

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [\[Question\] Where have the free RATs gone?](#)

Løjiq - September 20, 2015, 7:41 am

I remember a few years ago there were a lot of free RATs like Spy-Eye, Cerberus, CyberGate and whatnot (btw: I also consider widely available cracked versions as free RATs!)

Recently I felt like messing around with some RATs again (nothing special, only testing some stuff on a local network), but it took me some time to find up-to-date free RATs. I eventually ended up downloading an old version of DarkComet, but it kept me wondering as to where those free RATs have gone. Are they just harder to find nowadays or did they really disappear?

Thanks for your input.

DamienVC - September 20, 2015, 7:48 am

I dont think CyberGate is free.

SpyEye isnt allowed to be discussed here.

You can try something like Quasar/xRAT, MLRat, BabylonRAT or SpyNet
njRAT and DarkComet exist, but they're no match to the new "free RATs"

Saint - September 20, 2015, 7:49 am

I think they just disappeared.

Løjiq - September 20, 2015, 7:55 am

(Sep 20, 2015 07:48 AM)DamienVC Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I dont think CyberGate is free.
SpyEye isnt allowed to be discussed here.

You can try something like Quasar/xRAT, MLRat, BabylonRAT or SpyNet
njRAT and DarkComet exist, but they're no match to the new "free RATs"
Woops, sorry. I actually meant SpyNet, not SpyEye. I got confused there for a sec. This thread is about RATs, not bots after all :)

What would those "new" free RATs be then? (again, not bots, my mistake)

UntoldMythical - September 20, 2015, 7:58 am

There is actually a thread listing all free and paid rats along with their threads and/or website. If I can find it I'll edit this and give you the link

EDIT: The thread ID is 4935588. I can't post a link until 50 posts...

EDIT2: Sent you a PM

DamienVC - September 20, 2015, 7:58 am

(Sep 20, 2015 07:55 AM)???? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Woops, sorry. I actually meant SpyNet, not SpyEye. I got confused there for a sec. This thread is about RATs, not bots after all :)

What would those "new" free RATs be then? (again, not bots, my mistake)

Quasar: <https://hackforums.net/newreply.php?tid=4993356&replyto=47912229>

Babylon: <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4930801>
SpyNet: <http://www.xillux.com/>
MLRat: <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4959187>

Løjiq - September 20, 2015, 8:02 am

(Sep 20, 2015 07:58 AM)DamienVC Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Quasar:

<https://hackforums.net/newreply.php?tid=4993356&replyto=47912229>

Babylon: <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4930801>

SpyNet: <http://www.#/>

MLRat: <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4959187>

Wow, they all look like the work of taking 3 (or so) skids and putting them all into one room. .NET 4? Seriously? What happened to those good old native RATs made in C/C++, VB6 or Delphi for that matter? Does no one use those languages anymore?

DamienVC - September 20, 2015, 8:03 am

(Sep 20, 2015 07:58 AM)rt58killer10 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There is actually a thread listing all free and paid rats along with their threads and/or website. If I can find it I'll edit this and give you the link

Currently closed, but still here's the thread:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4935588>

--

(Sep 20, 2015 08:02 AM)???? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Wow, they all look like the work of taking 3 (or so) skids and putting them all into one room. .NET 4? Seriously? What happened to those good old native RATs made in C/C++, VB6 or Delphi for that matter? Does no one use those languages anymore?

Well BabylonRAT is C++

Then, you can still look at SpyNet (its on XilluX's website, search for that)

Dr.Penguin - September 20, 2015, 8:04 am

The feds, It all has to do with the feds. Becareful out in the streets of the IE.

gl OP

Løjiq - September 20, 2015, 8:04 am

(Sep 20, 2015 07:58 AM)rt58killer10 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There is actually a thread listing all free and paid rats along with their threads and/or website. If I can find it I'll edit this and give you the link

EDIT: The thread ID is 4935588. I can't post a link until 50 posts...

EDIT2: Sent you a PM

Thank people for thread IDs, and thanks for the PM although I know how to work IDs ;)

I'll skim through the list and see if I come across anything new and, well, not shit.

(Sep 20, 2015 08:04 AM)Dr.Penguin Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The feds, It all has to do with the feds. Becareful out in the streets of the IE.

gl OP

I just feel it's very weird that nowadays it's much easier to get even the source of software which can't be discussed here than it is to get your hands on an up-to-date, not shit, free or cracked RAT. Very weird indeed.

DamienVC - September 20, 2015, 8:09 am

(Sep 20, 2015 08:04 AM)???? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thank people for thread IDs, and thanks for the PM although I know how to work IDs ;)

I'll skim through the list and see if I come across anything new and, well, not shit.

I just feel it's very weird that nowadays it's much easier to get even the source of software which can't be discussed here than it is to get your hands on an up-to-date, not shit, free or cracked RAT. Very weird indeed.

I already posted the thread link :P

Cracked RATs are pretty shit imo, and risky opening a backdoored one too. I'd rather go with a paid version, not cheap.

Free RATs aren't usually meant to mean quality, but a few free RATs have really been making it quite well.

Løjliq - September 20, 2015, 8:31 am

(Sep 20, 2015 08:09 AM)DamienVC Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I already posted the thread link :P

Cracked RATs are pretty shit imo, and risky opening a backdoored one too. I'd rather go with a paid version, not cheap.

Free RATs aren't usually meant to mean quality, but a few free RATs have really been making it quite well.

I personally hate paid RATs, and here's why... prepare for quick rant

First of all, sellers have to ensure that people actually purchase their product (and I don't blame em, every prick on HF would leech their shit if it wasn't well protected), which means they have to use some kind of licensing mechanism.

That usually leaves two options: Connect to a server using a secure connection and validate the license OR ship a personalized (preferably asymmetrically encrypted) license file with the product. Both have their obvious weaknesses, but the first one is generally preferred, mainly because it's not as much work as trying to write a near-perfect encryption solution.

For me, that means that my application always connects to a place I don't want it to connect to. That's my first issue and is part of the reason why people who used a certain RAT with sunglasses had to go on vacation for a while.

Secondly, if your RAT connects to a server to check the license, it can (theoretically) smuggle all kinds of commands between the server and you. I'm not talking about backdoors, but rather botkilling. Again, seen in the RAT with glasses.

However, with free RATs, there is no hidden agenda, because if there were one, the mere number of people using them would be enough to show what those RATs are doing in the background (-> slaves disappearing for everyone? RAT shit or botkilled). However, open source RATs are even better.

Adderall - September 20, 2015, 2:31 pm

I am going to release a free RAT named TAR, probably Q1~Q2 2016 when most of the functions are added and work properly. It works fine now, but there's a huge lack of features. It is coded in VB.net with .net 2.0. Until it is HQ the release is still far away so talking about it now would be useless. If you're searching for a good free RAT you should use Quaser, I used it for a while but I haven't got much clients, because I'm very noob at spreading. IMO it was stable and worked fine.

Armada - September 20, 2015, 2:38 pm

(Sep 20, 2015 08:31 AM)???? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I personally hate paid RATs, and here's why... prepare for quick rant

First of all, sellers have to ensure that people actually purchase their product (and I don't blame em, every prick on HF would leech their shit if it wasn't well protected), which means they have to use some kind of licensing mechanism.

That usually leaves two options: Connect to a server using a secure connection and validate the license OR ship a personalized (preferably asymmetrically encrypted) license file with the product. Both have their obvious weaknesses, but the first one is generally preferred, mainly because it's not as much work as trying to write a near-perfect encryption solution.

For me, that means that my application always connects to a place I don't want it to connect to. That's my first issue and is part of the reason why people who used a certain RAT with sunglasses had to go on vacation for a while.

Secondly, if your RAT connects to a server to check the license, it can (theoretically) smuggle all kinds of commands between the server and you. I'm not talking about backdoors, but rather botkilling. Again, seen in the RAT with glasses.

However, with free RATs, there is no hidden agenda, because if there were one, the mere number of people using them would be enough to show what those RATs are doing in the background (-> slaves disappearing for everyone? RAT shit or botkilled). However, open source RATs are even better.

My love for you just increased ten fold.

My god once in a while through this disaster of shit posts and retard questions, you see a post of GLORY

Your post and request is simple.

Yet respected, after reading the thread in entirety.

The reality is coming from your "Time line" I can say this much, shits changed, greed has taken over the aspect of whether we can dev software to accomplish what we wanted.

Back in (I dare say) "Our" days, it was what control could be held over the system, not the feature rich appliances we have access to now.

I am the FIRST to speak out about the licensing and monetary system involved now, it generates such a weak spot for us, we cant consider any "paid for RAT" truly reliable.

For those new around here

[- Flaw in our licensing system](#)

[- Black Shades, - Looking back](#)

We need a better solution, and Ive yet to create one myself, but do earnestly believe we in a community will one day.

\\Edit

Realized I went on a ramble there

Answer, I recommend Babylon. ?

Mavspammer - September 20, 2015, 2:46 pm

Everyone want to make money now bro, doing something free now is base on two things:

Either its a test project or its out of an extraordinary good heart :D

5chn4pp - September 20, 2015, 2:47 pm

Quasar is a very nice rat that i use.

Løjq - September 20, 2015, 2:56 pm

(Sep 20, 2015 02:38 PM)A?mªDa Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) My love for you just increased ten fold.

My god once in a while through this disaster of shit posts and retard questions, you see a post of GLORY

Your post and request is simple.

Yet respected, after reading the thread in entirety.

The reality is coming from your "Time line" I can say this much, shits changed, greed has taken over the aspect of whether we can dev software to accomplish what we wanted.

Back in (I dare say) "Our" days, it was what control could be held over the system, not the feature rich appliances we have access to now.

I am the FIRST to speak out about the licensing and monetary system involved now, it generates such a weak spot for us, we cant consider any "paid for RAT" truly reliable.

For those new around here

[- Flaw in our licensing system](#)

[- Black Shades, - Looking back](#)

We need a better solution, and Ive yet to create one myself, but do earnestly believe we in a community will one day.

\\Edit

Realized I went on a ramble there

Answer, I recommend Babylon. ?

It's very refreshing to meet someone who shares my views, unfortunately there are way too few people of that sort.

And regarding the post of Mavspammer: You don't have to compromise security to ensure people aren't cracking your products (or at least make it hard for them to do so). As a RAT or crypter developer/seller you could give away the client for free, but sell the builds separately. This way, you keep getting paid and people don't have to worry about having their privacy compromised. (unless the seller keeps record of the conversations in plain text)

Alternatively, you could hand out license files encrypted or signed using RSA, where the RAT or crypter (or whatever) only has the key to decrypt the license file, so people can't forge it without having your key.

Ideally, any conversations with the seller would be encrypted as well.

Of course, if you're only using the tools for educational purposes you have nothing to worry about, but let's be honest here: Who purchases a RAT or crypter and has nothing to worry about in these forums?

intoxication - September 20, 2015, 3:02 pm

(Sep 20, 2015 07:48 AM)DamienVC Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I dont think CyberGate is free.

SpyEye isnt allowed to be discussed here.

You can try something like Quasar/xRAT, MLRat, BabylonRAT or SpyNet
njRAT and DarkComet exist, but they're no match to the new "free RATs"

Back in the old days there was a free version with a limited client count of 10. This free version was cracked by ... I think it was The Old Warrior, not 100% sure; but it was widely used and considered "free". That was when cracked software took up majority of the RAT market. And there were multiple

spin-offs of the Spy-net source.

Armada - September 20, 2015, 3:12 pm

(Sep 20, 2015 02:56 PM)???? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's very refreshing to meet someone who shares my views, unfortunately there are way too few people of that sort.

And regarding the post of Mavspammer: You don't have to compromise security to ensure people aren't cracking your products (or at least make it hard for them to do so). As a RAT or crypter developer/seller you could give away the client for free, but sell the builds separately. This way, you keep getting paid and people don't have to worry about having their privacy compromised. (unless the seller keeps record of the conversations in plain text)

Alternatively, you could hand out license files encrypted or signed using RSA, where the RAT or crypter (or whatever) only has the key to decrypt the license file, so people can't forge it without having your key.

Ideally, any conversations with the seller would be encrypted as well.

Of course, if you're only using the tools for educational purposes you have nothing to worry about, but let's be honest here: Who purchases a RAT or crypter and has nothing to worry about in these forums?

Your asking too much of the developers, these are BASIC client server control functions were speaking about.

The fact they are now wrapped together into a nice interface, does not change the back end code to the interface

I always laugh at peoples proclamation of minimal bin size.

- Man if I had someone advertise me a 1.5 MB bin MINIMUM, now you got my interest. lolololol

Løjiq - September 20, 2015, 3:28 pm

(Sep 20, 2015 03:12 PM)A?mªDa Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Your asking too much of the developers, these are BASIC client server control functions were speaking about.

The fact they are now wrapped together into a nice interface, does not change the back end code to the interface

I always laugh at peoples proclamation of minimal bin size.

- Man if I had someone advertise me a 1.5 MB bin MINIMUM, now you got my interest. lolololol
Maybe I am, but every developer (especially in this line of software) has a certain responsibility and the last time I checked, compromising your users' security is a violation to said responsibility.

Unfortunately, that topic is shrugged off way too carelessly by newcomers who just bought their new RAT and are glad it runs stable and has many features. They probably don't notice the licensing process anymore (I suppose .NET Seal is still being used?)

I guess the saying "you want something done right, do it yourself" applies here as well.

Armada - September 20, 2015, 3:38 pm

(Sep 20, 2015 03:28 PM)???? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Maybe I am, but every developer (especially in this line of software) has a certain responsibility and the last time I checked, compromising your users' security is a violation to said responsibility.

Unfortunately, that topic is shrugged off way too carelessly by newcomers who just bought their new RAT and are glad it runs stable and has many features. They probably don't notice the licensing process anymore (I suppose .NET Seal is still being used?)

I guess the saying "you want something done right, do it yourself" applies here as well. I have now provided support for a Crypter for close to like 3 weeks now, and say this, users are MORE concerned over licensing than use....

Its scary TBH...

\\edit:

Netseals the next to go, you all know this, right?

Løjq - September 20, 2015, 3:53 pm

(Sep 20, 2015 03:38 PM)A?mªDa Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) \\edit:

Netseals the next to go, you all know this, right?

'fraid not, I'm not as active on HF as I used to be. All that I've been able to catch so far is that Aeonhack shut down all the RATs for some oddly phrased reason.

Armada - September 20, 2015, 9:02 pm

(Sep 20, 2015 03:53 PM)???? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 'fraid not, I'm not as active on HF as I used to be. All that I've been able to catch so far is that Aeonhack shut down all the RATs for some oddly phrased reason.

Makes no difference.

His licensing software houses some of the most typically used of them all, Feds get their fingers in that pot, its over.. lololol

Løjq - September 21, 2015, 6:14 am

(Sep 20, 2015 09:02 PM)A?mªDa Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Makes no difference.

His licensing software houses some of the most typically used of them all, Feds get their fingers in that pot, its over.. lololol

Precisely. What's done, is done.

Armada - September 21, 2015, 7:54 am

Careful placement of non critical false info can render suh licensed products safe, but its a pain.

Agreed, long gone are the days where I simply ran my controller from my desktop without concern. routing, masking and VPNs are all critical to the equation more so than ever before. New users can pick up a rat and get it functional, but is it safe? far from.. and a harsh lesson to those whom jump in to dark arts quickly.?

VirtualMon3y - September 21, 2015, 1:42 pm

(Sep 21, 2015 07:54 AM)A?mªDa Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Careful placement of non critical false info can render suh licensed products safe, but its a pain.

Agreed, long gone are the days where I simply ran my controller from my desktop without concern. routing, masking and VPNs are all critical to the equation more so than ever before. New users can pick up a rat and get it functional, but is it safe? far from.. and a harsh lesson to those whom jump in to dark arts quickly.?

I must say I am loving this threads content. Something really to think about.

tweety - September 21, 2015, 1:54 pm

(Sep 20, 2015 08:02 AM)???? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Wow, they all look like the work of taking 3 (or so) skids

and putting them all into one room. .NET 4? Seriously? What happened to those good old native RATs made in C/C++, VB6 or Delphi for that matter? Does no one use those languages anymore? The issue is nobody knows how to code.
I'm pretty sure maxxor's source has been put into many .net rats lol.

Yellowdock - November 18, 2015, 3:27 pm

(Sep 21, 2015 01:42 PM)UKninja Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I must say I am loving this threads content. Something really to think about.

Same, I really learned a lot from this thread and truly something to think about. You don't see a lot of intellectual discussion like this any longer. Just a bunch of pepperoni face skids with Cheeto dusted fingers.