Hash Count Currently: 200000

Encryption + Methods

Coded in VB.NET

VirusScan:

<https://www.virustotal.com/en/file/c1d6fcc09bfa92e79244987044ea3b5f673d3061367b5ffcdb7cfc4bb63278c7/analysis/1440430636/>

By downloading you accept the following terms: You will not abuse this program

You know every encrypted plain is saved to our database so we can expand

You will not copy the program, give it out as your own or post it elsewhere without giving credit (with credit it's fine)

Support me x2: <http://adf.ly/1NFUvI>

Support me: <http://adf.ly/1NFUII>

Download: <https://mega.nz/#!vsB0GCKK!f-3wCzHRA4xibUar3CdZ032vMsObpjAs3C3xORsgG94>

Give feedback and tell what you think!

What Database/Table are you pulling the plaintexts from? Just a personal one? Would probably be a cool idea to include some of the smaller rainbow tables(1-6Alpha, 1-9 Numeric, etc.) just to be comprehensive since you aren't accepting salted hashes.

CyberGuard - August 24, 2015, 11:55 am

(Aug 24, 2015 11:50 AM)chick3nman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What Database/Table are you pulling the plaintexts from? Just a personal one? Would probably be a cool idea to include some of the smaller rainbow tables(1-6Alpha, 1-9 Numeric, etc.) just to be comprehensive since you aren't accepting salted hashes.

I bought a NAS server where I'm hosting the database on.

I set up a system to auto-split tables every 1,000,000 (tell me if you have a more optimal number for table size)

MD5-1 -> 1,000,000 Rows

MD5-2 -> 1,000,000 Rows

MD5-3 -> 153,485 Rows

SHA1-1-> 1,000,000 Rows

SHA1-2-> 938,143 Rows

SHA5-1-> 492,132 Rows

This gives an overview of how I currently manage it.

The most time is put into seeing if a plain was seen before in one of the MD5 tables (that contain all plains so far). Takes 100ms on average right now.

plain insertion takes ~1ms.

EDIT: I found a faster way to do it and decreased the checking time from 100ms to 0.1ms by using TOP 1 instead of COUNT()

I'm having hashtopus insert every cracked hash into the database as well.

chick3nman - August 24, 2015, 11:58 am

(Aug 24, 2015 11:55 AM)CyberGuard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I bought a NAS server where I'm hosting the database on.

I set up a system to auto-split tables every 1,000,000 (tell me if you have an more optimal number for table size)

MD5-1 -> 1,000,000 Rows
MD5-2 -> 1,000,000 Rows
MD5-3 -> 153,485 Rows
SHA1-1-> 1,000,000 Rows
SHA1-2-> 938,143 Rows
SHA5-1-> 492,132 Rows

This gives an overview of how I currently manage it.

The most time is put into seeing if a plain was seen before in one of the MD5 tables (that contain all plains so far). Takes 100ms on average right now.

plain insertion takes ~1ms.

Ok. Did you download any public plain tables or Rainbow tables or is it built out of hashes you've personally collected?

CyberGuard - August 24, 2015, 12:13 pm

(Aug 24, 2015 11:58 AM)chick3nman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ok. Did you download any public plain tables or Rainbow tables or is it built out of hashes you've personally collected?

Currently it's one that I personally collected from hashtopus mainly. (by editing server.php we used curl's multi handle to send the cracked plains to the NAS who would then encrypt them using our 'methods')

the methods are the ones you're able to use for 'cracking' (lookup)

Examples of how we did MD5.

Note that "" at the end? That's for possible Salts that could be added in the future. (we took it into consideration)

chick3nman - August 24, 2015, 12:21 pm

(Aug 24, 2015 12:13 PM)CyberGuard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Currently it's one that I personally collected from hashtopus mainly. (by editing server.php we used curl's multi handle to send the cracked plains to the NAS who would then encrypt them using our 'methods')

the methods are the ones you're able to use for 'cracking' (lookup)

Examples of how we did MD5.

Note that "" at the end? That's for possible Salts that could be added in the future. (we took it into consideration)

Salted hashes are much faster cracked through straight dictionary attack(basically lookup with the hashes computed on the fly rather than stored) than through table storage and lookup. If the plain is "qwerty" and that's the third line of my dictionary, I will be able to crack all equivalent salted hashes of all salting structures in the same amount of time(unless the hashing algorithm itself is slower). The method of storing plains only and computing the hashes for comparison upon retrieval MASSIVELY saves on storage space and possibly search time, though it requires more computing power so a NAS system would not be suitable for that type of setup. Lookup tables of your style are not fit for salted hash lookup, that's the reason people started salting hashes, to break rainbow/lookup table effectiveness. Moving to a system where hashes are computed on the fly would be more effective for salted hashes but would require additional hardware.

CyberGuard - August 24, 2015, 12:48 pm

(Aug 24, 2015 12:21 PM)chick3nman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Salted hashes are much faster cracked through straight dictionary attack(basically lookup with the hashes computed on the fly rather than stored) than through table storage and lookup. If the plain is "qwerty" and that's the third line of my dictionary, I will be able to crack all equivalent salted hashes of all salting structures in the same amount of time(unless the hashing algorithm itself is slower). The method of storing plains only and computing the hashes for comparison upon retrieval MASSIVELY saves on storage space and possibly search time, though it requires more computing power so a NAS system would not be suitable for that type of setup. Lookup tables of your style are not fit for salted hash lookup, that's the reason people started salting hashes, to break rainbow/lookup table effectiveness. Moving to a system where hashes are computed on the fly would be more effective for salted hashes but would require additional hardware.

In regards to that, I'm aware that the memory time tradeoff efficiency has greatly decreased over the years, but still effective for non-salting methods.

I also found out that the TOP 1 query didn't work correctly so I'm still stuck at 100+ms for checking if a plain exists.

using a method like this: `SELECT 1 FROM `MD5-1` WHERE `plain`=:plain limit 1`
quite a shame.

But I want to expand the normal type of hashes and I'm using this program to add to the database as well.

The more people use it, the more plains & hashes get added to the database.

chick3nman - August 24, 2015, 12:54 pm

(Aug 24, 2015 12:48 PM)CyberGuard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) In regards to that, I'm aware that the memory time tradeoff efficiency has greatly decreased over the years, but still effective for non-salting methods.

I also found out that the TOP 1 query didn't work correctly so I'm still stuck at 100+ms for checking if a plain exists.

using a method like this: `SELECT 1 FROM `MD5-1` WHERE `plain`=:plain limit 1`
quite a shame.

But I want to expand the normal type of hashes and I'm using this program to add to the database as well.

The more people use it, the more plains & hashes get added to the database.

100+ms isn't terrible for database lookup, though as the database grows it can get pretty slow pretty fast. Might need to think ahead a bit for how to handle things like 15+million plains being added to it(I've got at least that many for the algorithms listed).

CyberGuard - August 24, 2015, 1:30 pm

(Aug 24, 2015 12:54 PM)chick3nman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 100+ms isn't terrible for database lookup, though as the database grows it can get pretty slow pretty fast. Might need to think ahead a bit for how to handle things like 15+million plains being added to it(I've got at least that many for the algorithms listed).

I currently don't have the intention of adding that many plains at the same time.

But if you added them right now through the insertion, it would create 16 databases. Each would take 100ms to search though.

chick3nman - August 24, 2015, 1:35 pm

(Aug 24, 2015 01:30 PM)CyberGuard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I currently don't have the intention of adding that many plains at the same time.

But if you added them right now through the insertion, it would create 16 databases. Each would take 100ms to search though.

Again, not too slow. Just if several people are making requests at the same time, it can add up pretty fast once they start slowing each other down.

kitnkiller - August 24, 2015, 4:33 pm

I don't want to bring you down, but 6 million hashes isn't enough. My GPU can bruteforce up to 100 million hashes PER SECOND, so you really have to expand your database.

If you want to have useful rainbowtables, they have to be big. This means you gotta have good performance:

- Have a few GBs or terabytes of storage
- Search for the fastest database engine which can hold much data (so you don't have to split your tables)
- Search for quick insert methods (not 1 query per insert, ...)

chick3nman - August 24, 2015, 9:46 pm

(Aug 24, 2015 04:33 PM)kitnkiller Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't want to bring you down, but 6 million hashes isn't enough. My GPU can bruteforce up to 100 million hashes PER SECOND, so you really have to expand your database.

If you want to have useful rainbowtables, they have to be big. This means you gotta have good performance:

- Have a few GBs or terabytes of storage
- Search for the fastest database engine which can hold much data (so you don't have to split your tables)
- Search for quick insert methods (not 1 query per insert, ...)

For the record, mine can do 25 billion per second on MD5. Which is still useless with a poor attack, but that doesn't seem like the purpose here (unless I misread the intent, which is totally possible). This database isn't going to be a super powerful comprehensive database from what I can tell, as much as it is going to be a place where recently/commonly searched hashes will be collected. Unpopular hashes will be left out naturally by rare use. For those, of course a GPU with a good attack will be stronger, but this could still be useful to people without that power. I do agree with your suggestions though. Needs to be much bigger and much faster for actual use.

CyberGuard - August 24, 2015, 11:50 pm

(Aug 24, 2015 09:46 PM)chick3nman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) For the record, mine can do 25 billion per second on MD5. Which is still useless with a poor attack, but that doesn't seem like the purpose here (unless I misread the intent, which is totally possible). This database isn't going to be a super powerful comprehensive database from what I can tell, as much as it is going to be a place where recently/commonly searched hashes will be collected. Unpopular hashes will be left out naturally by rare use. For those, of course a GPU with a good attack will be stronger, but this could still be useful to people without that power. I do agree with your suggestions though. Needs to be much bigger and much faster for actual use.

Considering the fact that it went up yesterday, I think it's a pretty decent system.

I'm planning on adding a function to process & add new lists.

My laptop GPU can do 7 Billion a second (7Gh/s) on MD5 :3

chick3nman - August 25, 2015, 1:15 pm

(Aug 24, 2015 11:50 PM)CyberGuard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Considering the fact that it went up yesterday, I think it's a pretty decent system.

I'm planning on adding a function to process & add new lists.

My laptop GPU can do 7 Billion a second (7Gh/s) on MD5 :3

Yep. And that's pretty good for a laptop. What specs?

CyberGuard - August 26, 2015, 4:21 am

(Aug 25, 2015 01:15 PM)chick3nman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yep. And that's pretty good for a laptop. What specs?

MSI GTX 980M and 16GB Ram.

i7 intel processor at 2.5GHz

since it's a stupid NVIDIA card, it has slower speeds than my other AMD Radeon 7850 on certain attack types and methods.

example->IPB 2+ on dictionary attack

AMD card: ~6500h/s

NVIDIA card: ~900h/s

example->MD5 brute force (mask)

AMD card: ~1000Mh/s

NVIDIA card: ~4000Mh/s

chick3nman - August 26, 2015, 11:46 am

(Aug 26, 2015 04:21 AM)CyberGuard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) MSI GTX 980M and 16GB Ram.

i7 intel processor at 2.5GHz

since it's a stupid NVIDIA card, it has slower speeds than my other AMD Radeon 7850 on certain attack types and methods.

example->IPB 2+ on dictionary attack

AMD card: ~6500h/s

NVIDIA card: ~900h/s

example->MD5 brute force (mask)

AMD card: ~1000Mh/s

NVIDIA card: ~4000Mh/s

Yeah, the speed differences have to do with things like Throughput and raw integer power usually. Or memory bandwidth or any number of other bottle necks i think. I really don't know since it's different for every situation. I do know that the newer Nvidia cards are a lot better. Been looking to get my hands on a Titan-X to compare it to my 295x2.

CyberGuard - August 26, 2015, 1:20 pm

Does anyone have some HashTypes they'd like to see added to this?

CyberGuard - August 28, 2015, 9:58 am

Added hash lookup support for MD4, MD5x4, SHA1x4, LM and NTLM

ENJOY :D

We reset our database and are re-adding a whole lot of new hashes

chick3nman - August 28, 2015, 12:29 pm

(Aug 28, 2015 09:58 AM)CyberGuard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Added hash lookup support for MD4, MD5x4, SHA1x4, LM and NTLM

ENJOY :D

We reset our database and are re-adding a whole lot of new hashes

Would you like to add any of my plains? I'm running a cleanup attack right now on my new hardware and it's pulling millions of MD5, NTLM, MD4, and others out. I'd think it'd be a good place to start at least.

CyberGuard - August 28, 2015, 11:54 pm

(Aug 28, 2015 12:29 PM)chick3nman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Would you like to add any of my plains? I'm running a cleanup attack right now on my new hardware and it's pulling millions of MD5, NTLM, MD4, and others out. I'd think it'd be a good place to start at least.

I currently have about 3GB of plains that I'm going to upload.

I'm not shure how I'm going to go about doing it though.

It will take a lot of processing time. But I guess I'll be messing around with that.

bass booster - August 29, 2015, 1:12 pm

Nice tool but why not just post the website link that you use for the encrypt/decrypt(crack)?

I do like the tool design though :p

CyberGuard - August 29, 2015, 1:30 pm

(Aug 29, 2015 01:12 PM)bass booster Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Nice tool but why not just post the website link that you use for the encrypt/decrypt(crack)?

I do like the tool design though :p

Many people don't want to use some URL and then get JSON response.

This tool puts it all in one and makes it easy for the "normal" people.

The database is currently hosted at a real house on a NAS server.

bass booster - August 29, 2015, 2:56 pm

(Aug 29, 2015 01:30 PM)CyberGuard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Many people don't want to use some URL and then get JSON response.

This tool puts it all in one and makes it easy for the "normal" people.

The database is currently hosted at a real house on a NAS server. Oh alright. That's still cool. The program is nice either way and I do like programs better than websites. Loading is 10 times faster most of the time unless it has tons of 'shit' inside the program too

CyberGuard - August 29, 2015, 6:18 pm

(Aug 29, 2015 02:56 PM)bass booster Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Oh alright. That's still cool. The program is nice either way and I do like programs better than websites. Loading is 10 times faster most of the time unless it has tons of 'shit' inside the program too

We just reached our first million hashes implemented.

Planning is to insert about 1-2 billion hashes in the end of about 280 million plains.

That's just for the current list we're processing though.

5,000,000 hashes have now been inserted since yesterday :D

CyberGuard - September 2, 2015, 10:24 am

20,000,000 hashes have now been added, REJOICE :D

Download the program for free now

CyberGuard - September 5, 2015, 11:13 am

25,000,000 hashes inserted guys!

CyberGuard - September 12, 2015, 3:43 am

Had some trouble inserting, now starting again!
30,000,000 inserted now!

CyberGuard - September 16, 2015, 2:32 pm

50,000,000 hashes have now been inserted into the databases :) A NEW MARK

Niko - September 16, 2015, 5:09 pm

Seems like this project is rapidly growing, I wish you good luck with it.

CentralIntelligenceAgency - September 17, 2015, 1:41 pm

Yeah, like LegitBytes said: Good luck!

I really like this project! It's a fair challenge for you and also - since you're sharing it for free - a nice help for everyone intersted in this on HF.

Seems like you know a lot of stuff, I'm planning on building a cracking rig (for now, that's only a plan for the near future) and I'll be contacting you if I'll need some help. ^^

chick3nman - September 17, 2015, 1:45 pm

(Sep 17, 2015 01:41 PM)CentralIntelligenceAgency Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah, like LegitBytes said: Good luck!

I really like this project! It's a fair challenge for you and also - since you're sharing it for free - a nice help for everyone intersted in this on HF.

Seems like you know a lot of stuff, I'm planning on building a cracking rig (for now, that's only a plan for the near future) and I'll be contacting you if I'll need some help. ^^

If you need hardware suggestions, I've just finished designing and am in the process of funding/building a rather large cluster. Seems like there a size limit when it comes to consumer hardware being cheaper. After a point, commercial server hardware actually becomes cheaper for the same amount of power.

CentralIntelligenceAgency - September 18, 2015, 6:56 am

(Sep 17, 2015 01:45 PM)chick3nman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If you need hardware suggestions, I've just finished designing and am in the process of funding/building a rather large cluster. Seems like there a size limit when it comes to consumer hardware being cheaper. After a point, commercial server hardware actually becomes cheaper for the same amount of power.

That's awesome mate. I'm thinking of building a cluster out of gpu's that I can get for free (waste for some people), but they are in fact pretty good. - not the best, but decent.

I'm thinking maybe of mining crypto's with cluster, or just use it to crack... I'm kinda afraid of electricity costs (since I live in my own apartment and got to pay bills - which are pretty high already)... :/

chick3nman - September 18, 2015, 7:03 am

(Sep 18, 2015 06:56 AM)CentralIntelligenceAgency Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That's awesome mate. I'm thinking of building a cluster out of gpu's that I can get for free (waste for some people), but they are in fact pretty good. - not the best, but decent.

I'm thinking maybe of mining crypto's with cluster, or just use it to crack... I'm kinda afraid of electricity costs (since I live in my own apartment and got to pay bills - which are pretty high already)... :/

The biggest issue you will run into using outdated hardware is efficiency of hashrate per power usage. New GPU's are stronger and use less power than older ones so the investment initially is far more, but over time will be a lot less in power. Mining Crypto currencies will not be profitable for you.

Especially with electricity costs factored in. Also, with inefficient hardware, comes a huge heat dissipation issue. A budget GPU cluster will end up costing you more than if you saved up for a while and bought a better setup.

CentralIntelligenceAgency - September 18, 2015, 10:29 am

(Sep 18, 2015 07:03 AM)chick3nman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The biggest issue you will run into using outdated hardware is efficiency of hashrate per power usage. New GPU's are stronger and use less power than older ones so the investment initially is far more, but over time will be a lot less in power. Mining Crypto currencies will not be profitable for you. Especially with electricity costs factored in. Also, with inefficient hardware, comes a huge heat dissipation issue. A budget GPU cluster will end up costing you more than if you saved up for a while and bought a better setup.

Yeah.. exactly what I was thinking. ?

But, what if the electricity was local (so that I don't pay for it, but government does ?) and the cluster was in a cold place, so it wouldn't overheat..?

chick3nman - September 18, 2015, 10:31 am

(Sep 18, 2015 10:29 AM)CentralIntelligenceAgency Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah.. exactly what I was thinking. ?

But, what if the electricity was local (so that I don't pay for it, but government does ?) and the cluster was in a cold place, so it wouldn't overheat..?

It would still be a lot of hardware for very little speed. Not really worth the setup.

CyberGuard - September 18, 2015, 10:31 am

(Sep 18, 2015 10:29 AM)CentralIntelligenceAgency Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah.. exactly what I was thinking. ?

But, what if the electricity was local (so that I don't pay for it, but government does ?) and the cluster was in a cold place, so it wouldn't overheat..?

get it set up with hastopus or another distributed thing and it would be really nice #free hashingpower

make sure that no one is able to find it though.

CentralIntelligenceAgency - September 18, 2015, 10:36 am

(Sep 18, 2015 10:31 AM)CyberGuard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) get it set up with hastopus or another distributed thing and it would be really nice #free hashingpower

make sure that no one is able to find it though.

Could you guys contact me and tell me your skype on PM, I'd really like to make a group conversation with you two. ?

chick3nman - September 18, 2015, 10:44 am

(Sep 18, 2015 10:36 AM)CentralIntelligenceAgency Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Could you guys contact me and tell me your skype on PM, I'd really like to make a group conversation with you two. ?

Pretty sure my skype is available. Should just be the same as my username.

CyberGuard - September 18, 2015, 2:16 pm

(Sep 18, 2015 10:44 AM)chick3nman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Pretty sure my skype is available. Should just be the same as my username.

Search for my name + ".nullpatrol" after it. (noquotes)

CyberGuard - September 27, 2015, 2:31 pm

70,000,000 hashes inserted so far and still going up!

CyberGuard - October 6, 2015, 11:23 am

We have just reached a new milestone, 100 Million Hashes inserted into the database!

CyberGuard - October 19, 2015, 12:03 pm

135,000,000 hashes inserted and still going!

CyberGuard - October 24, 2015, 4:53 pm

150,000,000 hashes inserted! A new milestone, on to the 175 and 200 million!

CyberGuard - December 8, 2015, 6:24 am

160,000,000 an error in the coding caused the generation to take 200x longer than usual.
We have started generation again, 50 hashes a second

CyberGuard - December 10, 2015, 11:46 am

170,000,000 hashes and going at 10 a second or about 9 million a day

CyberGuard - December 27, 2015, 4:17 pm

250,000,000 has just been reached. So many hashes!!

CyberGuard - January 8, 2016, 9:36 am

300,000,000 hashes reached.

Bugfixes: Lookup not working

Additions: Statistics now show many encryptions, decryptions and contributions were done by this program!

Nomad.Null - January 8, 2016, 9:21 pm

This looks absolutely, balls-to-the-walls awesome! CyberGuard is a personal friend and I totally vouch for his helpful attitude, knowledge, and definitely this hash-db. His quality is demonstrated throughout his history here on HF. Looking forward to seeing this grow. Let me know if I can be of assistance? ?

Root - January 8, 2016, 9:33 pm

Nice hash cracking service dude!

CyberGuard - January 9, 2016, 1:15 am

(Jan 8, 2016 10:21 PM)True Demon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This looks absolutely, balls-to-the-walls awesome! CyberGuard is a personal friend and I totally vouch for his helpful attitude, knowledge, and definitely this hash-db. His quality is demonstrated throughout his history here on HF. Looking forward to seeing this grow. Let me know if I can be of assistance? ?

Thanks for the vouches guys,

If you have any type of password list for me then I can add them to the database.

Of course just using the program or letting others know about it is awesome too.

(Might consider adding a banner for this)

Kyzzr - January 10, 2016, 2:12 am

Looks pretty nice, might give it a shot. Thanks for the contribution ?

CyberGuard - January 14, 2016, 1:47 pm

Nearly at the 350k hashes and now have an online hash checker as well!

A bit slow but we're still working on it!

<http://0x03a8.pw/hashtopus/statistics/>

CyberGuard - January 16, 2016, 4:47 pm

350k reached! On to the next milestone

Barb - January 16, 2016, 11:23 pm

Your file perms are broken. <http://0x03a8.pw/hashtopus>

CyberGuard - January 17, 2016, 2:54 am

(Jan 17, 2016 12:23 AM)Barb Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Your file perms are broken. <http://0x03a8.pw/hashtopus>

I don't really care but I fixed it, and you shouldn't be looking there anyway.

CyberGuard - January 20, 2016, 4:58 pm

Lookup Speed increase and up to 650,000,000.

CyberGuard - January 23, 2016, 2:45 pm

Thanks to Pozdrav we now have our own signature, wear it to support us :D

?ablo Escobar - January 26, 2016, 7:22 am

Thx for the Hash Database, it will help me a lot =D

CyberGuard - January 27, 2016, 12:52 pm

Database has been completely reset.

Entire database is reworked, code optimized, spaghetti code removed and speeds are up.

Salted hash database will be added fairly soon!

CyberGuard - January 29, 2016, 7:57 am

1,010,000,000 Million Hashes Available for lookup now!

300,000 Salted Hashes available too (bugged with the program though, will be fixed)

CyberGuard - January 31, 2016, 6:32 pm

4 new methods added including MD5x5 and SHA1x5

CyberGuard - February 10, 2016, 2:34 am

1,030,000,000 Hashes Available!

300,000+ Salted hashes.

Have a salted hash list you want to see added? PM Me

Battlefield heroes fully cracked with exception of 5k hashes.

99,1%

Soon I'll add more salted & non salted hashes.

But it takes time to crack as many as possible.

Lib - February 11, 2016, 1:35 am

I'll check this when I get home, looks well made :D Thanks

CyberGuard - February 13, 2016, 1:34 pm

1,040,000,000 Hashes Available!

400,000+ Salted hashes.

The Kraken - February 16, 2016, 12:06 pm

Looks cool man,
downloading now. Helped you 2 times if you know what I mean ?
Thanks!

CyberGuard - February 16, 2016, 1:14 pm

(Feb 16, 2016 01:06 PM)PjolarBear Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Looks cool man,
downloading now. Helped you 2 times if you know what I mean ?
Thanks!

Nope I have no idea ;P

If you want to help, you can send me salted hashes, databases or ask me for hashes to crack.

CyberGuard - February 18, 2016, 4:41 pm

1,050,000,000 hashes in!
400,000 salted still.

Soon to be added databases: NGU, Battlefield heroes, Xsplit

DJSanderrr - February 19, 2016, 2:16 pm

Nice man! Thanks for this

.Cherry - February 21, 2016, 6:28 am

Looks really good will give this a try later today!

Ronaldo. - February 22, 2016, 12:49 pm

<https://gyazo.com/4feb3ce89ea87e32517fbf4e8095312d>

Why ?

chick3nman - February 22, 2016, 12:51 pm

(Feb 22, 2016 01:49 PM)Ronaldo. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)
<https://gyazo.com/4feb3ce89ea87e32517fbf4e8095312d>

Why ?

Likely a false positive, the application is safe. What does the actual detection say?

Ronaldo. - February 22, 2016, 12:55 pm

(Feb 22, 2016 01:51 PM)chick3nman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Likely a false positive, the application is safe.
What does the actual detection say?

Trojan.exe

It's stopping me to use it .

CyberGuard - February 22, 2016, 1:33 pm

(Feb 22, 2016 01:55 PM)Ronaldo. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Trojan.exe
It's stopping me to use it .
It's weird.

But the program is coded in VB.NET and is not obfuscated.
Tear it apart if that'd make you feel better.

.Cherry - February 23, 2016, 3:53 am

(Feb 22, 2016 01:55 PM)Ronaldo. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Trojan.exe

It's stopping me to use it .

Just run it in a vm

CyberGuard - February 23, 2016, 7:51 am

Adding 3 databases soon, one i won't publish the name of.
Xsplit and Battlefield heroes.

More to follow.

.Cherry - February 23, 2016, 7:52 am

(Feb 23, 2016 08:51 AM)CyberGuard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Adding 3 databases soon, one i won't publish the name of.

Xsplit and Battlefield heroes.

More to follow.

xSplit 2013 or 2015?

CyberGuard - February 23, 2016, 8:12 am

(Feb 23, 2016 08:52 AM).Cherry Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) xSplit 2013 or 2015?

It's the 2013 one.

If you're willing to provide the 2015 one or any other DB,
Feel free to send me a PM!

CyberGuard - March 2, 2016, 1:04 pm

1,070,000 Hashes inserted in to the database!

CyberGuard - March 4, 2016, 2:43 pm

Giving access to my mass decrypt tool to anyone who wears the signature for a week or more!
Key Uses: 10,000

CyberGuard - March 6, 2016, 5:02 am

1,080,000,000 Hashes added along with the NextGenUpdate database Cracked Hashes

CyberGuard - March 15, 2016, 8:56 am

1,100,000,000 Normal Hashes and
650,00 Salted hashes

Whoo!

CyberGuard - March 20, 2016, 3:00 am

1,115,000,000 hashes inserted with more added every day!
If the program can't crack one, feel free to PM me!

CyberGuard - March 28, 2016, 4:43 am

98,76% of battlefield heroes DB cracked in the database.
150,000,000 Hashes inserted into the database!

zerocool - April 6, 2016, 5:29 am

Some very nice work you have put into this, super job.

CyberGuard - April 6, 2016, 7:40 am

(Apr 6, 2016 05:29 AM)z3roc00l Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Some very nice work you have put into this, super job.

Thanks

200,000,000 Hashes inserted into the database!

CyberGuard - April 20, 2016, 11:56 pm

280,000,000 hashes inserted into the database!

DJSanderrr - April 21, 2016, 11:51 am

(Apr 20, 2016 11:56 PM)CyberGuard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 280,000,000 hashes inserted into the database! Pretty impressive, what's the total amount right now?

.Cherry - April 21, 2016, 11:55 am

(Apr 21, 2016 11:51 AM)DJSanderrr Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Pretty impressive, what's the total amount right now?

<http://0x03a8.pw/hashtopus/database/statistics.php>

?

CyberGuard - April 21, 2016, 3:16 pm

(Apr 21, 2016 11:55 AM).Cherry Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) <http://0x03a8.pw/hashtopus/database/statistics.php>

?

Yup, all statistics are live there.

Wifi Pineapple - April 22, 2016, 9:05 am

Nice size database you have.

I have a question though, where do you find the databases to download? I look but never see anything helpful

CyberGuard - April 22, 2016, 11:33 am

(Apr 22, 2016 09:05 AM)rpi3noob Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Nice size database you have.

I have a question though, where do you find the databases to download? I look but never see anything helpful

You trade them with people that traded with people that traded with the one that hacked it. That's how it works.

From time to time one of those people releases it to the public on a forum (usually a private one though).

If you've got money (BTC) then I can sell you quite a few.

Wifi Pineapple - April 22, 2016, 11:42 am

(Apr 22, 2016 11:33 AM)CyberGuard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You trade them with people that traded with people that traded with the one that hacked it. That's how it works.

From time to time one of those people releases it to the public on a forum (usually a private one though).

If you've got money (BTC) then I can sell you quite a few.

Oh alright. I thought it worked like that but I wasn't sure.

I don't think I'll buy any right now but I will think about it and keep you in mind.

CyberGuard - May 9, 2016, 1:18 pm

Almost reached 400,000,000 Hashes!

What DB do you guys want to see added?
MPGH, NGU or Malwarebytes

St?Ik?r - May 11, 2016, 5:16 am

(May 9, 2016 01:18 PM)CyberGuard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Almost reached 400,000,000 Hashes!
What DB do you guys want to see added?
MPGH, NGU or Malwarebytes
MPGH or Malwarebytes would be good also Nulled or elobuddy

Also your Server is down.

Regards

CyberGuard - May 11, 2016, 8:22 am

(May 11, 2016 05:16 AM)?Stalker Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) MPGH or Malwarebytes would be good also Nulled or elobuddy

Also your Server is down.

Regards

Yeah, I'm aware. Sometimes I perform updates & upgrades (like now) and I keep it up localhost so that you guys don't exploit shit when I'm not paying attention <3
It will be up again soon.

CyberGuard - May 27, 2016, 4:50 pm

MPGH is being added right now.
Crackingforum has been added.

Malwarebytes and a few others are to be added soon.

have salted found lists (including origin and hash type, let me know)

CyberGuard - June 5, 2016, 5:31 am

475,000,000 Hashes available

Mi2414 - June 12, 2016, 6:39 pm

How often are you updating this? Would love to use this for some MD5 Hashes I got laying around.

CyberGuard - June 13, 2016, 1:09 am

(Jun 12, 2016 06:39 PM)RetardedSkiddy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How often are you updating this? Would love to use this for some MD5 Hashes I got laying around.
This is an automatically updating system.
It has been adding hashes 24/7 for almost a year now.

CyberGuard - June 18, 2016, 3:43 am

485,000,000 Hashes inserted into the database!
Adding support for new hashtypes soon! (wordpress etc.)

iLogic™ - June 19, 2016, 7:53 am

Aesthetic detail - add program icon ?

On-topic - Wow it is really awesome and blazing fast. Thank you for providing it, keep it up! ?

CyberGuard - June 19, 2016, 1:48 pm

(Jun 19, 2016 07:53 AM)iLogic™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Aesthetic detail - add program icon ?
On-topic - Wow it is really awesome and blazing fast. Thank you for providing it, keep it up! ?
I need someone to remake the program tbh, but yeah.. I'm too lazy to put a thread to request it.
half a billion hashes reached!

CyberGuard - July 10, 2016, 10:10 am

560,000,000 Hashes inserted.
Rewrote some stuff and we're now inserting 100x faster!
I'm planning to reach a billion hashes soon.

CyberGuard - July 15, 2016, 3:14 pm

1,300,000,000
We've reached over a BILLION hashes.
Compared to the previous insert speeds we have gone up TONS (over 100x faster)
I have a ton more Queue'd up. We're currently focusing on MD5 & SHA-1.
If you want us to add a ton of hashes from a specific hash type, let us know!

If you have any big hash(:salt):plain or password lists, feel free to PM me.

CyberGuard - July 18, 2016, 5:34 pm

For those not paying attention, we've now reached 2.5B hashes.
2,500,000,000 for the bad counters amongst us.

Feel free to PM me if you want to leave a donation to help this.
A new program is coming soon!

Pixelblocker - July 20, 2016, 7:49 am

The lookup function doesn't seem to be working right now. It seems like that server is offline, or at least unreachable.

CyberGuard - July 20, 2016, 6:39 pm

(Jul 20, 2016 07:49 AM)Pixelblocker Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The lookup function doesn't seem to be working right now. It seems like that server is offline, or at least unreachable.
That is right. I want to have a new program released by the end of the week.

Name suggestions for the program are more than welcome and appreciated.

goshmulticoloredalto - July 20, 2016, 7:44 pm

Do you have vBulletin that's before 3.8.5, after 3.8.5 or both?

CyberGuard - July 21, 2016, 3:25 am

(Jul 20, 2016 07:44 PM)justsum1uknow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Do you have vBulletin that's before 3.8.5, after 3.8.5 or both?
Both, if you have a database you want them added from, let me know.

goshmulticoloredalto - July 21, 2016, 7:11 am

If you have access to the R2Games DB that would be quite awesome.

CyberGuard - July 22, 2016, 3:22 am

(Jul 21, 2016 07:11 AM)justsum1uknow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If you have access to the R2Games DB that would be quite awesome.

We do, it will be added in time.

There are a lot of hashes from databases that are in here, just not documented.

CyberGuard - August 23, 2016, 10:19 am

Expect the new program to be released this week

R2Games coming up soon as well :)

CyberGuard - August 25, 2016, 12:59 am

7 billion records reached just now.

I'll be working on the program today and tomorrow.

With some luck I'll be able to release it before the end of the week.

CyberGuard - August 30, 2016, 4:10 pm

IT'S OVER 9,000,000,000!!!! On to our huge milestone of 10 Billion!

The program is done but the coder just vanished so I need to wait until he comes back and uploads that thing.

Once I'm sure the program works it will be released for everyone. Please be patient until then :(

BadLuckJack - August 31, 2016, 11:54 pm

Am I the only one who is getting an error?

CyberGuard - September 1, 2016, 12:30 am

(Aug 31, 2016 11:54 PM)BadLuckJack Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Am I the only one who is getting an error?

It's everyone. You'll have to wait until the release of the new program to use it.

I'm waiting on the coder that randomly vanished on me

BadLuckJack - September 1, 2016, 7:09 am

(Sep 1, 2016 12:30 AM)CyberGuard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's everyone. You'll have to wait until the release of the new program to use it.

I'm waiting on the coder that randomly vanished on me

Ah, Alright

CyberGuard - September 4, 2016, 7:56 am

(Sep 1, 2016 07:09 AM)BadLuckJack Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ah, Alright

<https://twitter.com/RealCyberGuard/status/772447243207139328>

Program update. Look forward to the release soon!

CyberGuard - September 7, 2016, 1:38 pm

New program released early. Mass lookup isn't working yet sadly.

If there's a VB.NET coder that wants to help, lmk.

'Cynical - September 7, 2016, 8:21 pm

(Sep 7, 2016 01:38 PM)CyberGuard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) New program released early. Mass lookup isn't working yet sadly.

If there's a VB.NET coder that wants to help, lmk.

Shoot me over the source.

Depending on how shitty it looks, I'll work on it a bit.

>Assuming C#.

CyberGuard - September 7, 2016, 10:29 pm

(Sep 7, 2016 08:21 PM)'Cynical Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Shoot me over the source.

Depending on how shitty it looks, I'll work on it a bit.

>Assuming C#.

Quote:VB.NET coder

Quote:>Assuming C#.

Think before asking something.

On another note: if you still want to try help, send me a pm.

'Cynical - September 8, 2016, 3:37 am

(Sep 7, 2016 10:29 PM)CyberGuard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Think before asking something.

On another note: if you still want to try help, send me a pm.

I read that as just being .NET.

My apologies.

I haven't worked w/ visual basic in years.. If it were c#, I'd definitely help.

GL with the project, though.

CyberGuard - September 8, 2016, 7:44 am

(Sep 8, 2016 03:37 AM)Cynical Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I read that as just being .NET.

My apologies.

I haven't worked w/ visual basic in years.. If it were c#, I'd definitely help.

GL with the project, though.

Unless you're willing to re-develop the entire program in C# without monetary compensation then go ahead and contact me :)

If not I think I'll stay with this for a while.

'Cynical - September 8, 2016, 8:18 pm

(Sep 8, 2016 07:44 AM)CyberGuard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Unless you're willing to re-develop the entire program in C# without monetary compensation then go ahead and contact me :)

If not I think I'll stay with this for a while.

I don't think I have the time... And, if I had the time, I'd probably be using it to play WoW.. Lmao.

sumanmanju0 - September 20, 2016, 7:44 am

Will this help me Hack Linkedin Accounts ?

CyberGuard - September 20, 2016, 9:37 am

(Sep 20, 2016 07:44 AM)sumanmanju0 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Will this help me Hack Linkedin Accounts ?

Yes, a lot of linkedin hashes are included in the database.

?Adroit - September 21, 2016, 8:49 pm

Hey just wondering will mass lookup be implemented soon?

aussieboulder - September 27, 2016, 10:35 am

I'm not able to pm you could you provide me with a skype to discuss payment through paypal? cant wait two days haha thanks! just realised this is the wrong thread, it was ment for your leakbase

thread which i cant comment in anyway.

CyberGuard - October 13, 2016, 4:05 pm

Giving this program a bump

Elitas - October 14, 2016, 12:18 am

The lookup tool doesn't work. But the project seems cool. I tried looking up and md5 encrypted password (password was password) and it couldn't find it, not sure what im doing wrong.

Nothing's working for me, I just downloaded HashFinder 2000.exe were there any other files with it?

tobuscus5001 - October 14, 2016, 12:29 am

The tool is not working because the account is suspended.

When you try to crack as example a MD5 hash, you will get some HTML code.

When you save that HTML code and open it in chrome, you will see a page saying:

Account Suspended

This Account has been suspended.

Contact your hosting provider for more information.

CyberGuard - October 14, 2016, 1:13 am

(Oct 14, 2016 12:29 AM)tobuscus5001 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The tool is not working because the account is suspended.

When you try to crack as example a MD5 hash, you will get some HTML code.

When you save that HTML code and open it in chrome, you will see a page saying:

Account Suspended

This Account has been suspended.

Contact your hosting provider for more information.

Oops, forgot to pay a bill. I'll pay and get it back up again later today :)

tobuscus5001 - October 14, 2016, 2:34 am

Alright. Oh and btw, you might wanna add site to your program for MD5:
md5decryption.com/

CyberGuard - October 14, 2016, 4:08 am

(Oct 14, 2016 02:34 AM)tobuscus5001 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Alright. Oh and btw, you might wanna add site to your program for MD5:

md5decryption.com/

I don't use 3rd party databases.

This is just my own private database.

CyberGuard - October 17, 2016, 11:08 am

Program has been back up for a while now btw. Enjoy guys!

Elitas - October 17, 2016, 1:02 pm

Works perfectly. May I ask, do you log the searches? I'd like to test the database on more private passwords to see if they're secure, wouldn't like that to be logged.

CyberGuard - October 18, 2016, 6:03 pm

(Oct 17, 2016 01:02 PM)xHackerPr0 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Works perfectly. May I ask, do you log the searches? I'd like to test the database on more private passwords to see if they're secure, wouldn't like that to be logged.

We don't log anything.

There's a request sent to the API that then searches the hash from the database.

'Cynical - October 18, 2016, 6:06 pm

I remember we spoke about the mass lookup before..

I can't remember why I didn't agree to code it for you, but I'll be willing to do it.

PM me.

EDIT: Eh, it's VB.NET... I'll still give it a shot, though. Haven't fucked w/ VB over a year.

CyberGuard - October 18, 2016, 6:13 pm

(Oct 18, 2016 06:06 PM)Cynical Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I remember we spoke about the mass lookup before..

I can't remember why I didn't agree to code it for you, but I'll be willing to do it.

PM me.

EDIT: Eh, it's VB.NET... I'll still give it a shot, though. Haven't fucked w/ VB over a year.

I'll probably send you a PM later.

You never declined, you didn't answer.

You're free to recode it in any language if you want.

'Cynical - October 18, 2016, 6:59 pm

(Oct 18, 2016 06:13 PM)CyberGuard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'll probably send you a PM later.

You never declined, you didn't answer.

You're free to recode it in any language if you want.

I've been busy w/ some paid work but hopefully I'll be able to find the time.

Shoot me a PM whenever. :)

CyberGuard - November 6, 2016, 10:50 am

10,000,000,000 hashes!! whooop

GriffinsHead - December 2, 2016, 2:51 pm

Congrats.

Is this still available for download? No longer accessible at Mega.

CyberGuard - December 2, 2016, 10:20 pm

(Dec 2, 2016 03:51 PM)GriffinsHead Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Congrats.

Is this still available for download? No longer accessible at Mega.

I'll get a new download ready soon

GriffinsHead - December 2, 2016, 11:26 pm

(Dec 2, 2016 11:20 PM)CyberGuard Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'll get a new download ready soon

Thanks.

CyberGuard - December 9, 2016, 9:32 am

Download link has been updated!

Wifinite - December 28, 2016, 1:13 pm

Sick, Thank you for everything buddy !

Elitas - December 28, 2016, 4:37 pm

Been using this program for quiet a while now and I must say, it is a great tool! Props to you CyberGuard.

CyberGuard - December 29, 2016, 1:54 am

(Dec 28, 2016 05:37 PM)Elitas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Been using this program for quiet a while now and I

must say, it is a great tool! Props to you CyberGuard.

Thanks, I'll soon be adding a bunch of VB hashes with the help of the Enthusiast Group.

CyberGuard - *January 2, 2017, 12:11 pm*

We will soon have two public APIs without a rate limit (for the time being)

This is usable by hashcrackers and developers

CyberGuard - *January 29, 2017, 1:18 am*

75% crack rate already guys :D

CyberGuard - *March 25, 2017, 12:32 pm*

Here is a bit of a bump for this program. Enjoy

CyberGuard - *April 30, 2017, 6:19 pm*

Another bump, enjoy the program.