

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [BosonLight Revamped | 20\\$ | Run/Scan FUD with VIDEO PROOF! | Tiny Native C Stub](#)

Wardow - December 2, 2015, 2:42 am

[Send me a private message to purchase.](#)

[Recommended Rat Setup Service :](#)
[\[IMG=480x185\]http://image.noelshack.com/fichiers/2015/48/1448497054-sig2.gif\[/IMG\]](#)

BEWARE OF IMPOSTERS!

pinkrusher.

wardow56.

wardow56,

(with the dot, comma)

Don't add them!

Add us instead by clicking the buttons!

Sales: [wardow56](#)

Support: [pinkrusher](#)

AUTOCHECK UPDATED REAL TIME

[\[IMG\]http://viruscheckmate.com/image/VWp1Q0gQ9kwN/full/img.png\[/IMG\]](#)

Runtime Bypass Proof Videos (Greetings to [DJPunisher](#))

Payload detections are sometimes prevented but if it's not the case, ask your payload provider to fix the detections happening, memory detections are not prevented for .NET files, and are prevented for native files on some antiviruses, you will get runtime FUD results on ALL antiviruses if your payload is not memory detected and has no behavior detections. In ALL cases the crypter will not ADD any runtime detection to your payload, and will try to prevent everything it can, but if it cannot, ask your payload provider.

Spoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)

THIS IS A SECURITY SOFTWARE AND ITS USE IS TO PROTECT YOUR FILES AGAINST REVERSE ENGINEERING. WE'RE NOT RESPONSABLE FOR ANY OTHER USE YOU DO WITH THIS SOFTWARE. YOU'RE THE SOLE AND ONLY RESPONSABLE FOR WHAT USE YOU DO WITH THIS SOFTWARE.

Cecilia - December 2, 2015, 2:51 am

Well...

Good luck with your sales :)

#Skyline - December 2, 2015, 2:54 am

Best of luck with sales Wardow, this is file security at it's finest.

Sc?rf?c3_ - December 2, 2015, 3:01 am

Vouch for this gay crypter! Wardow knows what he does...

N3oNight - December 2, 2015, 3:28 am

Vouch for this Kid,

best of luck with sales.

DaPiranha - December 2, 2015, 3:39 am

He's back again GL Wardow!

E M I N e M - December 2, 2015, 3:44 am

GooD Product ... Skilled Coder!

I am glad ur back.

GLWS bro.

xRaiders - December 2, 2015, 3:48 am

Vouch for my man ?

DamienVC - December 2, 2015, 5:17 am

Vouch for Wardow.

Does this BYPASS COMODO RUNTIME?

?lixir - December 2, 2015, 5:21 am

Look who's back. GLWS Wardow!

Wardow - December 2, 2015, 5:35 am

(Dec 2, 2015 06:17 AM)DamienVC Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Vouch for Wardow.

Does this BYPASS COMODO RUNTIME?

Haha good joke, yes it does.

French Products - December 2, 2015, 5:54 am

Boson is back !!!! you can purchase with not problems HQ crypter.?

eCoLoGy - December 2, 2015, 6:59 am

(Dec 2, 2015 06:54 AM)French Crypter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Boson is back !!!! you can purchase with not problems HQ crypter.?

Don't say that bro.

Not good to say good things about the competitors. You should instead take him down!
Say bad things like: Wardow is very gay and his crypter is very fag!
???

Kiding! Vouch for my broda Wardow! ?

Yazhagal - December 2, 2015, 9:47 am

I search your crypter bro contact me please

DisguiserNET - December 2, 2015, 11:36 am

vouch for my mate. he knows, how to create crypters!

©**Ratatouille™** - December 2, 2015, 1:52 pm

Backkkkkkkkk

Yeah vouch my nigga

Uiqueblhats - December 2, 2015, 10:24 pm

I thought boson was made in c++ its autoit now well wardow is actually a god of crypters don't hesitate to buy from him

Wardow - December 3, 2015, 12:45 am

(Dec 2, 2015 11:24 PM)Uiqueblhats Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I thought boson was made in c++ its autoit now well wardow is actually a god of crypters don't hesitate to buy from him

At it's first start it was AutoIT, and it became C++, now i decided to offer a public AutoIT stub, and offer C++ Stubs for those who really need, otherwise it is much more work to refud a C++ Stub every 3 days than refuding it only for a few customers.

BosonLight is back and it's FUD !

[\[IMG\]http://viruscheckmate.com/image/nAx6JVV1LGhR/full/img.png\[/IMG\]](http://viruscheckmate.com/image/nAx6JVV1LGhR/full/img.png)

Choucroute - December 3, 2015, 9:18 am

Certainly the best coder in terms of cryptography.

Meliodas - December 3, 2015, 2:21 pm

Are the normal stubs also FUD for such a long time or just the Private ones?

Wardow - December 3, 2015, 3:16 pm

(Dec 3, 2015 03:21 PM)Meliodas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Are the normal stubs also FUD for such a long time or just the Private ones?

Public Stub.

Wardow - December 5, 2015, 10:55 am

(Dec 5, 2015 11:10 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is a paid sticky until December 19, 2015. HackForums does not endorse the product nor vouch for the seller. As always any deals on HF are at your own risk.

Thanks for the sticky Omni!

Crypter is still FUD !

[\[img\]http://NoDistribute.com/result/image/NPIn1adIopM8QkGJ.png\[/img\]](http://NoDistribute.com/result/image/NPIn1adIopM8QkGJ.png)

Wardow - December 6, 2015, 12:29 pm

We got few more sales today! I'm making an Autobuy for Paypal and it will soon be available with an anti scammer system!

French Products - December 6, 2015, 5:30 pm

Watch out! Paypal has been added as payment method!

Wardow - December 6, 2015, 5:32 pm

(Dec 6, 2015 06:30 PM)French Crypter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Watch out! Paypal has been added as payment method!

Yes indeed, i just added it! You can now buy with Paypal!

Russel_Novagan - December 6, 2015, 9:13 pm

66\$? Did I misread it or what?:) Thread says 20\$

Free Kodak - December 7, 2015, 1:47 am

Been looking around, gonna try this out :)

Wardow - December 7, 2015, 8:28 am

(Dec 6, 2015 10:13 PM)Russel_Novagan Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 66\$? Did I misread it or what?:) Thread says 20\$

The price is 22\$ for Paypal payments, you have paid when i have not yet changed the price on Autobuy, i'm gonna refund you and you can pay again.

Crypter is FUD !

[\[IMG\]http://viruscheckmate.com/image/j8sdSA3GIUS5/full/img.png\[IMG\]](http://viruscheckmate.com/image/j8sdSA3GIUS5/full/img.png)

jamamrtin5 - December 7, 2015, 8:03 pm

This man is honestly a legend. I vouch for this 100%. You deserve attention mate. Have a big pat on the back. Anyone is very fortunate to find this mans work. Keep up the phenomenal work. ?

I completely forgot, I also absolutely cannot go without saying this mans service and support is the best 1 on 1 support you will ever receive, honestly. He truly cares and wants to help with anything you may need help with. Thank you for everything.

Wardow - December 7, 2015, 9:58 pm

(Dec 7, 2015 09:03 PM)jamamrtin5 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This man is honestly a legend. I vouch for this 100%. You deserve attention mate. Have a big pat on the back. Anyone is very fortunate to find this mans work. Keep up the phenomenal work. ?

I completely forgot, I also absolutely cannot go without saying this mans service and support is the best 1 on 1 support you will ever receive, honestly. He truly cares and wants to help with anything you may need help with. Thank you for everything.

Another honest customer review guys! ?

Thanks a lot jamamrtin5.

debug - December 8, 2015, 6:05 am

Wardow knows his stuff, don't hesitate to purchase.

Wardow - December 8, 2015, 11:16 am

(Dec 8, 2015 07:05 AM)debug Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Wardow knows his stuff, don't hesitate to purchase.

Thanks for the feedback debug!

Crypter is still FUD!

Result: (0/35)
AVG Free Clean
Avast Clean
AntiVir (Avira) Clean
BitDefender Clean
Clam Antivirus Clean
COMODO Internet Security Clean
Dr.Web Clean
eTrust-Vet Clean
F-PROT Antivirus Clean
F-Secure Internet Security Clean
G Data Clean
IKARUS Security Clean
Kaspersky Antivirus Clean
McAfee Clean
MS Security Essentials Clean
ESET NOD32 Clean
Norman Clean
Norton Antivirus Clean
Panda Security Clean
A-Squared Clean
Quick Heal Antivirus Clean
Solo Antivirus Clean
Sophos Clean
Trend Micro Internet Security Clean
VBA32 Antivirus Clean
Zoner AntiVirus Clean
Ad-Aware Clean
BullGuard Clean
FortiClient Clean
K7 Ultimate Clean
NANO Antivirus Clean
Panda CommandLine Clean
SUPERAntiSpyware Clean
Twister Antivirus Clean
VIPRE Clean

Filename: Crypted.exe
File Size: 880128 Bytes
Time Scanned: 19:12:48 08/12/2015
Scan provided by [XcrewScanner](#)
Link to Scan: <http://xcscanner.com/scan/ER8p>

HeavenSleep - December 8, 2015, 12:18 pm

Vouch for wardow, he is legit as f*ck :D

HQ Member for a HQ Crypter!

Wardow - December 8, 2015, 12:51 pm

(Dec 8, 2015 01:18 PM)HeavenSleep Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Vouch for wardow, he is legit has f*ck :D

HQ Member for an HQ Crypter!

We added the scanner back and guess what it's with MaJyx Scanner API!
Very good scanner, and very fast, don't hesitate to purchase from HeavenSleep for it.

szadpl - December 8, 2015, 7:08 pm

I writing to you on skype

Wardow - December 9, 2015, 8:48 am

(Dec 8, 2015 08:08 PM)szadpl Wrote: [CITE](#) I writing to you on skype

I just woke up, you can write to me now, i'm online.

Crypter is FUD!

Filename: kk.exe

Type: File

Filesize: 1161728 bytes

Date: 09/12/2015 - 18:39 GMT+2

MD5: 2dea1f4b6a90cd1ed7bd4dee1215a362

SHA1: 34d39235267200d22a45436c05ec32ce90bfa212

Status: Clean

Result: 0/35

AVG Free - OK

Avast - OK

AntiVir (Avira) - OK

BitDefender - OK

Clam Antivirus - OK

COMODO Internet Security - OK

Dr.Web - OK

eTrust-Vet - OK

F-PROT Antivirus - OK

F-Secure Internet Security - OK

G Data - OK

IKARUS Security - OK

Kaspersky Antivirus - OK

McAfee - OK

MS Security Essentials - OK

ESET NOD32 - OK

Norman - OK

Norton Antivirus - OK

Panda Security - OK

A-Squared - OK

Quick Heal Antivirus - OK

Solo Antivirus - OK

Sophos - OK

Trend Micro Internet Security - OK

VBA32 Antivirus - OK

Zoner AntiVirus - OK

Ad-Aware - OK

BullGuard - OK

FortiClient - OK

K7 Ultimate - OK

NANO Antivirus - OK

Panda CommandLine - OK

SUPERAntiSpyware - OK

Twister Antivirus - OK
VIPRE - OK

Scan Result: <http://v2.scan.majyx.net/?page=results&sid=921834>
[Scan by MaJyx Scanner](#)

Phrack - December 9, 2015, 3:39 pm

I just bought this product

Wardow - December 9, 2015, 3:41 pm

(Dec 9, 2015 04:39 PM)Phrack Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I just bought this product
Has been delivered!

szadpl - December 9, 2015, 8:23 pm

0x008 or 0x009 error in NetSeal

TRH4CK - December 9, 2015, 9:06 pm

Vouch for this dude! Wardow is known as the god in crypters !!! ?

Wardow - December 10, 2015, 10:58 am

(Dec 9, 2015 10:06 PM)TRH4CK Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Vouch for this dude! Wardow is known as the god in crypters !!! ?
Thanks a lot TRH4CK !

Wardow - December 11, 2015, 11:20 am

BosonLight is FUD !

[\[IMG\]http://viruscheckmate.com/image/hUFGg8hBSbTk/full/img.png](http://viruscheckmate.com/image/hUFGg8hBSbTk/full/img.png)[\[IMG\]](#)

Wardow - December 12, 2015, 12:09 pm

BosonLight is still FUD and up for sales!

we added a Persistence for the Startup inside the persistence!

Reddit54 - December 12, 2015, 6:57 pm

Highly vouch for Wardow! Before buying it he helped me to solve some problems and not only for the crypter!

Wardow - December 13, 2015, 5:59 am

(Dec 12, 2015 07:57 PM)Reddit54 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Highly vouch for Wardow! Before buying it he helped me to solve some problems and not only for the crypter!
Thanks for the vouch!

BosonLight is still FUD!

[\[IMG\]http://viruscheckmate.com/image/ZSUQLM9x9Won/full/img.png](http://viruscheckmate.com/image/ZSUQLM9x9Won/full/img.png)[\[IMG\]](#)

Wardow - December 14, 2015, 2:50 pm

BosonLight has been updated!

[\[IMG\]http://viruscheckmate.com/image/Hm7ExvJDPJg3/full/img.png](http://viruscheckmate.com/image/Hm7ExvJDPJg3/full/img.png)[\[IMG\]](#)

level3 - December 15, 2015, 6:32 am

Vouch for Wardow French people are the best

Wardow - December 15, 2015, 6:37 am

(Dec 15, 2015 07:32 AM)level3 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Vouch for Wardow French people are the best
Thanks for the vouch!

Boson is still FUD!

[\[IMG\]http://viruscheckmate.com/image/YFaY7Vv4sVI/full/img.png\[/IMG\]](http://viruscheckmate.com/image/YFaY7Vv4sVI/full/img.png)

? **[\$(1000)\$]** ? - December 16, 2015, 10:11 am

Just purchased one month!

Filename: pic.exe
Type: File
Filesize: 2501632 bytes
Date: 16/12/2015 - 19:08 GMT+2
MD5: 87bb5acb01aeeead25e0c65581a175fc
SHA1: 0ffb95edb789894c1c82942a5704360fee976ad6
Status: Clean
Result: 0/35

AVG Free - OK
Avast - OK
AntiVir (Avira) - OK
BitDefender - OK
Clam Antivirus - OK
COMODO Internet Security - OK
Dr.Web - OK
eTrust-Vet - OK
F-PROT Antivirus - OK
F-Secure Internet Security - OK
G Data - OK
IKARUS Security - OK
Kaspersky Antivirus - OK
McAfee - OK
MS Security Essentials - OK
ESET NOD32 - OK
Norman - OK
Norton Antivirus - OK
Panda Security - OK
A-Squared - OK
Quick Heal Antivirus - OK
Solo Antivirus - OK
Sophos - OK
Trend Micro Internet Security - OK
VBA32 Antivirus - OK
Zoner AntiVirus - OK
Ad-Aware - OK
BullGuard - OK
FortiClient - OK
K7 Ultimate - OK
NANO Antivirus - OK

Panda CommandLine - OK
SUPERAntiSpyware - OK
Twister Antivirus - OK
VIPRE - OK

Scan Result: <http://v2.scan.majyx.net/?page=results&sid=926272>
[Scan by MaJyx Scanner](#)

arsenel - December 18, 2015, 1:52 am

Seems like a nice crypter.

Does it bypass eset on runtime?

Wardow - December 18, 2015, 3:57 am

(Dec 18, 2015 02:52 AM)arsenel Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Seems like a nice crypter.

Does it bypass eset on runtime?

Yes it does bypass eset on Runtime without any issues, and with the Anti Memory Scanner and Firewall Bypass (Svchost.exe Injection) you can even bypass the Memory Scanner with DarkComet! Woop, Woop!

Boson is FUD!!!

[\[IMG\]http://v2.scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=927329\[/IMG\]](http://v2.scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=927329)

Wardow - December 18, 2015, 5:22 pm

(Dec 18, 2015 06:18 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is a paid sticky until January 3, 2015. HackForums does not endorse the product nor vouch for the seller. As always any deals on HF are at your own risk.

Thanks for the sticky Omni!

doingdamage - December 19, 2015, 7:45 am

why hidden at start up not available?

Wardow - December 19, 2015, 9:37 am

(Dec 19, 2015 08:45 AM)doingdamage Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) why hidden at start up not available? Because i use a new startup method which use no registry key, and this one can't be hidden. It's either you bypass most avs runtime with Startup, or you have your key hidden.

szadpl - December 19, 2015, 1:17 pm

(Dec 19, 2015 10:37 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Because i use a new startup method which use no registry key, and this one can't be hidden.

It's either you bypass most avs runtime with Startup, or you have your key hidden.

?

I Fixed my problems with NetSeal buddy
But i have probs with norton detection
Im using luminosity any advices?

Wardow - December 19, 2015, 1:39 pm

(Dec 19, 2015 02:17 PM)szadpl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) ?

I Fixed my problems with NetSeal buddy
But i have probs with norton detection
Im using luminosity any advices?

Use an HQ Icon from IconArchive.com !

Wardow - December 21, 2015, 9:56 am

[IMG]<http://viruscheckmate.com/image/aY83tb8qwU9o/full/img.png>[/IMG]

maxerbox - December 21, 2015, 10:35 am

Wow it look good, i will remember it

Wardow - December 21, 2015, 10:49 am

(Dec 21, 2015 11:35 AM)maxerbox Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Wow it look good, i will remember it
Hope to see you purchasing it in the next weeks!

I announce that we will maybe have a C++ Stub back again for 5 People, it will cost around 80\$ per month.

Cecilia - December 21, 2015, 5:55 pm

Great to see the product is still alive, very helpful and prevents reverse engineering from noobs! :)

Wardow - December 21, 2015, 6:11 pm

I added a new Paypal Autobuy!

Check out the main thread for the link!

xRaiders - December 22, 2015, 5:33 pm

Nanocore crypted

Fud for 7 days now, ?

[IMG]<http://v2.scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=930306>[/IMG]

Wardow - December 24, 2015, 8:48 am

Crypter has been updated!

[IMG]<http://viruscheckmate.com/image/piDhn3GpUrv5/full/img.png>[/IMG]

jamale - December 25, 2015, 3:09 am

great job

good luck brother

Slavoj Žižek - December 25, 2015, 3:57 am

just bought it can confirm it has a sick USG - it was 2/35

so I recrypted and it was FUD :D

<http://v2.scan.majyx.net/?page=results&sid=933158>

i just hope the settings I used for Luminosity Link work :P

xRaiders - December 25, 2015, 4:04 am

(Dec 25, 2015 04:57 AM)Blezzedd Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) just bought it can confirm it has a sick USG - it was 2/35

so I recrypted and it was FUD :D

<http://v2.scan.majyx.net/?page=results&sid=933158>

i just hope the settings I used for Luminosity Link work :P
Hey, pm me a screen with your setting ?

Wardow - December 25, 2015, 5:07 am

(Dec 25, 2015 04:57 AM)Blezzedd Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) just bought it can confirm it has a sick USG - it was 2/35

so I reencrypted and it was FUD :D

<http://v2.scan.majyx.net/?page=results&sid=933158>

i just hope the settings I used for Luminosity Link work :P
Thanks but there is no USG at all :)
It's just the Antiviruses detecting the encrypted data, they don't have anything else to detect!

Slavoj Žižek - December 25, 2015, 8:55 am

(Dec 25, 2015 06:07 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thanks but there is no USG at all :)
It's just the Antiviruses detecting the encrypted data, they don't have anything else to detect!
wow, if there is no usg then its a really good crypter went from 2 to 0 detections :D ?

(Dec 25, 2015 05:04 AM)NKMZ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hey, pm me a screen with your setting ?
thanks for the offer to help but it works without a hitch

prob the best crypter i have had ?

pensu007 - December 25, 2015, 5:38 pm

Thanks for the update its good crypteur & support ??

[IMG]<http://v2.scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=933993>[IMG]

Wardow - December 26, 2015, 2:40 pm

Crypter has been updated! (Autocheck also Updated!)

AVG Detection fixed!
(Other detections were getting fixed after reencrypt!)

[IMG]<http://viruscheckmate.com/image/nQ0ZkaSpeb1b/full/img.png>[IMG]

pensu007 - December 26, 2015, 5:22 pm

thanks for the update my freinds

Wardow - December 28, 2015, 11:13 am

BosonLight is still FUD as you can see on the main post Autocheck!

Nex+ - December 29, 2015, 1:27 pm

Without a doubt one of the best crypters on this forum, GLWS Wardow

Wardow - December 31, 2015, 11:38 am

Crypter has been updated!
AVG Detection has been fixed!

[IMG]<http://viruscheckmate.com/image/bmSfs8YFQcAj/full/img.png>[IMG]

Twixles - December 31, 2015, 1:38 pm

Huge vouch, great support with fast replies and a great and very easy to use crypter.
[img]http://v2.scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=941858[/img]

TRH4CK - January 1, 2016, 2:44 am

Huge vouch for Wardow! He always has been the best with crypters!

Wardow - January 1, 2016, 10:08 am

(Jan 1, 2016 10:29 AM)qwerty101 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) snip

Thanks for the detailed review, but the Bitcoin Autobuy is not coded by me, so please blame Razor if it's being slow, i personally don't think it is, it's just bitcoin system making so it needs to be confirmed.

The Stub size, everyone knows it, it's AutoIT so it's big, i'm not hiding it nor saying it, but customers can see by themselves looking at the Autocheck at the top of thread, and i had no complains about the stub size from anyone yet.

As you can see:

<https://www.virustotal.com/en/file/995c5a03947743e7b92385819ad4171c8ec81ee94fba42056da653153292a2bf/analysis/1451670795/>

This is a dummy autoit script compiled:

Code MsgBox(0,"","") and i'm doing hex edits to patch them, but there is some you can't patch because it is resources that AutoIT needs.

Other than that, you can get clean on VirusCheckMate with an icon which fix Jiangmin Antivirus, but without any effort and any icon, Scan4You shows it's clean.

Raz?r - January 1, 2016, 10:13 am

(Jan 1, 2016 11:08 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thanks for the detailed review, but the Bitcoin Autobuy is not coded by me, so please blame Razor if it's being slow, i personally don't think it is, it's just bitcoin system making so it needs to be confirmed.

Please blame the BitCoin devs instead.

1 confirmation is required to make the transaction secure and don't put the seller at a risk.

Wardow - January 1, 2016, 10:24 am

(Jan 1, 2016 11:13 AM)Raz?r Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Please blame the BitCoin devs instead.

1 confirmation is required to make the transaction secure and don't put the seller at a risk.

I wasn't the unhappy guy, i even stated myself i had no problems with it.

xRaiders - January 1, 2016, 10:31 am

Imminent Monitor crypted :

icon from iconfinder

[\[IMG\]http://v2.scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=942822\[/IMG\]](http://v2.scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=942822)

FSBN - January 1, 2016, 5:52 pm

Vouch for this crypter.

Wardow knows his shit.

The_French_Coders - January 1, 2016, 8:09 pm

This is a good encrypt but I like to have the link to buy it on paypal

Wardow - January 1, 2016, 8:42 pm

(Jan 1, 2016 09:09 PM)The_French_Coders Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is a good encrypt but I like to have

the link to buy it on paypal
Read the thread, this should help.

alex3401 - January 2, 2016, 6:21 am
thnxxx bro. im loking noww ;)

Wardow - January 2, 2016, 1:18 pm
The crypter has been updated!

Changelog:
Code Cloud Engine has now an automatic Obfuscator! Crypting time will increase a bit, but you will get a different stub for each of your crypts!

Wardow - January 3, 2016, 7:58 pm
Crypting will not be available until toomorrow as i'm doing massive updates again, sorry for the issues, anyone who wants 1 day added to their license PM me toomorrow, i'll add them!

Mister Guwop - January 4, 2016, 8:14 am
Wardow babe how i have missed you so. ~Loomi

Slavoj Žižek - January 4, 2016, 9:35 am
I'm in a different time zone - when will this tomorrow be?
i guess the different stubs thing is a USG ;D

keep up the good work

Wardow - January 4, 2016, 8:31 pm
Crypter is currently updated with only Kaspersky detection left, will fix in the next hours/days.

R123T - January 4, 2016, 8:34 pm
Vouch for Wardow, awesome crypter :)

Wardow - January 4, 2016, 8:39 pm
(Jan 4, 2016 09:34 PM)R123T Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Vouch for Wardow, awesome crypter :)
awww, thanks, but you didnt tested o.o, if so i'm not aware.
Crypter is fud, but often gets Kaspersky detection, i will fix once i find a way to get arround it, for now just switch icons and it should dissappear.

Slavoj Žižek - January 5, 2016, 8:05 am
wow this new update made a great crypter even better, the kasper detection ofter appears but i reencrypt and use another icon and its gone

good job :D
[\[IMG\]http://v2.scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=947781\[/IMG\]](http://v2.scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=947781)
:P

xRaiders - January 5, 2016, 12:41 pm
IM4 Client

[\[IMG\]http://v2.scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=948060\[/IMG\]](http://v2.scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=948060)

Thank

Wardow - January 5, 2016, 10:01 pm

Updated the cloud engine again, introduced a general patcher which works on every autoit files, to patch Avast, Kaspersky, Nod32, Dr.Web, Probably AVG, which means you probably wont have any detections from those for a long time!

Enjoy :)

Autocheck has been updated!

Scan:

[IMG]<http://viruscheckmate.com/image/xqqZ42gCTvVT/full/img.png>[/IMG]

stackoverflowin - January 6, 2016, 11:44 am

Vouch for Wardow, this crypter has an extremely long FUD time with great support :)

Wardow - January 7, 2016, 6:29 pm

Video added to the thread, more are coming soon.

Meliodas - January 8, 2016, 2:00 pm

Is there a delay option to bypass runtime? Or is this not even needed?

Wardow - January 8, 2016, 3:21 pm

(Jan 8, 2016 03:00 PM)Meliodas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Is there a delay option to bypass runtime? Or is this not even needed?

Delay doesnt bypass anything runtime, the only AV it could bypass is Avast, but i use another more reliable method. And no this is not needed.

Meliodas - January 8, 2016, 3:28 pm

(Jan 8, 2016 04:21 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Delay doesnt bypass anything runtime, the only AV it could bypass is Avast, but i use another more reliable method. And no this is not needed.

EDIT: Nvm paypal payments suspended :(

Wardow - January 8, 2016, 3:36 pm

(Jan 8, 2016 04:28 PM)Meliodas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) EDIT: Nvm paypal payments suspended :(

Contact me on skype: wardow56

Crypter has been updated! Default Version Info changed, to patch MalwareBytes detection!

Meliodas - January 9, 2016, 7:17 am

[IMG]<http://v2.scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=951767>[/IMG]

Best Crypter on HF by far

Wardow - January 9, 2016, 9:24 pm

Thanks Omni!

Crypter still FUD!

[IMG]<http://viruscheckmate.com/image/GHBFbNBrrUiY/full/img.png>[/IMG]

Meliodas - January 10, 2016, 6:30 am

[\[IMG\]http://v2.scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=952673\[/IMG\]](http://v2.scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=952673)

Still FUD Guys can only recommend this crypter its amazing!

halla007 - January 10, 2016, 4:12 pm

I'm posting.. magpowl... skype..thanks

luciopo58 - January 11, 2016, 2:44 pm

good luck for sales

i will be interested

Meliodas - January 11, 2016, 3:17 pm

(Jan 11, 2016 03:44 PM)luciopo58 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) good luck for sales

i will be interested

You wont regret it, its the best crypter out there!

halla007 - January 12, 2016, 8:41 am

Don't waste your time dealing or buying other crypters on HF... iv wasted tones of money searching for the right guy.. this is da man.. i give you infinity rating...kudos boss wardow ..

Wardow - January 13, 2016, 9:55 pm

Thank you for all the nice comments, currently working on fixing detections, it will be fully clean again in some hours!

Detections:

AVG, Kaspersky.

EDIT:

Kaspersky has been fixed, also Jiangmin Antivirus is definitely bypassed (you could get over it with icons but now it's just never here), i'm still working on AVG.

xoja.bola1990 - January 14, 2016, 7:57 am

what about runtime Debug RAT ???

Wardow - January 14, 2016, 11:01 am

(Jan 14, 2016 08:57 AM)xoja.bola1990 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) what about runtime Debug RAT ???

Why would you care about DebugRAT? it's trash..

anyways, it wouldnt be much different as i doubt it's detected in memory but it could still be detected by its behavior (which i highly think it is) with the I337 features it offers.

Meliodas - January 14, 2016, 9:16 pm

(Jan 14, 2016 12:01 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why would you care about DebugRAT? it's trash..

anyways, it wouldnt be much different as i doubt it's detected in memory but it could still be detected by its behavior (which i highly think it is) with the I337 features it offers.

thx for the sick update :)

Wardow - January 14, 2016, 11:17 pm

(Jan 14, 2016 10:16 PM)Meliodas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) thx for the sick update :)
AVG has not been fixed fully, but it can be avoided by adding the right icon.

Meliodas - January 15, 2016, 1:58 am

(Jan 15, 2016 12:17 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) AVG has not been fixed fully, but it can be avoided by adding the right icon.

Yes i already tested that and was able to do it ^^

xoja.bola1990 - January 15, 2016, 9:25 pm

what about avast detection Debug RAT

xRaiders - January 16, 2016, 2:32 am

(Jan 15, 2016 10:25 PM)xoja.bola1990 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) what about avast detection Debug RAT please change your icon ?

[\[IMG\]http://v2.scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=957913\[/IMG\]](http://v2.scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=957913)

Meliodas - January 16, 2016, 3:18 am

[\[IMG\]http://v2.scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=957931\[/IMG\]](http://v2.scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=957931)

Best Crypter on HF :)

qq sola - January 16, 2016, 1:31 pm

i buy it via bitcoin ,, and still didnt get anything !

Wardow - January 16, 2016, 10:11 pm

(Jan 16, 2016 02:31 PM)qq sola Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) i buy it via bitcoin ,, and still didnt get anything !
You've been sent a PM.

xoja.bola1990 - January 16, 2016, 11:40 pm

good best.

run ttiimme too best

DexterGO - January 18, 2016, 3:00 am

You skype please ? thanks

Wardow - January 18, 2016, 6:26 am

(Jan 18, 2016 04:00 AM)mike10 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You skype please ? thanks
wardow56

Add me.

qq sola - January 18, 2016, 6:52 am

Gr8 job.. bybass norton security

Meliodas - January 18, 2016, 11:12 am

[\[IMG\]http://v2.scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=960304\[/IMG\]](http://v2.scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=960304)

Still FUD guys!

limb3r - January 18, 2016, 11:53 pm

Bookmarking this. Interesting vouches.

Wardow - January 21, 2016, 10:38 am

Crypter has been updated! Fixed some Gen.Variant.Mikey ? detection :)

[\[IMG\]http://viruscheckmate.com/image/iWh1U5NMrsfK/full/img.png\[/IMG\]](http://viruscheckmate.com/image/iWh1U5NMrsfK/full/img.png)

Meliodas - January 21, 2016, 12:38 pm

im still using the same file for 9 days now, spread to around 300 people and its still fud...

repo_mann - January 21, 2016, 3:26 pm

From the vouches and my interaction with Wardow, I believe he knows what he's doing. Just that I bought mine and is yet to work. I have tried reaching out but seems he is not around. Any of the Support team should help me out so that I can use and make my review too.

Meliodas - January 22, 2016, 5:15 am

(Jan 21, 2016 04:26 PM)jeffemerald1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) From the vouches and my interaction with Wardow, I believe he knows what he's doing. Just that I bought mine and is yet to work. I have tried reaching out but seems he is not around. Any of the Support team should help me out so that I can use and make my review too.

What is not wotking exactly?

DexterGO - January 22, 2016, 6:01 am

Best Crypter ! Long time Fud

Kath1995 - January 22, 2016, 6:41 am

going to be trying this thanks

xRaiders - January 22, 2016, 12:05 pm

Online for support you can pm me or add me on skype : pinkrusher ?

Anonimox - January 22, 2016, 2:09 pm

Please tell me Runtime of Bitdefender End Point Security ?

Wardow - January 22, 2016, 3:30 pm

(Jan 22, 2016 03:09 PM)Anonimox Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Please tell me Runtime of Bitdefender End Point Security ?

This is not an easy one, we probably are not bypassing it, same as Dr.Web, hard ones.

repo_mann - January 23, 2016, 2:47 am

(Jan 22, 2016 06:15 AM)Meliodas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What is not wotking exactly?

It doesnt work on my system. But I noticed that it worked on my RDP. Tried uninstalling .Net Framework and reinstalling as Wardow suggested, still didnt work. Maybe, I will have to use on my RDP or VM. Anyways, I think is a great crypter coming from a great coder I have interacted. He knows his onion.?

ralvaen - January 24, 2016, 6:17 am

I had a problem with my server DC but you're support helped me and the problem as fixed.

Thanks for you service man

?etasploit - January 24, 2016, 8:53 am

Looks like an awesome product, and good music in the first video! GLWS Looking forward to doing business soon.

TectoMods - January 24, 2016, 11:58 am

Vouch for this Guy, Truly FUD

Wardow - January 25, 2016, 6:17 am

Important update has been applied!

You can now use any .NET file that require ItSelf injection without removing any option, you can now use the LuminosityLink "Rootkit", use Startup into your files and all this stuff!
Enjoy the update guys!

xRaiders - January 25, 2016, 1:41 pm

OMG you did it :p thank for the update

Wardow - January 25, 2016, 5:39 pm

Got everything up, and now everything is working!

asmy - January 25, 2016, 8:47 pm

its work fine with nanocore

its work fine with nanocore ??????

Meliodas - January 25, 2016, 10:22 pm

(Jan 25, 2016 09:47 PM)asmy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) its work fine with nanocore
its work fine with nanocore ??????
Yes it does?

Wardow - January 26, 2016, 6:30 am

[\[IMG\]http://v2.scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=967506\[/IMG\]](http://v2.scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=967506)

Wardow - January 27, 2016, 10:55 pm

Crypter has been updated!

It's now much easier to get rid of AVG detection and Jiangmin Detection which was previously fixed by certain icons.

[\[IMG\]http://viruscheckmate.com/image/V6xyMMjxFMNF/full/img.png\[/IMG\]](http://viruscheckmate.com/image/V6xyMMjxFMNF/full/img.png)

Abathur - January 28, 2016, 7:26 am

Even though I am not an UBER member yet. I want to say that not only you get this amazing crypter, but you also get great support too. NKMZ who is I am assuming co-creator? is amazing at helping you out if you got are have some technical issues. Definitely a vouch.

Wardow - January 28, 2016, 10:11 am

(Jan 28, 2016 08:26 AM)greenee Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Even though I am not an UBER member yet. I want to say that not only you get this amazing crypter, but you also get great support too. NKMZ who is I am assuming co-creator? is amazing at helping you out if you got are have some technical issues. Definitely a vouch.

Thanks a lot for the feedback!

Wardow - January 31, 2016, 4:14 am

Look at the Autocheck! Still FUD AS HELL!

[\[IMG\]http://viruscheckmate.com/image/V6xyMMjxFMNF/full/img.png\[/IMG\]](http://viruscheckmate.com/image/V6xyMMjxFMNF/full/img.png)

xRaiders - January 31, 2016, 9:47 am

If you need help with this crypter, please add me on skype "pinkrusher" without the dot ! ?

Wardow - January 31, 2016, 3:25 pm

(Jan 31, 2016 10:47 AM)NKMZ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If you need help with this crypter, please add me on skype "pinkrusher" without the dot ! ?

Use this button to be sure to not add a scammer!

[_pinkrusher](#)

SIM-2212192 - January 31, 2016, 10:32 pm

Vouch, he is legit

Filename: test.exe

Type: File

Filesize: 1137152 bytes

Date: 01/02/2016 - 07:30 GMT+2

MD5: 1f70df2fe13144b73891d0b4819b52e1

SHA1: 8a7300c2e778ee33a32c31c0958998778f791753

Status: Clean

Result: 0/35

AVG Free - OK

Avast - OK

AntiVir (Avira) - OK

BitDefender - OK

Clam Antivirus - OK

COMODO Internet Security - OK

Dr.Web - OK

eTrust-Vet - OK

F-PROT Antivirus - OK

F-Secure Internet Security - OK

G Data - OK

IKARUS Security - OK

Kaspersky Antivirus - OK

McAfee - OK

MS Security Essentials - OK

ESET NOD32 - OK

Norman - OK

Norton Antivirus - OK

Panda Security - OK

A-Squared - OK

Quick Heal Antivirus - OK

Solo Antivirus - OK

Sophos - OK

Trend Micro Internet Security - OK

VBA32 Antivirus - OK

Zoner AntiVirus - OK

Ad-Aware - OK

BullGuard - OK

FortiClient - OK

K7 Ultimate - OK

NANO Antivirus - OK
Panda CommandLine - OK
SUPERAntiSpyware - OK
Twister Antivirus - OK
VIPRE - OK

Scan Result: <http://v2.scan.majyx.net/?page=results&sid=972407>
[Scan by MaJyx Scanner](#)

Twixles - February 1, 2016, 2:51 pm

Love this crypter, huge vouch.

[img]<http://v2.scan.majyx.net/image.php?id=973234>[img]

Wardow - February 2, 2016, 7:31 am

The crypter is still FUD! Click on the autocheck!

We have barely any unhappy customer, nearly 99% positive feedback, get it now!

Anonimox - February 3, 2016, 2:41 am

Does this crypter FUD, scantime & RunTime for Bitdefender Endpoint Security ? and other AV's
Please conform me. Thanks

Wardow - February 3, 2016, 10:06 am

(Feb 3, 2016 03:41 AM)Anonimox Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Does this crypter FUD, scantime & RunTime for Bitdefender Endpoint Security ? and other AV's

Please conform me. Thanks

If you read the bottom of the thread, i'm saying there is some issues with BitDefender, i will test myself as i've only been told, and i will post here to inform you.

0090 - February 4, 2016, 11:31 am

good luck >.<.....

HappyCEO - February 4, 2016, 5:49 pm

I will buy when those issues are gone, :P do you have any idea when that might happen ? :)

Wardow - February 4, 2016, 7:00 pm

(Feb 4, 2016 06:49 PM)hristingur Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I will buy when those issues are gone, :P do you have any idea when that might happen ? :)

You can check out the others crypters, but when you purchase and actually test the runtime bypasses it is way worse than what i announce, most of them lie about the runtime bypasses and says they bypass "ALL" without any kind of proof, the only ones that have proper Runtime bypass results are DataEncrypterEvo and Arcanum Crypter.

BosonLight is a light crypter with an A+ French/English Support, a cheap price, and acceptable runtime results, the strong point is that it is pretty much ALWAYS scantime FUD for a fairly long time.

It is funny how this crypt from the 5 december has only been detected by one AV (AVG) after 3 months starting from today :)

[img]<http://NoDistribute.com/result/image/NPln1adlopM8QkGJ.png>[img]

GordonJones - February 5, 2016, 5:53 am

Vouch for this product, Wardow is great member and excelent coder, if you are looking for hq

crypter and FUD output do not hesitate to buy BosonLight, it worth!

Wardow - February 5, 2016, 8:05 am

(Feb 5, 2016 06:53 AM)GordonJones Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Vouch for this product, Wardow is great member and excelent coder, if you are looking for hq crypter and FUD output do not hesitate to buy BosonLight, it worth!

Thank you for the customer feedback! BosonLight is still undetected! You can check this at anytime by looking at the main post autocheck (Click onto it to get the latest results, the scanning service doesnt regenerate new images.)

kickrocks - February 6, 2016, 12:04 am

huge vouch again , still perfect results with ease , definitely one of the best crypters here , on HF

QU35T - February 7, 2016, 7:00 am

Vouch for this crypter, many thanks

drDREAD - February 8, 2016, 1:15 am

Just bought it, works as promised! 100% vouch!

tobias - February 8, 2016, 5:16 am

`Current runtime issues are, Norton, Dr.Web(while crypting Detected native malware), BitDefender (while crypting Detected native malware), and AVG (while crypting detected malware with reboot).`

any info when will this AVs fixed? thanks

?dyss?us - February 8, 2016, 8:11 am

Posting to come back for this

Wardow - February 8, 2016, 12:11 pm

(Feb 8, 2016 06:16 AM)tobias Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) `Current runtime issues are, Norton, Dr.Web(while crypting Detected native malware), BitDefender (while crypting Detected native malware), and AVG (while crypting detected malware with reboot).`

any info when will this AVs fixed? thanks

Norton will be fixed once i will finish this converting hassle from C to AutoIT, for the rest it's still in the process of fixing.

angelmanddi7 - February 10, 2016, 3:28 am

Good works as promised! 100%
100 % fud

GordonJones - February 10, 2016, 7:55 am

This crypter works great with native and .net support rats both. It is simple and powerful stuff, do not hesitate to get it if you want huge load of connections :D

Wardow - February 10, 2016, 8:17 am

(Feb 10, 2016 04:28 AM)angelmanddi7 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Good works as promised! 100%
100 % fud

(Feb 10, 2016 08:55 AM)GordonJones Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This crypter works great with native and .net support rats both. It is simple and powerful stuff, do not hesitate to get it if you want huge load of connections :D

Thank you both for the customer feedback.

black666man - February 11, 2016, 4:53 am

Wardow i have purchase the crypter, but the link isnt downloading the software kindly write me on skype

Wardow - February 11, 2016, 8:55 am

(Feb 11, 2016 05:53 AM)black666man Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Wardow i have purchase the crypter, but the link isnt downloading the software kindly write me on skype
I have restarted my webserver, fixed now.

black666man - February 11, 2016, 10:28 am

BIG 100% I GIVE U, UR CUSTOMER SUPPORT IS 1 OF THE BEST ON HF... THANKS ALOT

Wardow - February 11, 2016, 10:34 am

(Feb 11, 2016 11:28 AM)black666man Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) BIG 100% I GIVE U, UR CUSTOMER SUPPORT IS 1 OF THE BEST ON HF... THANKS ALOT
Thank you for the customer feedback!

French Products - February 11, 2016, 6:59 pm

Always a good work my friend ;)

Wardow - February 11, 2016, 6:59 pm

The crypter has been updated with a undetected icon generator! (automatic when you don't choose any icon)
The version info generator has also been updated for no detections!

inferno.c - February 11, 2016, 9:42 pm

It bypass Microsoft Defender runtime and it keep having problems with AVG?
How long does the crypter stay FUD?

xRaiders - February 12, 2016, 2:30 am

[\[img\]http://viruscheckmate.com/image/ORNgkT2dV2ZQ/full/img.png](http://viruscheckmate.com/image/ORNgkT2dV2ZQ/full/img.png)[/img]

Wardow - February 12, 2016, 10:33 am

Hey guys!

It's been 10 days the crypter has been undetected without any detection update!

[\[img\]http://rZR.re/get_img.php?id=f2e98fea57c7724f5c34f1d5b8d4174c](http://rZR.re/get_img.php?id=f2e98fea57c7724f5c34f1d5b8d4174c)[/img]

Since the 02/02/2016 around 1500 crypts have been made from ~60 active customers!

(Feb 11, 2016 10:42 PM)inferno.c Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It bypass Microsoft Defender runtime and it keep having problems with AVG?

How long does the crypter stay FUD?

It is undetected as for MSE, i'm currently testing AVG again.

For the FUD time you can refer to above.

Cecilia - February 13, 2016, 10:45 pm

As you can see, wardow is temporarily been banned from HF. If you wish to have contact with him for purchases or support please add him on his skype.

[wardow56](#)

xRaiders - February 16, 2016, 3:22 am

(Feb 13, 2016 11:45 PM)LaPanthere Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) As you can see, wardow is temporarily been banned from HF. If you wish to have contact with him for purchases or support please add him on his skype.

[wardow56](#)

i'm here to?

Please feel free to contact me for any further assistance

[Pinkrusher](#)

French Products - February 16, 2016, 9:24 am

Nice job wardow, fuck av's !!!! xD : <http://viruscheckmate.com/id/jdJlqQICl0fq>

xRaiders - February 21, 2016, 4:05 am

Crypter is still fud

[img]<http://anonscan.net/assets/img/results/c8c3402ccb7bdd1ff.png>[img] ?

Wardow - February 28, 2016, 1:28 pm

[\[IMG\]](#)<http://viruscheckmate.com/image/rxov9YeugUtn/full/img.png>[\[IMG\]](#)

Sc?rf?c3_ - February 28, 2016, 4:28 pm

Yo, Wellcome back Perdown! =D

Wardow - February 28, 2016, 4:33 pm

(Feb 28, 2016 05:28 PM)Sc?rf?c3_ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yo, Wellcome back Perdown! =D
hii! soldiers can get to hospital sometimes :DD

Wardow - March 3, 2016, 8:51 am

[\[IMG\]](#)<http://viruscheckmate.com/image/MiU4BnqemPeA/full/img.png>[\[IMG\]](#)

3Robi - March 4, 2016, 12:28 am

What's the minimum file size the crypted output managed to get?

james007 - March 4, 2016, 1:49 am

Does it works on Diamond fox botnet??

Wardow - March 4, 2016, 2:10 pm

(Mar 4, 2016 01:28 AM)xronize Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What's the minimum file size the crypted output managed to get?

The stub is between 700kb-800kb.

(Mar 4, 2016 02:49 AM)james007 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Does it works on Diamond fox botnet??
No, this doesnt.

Wardow - March 8, 2016, 7:11 am

Still going strong, and FUD! Check the scan on the first post.

xRaiders - March 10, 2016, 2:44 am

Quasar Crypted since 1 week

[img]<http://viruscheckmate.com/image/YLGJTwSa9vMF/full/img.png>[img]

Wardow - March 11, 2016, 12:38 pm

Just updated the cloud engine, now the engine will make sure your file is FUD (scanning using

Scan4You API 35 antiviruses results) before sending it back, and if it cannot produce a fully undetected file due to a detection within the crypter after 10 tries it will send you the best result it could produce, choosing no icon is recommended.

Icon Generator and Version Info generator also has been improved, and the crypter storage resource ID changed.

PS: It may take more time to produce your crypted file but almost 100% of the times it is fully undetected!

Enjoy :)

degado - March 11, 2016, 4:13 pm

It looks great but the problem good crypters get to take down fast it happens because whoever is doing stuff if they see that crypter doing good and it is actually good it happened with crypt ex-reborn. Unless you have security i mean very good one. I am only interested in protecting my own code from cracking so... if it stay around defo interested since it has auto scans and stuff new stuff right away so keep up the grate work.

anyway if i am wrong fuck that i am only saying my opinion . good luck withh sales anyway looks hq.

Wardow - March 11, 2016, 4:44 pm

(Mar 11, 2016 05:13 PM)degado Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It looks great but the problem good crypters get to take down fast it happens because whoever is doing stuff if they see that crypter doing good and it is actually good it happened with crypt ex-reborn. Unless you have security i mean very good one. I am only interested in protecting my own code from cracking so... if it stay around defo interested since it has auto scans and stuff new stuff right away so keep up the grate work.

anyway if i am wrong fuck that i am only saying my opinion . good luck withh sales anyway looks hq.

Add me on skype: wardow56 if you need protection for your application.

neger20 - March 12, 2016, 6:58 am

(Mar 11, 2016 01:38 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Just updated the cloud engine, now the engine will make sure your file is FUD (scanning using Scan4You API 35 antiviruses results) before sending it back, and if it cannot produce a fully undetected file due to a detection within the crypter after 10 tries it will send you the best result it could produce, choosing no icon is recommended.

Icon Generator and Version Info generator also has been improved, and the crypter storage resource ID changed.

PS: It may take more time to produce your crypted file but almost 100% of the times it is fully undetected!

Enjoy :)Thank you for the improvements! ?

Wardow - March 12, 2016, 7:35 am

(Mar 12, 2016 07:58 AM)neger20 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thank you for the improvements! ?

Hope you enjoy it, i have updated the amount of tries to 5, if you don't have a fully undetected file after crypting just try again, note that some files of certain size will be harder to be crypted fully undetected than others.

-Yamcha - March 12, 2016, 2:02 pm

Huge vouch for this crypter.

Wardow - March 15, 2016, 5:03 am

(Mar 12, 2016 03:02 PM)HYDR4B3T4 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Huge vouch for this crypter.
Thanks for the purchase and vouch.

1AM GMT+1 03/15/2016 in Format M/D/Y
TO BOSONLIGHT CUSTOMERS - PLEASE RECRYPT YOUR FILES MADE IN THE LAST FEW
HOURS A MISTAKE WAS CAUSING A GLOBAL ERROR SORRY FOR THE ISSUES!

neger20 - March 16, 2016, 2:59 pm

(Mar 12, 2016 08:35 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hope you enjoy it, i have updated the amount of tries to 5, if you don't have a fully undetected file after crypting just try again, note that some files of certain size will be harder to be crypted fully undetected than others.yeah it was good idea, new method is great ?

RemiZar - March 17, 2016, 1:22 pm

Hello. Have you skype? Can you give me please, how I want buy and have few questions

Wardow - March 17, 2016, 1:30 pm

(Mar 17, 2016 01:22 PM)RemiZar Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hello. Have you skype? Can you give me please, how I want buy and have few questions
My skype is wardow56

Eternal. - March 17, 2016, 2:52 pm

Vouch for Wardow and BosonLight, great support and great product.

Wardow - March 17, 2016, 2:56 pm

(Mar 17, 2016 02:52 PM)Eternal. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Vouch for Wardow and BosonLight, great support and great product.
Great words are always appreciated, thank you.

Just introduced a 3 months package!

For 60\$ you will be getting 3 months of usage, and an additional 20 days of usage as a discount to be paying all this time in one row!

Wardow - March 18, 2016, 7:19 pm

Currently making updates again, please be patient during the updates, if anyone needs an urgent crypt contact me on Skype and i will restore crypting for you, and don't worry it will produce you clean outputs.

Marshmallow Man - March 19, 2016, 6:44 pm

With Imminent monitor is it scan+runtime fud?

Wardow - March 20, 2016, 5:46 am

(Mar 19, 2016 06:44 PM)Monkey D Luffy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) With Imminent monitor is it scan+runtime fud?
This is not being Runtime FUD, but Scantime FUD yes for sure.

Sleek. - March 20, 2016, 6:31 am

(Mar 20, 2016 05:46 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is not being Runtime FUD, but Scantime FUD yes for sure.
Atleast you're honest, so many crypters on here claiming to be 100% runtime fud ?

Wardow - March 20, 2016, 6:47 am

(Mar 20, 2016 06:31 AM)Strikecarl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Atleast you're honest, so many crypters on here claiming to be 100% runtime fud ?

Nothing is being runtime undetected by every AV, first because it cannot be tested under every conditions and second because it also depends on the file you crypt, unless you create your own generic code injection method you will always be detected as the call chain is known.

sunkiler - March 20, 2016, 8:24 pm

Très bon service! Très bon support très réactive. Je recommande fortement.

Wardow - March 20, 2016, 8:26 pm

(Mar 20, 2016 08:24 PM)sunkiler Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Très bon service! Très bon support très réactive. Je recommande fortement.

This user is a french customer, excuse him for the french comment.

Meaning:

"Very good service, Very good support responding quickly, recommending the service to anyone."

Finesse King - March 20, 2016, 8:27 pm

Vouch for Wardow, known him for awhile.

stackoverflowin - March 21, 2016, 7:04 am

Vouch for Wardow, although we've had our differences in the past he literally puts his heart and soul into this product and maintaining it.

Wardow - March 21, 2016, 7:13 am

(Mar 21, 2016 07:04 AM)stackoverflowin Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Vouch for Wardow, although we've had our differences in the past he literally puts his heart and soul into this product and maintaining it.
Get the fuck out with your vouch script kiddie

eCoLoGy - March 21, 2016, 6:47 pm

(Mar 21, 2016 07:13 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Get the fuck out with your vouch script kiddie
Haven't I teached you to respect people bro? ?
And vouch again for you nigga!

Cecilia - March 22, 2016, 5:25 pm

Uh...

You guys are getting so offtopic, this is a sales thread for crying out loud.

Autoit has nice fud times, thats the only reason to ever use it, its a cancer language for sure.

Who gives a fuck about vip72 unless you're a carding cunt?

Aristocles - March 26, 2016, 6:06 pm

[IMPORTANT MESSAGE]

We have changed the bitcoin autobuy links because of an issue, here are the new links:

<https://payivy.com/v/0acc4> - 1 Month BTC

<https://payivy.com/v/98060> - 3 Months + 20 days bonus BTC

?????? - March 28, 2016, 5:47 am

My friend here , Wardow , gave me a license.

Just one thing to say , its fucking awesome guys.

Like the file which was giving around 14 detections is now FUD . All thanks to Wardow and his wonderful crypter

xRaiders - March 28, 2016, 7:10 pm

Nanocore Crypted with the new version of BosonLight !

[img]http://viruscheckmate.com/image/NTHrgy9JD1r7/full/img.png[/img]

Wardow - March 29, 2016, 2:38 pm

Hey guys,

BosonLight has been updated recently, I recoded the RunPE in C and made it a shellcode, same for the encryption, it should be much faster and much more stable.

I'm currently remaking the whole crypter as a single shellcode and I will be introducing stubs in multiple languages and you will be able to choose from the builder which language you want, there will probably be, AutoIT, VB6, C, and Delphi.

Armitage - March 30, 2016, 5:05 am

(Mar 29, 2016 02:38 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hey guys,

BosonLight has been updated recently, I recoded the RunPE in C and made it a shellcode, same for the encryption, it should be much faster and much more stable.

I'm currently remaking the whole crypter as a single shellcode and I will be introducing stubs in multiple languages and you will be able to choose from the builder which language you want, there will probably be, AutoIT, VB6, C, and Delphi.Why you just not choose ASM as main wrapper for your shellcode ?

Anyway GLWS.

Wardow - March 30, 2016, 12:10 pm

(Mar 30, 2016 05:05 AM)Armitage Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why you just not choose ASM as main wrapper for your shellcode ?

Anyway GLWS.

Because I didnt find a powerful and undetected junk code generation concept, I have also yet code mutation to do.

Wardow - April 5, 2016, 7:24 am

BosonLight has been updated!

.NET Support has now greatly improved, it can now support almost any file if not all of them and it wont break Luminosity Rootkit, RDP Ask Admin feature and such!

The only file it wont support are files that have invalid .NET metadata and those that can not be loaded with reflection.

We also now bypass AVG runtime even with Darkcomet! (EDIT: due to recent updates on AVG bypass has gotten outdated, will update again soon.)

Current bypassed antiviruses are: Eset, Avast, AVG, Kaspersky, Avira (no cloud), Comodo, Microsoft Security Essentials, MalwareBytes, 360 Cloud Antivirus, and surely many more most of them are untested, if you can test and post back here every help will be appreciated and rewarded!

Currently working on bypassing Avira Cloud, BitDefender, Dr.Web and Norton Runtime!

Enjoy!

Screenshot of the recently re-added interface:

kickrocks - April 5, 2016, 2:14 pm

i was away a few days , glad to see this new update , the design is really nice (not the best part in a crypter but still appreciated) new options added and really glad that the LL rootkit is now supported , LL is really stronger with that

Great job my friend !

Wardow - April 5, 2016, 2:21 pm

(Apr 5, 2016 02:14 PM)kickrocks Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) i was away a few days , glad to see this new update , the design is really nice (not the best part in a crypter but still appreciated) new options added and really glad that the LL rootkit is now supported , LL is really stronger with that

Great job my friend !

No problem, if you have virtual machines with some antiviruses I did not listed in the bypassed or detected list, I'd appreciate if you could test against them runtime with BosonLight, it will be rewarded.

3xplo1t - April 5, 2016, 2:55 pm

(Apr 5, 2016 07:24 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) BosonLight has been updated!

.NET Support has now greatly improved, it can now support almost any file if not all of them and it wont break Luminosity Rootkit, RDP Ask Admin feature and such!

The only file it wont support are files that have invalid .NET metadata and those that can not be loaded with reflection.

We also now bypass AVG runtime even with Darkcomet!

Current bypassed antiviruses are: Eset, Avast, AVG, Kaspersky, Avira (no cloud), Comodo, Microsoft Security Essentials, MalwareBytes, 360 Cloud Antivirus, and surely many more most of them are untested, if you can test and post back here every help will be appreciated and rewarded!

Currently working on bypassing Avira Cloud, BitDefender, Dr.Web and Norton Runtime!The new update will not break Smartupdate,Malware cleaner and Hard Malware Killer features too and could i use LL Startup ??

Regards ?

Wardow - April 5, 2016, 2:57 pm

(Apr 5, 2016 02:55 PM)3xplo1t Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The new update will not break Smartupdate,Malware cleaner and Hard Malware Killer features too and could i use LL Startup ??

Regards ?

No, it should not break any of those features, however it is not recommended using the Luminosity

Link startup since this one is surely highly detected runtime, and this is a behavior detection nothing a crypter can solve.

.fn. - April 6, 2016, 8:30 am

This crypter works exactly as described. I especially like the Ensure Clean Results option. Startup and persistence work great. I haven't tested the firewall bypass but going by the rest of the options I am sure this is top quality as well! I also especially like the methods used to ensure FUD and will vouch for their efficiency in doing so.

Wardow - April 6, 2016, 9:12 am

(Apr 6, 2016 08:30 AM).fn. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This crypter works exactly as described. I especially like the Ensure Clean Results option. Startup and persistence work great. I haven't tested the firewall bypass but going by the rest of the options I am sure this is top quality as well! I also especially like the methods used to ensure FUD and will vouch for their efficiency in doing so.

Thank you for the honest feedback mate!

masonsmith - April 6, 2016, 11:58 am

Does it bypass microsoft essential runtime with darkcomet

Wardow - April 6, 2016, 12:06 pm

(Apr 6, 2016 11:58 AM)masonsmith Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Does it bypass microsoft essential runtime with darkcomet

If the darkcomet file is packed with upx and relocation table stripped it will not bypass, however if you don't pack the file with upx or disable relocation stripping on UPX, it will bypass.

In tl;dr, just build your darkcomet file in normal mode without UPX or any compressor and it will work.

3xplo1t - April 7, 2016, 10:13 am

(Apr 5, 2016 02:57 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No, it should not break any of those features, however it is not recommended using the Luminosity Link startup since this one is surely highly detected runtime, and this is a behavior detection nothing a crypter can solve.Great it is the first time for me to see Autoit Crypter didn't break these features, is the crypted LL file FUD Scantime/Runtime ??

Wardow - April 7, 2016, 12:44 pm

(Apr 7, 2016 10:13 AM)3xplo1t Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Great it is the first time for me to see Autoit Crypter didn't break these features, is the crypted LL file FUD Scantime/Runtime ??

If you use the LuminosityLink startup or rootkit I doubt it will be Runtime FUD.

Sleek. - April 7, 2016, 12:50 pm

(Apr 7, 2016 10:13 AM)3xplo1t Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Great it is the first time for me to see Autoit Crypter didn't break these features, is the crypted LL file FUD Scantime/Runtime ??

behaviour analysis will fuck most LL functions. ?

Wardow - April 9, 2016, 4:45 am

Updates have been done for compatibility, in few hours a new update will be applied to fix bug when crypted file is running on AVG protected system, persistence is being broken, will fix in few hours!

Correction on my previous post:

No issues were actually present, but just a side issue on the crypted file exiting itself on certain systems, and especially Windows XP where I was testing it on, everything is working fine!

ShNuG - April 9, 2016, 12:22 pm

Knows his shit well. This crypter is Insane and really very good as compared to others. Will surely buy after my vouch license expires !

Great coder Wardow.. I hope he teach me also :p

Hugeee vouch ?

Wardow - April 9, 2016, 2:37 pm

(Apr 9, 2016 12:22 PM)Niklaus Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Knows his shit well. This crypter is Insane and really very good as compared to others. Will surely buy after my vouch license expires !

Great coder Wardow.. I hope he teach me also :p

Hugeee vouch ?

Thank you for the feedback, well appreciated.

eCoLoGy - April 9, 2016, 7:35 pm

I'm not in the crypters market anymore but if I had to vouch for a crypter this one would be the one for sure!

Wardow surely is one of the most smart guys and with the best knowledge in crypters around here.
?

Stardew - April 10, 2016, 7:21 am

(Apr 9, 2016 07:35 PM)eCoLoGy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm not in the crypters market anymore but if I had to vouch for a crypter this one would be the one for sure!

Wardow surely is one of the most smart guys and with the best knowledge in crypters around here.
? I agree, BosonLight is always FUD and he is awesome.

Wardow is a great coder on HackForums

Wardow - April 10, 2016, 7:23 am

(Apr 10, 2016 07:21 AM)Stardew Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I agree, BosonLight is always FUD and he is awesome.

Wardow is a great coder on HackForums

ok stop this now, I don't like it

Glitsh - April 10, 2016, 5:42 pm

Vouch for BosonLight a great crypter "must have"

emekau2002 - April 11, 2016, 6:59 am

am interested in the purchase

Wardow - April 11, 2016, 7:02 am

(Apr 11, 2016 06:59 AM)emekau2002 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) am interested in the purchase

Alright, send me a PM if you're interested into purchasing.

Eset memory scanner bypass PROOF, with DARKCOMET!

https://dl.dropboxusercontent.com/u/50176735/ShareX/2016/04/2016-04-12_11-25-15.mp4

Betto - April 12, 2016, 6:43 am

Huge vouch for this cryptor ?

I used it for DarkComet rat it works 100% very clean, no too much load for RAM, works perfectly.

I have been in Cryptography market for years but never saw product like this one.

Also this guy responded fast and he delivered my licence immediately and smoothly.

I wish he continue provide this amazing service !

best regards,
?

Wardow - April 12, 2016, 6:51 am

(Apr 12, 2016 06:43 AM)L!nux Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Huge vouch for this cryptor ?

I used it for DarkComet rat it works 100% very clean, no too much load for RAM, works perfectly.

I have been in Cryptography market for years but never saw product like this one.

Also this guy responded fast and he delivered my licence immediately and smoothly.

I wish he continue provide this amazing service !

best regards,
?

Thank you for the feedback!
Smooth deal with this user!

HeavenSleep - April 12, 2016, 11:07 am

(Apr 11, 2016 07:02 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Alright, send me a PM if you're interested into purchasing.

Eset memory scanner bypass PROOF, with DARKCOMET!

https://dl.dropboxusercontent.com/u/50176735/ShareX/2016/04/2016-04-12_11-25-15.mp4

Big vouch for my friend Wardow!

He know what he is doing ;)

DrinkV0dka - April 12, 2016, 8:13 pm

Vouch for Wardow.

Pmd a question and got a response very quickly plus he updates very frequently.
Keep up the good work.

Kenya - April 13, 2016, 8:09 am

pm'd you for license + info.

Wardow - April 13, 2016, 8:38 am

(Apr 13, 2016 08:09 AM)Kenya Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) pm'd you for license + info.
Replied to your PM along with your license, thank you for purchasing!

yeswecandoit22331 - April 14, 2016, 7:57 am

Big big vouch for this amazing crypter
??

I've tested with native and managed rat, all is working well.

I've bypassed on scantime and runtime most of the main AV like avast, kaspersky, McAfee, AVG,...

Strong persistence mode is really useful ?

For the people who hesitate to buy a cryper, you can buy this one without any fear.
More over it's really simple to use.

Thanks for your great job Wardow
??

Reddit54 - April 14, 2016, 11:17 am

Just got it! it's fud times are great. by far the best crypter I ever had.

Wardow - April 15, 2016, 8:13 am

(Apr 14, 2016 07:57 AM)yeswecandoit22331 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Big big vouch for this amazing crypter
??

I've tested with native and managed rat, all is working well.

I've bypassed on scantime and runtime most of the main AV like avast, kaspersky, McAfee, AVG,...

Strong persistence mode is really useful ?

For the people who hesitate to buy a cryper, you can buy this one without any fear.
More over it's really simple to use.

Thanks for your great job Wardow
??

(Apr 14, 2016 11:17 AM)Reddit54 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Just got it! it's fud times are great. by far the best
crypter I ever had.

Thank to all of you for the feedback!

BosonLight has been fully converted to a single whole shellcode, binder is being added to the
shellcode at the moment, after this I will experience stubs in other languages and hopefully provide
BosonLight smaller stubs!

crm1234 - April 15, 2016, 7:02 pm

i love this crypter working great :>

DexterGO - April 16, 2016, 8:25 am

the guy is more talkative when we want to buy him encrypt
that when asked a question
you use that is not matter

you can take my license

Wardow - April 16, 2016, 8:27 am

(Apr 16, 2016 08:25 AM)mike10 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) the guy is more talkative when we want to buy him
encrypt

that when asked a question

The skype for support and sales is specified on the thread, both skypes have their intended use, I
can often be busy doing things and cannot answer all your questions quickly, if ever you have any
technical or support related questions prefer using the support skype, if you ever have questions
about sales, or issues that requires code modification, talk to the sales skype(me).

?????? - April 16, 2016, 8:29 am

Big Vouch for my boy Wardow

DexterGO - April 16, 2016, 8:56 am

(Apr 16, 2016 08:27 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The skype for support and sales is specified on the thread, both skypes have their intended use, I can often be busy doing things and cannot answer all your questions quickly, if ever you have any technical or support related questions prefer using the support skype, if you ever have questions about sales, or issues that requires code modification, talk to the sales skype(me).
;-)

Wardow - April 16, 2016, 2:30 pm

(Apr 16, 2016 08:56 AM)mike10 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) ;-)
Issues have been resolved for this user.

BIG UPDATES HAVE BEEN UPLOADED TO THE CLOUD ENGINE!

The stub of BosonLight is now all a shellcode made in C, this shellcode is wrapped into an Autolt stub to keep heuristics away.

We will soon introduce stubs in multiple languages with way lower size, and greatly improve runtime bypasses to all antiviruses!

We also now fully support unicode systems! (Korean, Chinese, Arabic, Russian, etc..)

Hope you will enjoy this new update, and please report any problems or bugs you encounter on the sales skype or hackforums PM!

comodoro - April 16, 2016, 2:34 pm

I'll give it a try ! it's seems promising. it's full compatible with LL 1.5 ?

Wardow - April 16, 2016, 2:35 pm

(Apr 16, 2016 02:34 PM)comodoro Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'll give it a try ! it's seems promising. it's full compatible with LL 1.5 ?

I will ask my customers who own LL 1.5 and will tell them to report to me, but normally it should support LL 1.5 with all its features without any problem!

TERRORISST----- - April 16, 2016, 4:39 pm

The stub of BosonLight is now all a shellcode made in C

Yeah, shellcode should be written in Assembly. Since optimization & relative addressing is what shellcode is.

Wardow - April 16, 2016, 5:17 pm

(Apr 16, 2016 04:39 PM)TERRORISST----- Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The stub of BosonLight is now all a shellcode made in C

Yeah, shellcode should be written in Assembly. Since optimization & relative addressing is what shellcode is.

My shellcode is in C, and that's what it is, if you're not happy, get over it ;)

Goal has never been to have it low of size.

yeswecandoit22331 - April 16, 2016, 11:52 pm

(Apr 16, 2016 02:35 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I will ask my customers who own LL 1.5 and will tell them to report to me, but normally it should support LL 1.5 with all its features without any problem!

Hi

for me all is working well with LL 1.5 and Boson Crypter.

????

Wardow - April 17, 2016, 12:00 am

(Apr 16, 2016 11:52 PM)yeswecandoit22331 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hi

for me all is working well with LL 1.5 and Boson Crypter.

????

New updates have been applied and you can now use Luminosity Startup and Rootkit with BosonLight 100% Confirmed and working!

Unknown? - April 17, 2016, 7:54 am

(Apr 17, 2016 12:00 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) New updates have been applied and you can now use Luminosity Startup and Rootkit with BosonLight 100% Confirmed and working!

Was looking to buy this yesterday but was told i couldn't use LL startup, Awaiting a reply on skype to find out if the new update is true and purchase! Thank you :)

Just purchased waiting for bitcoin confirmations thanks :) Looking forward to trying :)

Another edit after purchase, Simply and easy to use FUD first crypt and using LL start up and features!! Thanks alot tested few times its working great so far to thank you!

Also thanks to pinkrusher on skype for support

Wardow - April 17, 2016, 12:05 pm

(Apr 17, 2016 07:54 AM)GameOverr Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Was looking to buy this yesterday but was told i couldn't use LL startup, Awaiting a reply on skype to find out if the new update is true and purchase! Thank you :)

Just purchased waiting for bitcoin confirmations thanks :) Looking forward to trying :)

Another edit after purchase, Simply and easy to use FUD first crypt and using LL start up and features!! Thanks alot tested few times its working great so far to thank you!

Also thanks to pinkrusher on skype for support

You're welcome :) thanks for your purchase!

QU?CKSILVER - April 17, 2016, 8:04 pm

I'm really impressed with this product, it was simply amazing to see how high quality this Unique Stub Generator is, and how it made this crypter generate FUD outputs for 2 months and counting! If one sample got detected by a certain antivirus, you would just recrypt and get another undetected file thanks to this crazy USG implementation ?

Wardow himself has proven to upload samples to VirusTotal multiple times to demonstrate how good it is, and to be honest, VT ruined nothing but the samples he uploaded, lol. The stub was still FUD afterwards (and it is still). Also, I'd like to note that this is one of the crypters that is not annoyed by ESET like the rest, and it's freaking great ?

Spoiler ([Click to View](#)) ESET awarded him with a certificate for bypassing their protection, that's INSANE!!

I also have to admit Wardow is a really smart dude and he DOES know what he's doing. I've had the opportunity to watch him coding and improving BosonLight constantly and he is really put into it. Never seen someone so dedicated. After trying most of the "worth-it" crypters sold in the marketplace and being dissapointed due to short-lasting FUD times or unwanted runtime detections, this endless search for a crypter has finally come to an end with BosonLight ?

xRaiders - April 17, 2016, 8:28 pm

(Apr 17, 2016 07:54 AM)GameOverr Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Was looking to buy this yesterday but was told i couldn't use LL startup, Awaiting a reply on skype to find out if the new update is true and purchase! Thank you :)

Just purchased waiting for bitcoin confirmations thanks :) Looking forward to trying :)

Another edit after purchase, Simply and easy to use FUD first crypt and using LL start up and features!! Thanks alot tested few times its working great so far to thank you!

Also thanks to pinkrusher on skype for support

Your welcome man ?

Wardow - April 17, 2016, 8:53 pm

(Apr 17, 2016 08:04 PM)DJPunisher Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm really impressed with this product, it was simply amazing to see how high quality this Unique Stub Generator is, and how it made this crypter generate FUD outputs for 2 months and counting! If one sample got detected by a certain antivirus, you would just recrypt and get another undetected file thanks to this crazy USG implementation ?

Wardow himself has proven to upload samples to VirusTotal multiple times to demonstrate how good it is, and to be honest, VT ruined nothing but the samples he uploaded, lol. The stub was still FUD afterwards (and it is still). Also, I'd like to note that this is one of the crypters that is not annoyed by ESET like the rest, and it's freaking great ?

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))ESET awarded him with a certificate for bypassing their protection, that's INSANE!!

I also have to admit Wardow is a really smart dude and he DOES know what he's doing. I've had the opportunity to watch him coding and improving BosonLight constantly and he is really put into it. Never seen someone so dedicated. After trying most of the "worth-it" crypters sold in the marketplace and being dissapointed due to short-lasting FUD times or unwanted runtime detections, this endless search for a crypter has finally come to an end with BosonLight ?

Thank you for the feedback! Well appreciated!

SIM-2461737 - April 18, 2016, 10:14 am

i just fall in love with this crypter. just smart, easy to use, and fud always. mostly the help support is almost 24/7.

great vouch for your Wardow!!!

N3oNight - April 18, 2016, 12:53 pm

Its really easy to pass AVG , I Just patched my Crypter against AVG Runtime detection within a Week of the New Update. You just need to get a decent and stable injection method to Pass AVG Runtime, as I know you are struggling to Pass AVG on Runtime over a month.

You must focus more on your crypter on Passing things Runtime as its very easy to pass AV on online scanners as you do.

Wardow - April 18, 2016, 1:09 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 12:53 PM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Its really easy to pass AVG , I Just patched my Crypter against AVG Runtime detection within a Week of the New Update. You just need to get a decent and stable injection method to Pass AVG Runtime, as I know you are struggling to Pass AVG on Runtime over a month.

You must focus more on your crypter on Passing things Runtime as its very easy to pass AV on online scanners as you do.

??

https://dl.dropboxusercontent.com/u/50176735/ShareX/2016/04/2016-04-18_22-03-06.mp4

You say I'm struggling? I was testing with Darkcomet and Netwire, and I just tested with Nanocore to see how it was no updates has been made and it already bypasses..

N3oNight - April 18, 2016, 1:34 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 01:09 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) ??

https://dl.dropboxusercontent.com/u/50176735/ShareX/2016/04/2016-04-18_22-03-06.mp4

You say I'm struggling? I was testing with Darkcomet and Netwire, and I just tested with Nanocore to see how it was no updates has been made and it already bypasses..

Your files got real issues which you must fix on the Priority base, though it works on the video but crashed on my Vmware.

Your test file : <https://www.sendspace.com/file/rd59pg>

Wardow - April 18, 2016, 1:36 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 01:34 PM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Your files got real issues which you must fix on the Priority base, though it works on the video but crashed on my Vmware.

Your test file : <https://www.sendspace.com/file/rd59pg>

Tell AVG to fix their hooking libraries :)

N3oNight - April 18, 2016, 1:40 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 01:36 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Tell AVG to fix their hooking libraries :)

so you mean to say the file you send me crashed Because of AV?

and you want avg to fix it, well then what type of Crypter you offer then,

All the bypass prof is fake from your end as the video bypass but when the file is ran it just crashed.

Wardow - April 18, 2016, 1:41 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 01:40 PM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) so you mean to say the file you send me crashed Because of AV?

and you want avg to fix it, well then what type of Crypter you offer then,

All the bypass prof is fake from your end as the video bypass but when the file is ran it just crashed.

How is it fake, I can show you live on Skype Screenshare :)

N3oNight - April 18, 2016, 1:43 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 01:41 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How is it fake, I can show you live on Skype Screenshare :)

then why it only works for you, when tested by other Its just crashed.

It would be better if it didnt bypass in place of getting crashed.

At-least you can get PC without AV.

One of my friend just conformed it and i have send file to sniper to test it and he will be posting his test result soon, Actually Crash result.

Wardow - April 18, 2016, 1:45 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 01:43 PM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) then why it only works for you, when tested by other Its just crashed.

It would be better if it didnt bypass in place of getting crashed.

At-least you can get PC without AV.

One of my friend just conformed it and i have send file to sniper to test it and he will be posting his test result soon, Actually Crash result.

I will show you proof with OllyDbg that it's code inside the avghookx.dll module that throws access violation exception :)

Please run the file on any other computer without AVG installed, it wont crash.

N3oNight - April 18, 2016, 1:47 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 01:40 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Test on any other type of PC or any other computer without AVG Internet Security installed, and tell me if it crashes, their hooking library makes targets crashing, I will show proof with OllyDbg in some minutes.

Also still waiting on your sample of crypted file to test against antiviruses. Why dont you first fix your issue with AVG and then I show you prof that my Crypter Pass all as well as send you sample to test.

Also if AVG Crash your crypted file, wont other AV Crack your crypted file.
I dont think its Called Runtime FUD but It can be called Crash FUD.

Wardow - April 18, 2016, 1:48 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 01:47 PM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why dont you first fix your issue with AVG and then I show you prof that my Crypter Pass all as well as send you sample to test.

Also if AVG Crash your crypted file, wont other AV Crack your crypted file.
I dont think its Called Runtime FUD but It can be called Crash FUD.
How can I fix code that is not mine, please tell me, proof incoming :)

N3oNight - April 18, 2016, 1:51 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 01:48 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How can I fix code that is not mine, please tell me, proof incoming :)

You need to fix Your crypted file and How can you say Its not your code?
No need to post any of your fake or tampered videos, The prof is in front of all that you file crashed if Some AV is installed and you conformed it multiple times in last few post.
I think you must stop bluffing here.

Wardow - April 18, 2016, 1:52 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 01:51 PM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You need to fix Your crypted file and How can you say Its not your code?

No need to post any of your fake or tampered videos, The prof is in front of all that you file crashed if Some AV is installed and you conformed it multiple times in last few post.

I think you must stop bluffing here.
Where is the proof fake? can you tell me? the proof has been uploaded directly by sharex after recorded.

As I said before I will make a video with OllyDbg and you can see the instruction that makes it crash is inside the AVGHookx.dll module :)

N3oNight - April 18, 2016, 1:56 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 01:52 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Where is the proof fake? can you tell me? the proof has been uploaded directly by sharex after recorded.

As I said before I will make a video with OllyDbg and you can see the instruction that makes it crash is inside the AVGHookx.dll module :)

I repeat again in case you look to have some problem with english.

What is the use of a Crypter if it crashes the crypted file if some specific Av is installed on the system.

That needs to be fixed by you and not by the AV. Some time you sound like a 12 year kid who thinks he is God of Crypters as he got 2 letters from AV Company.

Xenderquito - April 18, 2016, 1:56 pm

I was wondering if this crypter will run and stay FUD while using LL's startup options (not the crypters)

Thank in advance.

Wardow - April 18, 2016, 1:57 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 01:56 PM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I repeat again in case you look to have some problem with english.

What is the use of a Crypter if it crashes the crypted file if some specific Av is installed on the system.

That needs to be fixed by you and not by the AV. Some time you sound like a 12 year kid who thinks he is God of Crypters as he got 2 letters from AV Company.

How is it needed to be fixed by me, if it's not my code that makes it crash?

Please do tell me, you don't even have a clue on how to use OllyDbg.

(Apr 18, 2016 01:56 PM)xenderino Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I was wondering if this crypter is compatible with setting up LL's startup options (and still remain FUD:)

Thank in advance.

It is compatible with LuminosityLink startup however I cannot ensure that the LL startup is being undetected runtime.

N3oNight - April 18, 2016, 2:03 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 01:57 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How is it needed to be fixed by me, if it's not my code that makes it crash?

Please do tell me, you don't even have a clue on how to use OllyDbg.

It is compatible with LuminosityLink startup however I cannot ensure that the LL startup is being undetected runtime.

well I have idea and made a Crypter which Crypted file work with AVG without Any Crash or runtime detection, they why is my Crypter crypted file not crashing with AVG.

Also you should sell with this sentence "this crypter will crash on avg machines"

(Apr 18, 2016 01:57 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It is compatible with LuminosityLink startup however I cannot ensure that the LL startup is being undetected runtime.

Also inform about the Crash we are just Testing and you blaming it on AVG ??

Wardow - April 18, 2016, 2:04 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 02:03 PM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) well I have idea and made a Crypter which Crypted file work with AVG without Any Crash or runtime detection, they why is my Crypter crypted file not crashing with AVG.

Also you should sell with this sentence "this crypter will crash on avg machines"

It does not crash on mine :)

And your crypter wont crash because you use GetProcAddress to gather function addresses :)

AVG can't hook properly functions resolved manually.

N3oNight - April 18, 2016, 2:07 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 02:04 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It does not crash on mine :)

And your crypter wont crash because you use GetProcAddress to gather function addresses :)

AVG can't hook properly functions resolved manually.

Then you must mention on your thread that Your Crypted File crashed when some AV are installed.

I have tested and Can make a Video to certify that its Correct, like you always do with other Crypter.

Wardow - April 18, 2016, 2:15 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 02:07 PM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Then you must mention on your thread that Your Crypted File crashed when some AV are installed.

I have tested and Can make a Video to certify that its Correct, like you always do with other Crypter.
Proof incoming that it's AVG :)

N3oNight - April 18, 2016, 2:20 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 02:15 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Proof incoming that it's AVG :)No need for proof , I agree with you.

Crypted file Crashed if AVG is installed and no execution.

Norton Can not be passed and no execution

Bitdefender can not be passed and no execution

Dr.WEB Can not be passed and no execution

LL Rat startup works but its detected by many AV runtime and no execution

well then what is the Point of this Crypter if It Can not pass more then 4 major used AV.

Note: I have not Tested others else I am sure there will be many more.

Also on one side you say AVG is passed runtime and you give Video Proof for it and on the other end you say AVG crashed the Crypted file and you posting video Proof for it.

How come you can say both the way? Either it will work else it will not work.

Wardow - April 18, 2016, 2:25 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 02:20 PM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No need for proof , I agree with you.

Crypted file Crashed if AVG is installed and no execution.

Norton Can not be passed and no execution

Bitdefender can not be passed and no execution

Dr.WEB Can not be passed and no execution

LL Rat startup works but its detected by many AV runtime and no execution

well then what is the Point of this Crypter if It Can not pass more then 4 major used AV.

Note: I have not Tested others else I am sure there will be many more.

Also on one side you say AVG is passed runtime and you give Video Proof for it and on the other end you say AVG crashed the Crypted file and you posting video Proof for it.

How come you can say both the way? Either it will work else it will not work.

Test with AVG on Windows XP, their hooks work here but not on Windows 7, secondly, did not you read the thread, all those that you said are wrote on the thread for not bypassing :)

Check your crypter for those Antiviruses too :)

N3oNight - April 18, 2016, 2:29 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 02:25 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Test with AVG on Windows XP, their hooks work here but not on Windows 7, secondly, did not you read the thread, all those that you said are wrote on the thread for not bypassing :)

Check your crypter for those Antiviruses too :)Who uses XP now a days, there is no means of

testing on XP.

win 7, 8 and 10 are our main OS to test.

I have read the thread but It has some missing info so Just pointed out, you need to add some more AV in the list which can not be passed.

Any ways, going to bed now,

Will start the talk again about this when I get Up.

Wardow - April 18, 2016, 2:31 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 02:29 PM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Who uses XP now a days, there is no means of testing on XP.

win 7, 8 and 10 are our main OS to test.

I have read the thread but It has some missing info so Just pointed out, you need to add some more AV in the list which can not be passed.

Any ways, going to bed now,

Will start the talk again about this when I get Up.

Where is the missing information? Please do tell me, I myself state at the bottom of the thread that those are not bypassed, and INCLUDING AVG!

When you wake up the video about AVGHookx.dll crashing will be posted.

N3oNight - April 18, 2016, 2:34 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 02:31 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Where is the missing information? Please do tell me, I myself state at the bottom of the thread that those are not bypassed, and INCLUDING AVG!

When you wake up the video about AVGHookx.dll crashing will be posted.

Is this what you state on the thread, where i can not read anything about AVG. Please correct me as my english is not that good.

Wardow - April 18, 2016, 2:44 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 02:34 PM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

Is this what you state on the thread, where i can not read anything about AVG. Please correct me as my english is not that good.

Read it here, it's been said,

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5078930&pid=50445781#pid50445781>

Still waiting on your sample :)

N3oNight - April 18, 2016, 2:48 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 02:44 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Read it here, it's been said,

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5078930&pid=50445781#pid50445781>

Still waiting on your sample :)

It should be on the first Page and not on page no. 21

well first provide your proof properly and then ask my proofs.

Wardow - April 18, 2016, 2:51 pm

(Apr 18, 2016 02:48 PM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It should be on the first Page and not on page no. 21

well first provide your proof properly and then ask my proofs.

My proofs are provided properly and not faked :)

Hand off the sample, can't we play a fair game? I gave you a sample, give one ;)

I decided to not use a debugger as no one would understand, and I decided to show more self explanatory proof, here is the video:

https://dl.dropboxusercontent.com/u/50176735/ShareX/2016/04/2016-04-19_06-25-04.mp4

N3oNight - April 19, 2016, 12:21 am

(Apr 18, 2016 02:51 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) My proofs are provided properly and not faked :)
Hand off the sample, can't we play a fair game? I gave you a sample, give one ;)

I decided to not use a debugger as no one would understand, and I decided to show more self explanatory proof, here is the video:

https://dl.dropboxusercontent.com/u/50176735/ShareX/2016/04/2016-04-19_06-25-04.mp4

to play a fair game you must not say AVG need to fix there code so that your Crypted file wont Crash, in place of that you must admit your Crypter does not work on OS where AVG is installed.

and also add it on your main thread, that your Crypted file will Crash if AVG is present on the machine.

Then will be my turn to Prove My Crypter bypass AVG.

Wardow - April 19, 2016, 12:25 am

(Apr 19, 2016 12:21 AM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) to play a fair game you must not say AVG need to fix there code so that your Crypted file wont Crash, in place of that you must admit your Crypter does not work on OS where AVG is installed.

and also add it on your main thread, that your Crypted file will Crash if AVG is present on the machine.

Then will be my turn to Prove My Crypter bypass AVG.

Since when you're my dad?

It will not crash if AVG is present on the machine, that is and only is for Windows 7, other operating systems like XP, 8, 8.1, and 10 just throw a detection with native files.

Apparently you also can't watch a video, it is clear on the video that once AVG is enabled it makes the targets crashing, and that is obviously AVG fault :)

N3oNight - April 19, 2016, 1:07 am

(Apr 19, 2016 12:25 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Since when you're my dad?

It will not crash if AVG is present on the machine, that is and only is for Windows 7, other operating systems like XP, 8, 8.1, and 10 just throw a detection with native files.

Apparently you also can't watch a video, it is clear on the video that once AVG is enabled it makes the targets crashing, and that is obviously AVG fault :)

I am not your dad but just did the same you use to do with other crypters and what you tried to do yesterday on my thread.

Also you are conforming over here , Crash on Win 7 and gives detection on other OS with AVG, So how Can be your Crypter be FUD with AVG?

You have been just bluffing on my thread as well as your thread that your Crypter is FUD with AVG and also its called cheating with your customers also.

Also you advertise your Crypter is FUD from Months, but the Crypted sample you send is detected by nod32.

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4057889&pid=50615240#pid50615240>

[img]http://NoDistribute.com/result/image/34bUedtVZugr62HqhsIGfzay9ROT.png[/img]

Before looking into other crypter detection , You must first look into your Own crypter First.

Wardow - April 19, 2016, 1:23 am

(Apr 19, 2016 01:07 AM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I am not your dad but just did the same you use to do with other crypters and what you tried to do yesterday on my thread.

Also you are conforming over here , Crash on Win 7 and gives detection on other OS with AVG, So how Can be your Crypter be FUD with AVG?

You have been just bluffing on my thread as well as your thread that your Crypter is FUD with AVG and also its called cheating with your customers also.

Also you advertise your Crypter is FUD from Months, but the Crypted sample you send is detected by nod32.

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4057889&pid=50615240#pid50615240>

[img]http://NoDistribute.com/result/image/34bUedtVZugr62HqhsIGfzay9ROT.png[/img]

Before looking into other crypter detection , You must first look into your Own crypter First.

This detection gets fixed by re-crypting because the USG of BosonLight fixes those, just to prove the statement that BosonLight is FUD for months with a public stub.

This scan was posted the 25 December of 2015,

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5078930&pid=48836834#pid48836834>

The post is not edited, and I just rescanned the file being on this link as NoDistribute provides option to re-scan files, and guess what! the file is only detected by AVG.....

And if we count the file has been first scanned the 5 December of 2015 and we are now the? 19 April of 2016, it's almost 5 months... and don't forget that those detections that ever happens after 5 months lol! Are fixed instantly by the BosonLight USG!

Spoiler [\(Click to View\)](#) [img]http://NoDistribute.com/result/image/NPIn1adIopM8QkGJ.png[/img]

Just re-crypted a file using the "Ensure clean results" feature that BosonLight offers!

[img]http://NoDistribute.com/result/image/LKFxR1gWupVhEGmcvbrD.png[/img]

Still waiting for the sample N3oNight!

Hexalogy - April 19, 2016, 1:56 am

Damn ^ that was a legit post right there but honestly why is every crypter owner just bashing each other WHY NOT become friends HELP each other with problems and what not and everyone will still buy.

Hate to say this but anyone will buy a new crypter if its shit it WILL get exposed just how it goes both of your crypters look great.

Problem is theres a difference between the both of you.

One is private - N3oNight

N3oNight I knew someone that had your crypter and they kept getting a window defenders detection but they told you and you said you would help that. Don't know if you did but what he told me is that it bypassed most of the main AVs and the stub was FUD with only 1 crypt for like 2 weeks then he needed to recrypt.

The other is public - Wardow

Your USG is insanely strong! I heard your crypter is being updated nonstop but the only AV it can not bypass is AVG BUT you were also fixing that.

Look you BOTH got AMAZING crypters BUT how about you both educate and help each other with the problems be nice you guys are both VERY smart people.

Be smarter, More smart.

Combine your knowledge.

Watch the out come will make a insane crypter.

Give it a shot!

;) [Video:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=28GpKacWLWI&ebc=ANyPxKoUMDjXzkwwWTG5Q54G_1epVYjebTaGIIlAfcnb18Kkhsr73j0KVVAjX6pYO1Xh2GVOB5nPGyp1WIU1iG9n3TqdeccY8A]

Wardow - April 19, 2016, 1:58 am

(Apr 19, 2016 01:56 AM)CredX Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Damn ^ that was a legit post right there but honestly why is every crypter owner just bashing each other WHY NOT become friends HELP each other with problems and what not and everyone will still buy.

Hate to say this but anyone will buy a new crypter if its shit it WILL get exposed just how it goes both of your crypters look great.

Problem is theres a difference between the both of you.

One is private - N3oNight

N3oNight I knew someone that had your crypter and they kept getting a window defenders detection but they told you and you said you would help that. Don't know if you did but what he told me is that it bypassed most of the main AVs and the stub was FUD with only 1 crypt for like 2 weeks then he needed to recrypt.

The other is public - Wardow

Your USG is insanely strong! I heard your crypter is being updated nonstop but the only AV it can not bypass is AVG BUT you were also fixing that.

Look you BOTH got AMAZING crypters BUT how about you both educate and help each other with the problems be nice you guys are both VERY smart people.

Be smarter, More smart.

Combine your knowledge.

Watch the out come will make a insane crypter.

Give it a shot!

;) [Video:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=28GpKacWLWI&ebc=ANyPxKoUMDjXzkwwWTG5Q54G_1epVYjebTaGIILAfcbn18Kkhsr73j0KVVAjX6pYO1Xh2GVOb5nPGyp1WIU1iG9n3TqdeccY8A]

There is totally no updates being made from my part detection wise, the only updates that are being made at the moment are improvements on the stub shellcode.

Hexalogy - April 19, 2016, 2:01 am

(Apr 19, 2016 01:58 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There is totally no updates being made from my part detection wise, the only updates that are being made at the moment are improvements on the stub shellcode.

Alright well you still both got great products, Just try to help each other or be nice theres no problem both of you just chill out be happy your both getting sales. Lifes good guys enjoy it.

Listen to that song.

I meant by updating that your making it better and improving it making it better not from detection's.

Wardow - April 19, 2016, 2:13 am

(Apr 19, 2016 02:01 AM)CredX Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Alright well you still both got great products, Just try to help each other or be nice theres no problem both of you just chill out be happy your both getting sales. Lifes good guys enjoy it.

Listen to that song.

I meant by updating that your making it better and improving it making it better not from detection's. Since when I was being rude on that very first post I made here, SINCE WHEN,

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4057889&pid=50613648#pid50613648>

(Apr 18, 2016 11:03 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 360 Cloud Antivirus do not block the .NET Language entirely it's just heuristic based and you need to make your file heuristically correct, and this is the same for Avira Cloud.

Look at the answer N3oNight has, straight up on the defensive.

(Apr 18, 2016 11:51 AM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think you should first Pass AVG for your Crypter and then go and look into other Crypter thread Which already bypassed it weeks Ago.

Also you must try 360 without Cloud you might like to Change your statement, also to inform you its even block SFX files.

N3oNight - April 19, 2016, 3:02 am

@CredX I am the person who has taught wardow how to code .net Crypters but after some time I have noticed he has been basing all Crypters and showing his Crypter as the best over there. Where is Crypter does not really bypass shit.

My Crypter Bypass almost all AV, just cant pass some odd AV like 360 and now he started bashing on my sales thread and tell me How Can some one accept it.

I am just saying the Truth here, I admitted my Crypter does not pass 360 on my thread and after that he starts posting its to easy to pass it and bla bla.

SO I just need to show him mirror and then he started saying lies like his Crypter Bypass AVG and all other AV.

The he made accession on AVG that AVG Crashes his cryptes file and AVG need to fix it.

He might be a good Coder but he is not as good as other coder over here like me. And if he bash my sales thread I need to show him the truth for his Crypter also.

If he would have Pm me then I would not have done this all, but he did it the other way and is facing for his mistake.

Wardow - April 19, 2016, 3:31 am

(Apr 19, 2016 03:02 AM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) @CredX I am the person who has taught wardow how to code .net Crypters but after some time I have noticed he has been basing all Crypters and showing his Crypter as the best over there. Where is Crypter does not really bypass shit.

My Crypter Bypass almost all AV, just cant pass some odd AV like 360 and now he started bashing on my sales thread and tell me How Can some one accept it.

I am just saying the Truth here, I admitted my Crypter does not pass 360 on my thread and after that he starts posting its to easy to pass it and bla bla.

SO I just need to show him mirror and then he started saying lies like his Crypter Bypass AVG and all other AV.

The he made accession on AVG that AVG Crashes his cryptes file and AVG need to fix it.

He might be a good Coder but he is not as good as other coder over here like me. And if he bash my sales thread I need to show him the truth for his Crypter also.

If he would have Pm me then I would not have done this all, but he did it the other way and is facing for his mistake.

Everything that you ever stated was already wrote inside the thread, and I was pointing out you need to make your image heuristically correct which means no big resources with small code, or you need to work arround it by making so the heuristic engines believes the resources you add which are in reality your payload are other types of resources, I never said it was easy, just did point out I could do it, you say my crypter does not bypass AVG but I've yet to see what yours does, you never ever posted any runtime bypass proof, and barely post proofs of anything, you say you're a good coder, but you know nothing in windows internals or the WinAPI, so let's guess how is the Full-PE Injection code, public? Either AeonHack RunPE or similar..

You tell "show the truth", but it's all here, next to your eyes, all you did is simply ignore everything I was posting and get over and ask for another proof, who the fuck are you? I just pointed out it was not impossible as you stated to be undetected against 360 Cloud.

(Apr 18, 2016 10:34 AM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I never said it bypass all the AV of the word, There are some AV which can never be bypassed as some AV Just block some languages, eg: 360 AV

But Most of all Common Av are bypassed and in case you have any special request, You can contact me and I will Prove that its can pass it and after that you can Purchase. ?

Thanks for the Purchase, Happy to see you enjoying it.
And excuse me but since when antiviruses block mainstream languages? WHEN? Like how retarded is this statement in the logic of things?

And don't forget you're the one that begs for my shellcode RunPE working in VB.NET over skype..

[img]<http://img02.imgland.net/W1UfEvu.png>[/img]

Never said that the RunPE is not meant to be used, but at least respect the ones that produce public code and share it.

N3oNight - April 19, 2016, 3:46 am

(Apr 19, 2016 03:31 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Everything that you ever stated was already wrote inside the thread, and I was pointing out you need to make your image heuristically correct which means no big resources with small code, or you need to work around it by making so the heuristic engines believes the resources you add which are in reality your payload are other types of resources, I never said it was easy, just did point out I could do it, you say my crypter does not bypass AVG but I've yet to see what yours does, you never ever posted any runtime bypass proof, and barely post proofs of anything, you say you're a good coder, but you know nothing in windows internals or the WinAPI, so let's guess how is the Full-PE Injection code, public? Either AeonHack RunPE or similar..

You tell "show the truth", but it's all here, next to your eyes, all you did is simply ignore everything I was posting and get over and ask for another proof, who the fuck are you? I just pointed out it was not impossible as you stated to be undetected against 360 Cloud.

And excuse me but since when antiviruses block mainstream languages? WHEN? Like how retarded is this statement in the logic of things?

And don't forget you're the one that begs for my shellcode RunPE working in VB.NET over skype..

[img]<http://img02.imgland.net/W1UfEvu.png>[/img]

Never said that the RunPE is not meant to be used, but at least respect the ones that produce public code and share it.

What you said about 360 , if you apply for AVG it would get fud.
and I said 360 runtime detection and they detect Injection in case you still did not understand , I have tested it but from your talk you have not tested it.

also the thing in the Image is called asking and this can be called begging when you ask me to convert your code and share with you.

First you must get some respect towards some one who teached you have to Code then ask for some respect for the source you share.

Wardow - April 19, 2016, 3:55 am

(Apr 19, 2016 03:46 AM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What you said about 360 , if you apply for AVG it would get fud.

and I said 360 runtime detection and they detect Injection in case you still did not understand , I

have tested it but from your talk you have not tested it.

also the thing in the Image is called asking and this can be called begging when you ask me to convert your code and share with you.

First you must get some respect towards some one who teached you have to Code then ask for some respect for the source you share.

There is big difference between converting code and producing the code, I asked you if you had the PInvoke Definition for NtCreateSection and NtMapViewOfSection, and you never provided anything, I sorted that out afterwards, since it was posted on hackforums.

You say it detects injection, but there is ALWAYS and trust me ALWAYSSSSSS work arrounds to this, it detects it only because you use code that's been OVERUSED by people..

N3oNight - April 19, 2016, 4:50 am

(Apr 19, 2016 03:55 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There is big difference between converting code and producing the code, I asked you if you had the PInvoke Definition for NtCreateSection and NtMapViewOfSection, and you never provided anything, I sorted that out afterwards, since it was posted on hackforums.

You say it detects injection, but there is ALWAYS and trust me ALWAYSSSSSS work arrounds to this, it detects it only because you use code that's been OVERUSED by people..

Only on your request I have made a Video to demonstrate that BetaCrypt Is FUD with AVG on runtime + Scantime with Native as well as managed files.

I do not have any Native Rat so I have used putty.

Have used Nanocore server as managed file.

Have accessed OS with Vmware over teamviewer and video is recorded over it as there is no way to manipulate it and also you need teamviewer to watch the Video.

<http://rghost.net/8NxQsbYFQ>

BetaCrypt = FUD on AVG

BosonLight = Detected on AVG

Now lets move to 360 AV and prove me its FUD for you as you stated.

Wardow - April 19, 2016, 4:56 am

(Apr 19, 2016 04:50 AM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Only on your request I have made a Video to demonstrate that BetaCrypt Is FUD with AVG on runtime + Scantime with Native as well as managed files.

I do not have any Native Rat so I have used putty.

Have used Nanocore server as managed file.

Have accessed OS with Vmware over teamviewer and video is recorded over it as there is no way to manipulate it and also you need teamviewer to watch the Video.

<http://rghost.net/8NxQsbYFQ>

BetaCrypt = FUD on AVG

BosonLight = Detected on AVG

Now lets move to 360 AV and prove me its FUD for you as you stated.

Everyone has a native rat, just take Babylon, Darkcomet, or Netwire trial, AVG gets trigged upon connection, putty will not make any rat-like connections so obviously AVG wont be triggered.

For NanoCore, don't forget to show the RAT connecting.

N3oNight - April 19, 2016, 5:05 am

(Apr 19, 2016 04:56 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Everyone has a native rat, just take Babylon, Darkcomet, or Netwire trial, AVG gets trigged upon connection, putty will not make any rat-like connections so obviously AVG wont be triggered.

For NanoCore, don't forget to show the RAT connecting.you Provided such Video only ?

This is all I can give as Proof Cause there is no use of discussing with you, as you have no respect for any one. All you want to do is Create drama.

I can proudly say My BetaCrypt Bypass much more then what Your Crypter can pass and It shows who has more knowledge.

Now Prove that you pass 360, else Accept you just do all this to Create Drama and seek attention.

Wardow - April 19, 2016, 5:09 am

(Apr 19, 2016 05:05 AM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) you Provided such Video only ?

This is all I can give as Proof Cause there is no use of discussing with you, as you have no respect for any one. All you want to do is Create drama.

I can proudly say My BetaCrypt Bypass much more then what Your Crypter can pass and It shows who has more knowledge.

Now Prove that you pass 360, else Accept you just do all this to Create Drama and seek attention.

I posted 360 Cloud scans and said that was my proof, that is it, coding a PE Loader at the moment to pass AVG along with Dr.Web, BitDefender and Norton :)

I don't have 360 Cloud Antivirus installed, but I know that regular files are detected by the Cloud Check on 360 Cloud, and I managed to patch that only for now as I have not even installed the AV on VM, once I finish PE Loader I will make the 360 Cloud Antivirus video :).

You play the low baller with crypting putty, I showed my crypter on my machine with NanoCore I can also make a TeamViewer file as you did and it will be the same.

Making it.

And you say it could not be faked, I believe you, but at least show the settings of the AV, Exclusions etc..

N3oNight - April 19, 2016, 5:26 am

(Apr 19, 2016 05:09 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I posted 360 Cloud scans and said that was my proof, that is it, coding a PE Loader at the moment to pass AVG along with Dr.Web, BitDefender and Norton :)

I don't have 360 Cloud Antivirus installed, but I know that regular files are detected by the Cloud Check on 360 Cloud, and I managed to patch that only for now as I have not even installed the AV on VM, once I finish PE Loader I will make the 360 Cloud Antivirus video :).

You play the low baller with crypting putty, I showed my crypter on my machine with NanoCore I

can also make a TeamViewer file as you did and it will be the same.
Making it.

And you say it could not be faked, I believe you, but at least show the settings of the AV, Exclusions etc..

I can see you over here who is playing Low Baller as your crypter do not Bypass main AV and you go and Post on all other Crypters and point out many issues from them.

Also in case you need AV settings and Exclusions I can show that, as I can see you do not trust my videos but you ask on every crypter thread to Post video of Bypass.

Will Post new Video with your demand to show AV settings and Exclusions as I am back home.

Wardow - April 19, 2016, 5:32 am

(Apr 19, 2016 05:26 AM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I can see you over here who is playing Low Baller as your crypter do not Bypass main AV and you go and Post on all other Crypters and point out many issues from them.

Also in case you need AV settings and Exclusions I can show that, as I can see you do not trust my videos but you ask on every crypter thread to Post video of Bypass.

Will Post new Video with your demand to show AV settings and Exclusions as I am back home.
Read better what I said:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4057889&pid=50613648#pid50613648>

(Apr 18, 2016 11:03 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 360 Cloud Antivirus do not block the .NET Language entirely it's just heuristic based and you need to make your file heuristically correct, and this is the same for Avira Cloud.

I said that 360 Cloud Antivirus does not block the .NET Language entirely and NONE of the antiviruses does that!

I never said any of anyone crypter was good or bad, I just corrected your statement about an antivirus blocking a mainstream language!

AVG:

<https://www.sendspace.com/file/rfoq9b>

Please note that I specified "I believe you", Putty has no point of testing since it's not even an app intended to be detected, please do test with NanoCore at least, the only thing I pointed out was 'It could not be faked', but it could.

And seriously can you stop re-taking the words I use in every reply you post?

Like I tell you play the low baller and you tell me I play the lower baller?...??..?????????????

N3oNight - April 19, 2016, 5:42 am

(Apr 19, 2016 05:32 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Read better what I said:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4057889&pid=50613648#pid50613648>

I said that 360 Cloud Antivirus does not block the .NET Language entirely and NONE of the antiviruses does that!

I never said any of anyone crypter was good or bad, I just corrected your statement about an antivirus blocking a mainstream language!

AVG:

<https://www.sendspace.com/file/rfoq9b>

If you dont know I would like to inform you , .net is considered as Low level language and many of the AV block certain Activities of an Entire Language.

What ever settings you use in a .net file , if You add registry Key to HKCU on a machine with KIS installed , the AV will block it. This was an example how certain language are blocked (Not saying 360 Block for the same).

The same way 360 Av blocks many activities of many specific languages. Also this is so weird that you dont have that AV installed , you dont want to install now to prove and have never tested such things before but you just jump on others sales thread and start giving your opinion for no reasons.

(Apr 19, 2016 05:32 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Please note that I specified "I believe you", Putty has no point of testing since it's not even an app intended to be detected, please do test with NanoCore at least, the only thing I pointed out was 'It could not be faked', but it could.

when i tested your file It just crashed but you still keep on saying its FUD and you asked for proof from my end. now I provided the proof and then you say all this.

Dud please have some guts and accept your Mistakes and have a stand on your talk.

You are only 15 or 16 year old Kid and in no way you can match a knowledge of a Coder like me who has been coding Crypters from 7 years and also I am the person who teach you about coding Crypters in .net.

Get some respect for other to get respect for yourself.

(Apr 19, 2016 05:32 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) And seriously can you stop re-taking the words I use in every reply you post?

Like I tell you play the low baller and you tell me I play the lower baller?..??..?????????????I just gave you the respect you gave me, nothing more or less.

but you really feel so bad about it, do you think how it will effect others.

eCoLoGy - April 19, 2016, 5:52 am

Jesus broskis... Stop da fight ?

Wardow - April 19, 2016, 5:54 am

(Apr 19, 2016 05:42 AM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If you dont know I would like to inform you , .net is considered as Low level language and many of the AV block certain Activities of an Entire Language.

What ever settings you use in a .net file , if You add registry Key to HKCU on a machine with KIS installed , the AV will block it. This was an example how certain language are blocked (Not saying 360 Block for the same).

The same way 360 Av blocks many activities of many specific languages. Also this is so weird that you dont have that AV installed , you dont want to install now to prove and have never tested such things before but you just jump on others sales thread and start giving your opinion for no reasons.

Do you even understand what is low level and high level language?

VB.NET does not even have proper pointers implementation.....

How can you even consider this low level?

.NET files does not get emulated, and is barely affected by heuristics and if you know what Runtime and Heuristics detections are and experienced them, you will be able to notice that files with an heuristically correct image you will have way less difficulty at bypassing runtime than if you have an heuristically invalid image.

(Apr 19, 2016 05:42 AM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You are only 15 or 16 year old Kid and in no way

you can match a knowledge of a Coder like me who has been coding Crypters from 7 years and also I am the person who teach you about coding Crypters in .net.

Yet it's been 7 years of .NET Crypter, don't you want to improve? LOL

N3oNight - April 19, 2016, 5:57 am

(Apr 19, 2016 05:54 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Do you even understand what is low level and high level language?

VB.NET does not even have proper pointers implementation.....

How can you even consider this low level?

.NET files does not get emulated, and is barely affected by heuristics and if you know what Runtime and Heuristics detections are and experienced them, you will be able to notice that files with an heuristically correct image you will have way less difficulty at bypassing runtime than if you have an heuristically invalid image.

Yet it's been 7 years of .NET Crypter, don't you want to improve? LOLI dont want to get improved like you who use big words but your own crypter do not bypass main AV, only Pass small AV which are really easy to pass.

I have been improved a lot, and I only know that I just use a proper injection and it makes me runtime FUD.

also Av detect many Common activaties or action which sometime crypters also show, so develop your own method and will be FUD runtime.

Wardow - April 19, 2016, 6:00 am

(Apr 19, 2016 05:57 AM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have been improved a lot, and I only know that I just use a proper injection and it makes me runtime FUD.

What is proper injection?

AeonHack RunPE?

Could you explain using words how Full-PE Injection is done?

I'm talking about the general subject not specially RunPE which is the lazy way of doing it because you let the Windows PE-Loader doing most of the parsing.

And "own" method, you say on your thread you have a metamorphic engine? Please do not tell me it's that Codedom re-compilation with re-organization/obfuscation/junkcodes each run?

I'll be waiting on that explanation using words only, not call chains or anything that could reveal your "private" methods.

N3oNight - April 19, 2016, 6:03 am

(Apr 19, 2016 06:00 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What is proper injection?

AeonHack RunPE?

Could you explain using words how Full-PE Injection is done?

I'm talking about the general subject not specially RunPE which is the lazy way of doing it because you let the PE-Loader doing most of the parsing.

And "own" method, you say on your thread you have a metamorphic engine? Please do not tell me it's that Codedom re-compilation with re-organization/obfuscation/junkcodes each run?

I'll be waiting on that explanation using words only, not call chains or anything that could reveal your "private" methods.

You are doing it again, in place of fixing your shit , you just make concussion on others.

Grow Up.

Wardow - April 19, 2016, 6:05 am

(Apr 19, 2016 06:03 AM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You are doing it again, in place of fixing your shit , you just make concussion on others.

Grow Up.

And you're not answering questions and ignoring proofs that I post! ?

Please explain how Full-PE Injection is done, please do it.

My shit is fine like it is don't worry, the code is all being converted into FASM as
TERRORIST/NTTI.. adviced.

I will then have more control over the code and it will be way easier to produce small but efficient
code, and it will also be alot easier to debug the code as imagining C -> Dissassembled Code in
head while debugging might get confusing sometimes.

Also doing it to be able to use the powerfulness of Flat Assembler macros.

BTW, thanks for free bumps!

Only getting 2-3 usually.

[img]http://img.imgland.net/Pqbwa.png[/img]

1 Purchases in Paypal aswell!

Doubled, I'm grateful!

N3oNight - April 19, 2016, 6:27 am

(Apr 19, 2016 06:05 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) And you're not answering questions and ignoring
proofs that I post! ?

Please explain how Full-PE Injection is done, please do it.

My shit is fine like it is don't worry, the code is all being converted into FASM as
TERRORIST/NTTI.. adviced.

I will then have more control over the code and it will be way easier to produce small but efficient
code, and it will also be alot easier to debug the code as imagining C -> Dissassembled Code in
head while debugging might get confusing sometimes.

Also doing it to be able to use the powerfulness of Flat Assembler macros.

I dont need to explain you What is Full-PE injection. just like to speak theoretically about av
bypasses and such but yet you cant develop properly

The main topic is about runtime detection from your Crypter.

You know what is Full-PE injection, you are very good with C, C++ and asm as well as shellcode as
you say above.

But why dont your Crypter bypass any Good AV and Crash if any good AV like AVG is present on
the machine. First improve your own crypter according to the Grate knowledge you have and then
ask other about there Knowledge.

also you are moving away from the topic and diverting talk.

(Apr 19, 2016 06:05 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) BTW, thanks for free bumps!

Only getting 2-3 usually.

[img]http://img.imgland.net/Pqbwa.png[/img]

1 Purchases in Paypal aswell!

Doubled, I'm grateful!

This thinks also gave me some good sales, lets do this on a daily base then ?

Wardow - April 19, 2016, 6:29 am

(Apr 19, 2016 06:27 AM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I dont need to explain you What is Full-PE injection.
just like to speak theoretically about av bypasses and such but yet you cant develop properly

The main topic is about runtime detection from your Crypter.

You know what is Full-PE injection, you are very good with C, C++ and asm as well as shellcode as

you say above.

But why dont your Crypter bypass any Good AV and Crash if any good AV like AVG is present on the machine. First improve your own crypter according to the Grate knowledge you have and then ask other about there Knowledge.

also you are moving away from the topic and diverting talk.

So you don't know how Full-PE Injection is done? is that what you mean? If you do know please do explain it, it will proove that you are not so clueless.

To make Full-PE Injection methods undetected, don't you think you need to know how that works first LOL

PS: The only reason it crashes for is because of AVG as shown on the video I posted earlier!
Can you stop ignoring?

N3oNight - April 20, 2016, 4:47 am

(Apr 19, 2016 06:29 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So you don't know how Full-PE Injection is done? is that what you mean? If you do know please do explain it, it will proove that you are not so clueless.

To make Full-PE Injection methods undetected, don't you think you need to know how that works first LOL

PS: The only reason it crashes for is because of AVG as shown on the video I posted earlier!
Can you stop ignoring?

well I know what you are asking for but I would Like to tell you I am not good with theory as many things are possible theoretically but when you do that practically you fail for it. And so I Believe in being Practical. Thats my answer for you, you can take it as the way you like.

You have not provided proper info on the statement you made on my thread about 360 AV, Please make a video on it that you bypass it as you ignoring it from long.

Also I am making a Video with AVG and LL Rat without visible mode so you can be sure its LL and working, will also show you AV settings as You requested it. ?

Wardow - April 20, 2016, 4:51 am

(Apr 20, 2016 04:47 AM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) well I know what you are asking for but I would Like to tell you I am not good with theory as many things are possible theoretically but when you do that practically you fail for it. And so I Believe in being Practical. Thats my answer for you, you can take it as the way you like.

You have not provided proper info on the statement you made on my thread about 360 AV, Please make a video on it that you bypass it as you ignoring it from long.

Also I am making a Video with AVG and LL Rat without visible mode so you can be sure its LL and working, will also show you AV settings as You requested it. ?

So you don't know shit about Full-PE Injection theory and ever except to produce any good packer, haha the good one! ?

For 360 AV, read:

"I posted 360 Cloud scans and said that was my proof, that is it,"

N3oNight - April 20, 2016, 5:08 am

(Apr 20, 2016 04:51 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So you don't know shit about Full-PE Injection theory and ever except to produce any good packer, haha the good one! ?

For 360 AV, read:

"I posted 360 Cloud scans and said that was my proof, that is it,"

Any Crypter can pass it Scantime on scanner , Same time your Crypter Pass AVG , Bitdefender , Norton and dr.web on scanning site but Not when you run it on machine with this AV.

Theoretically Its FUD what you say but when you do practical Test it will be detected.

Thats the difference Between your theoretical knowledge and My practical knowledge.

Do not Ignore it, You said multiple times you will make video and Post it soon, but you are not doing it and now you said you Posted scan from some site. Take a stand on your words buddy.

Wardow - April 20, 2016, 5:14 am

(Apr 20, 2016 05:08 AM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Any Crypter can pass it Scantime on scanner , Same time your Crypter Pass AVG , Bitdefender , Norton and dr.web on scanning site but Not when you run it on machine with this AV.

Theoretically Its FUD what you say but when you do practical Test it will be detected.

Thats the difference Between your theoretical knowledge and My practical knowledge.

Do not Ignore it, You said multiple times you will make video and Post it soon, but you are not doing it and now you said you Posted scan from some site. Take a stand on your words buddy.

So basicly in the theory you don't know what Full-PE Injection is, but in practice you know how to copy paste AeonHack RunPE and you can write a packer without theory?

What I specified about 360 AV is their Cloud Scans, the Runtime engine detects code injection in other processes and this is another different thing.

As you claimed earlier of it detecting the whole .NET Language I can assure that if I run a test application in .NET it will run fine with the antivirus the only thing it detects is code injection or heuristically suspicious application using the CLOUD SCAN < this is what I showed here.

N3oNight - April 20, 2016, 5:25 am

(Apr 20, 2016 05:14 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So basicly in the theory you don't know what Full-PE Injection is, but in practice you know how to copy paste AeonHack RunPE and you can write a packer without theory?

What I specified about 360 AV is their Cloud Scans, the Runtime engine detects code injection in other processes and this is another different thing.

As you claimed earlier of it detecting the whole .NET Language I can assure that if I run a test application in .NET it will run fine with the antivirus the only thing it detects is code injection or heuristically suspicious application using the CLOUD SCAN < this is what I showed here.

Why dont you give a try with 360 AV first before saying all this this. I can make a small of blank vb.net app freshly coded and will be detected by 360 AV.

Also when I said I am using Aeon Runpe , Cant I just code my own runpe with the help of some example Available. Cause AeonRunpe does not bypass major AV runtime, but my Crypter does Bypass most of all AV. Just to prove I am not using any of your claimed code, everything is custom coded for my Crypter.

Just to remind you, you have coded more then 4 to 5 Crypters in past year but closed most of them including some in vb.net. then you opened again Boson Revamped. With your theory if you can keep them FUD, they all will be Up but you closed all as you failed at some Point to make then FUD Runtime or Scantime.

Where I am Offering BetaCrypt from 2 years and 3 month with the same Quality and FUD time. thats only because of My Practical knowledge on Crypters. It does not need me to explain my buyers what is FULL PE injection, Just giving them a FUD Crypter will make them more then happy.

Wardow - April 20, 2016, 5:40 am

(Apr 20, 2016 05:25 AM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why dont you give a try with 360 AV first before saying all this this. I can make a small of blank vb.net app freshly coded and will be detected by 360 AV.

Also when I said I am using Aeon Runpe , Cant I just code my own runpe with the help of some example Available. Cause AeonRunpe does not bypass major AV runtime, but my Crypter does Bypass most of all AV. Just to prove I am not using any of your claimed code, everything is custom coded for my Crypter.

Just to remind you, you have coded more then 4 to 5 Crypters in past year but closed most of them including some in vb.net. then you opened again Boson Revamped. With your theory if you can keep them FUD, they all will be Up but you closed all as you failed at some Point to make then FUD Runtime or Scantime.

Where I am Offering BetaCrypt from 2 years and 3 month with the same Quality and FUD time. thats only because of My Practical knowledge on Crypters. It does not need me to explain my buyers what is FULL PE injection, Just giving them a FUD Crypter will make them more then happy. Don't you know, one thing is existing "real life", "studies" or again "lack of time", do you know that customers take up all your time because they need help, advices?

The crypter stopped and got up again because I needed to do other things, and as for 360 AV,

https://dl.dropboxusercontent.com/u/50176735/ShareX/2016/04/2016-04-20_14-38-03.mp4

Test application detected you say? What kind of dumb antivirus would do this?

And, what did I just get that you use AeonHack RunPE? Does that mean it does not process relocations and will fail if address conflicts appears? Does that mean it? How can you get an execution rate of "9.2/10" then? And please tell me how you calculated this.

Still waiting for your sample ?

N3oNight - April 20, 2016, 5:58 am

(Apr 20, 2016 05:40 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Don't you know, one thing is existing "real life", "studies" or again "lack of time", do you know that customers take up all your time because they need help, advices?

The crypter stopped and got up again because I needed to do other things, and as for 360 AV,

https://dl.dropboxusercontent.com/u/50176735/ShareX/2016/04/2016-04-20_14-38-03.mp4

Test application detected you say? What kind of dumb antivirus would do this?

And, what did I just get that you use AeonHack RunPE? Does that mean it does not process relocations and will fail if address conflicts appears? Does that mean it? How can you get an execution rate of "9.2/10" then? And please tell me how you calculated this.

Still waiting for your sample ?

Well the Video your provided does not have any kind of enough info , like settings from AV , Excluded file list and Which file you used? the test file info , cause a msg box in C++ can pass anything.

BTW here is an Video with Proper information about BetaCrypt Bypassing AVG with LL Rat.
<http://rghost.net/6RDqVZjHX>

Its recorded with Team-viewer and you need it on your PC to play the video.

Also regarding real life:

- You do not study (School Drop-out at Age of 14)
- You do not have responsibility of family or any other
- you do not have any job (on your last job with some subsidiary AV Company, they kicked you out in 1 week)
- You still live with your Parents and got no other work then being online and posing on other thread.

Also you worked as a support Guy with killa which need to be online most of day Just to provide support.

So I dont thing you "real life", "studies" or again "lack of time" made you close you your Past Crypter and start a 6th or 7th Crypter same Year.

Get a Life dud and accept your mistake before more truth Come out and I prove you are only attention sicker and dont know any real shit About making your Crypter Runtime FUD.

Theory does not help for anything except passing some written exam and this Field is a practical field. Buyers wont ask what is Full-PE injection, they will Just ask to pass AVG , bitdefender , nortan and dr-web to be passed Runtime.

Wardow - April 20, 2016, 6:15 am

(Apr 20, 2016 05:58 AM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well the Video your provided does not have any kind of enough info , like settings from AV , Excluded file list and Which file you used? the test file info , cause a msg box in C++ can pass anything.

BTW here is an Video with Proper information about BetaCrypt Bypassing AVG with LL Rat.
<http://rghost.net/6RDqVZjHX>

Its recorded with Team-viewer and you need it on your PC to play the video.

Also regarding real life:

- You do not study (School Drop-out at Age of 14)
- You do not have responsibility of family or any other
- you do not have any job (on your last job with some subsidiary AV Company, they kicked you out in 1 week)
- You still live with your Parents and got no other work then being online and posing on other thread.

Also you worked as a support Guy with killa which need to be online most of day Just to provide support.

So I dont thing you "real life", "studies" or again "lack of time" made you close you your Past Crypter and start a 6th or 7th Crypter same Year.

Get a Life dud and accept your mistake before more truth Come out and I prove you are only attention sicker and dont know any real shit About making your Crypter Runtime FUD.

Theory does not help for anything except passing some written exam and this Field is a practical field. Buyers wont ask what is Full-PE injection, they will Just ask to pass AVG , bitdefender , nortan and dr-web to be passed Runtime.

The video I recorded includes all of what you said, here is the application link:

<https://www.sendspace.com/file/j7bmwxw>

And seriously what do you know about me?

Do you even know what I am doing? "School Drop-out"??

In France there is one legal age for leaving school and it's 16 y/o or a certain grade which normally correspond at this age, and at that age you can decide to go on other studies,

do internships, and every of those things,

I never ever worked as support for KillaMuvz please do tell me when was that!

And trust me that if you don't know theory in programming you will obviously fail at practive! You need to have both :)

"More truth come out", can you please post all of this now, I WANT IT!

Also maybe you'll be wanting to use LuminosityLink Silent mode and show the connection appearing on list! Because AVG gets triggered when it establish connection!

Still waiting for the sample ?

https://dl.dropboxusercontent.com/u/50176735/ShareX/2016/04/2016-04-20_15-19-44.mp4

Remade the whole video with EVERYTHING being clear, still saying that 360 Total Antivirus blocks the whole .NET Language?

Sup3r=B0SS - April 20, 2016, 9:13 am

Is this not meant to be a sales thread. Just got confused to the argument above about a competition between Betacrypt and Bosonlight.

neger20 - April 20, 2016, 9:23 am

Great crypter. i crypted my file 1 month ago, and only have 1 stupid detection :)

<https://scan.majyx.net/scans/result/3ebbd6d4f19d853b5b7eef564e340bae759a6e69>

?????? - April 20, 2016, 9:24 am

Wardow my friend is extremely talented.And he knows his shit.Big Vouch for my boy

Xenderquito - April 20, 2016, 10:21 am

Wardow KNOWS his talents.

I give this crypter 10/10 (not to be confused with the amazing 0/35 the crypter gives out.)

Thanks!

Greg???????????????? - April 20, 2016, 7:46 pm

Did a legit exchange with o.p and no issues!

Wardow - April 22, 2016, 1:33 pm

Thank you for the comments,

Paylvy is down, in the time being please PM me to purchase your license.

N3oNight - April 22, 2016, 11:59 pm

(Apr 20, 2016 06:15 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The video I recorded includes all of what you said, here is the application link: <https://www.sendspace.com/file/j7bmxw>

And seriously what do you know about me?

Do you even know what I am doing? "School Drop-out"??

In France there is one legal age for leaving school and it's 16 y/o or a certain grade which normally correspond at this age, and at that age you can decide to go on other studies,

do internships, and every of those things,

I never ever worked as support for KillaMuvz please do tell me when was that!

And trust me that if you don't know theory in programming you will obviously fail at practice! You need to have both :)

"More truth come out", can you please post all of this now, I WANT IT!

Also maybe you'll be wanting to use LuminosityLink Silent mode and show the connection appearing on list! Because AVG gets triggered when it establish connection!

Still waiting for the sample ?Not only me, many users know a Lot about you, I even have a Photo of yours but I have no personal Issues with you so i wont do anything that will effect you in personal.

I also tried to inform you on skype that some user send me your info , with photo after reading our talk. but before i can send the complete msg, you blocked me on skype and then on HF. Which was really a childish step from you.

Also I repeat again, I do not use Rat, I do not have License of LL or Nanocore but I do have server of them which I use to Test Runtime detection.

You can not create a server without adding proper info for connection and even if you add wrong address to the server, if Method of crypter is detected like your Crypter, It will be detected when trying to connect to wrong address or Correct address, but if the method is FUD then it will be FUD with wrong or correct address.

In the video you provided you have not Provided any proper info, not even 50% proper info then what I have provided in my videos.

Grow Up buddy, When ever I create a Video Which is FUD at Runtime, you always point something silly claiming its not FUD but My Videos are more accurate then Yours. This is enough Proof for any one to make sure BetaCrypt Is FUD on scantime or Runtime.

But you have not Provided any proper Proof Which Proves your Crypter Is FUD Runtime. Also You have misleading info on your thread also only state few Av name where as Your Crypter has Many Runtime Detection with various AV.

Wardow - April 23, 2016, 12:47 am

(Apr 22, 2016 11:59 PM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Not only me, many users know a Lot about you, I even have a Photo of yours but I have no personal Issues with you so i wont do anything that will effect you in personal.

I also tried to inform you on skype that some user send me your info , with photo after reading our talk. but before i can send the complete msg, you blocked me on skype and then on HF. Which was really a childish step from you.

Also I repeat again, I do not use Rat, I do not have License of LL or Nanocore but I do have server of them which I use to Test Runtime detection.

You can not create a server without adding proper info for connection and even if you add wrong address to the server, if Method of crypter is detected like your Crypter, It will be detected when trying to connect to wrong address or Correct address, but if the method is FUD then it will be FUD with wrong or correct address.

In the video you provided you have not Provided any proper info, not even 50% proper info then what I have provided in my videos.

Grow Up buddy, When ever I create a Video Which is FUD at Runtime, you always point something silly claiming its not FUD but My Videos are more accurate then Yours. This is enough Proof for any one to make sure BetaCrypt Is FUD on scantime or Runtime.

But you have not Provided any proper Proof Which Proves your Crypter Is FUD Runtime. Also You have misleading info on your thread also only state few Av name where as Your Crypter has Many Runtime Detection with various AV.

I don't want to receive PM from you, what you want to say has to be said with posts, not in PMs or Skype.

Trust me that BetaCrypt is not FUD on Scantime and Runtime :)

And none of the crypters on the whole market is :)

N3oNight - April 23, 2016, 5:36 am

(Apr 23, 2016 12:47 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't want to receive PM from you, what you want to say has to be said with posts, not in PMs or Skype.

Trust me that BetaCrypt is not FUD on Scantime and Runtime :)

And none of the crypters on the whole market is :)If any user Just check last 5 page of this thread then any Dumb user will know your Crypter has lots of Runtime Detection and you have admitted several times.

Just go trough it and in case you still think your Crypter is FUD, Think yourself what comes after "Dumb" applies to you.

Also stop diverting the talk, stay on topic.

Sleek. - April 23, 2016, 5:53 am

(Apr 23, 2016 05:36 AM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If any user Just check last 5 page of this thread then any Dumb user will know your Crypter has lots of Runtime Detection and you have admitted several times.

Just go trough it and in case you still think your Crypter is FUD, Think yourself what comes after

"Dumb" applies to you.

Also stop diverting the talk, stay on topic.

Actually, looking from the last 5 pages we all learnt the following:

You're unprofessional and ignorant

You lie about your product and have no idea what you're talking about

Wardow - April 23, 2016, 10:25 am

(Apr 23, 2016 05:36 AM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If any user Just check last 5 page of this thread then any Dumb user will know your Crypter has lots of Runtime Detection and you have admitted several times.

Just go through it and in case you still think your Crypter is FUD, Think yourself what comes after "Dumb" applies to you.

Also stop diverting the talk, stay on topic.

You're using a RunPE and expect to bypass antiviruses such as AVG(recently), Norton, Dr.Web, 360 Total Antivirus, and BitDefender, unless you know how to do code caving or abuse off code signing you won't bypass those with a RunPE.

Cheese - April 23, 2016, 12:05 pm

Vouch for Wardow, used this Crypter before, works flawlessly.

DaPiranha - April 23, 2016, 12:59 pm

(Apr 23, 2016 05:53 AM)Strikecarl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Actually, looking from the last 5 pages we all learnt the following:

You're unprofessional and ignorant

You lie about your product and have no idea what you're talking about

You should check your own Crypter first of all before trying to call out others. Beta Crypt will shit all over you.

Wardow - April 23, 2016, 1:09 pm

(Apr 23, 2016 12:59 PM)DaPiranha Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You should check your own Crypter first of all before trying to call out others. Beta Crypt will shit all over you.

Some .NET wankers talking together :)

Sleek. - April 23, 2016, 1:25 pm

(Apr 23, 2016 01:09 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Some .NET wankers talking together :) superior Autolt wanker <3

N3oNight - April 23, 2016, 1:58 pm

(Apr 23, 2016 05:53 AM)Strikecarl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Actually, looking from the last 5 pages we all learnt the following:

You're unprofessional and ignorant

You lie about your product and have no idea what you're talking about

first of all look at yourself and the Person you are defending.

Wardow does the same with each and every Posted new Crypter, and never looks at his Crypter, how many detection His Crypter has got.

and you don't even know where to Post a service, and end posting on Cryptography and Encryption

Market and dont even know to code properly and tell here that I lie on my thread. You better mind your own business else I will also need to show mirror to you the way wardow sees the mirror now.

In case you have read last 5 pages of this thread, you would have known how many times your friend wardow lied and keep changing his own statement. So stop defending him after all his confessions.

(Apr 23, 2016 10:25 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You're using a RunPE and expect to bypass antiviruses such as AVG(recently), Norton, Dr.Web, 360 Total Antivirus, and BitDefender, unless you know how to do code caving or abuse off code signing you wont bypass those with a RunPE. WOW, now a new story from the Grate wardow.
No crypter on HF Can bypass this AV then as almost all Crypters Use RunPE.

Also you are also not using Code Caving so your crypter also does not pass it, so you admit it indirectly.

(Apr 23, 2016 01:25 PM)Strikecarl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) superior Autolt wanker <3
Superior in just talk, not in Bypassing AV runtime.

Sleek. - April 23, 2016, 2:13 pm

(Apr 23, 2016 01:58 PM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) first of all look at yourself and the Person you are defending.

Wardow does the same with each and every Posted new Crypter, and never looks at his Crypter, how many detection His Crypter has got.

and you dont even know where to Post a service, and end posting on Cryptography and Encryption Market and dont even know to code properly and tell here that I lie on my thread. You better mind your own business else I will also need to show mirror to you the way wardow sees the mirror now.

In case you have read last 5 pages of this thread, you would have known how many times your friend wardow lied and keep changing his own statement. So stop defending him after all his confessions.

WOW, now a new story from the Grate wardow.
No crypter on HF Can bypass this AV then as almost all Crypters Use RunPE.

Also you are also not using Code Caving so your crypter also does not pass it, so you admit it indirectly.

Superior in just talk, not in Bypassing AV runtime.
Show me your crypter bypassing 360totalsecurity with darkcomet :^)

N3oNight - April 23, 2016, 2:16 pm

(Apr 23, 2016 02:13 PM)Strikecarl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Show me your crypter bypassing 360totalsecurity with darkcomet :^)

You are really a troll and an idiot. Go through the talk and you will find it all about that 360 AV. Why dont you stay out if you dont know whats going on, you make a fool of yourself by doing all this.

DaPiranha - April 23, 2016, 2:19 pm

(Apr 23, 2016 02:13 PM)Strikecarl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Show me your crypter bypassing 360totalsecurity with darkcomet :^)

You do realise how AV's like 360 work they will simply work on how well a file is trusted. What are the chances an encrypted file will have? Sonar also works in the similar way.

Can you prove that your Crypter bypasses 360?

Wardow - April 23, 2016, 2:29 pm

(Apr 23, 2016 01:58 PM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) first of all look at yourself and the Person you are defending.

Wardow does the same with each and every Posted new Crypter, and never looks at his Crypter, how many detection His Crypter has got.

and you dont even know where to Post a service, and end posting on Cryptography and Encryption Market and dont even know to code properly and tell here that I lie on my thread. You better mind your own business else I will also need to show mirror to you the way wardow sees the mirror now.

In case you have read last 5 pages of this thread, you would have known how many times your friend wardow lied and keep changing his own statement. So stop defending him after all his confessions.

WOW, now a new story from the Grate wardow.

No crypter on HF Can bypass this AV then as almost all Crypters Use RunPE.

Also you are also not using Code Caving so your crypter also does not pass it, so you admit it indirectly.

Superior in just talk, not in Bypassing AV runtime.

You're a big idiot then because I use code caving ?

N3oNight - April 23, 2016, 2:33 pm

(Apr 23, 2016 02:29 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You're a big idiot then because I use code caving ? still you dont pass all the AV you mentioned ?

I Think you got it wrong then, Code Caving get Crypted file detected with all that AV and RunPE gets it FUD with all the AV.

Cause your Crypter is detected Runtime with all that AV where as My Crypter(BetaCrypt) is FUD With them.

You prove yourself to be leader of all Ideots ???

Wardow - April 23, 2016, 2:44 pm

(Apr 23, 2016 02:33 PM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) still you dont pass all the AV you mentioned ?

I Think you got it wrong then, Code Caving get Crypted file detected with all that AV and RunPE gets it FUD with all the AV.

Cause your Crypter is detected Runtime with all that AV where as My Crypter(BetaCrypt) is FUD With them.

You prove yourself to be leader of all Ideots ???

Still waiting for the sample :)

Feedback directly from customers.



If that gets detected by Eset, then it must be detected runtime ?

Sleek. - April 23, 2016, 2:47 pm

(Apr 23, 2016 02:19 PM)DaPiranha Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Can you prove that your Crypter bypasses 360?
It doesnt, no crypter does.

DaPiranha - April 23, 2016, 2:57 pm

(Apr 23, 2016 02:47 PM)Strikecarl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It doesnt, no crypter does.
Good, tell me something I don't know ?

N3oNight - April 23, 2016, 2:57 pm

(Apr 23, 2016 02:44 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Still waiting for the sample :)

If that gets detected by Eset, then it must be detected runtime ?
I can also do that.

Feedback directly from customers.

And stop from here as you are full of Fake things and only lie.

Wardow - April 23, 2016, 3:03 pm

(Apr 23, 2016 02:57 PM)N3oNight Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I can also do that.

Feedback directly from customers.

And stop from here as you are full of Fake things and only lie.

And the guy who talks knows nothing of windows internals, uses public runpe, codes packer using managed programming language...., post no proofs of anything..., come posting on my thread....

St?lk?r - April 23, 2016, 3:27 pm

Still FUD & works fine.

The Old Warrior - April 23, 2016, 4:09 pm

(Apr 23, 2016 02:47 PM)Strikecarl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It doesnt, no crypter does.
Last I checked, mine bypass.
Tested with netwire and dummy file.

Lmao

Wardow - April 23, 2016, 4:35 pm

(Apr 23, 2016 04:09 PM)The Old Warrior Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Last I checked, mine bypass.
Tested with netwire and dummy file.

Lmao

Looks like with a bit of research it's bypassing now :)

https://dl.dropboxusercontent.com/u/50176735/ShareX/2016/04/2016-04-24_01-33-12.mp4

The Old Warrior - April 23, 2016, 4:44 pm

(Apr 23, 2016 04:35 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Looks like with a bit of research it's bypassing now :)

https://dl.dropboxusercontent.com/u/50176735/ShareX/2016/04/2016-04-24_01-33-12.mp4

It ain't rocket science.

And for the startup.

That isn't any crypter's job to do startup.

Wardow - April 23, 2016, 4:46 pm

(Apr 23, 2016 04:44 PM)The Old Warrior Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It ain't rocket science.

And for the startup.

That isn't any crypter's job to do startup.

I'm not doing it into this one (Private Crypt Service stub), but people on hackforums who buys crypters for 20\$ per month expect it to be a kernel mode rootkit with bios firmware infection.

The Old Warrior - April 23, 2016, 5:00 pm

(Apr 23, 2016 04:46 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm not doing it into this one (Private Crypt Service stub), but people on hackforums who buys crypters for 20\$ per month expect it to be a kernel mode rootkit with bios firmware infection.

What can you do.

You are selling it here lol.

Crypter's job is to encrypt.

It isn't crypter's job to do rootkits, persistence, advanced startup, etc.

They should go and request those features to rat/bot coders.

Wardow - April 23, 2016, 5:05 pm

(Apr 23, 2016 05:00 PM)The Old Warrior Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What can you do.

You are selling it here lol.

Crypter's job is to encrypt.

It isn't crypter's job to do rootkits, persistence, advanced startup, etc.

They should go and request those features to rat/bot coders.

Sure, I'm not looking to sell this crypter on other forums, but you can be sure I am already selling crypts of that sort to some people to prepare a future and stable service, currently developping reliable way of remaining undetected scantime.

Even though that one is very limited on feature and pretty much focused on encryption.

Omniscient - April 24, 2016, 12:17 pm

@DaPiranha and @N3oNight

Sales trashing is NOT ACCEPTABLE especially when you offering a competing product or service. I will not tolerate it.

You both have a month posting suspension. Next time I just close your account.

Unknown? - April 24, 2016, 1:15 pm

Vouch for wardow gave me a solution in 2 minutes on skype :) Good support to thanks :) ++

Wardow - April 24, 2016, 2:29 pm

Currently getting the new memory execution code which requires no new process stable and then I will implement it inside of the crypter and get rid of many detections for sure since that method is pretty short on calls and most likely PE Parsing.

KFC Watermelon - April 24, 2016, 3:18 pm

Good luck with your project, Wardow.

Uptake - April 26, 2016, 9:51 am

Huge vouch for Wardow.

He is a great coder and his Crypter is pretty good.

+He provides a nice support. Do not hesitate to buy his products!

3Robi - April 30, 2016, 6:22 am

In the first video you tried to uninstall the client but it had so hard persistence that you couldn't.
Can we uninstall the clients now? Or is it still not possible?

.187. - April 30, 2016, 1:38 pm

The Autobuy is not working..

DeluxeSoftware - April 30, 2016, 1:59 pm

(Apr 30, 2016 01:38 PM)Cocaine69 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The Autobuy is not working..

Stop crying ^-^

He wrote that you should PM him for buying.

.187. - April 30, 2016, 4:13 pm

(Apr 30, 2016 01:59 PM)DeluxeSoftware Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Stop crying ^-^

He wrote that you should PM him for buying.

Crying?

Sorry kid not everyone is as emotional as you are ?

comodoro - May 1, 2016, 8:51 am

Huge vouch for this crypter. I bought it 2 weeks ago and I had no issues with LL. Great work, great tool !

xRaiders - May 2, 2016, 6:39 am

Back for support 24/7 you can contact me on skype here : [Pinkrusher](#)

Purple Kief - May 3, 2016, 3:53 am

(Apr 30, 2016 06:22 AM)3Robi Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) In the first video you tried to uninstall the client but it had so hard persistence that you couldn't.

Can we uninstall the clients now? Or is it still not possible?

This is most likely because he was using the crypters startup. As far as I'm aware using the crypters startup disables your ability to uninstall the client. (Could be wrong here)

xRaiders - May 3, 2016, 4:02 am

LuminosityLink SettingSpoiler ([Click to View](#))https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zJITq_z8Bgs

Nanocore SettingSpoiler ([Click to View](#))<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ttsJePzB8rM>

Quasar SettingSpoiler ([Click to View](#))<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W3SnIXAkWM>

Babylon SettingSpoiler ([Click to View](#))<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pjHlnrNE9-Y>

Njrat SettingSpoiler ([Click to View](#))<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ykGEF4lcKvc>

Darkomet SettingSpoiler ([Click to View](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o44ir-wJKA))<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o44ir-wJKA>

Enjoy

Xenderquito - May 3, 2016, 11:42 am

(May 3, 2016 04:02 AM)NKMZ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) LuminosityLink SettingSpoiler ([Click to View](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zJITq_z8Bgs))
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zJITq_z8Bgs

Enjoy

I've used your settings, everything goes great with about 25 clients, but none of them has Saved Passwords. (only the windows key shows up.)

Any idea why this is happening?

KFC Watermelon - May 3, 2016, 2:33 pm

(May 3, 2016 11:42 AM)Xenderquito Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I've used your settings, everything goes great with about 25 clients, but none of them has Saved Passwords. (only the windows key shows up.)

Any idea why this is happening?

Restart LuminosityLink and then try to recover passwords -- I've fixed an issue regarding the Password Recovery. Everything is fine now!

Xenderquito - May 4, 2016, 1:14 am

(May 3, 2016 02:33 PM)KFC Watermelon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Restart LuminosityLink and then try to recover passwords -- I've fixed an issue regarding the Password Recovery. Everything is fine now!

Thanks for your reply,

After a restart didn't work - I was thinking that maybe my password.txt file is too big so I moved it away(I stopped recovering passwords on the 2nd of may) - but now with 40 clients connected, I only get one website.

That update you fixed, is it auto-updating? or do I need to download a new file from somewhere?

Right now password recovery doesn't work for me.

toba22bb - May 4, 2016, 7:49 am

Hello Wardow i want to purchase your crypter and the autobuy is not working,i have added you on skype but you are yet to accept the request pm me right now.

KFC Watermelon - May 4, 2016, 1:01 pm

(May 4, 2016 01:14 AM)Xenderquito Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thanks for your reply,

After a restart didn't work - I was thinking that maybe my password.txt file is too big so I moved it away(I stopped recovering passwords on the 2nd of may) - but now with 40 clients connected, I only get one website.

That update you fixed, is it auto-updating? or do I need to download a new file from somewhere?

Right now password recovery doesn't work for me.

Just restart the application, go to Settings --> Misc and reset the URLs.

Sorry to hijack your thread, Wardow.

Taranis - May 4, 2016, 2:10 pm

Big vouch for Boson, the best I've used in a while !

The exec rate is extremely high, the FUD times are way better than 95% of the crypters around and it has very good built in persistence.

If you already got your slaves and are looking for a crypter to hold them and not worry about reencrypting all the time, this is the way to go !

Keep it up !

FranklinJ - May 5, 2016, 5:10 am

May i ask something , is it possible with your crypter to bind an .exe with an .jpg or .mp4 file and change extension?

xRaiders - May 5, 2016, 5:22 am

(May 5, 2016 05:10 AM)KRF. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) May i ask something , is it possible with your crypter to bind an .exe with an .jpg or .mp4 file and change extension?
you can bind with a jpg mp4 etc, for the extension you will need a spoofer ?

FranklinJ - May 5, 2016, 6:12 am

Where can i purchase with BTC lol , Payivy is down.

xRaiders - May 5, 2016, 6:34 am

(May 5, 2016 06:12 AM)KRF. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Where can i purchase with BTC lol , Payivy is down.
you need to pm wardow

Unknown? - May 6, 2016, 10:22 am

Vouch for wardow, always fast to help and willing to help on skype thanks mate

Xenderquito - May 6, 2016, 10:30 am

Had this crypter for almost a month - Wardow knows what he's doing and by the professionalism of the matter - it seems that he even likes it.

Fast support, great crypter, A++

xRaiders - May 8, 2016, 10:39 am

Filename: build.exe
Filesize: 1,77 MB
Date: 2016-05-08 19:36:00
MD5: 89df6483e76f75b927ca80a670fdd9c5
SHA1: 477ab6f1461adf040a81bb69cc5c06b556b57693
Status: Clean
Rate: 0/35

Details:

Ad-Aware - File is clean
A-Squared - File is clean
Avast - File is clean
AVG Free - File is clean
AntiVir (Avira) - File is clean
BitDefender - File is clean
BullGuard - File is clean
Clam Antivirus - File is clean
COMODO Internet Security - File is clean

Dr.Web - File is clean
ESET NOD32 - File is clean
eTrust-Vet - File is clean
FortiClient - File is clean
F-PROT Antivirus - File is clean
F-Secure Internet Security - File is clean
G Data - File is clean
IKARUS Security - File is clean
K7 Ultimate - File is clean
Kaspersky Antivirus - File is clean
McAfee - File is clean
MS Security Essentials - File is clean
NANO Antivirus - File is clean
Norman - File is clean
Norton Antivirus - File is clean
Panda CommandLine - File is clean
Panda Security - File is clean
Quick Heal Antivirus - File is clean
Solo Antivirus - File is clean
Sophos - File is clean
SUPERAntiSpyware - File is clean
Trend Micro Internet Security - File is clean
Twister Antivirus - File is clean
VBA32 Antivirus - File is clean
VIPRE - File is clean
Zoner AntiVirus - File is clean

Scan Result:

<https://scan.majyx.net/scans/result/6ee85cb4ebdabcecbf4d974c617e6b2252228643>
[Scan by MaJyx Scanner](#)

eCoLoGy - May 9, 2016, 7:34 am

Always FUD!

Great crypter as always from Wardow! ?

mzero40 - May 9, 2016, 1:32 pm

can bypass avg in deep scan after bypass run time

can bypass node memory scan

can bypass norton 360 in runtime

this feature is most important to me

look very good crypter good job but really wait your answer

good luck

eCoLoGy - May 9, 2016, 2:10 pm

(May 9, 2016 01:32 PM)mzero40 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) can bypass avg in deep scan after bypass run time

can bypass node memory scan

can bypass norton 360 in runtime

this feature is most important to me

look very good crypter good job but really wait your answer

good luck

Deepscreen is avast, not avg.

StanleyK - May 10, 2016, 9:12 am

Wardow check your skype for a request since autobuy isnt working

Wardow - May 10, 2016, 12:59 pm

(May 10, 2016 09:12 AM)StanleyK Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Wardow check your skype for a request since autobuy isnt working

Just got up, gonna respond to all messages very soon, making daily checking for bugs and fix them!

Mitlar - May 11, 2016, 4:52 pm

Might purchase this how long is the fud time and how long does it take to get refuted?

Wardow - May 11, 2016, 4:55 pm

(May 11, 2016 04:52 PM)lmfao605914 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Might purchase this how long is the fud time and how long does it take to get refuted?

PM for a trial

Purple Kief - May 12, 2016, 5:39 am

(May 3, 2016 04:02 AM)NKMZ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) LuminosityLink SettingSpoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zJITq_z8Bgs

Nanocore SettingSpoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ttsJePzB8rM>

Quasar SettingSpoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W3SnIXAkWM>

Babylon SettingSpoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pjHlnrNE9-Y>

Njrat SettingSpoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ykGEF4lcKvc>

Darkomet SettingSpoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o44ir-_wJKA

EnjoyNow this is a HQ comment. Bringing it back for people that missed it.
Bosonlight is a great product guys, don't hesitate on buying.

Bad Boy - May 12, 2016, 12:40 pm

Good crypter. I just Post to come back later ;)

Wardow - May 14, 2016, 10:49 am

Hello, thank you all for the comments,

Keeping you aware of what's comming with progress of next update,
The PE Loader is nearly done, things that needs to be done are compatiblity features with security cookies/etc.. and other small bug fixes that I still don't know reason of.
Gonna implement anti-reverse engineering features as I get time, I recently updated in a rush the permanent patch for Eset, Avast, Kaspersky and other's shitty antiviruses, Left to make permanent patch for is AVG and VBA32, currently Zoner-Antivirus detection is present(It's not code), a new Autolt version change will happen surely to fix that, or I need to setup a Linux virtual machine to run the AV(Because yes, Windows download link is dead on their official site, and no other trusted source references it) and patch that detection by instruction patching, might just report for false-positive since it's detection on every files compiled with latest autoit version.
So here we go with current crypter situation, current runtime detections are, AVG mainly (the AV that's tagging the junk codes patterns, to solve so, you need to re-crypt many times sometimes it will throw it off with the USG, in most cases I need to update, this happens one time every month most

likely, currently the only AV that's pissing off due to their unpacking engine being working unlike Eset and the rest, afaik it's emulation of autoit interpreter, gonna change the system out, almost done making a full schema/map (in IDA) of the AutoIt latest interpreter), I'm also working as a side project on a code mutation engine for pure native code, in development, don't expect that anytime soon, learning the subject as we speak, just about getting some experience about what AVs do detect and what they do not, for now main factors are IAT, all headers, flow graphs of code, and strings/constants, second point is heuristics which defines if an executable is proper and following the industry standards and isn't matching some already known malware pattern, the code mutation engine will itself have anti-emulation by default meaning the code itself will be too complex for the emulator to handle, splitted loops, etc., method for generating heur correct IAT is currently indexing functions, i.e: scrap MSDN for related functions and their logical order and index that inside of the program, all functions ever indexed will be present since Windows XP, and if ever it's on higher version depending on people's business you will get an alert, after this massive update expected to come following the next months, expect this update coming in next November/December, this is not official date I don't have any obligations on releasing at such date, things can happen meanwhile, if ever the project gets paused for personal reasons, it's planned, and the project will get paused avoiding anyone feeling scammed, i.e, stopping sales 1 month before planned pause date, the plan is that if the project gets paused, it's either your license gets frozen and resumed later, OR you get partial refunded with ratio of used days and the price per day rate you paid for, depends of what fits you best, in all cases this will come back.

Best Regards and hope everything is well, please do report issues here, on skype, whatever just tell what's wrong, so I can apply a fix.

extrimboy - May 16, 2016, 10:37 am

Big vouch for Wardow,

Bosonlight works awesome!!

Always updating to bypass all the new AV configurations, has a lot of experience,

I have been using it for 1 month and will happily continue buying. Thank you wardow for your work!!

drewkasp - May 16, 2016, 11:22 am

Looks good, and fud as well.

Wardow - May 16, 2016, 11:24 am

Forgot to notice that I have to buy new hardware soon, my computer is getting slow with what I'm doing, I definitely need a solid state drive with at least 500-600mb/s transfer rate.

Work might be slow, getting on my nerves, getting stressed, etc, avoid being a dick with support and complain fud stub size, single files fud times, for the price, everything is well, code is stable, no crashes, very high execution rate aswell.

You know I will do something, just wait as time goes, might need to change your budget aswell.

Dc0d3b0y - May 17, 2016, 11:43 am

Is this crypter still good to go? .. looking for a good crypter with good runtime scan bypass. most of the crypters i have bought so far just FUD with scantime .. but what is the need with it only bypassing scan time and not runtime .. which will allow the exe to work ..

eCoLoGy - May 17, 2016, 12:10 pm

(May 17, 2016 11:43 AM)Dc0d3b0y Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Is this crypter still good to go? .. looking for a good crypter with good runtime scan bypass. most of the crypters i have bought so far just FUD with scantime .. but what is the need with it only bypassing scan time and not runtime .. which will allow the exe to work ..

True!

Go with Boson mate. You're in good hands with it. ?

Wardow - May 17, 2016, 8:44 pm

Updated the AutoCheck, for all those who wishes to purchase and have demo version/trial, PM me with BTC proof of funds, here is how to proceed,

Write down your Bitcoin Address

Sign my hackforums profile with your Bitcoin Wallet (Google: How to sign a message with <insert your wallet software/website here>)

Provide me with signed message and bitcoin address by private message, your bitcoin address should have minimum package price + 15% to handle possible BTC price variation.

Current runtime detected:

AVG(Will be fixed by PE Loader update), Kaspersky(Only startup is detected, is going to get fixed, today/toomorrow), Norton(Only startup is detected, probably is getting fixed by Kaspersky change), 360 Total Antivirus(Only startup is detected, and always will be, I'm not playing cat and mouse, get a proper bot)

Startup option will be kept in the future but no longer updated against runtime detections.

Recurrent detections, the ones that comes and I need to fix:

AVG(Comes because runtime detected, will be patched with PE Loader, and an autoit interpreter mod will throw it off too), Panda Antivirus(Cloud engine detects stub, not a real concern it only comes once per few weeks, but Panda Cloud will get looked into when the time comes)

For settings follow videos, crypter does support most if not all of the native and .NET files, it supports EOF(Startup will corrupt it in it's next update after file is dropped, just don't use startup on crypter),

Fully works with LuminosityLink Startup/Rootkit/Admin Request

Execution rate is maximum, current layout is C Shellcode as for the core, Autoit wrapper wraps the C shellcode, at each crypt that autoit script is highly obfuscated/scrambled and shellcode runner is mutated, script is patternable, but I am working as you can read above, and those changes will avoid distributions to antiviruses by their methods (If runtime detected they submit a request for scantime tagging, they share samples between them, VirusTotal is a source too, not a big concern, will mostly just stop singular files)

Stub size, varies highly, between 1mb and 2mb, that's because of the autoit wrapper, I will find somewhere else to hide shellcode in later on.

Studies comes in July(Crypter will be paused if a not good enough engine is developed at that time to keep it clean for that month) for one month then I'm free in august, september, if all goes well november studies starts again will see if this project will continue (If I have time or not)

Zoner Antivirus has detected the whole autoit latest interpreter, it's not a major antivirus at all, software isnt even available for Windows on their Official website and rest of internet(One of the reasons it's not fixed, otherwise it would've already been).

If you buy now and start being a fidel customer you'll end up with discounts when price rises.

Best Regards

FranklinJ - May 18, 2016, 12:36 pm

Bought the licence , pmed you with the information.

drewkasp - May 19, 2016, 6:38 am

(May 17, 2016 08:44 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Updated the AutoCheck, for all those who wishes to purchase and have demo version/trial, PM me with BTC proof of funds, here is how to proceed,

Write down your Bitcoin Address

Sign my hackforums profile with your Bitcoin Wallet (Google: How to sign a message with <insert your wallet software/website here>)

Provide me with signed message and bitcoin address by private message, your bitcoin address should have minimum package price + 15% to handle possible BTC price variation.

Current runtime detected:

AVG(Will be fixed by PE Loader update), Kaspersky(Only startup is detected, is going to get fixed, today/toomorrow), Norton(Only startup is detected, probably is getting fixed by Kaspersky change), 360 Total Antivirus(Only startup is detected, and always will be, I'm not playing cat and mouse, get a proper bot)

Startup option will be kept in the future but no longer updated against runtime detections.

Recurrent detections, the ones that comes and I need to fix:

AVG(Comes because runtime detected, will be patched with PE Loader, and an autoit interpreter mod will throw it off too), Panda Antivirus(Cloud engine detects stub, not a real concern it only comes once per few weeks, but Panda Cloud will get looked into when the time comes)

For settings follow videos, crypter does support most if not all of the native and .NET files, it supports EOF(Startup will corrupt it in it's next update after file is dropped, just don't use startup on crypter),

Fully works with LuminosityLink Startup/Rootkit/Admin Request

Execution rate is maximum, current layout is C Shellcode as for the core, Autoit wrapper wraps the C shellcode, at each crypt that autoit script is highly obfuscated/scrambled and shellcode runner is mutated, script is patternable, but I am working as you can read above, and those changes will avoid distributions to antiviruses by their methods (If runtime detected they submit a request for scantime tagging, they share samples between them, VirusTotal is a source too, not a big concern, will mostly just stop singular files)

Stub size, varies highly, between 1mb and 2mb, that's because of the autoit wrapper, I will find somewhere else to hide shellcode in later on.

Studies comes in July(Crypter will be paused if a not good enough engine is developed at that time to keep it clean for that month) for one month then I'm free in august, september, if all goes well november studies starts again will see if this project will continue (If I have time or not)

Zoner Antivirus has detected the whole autoit latest interpreter, it's not a major antivirus at all, software isnt even available for Windows on their Official website and rest of internet(One of the reasons it's not fixed, otherwise it would've already been).

If you buy now and start being a fidel customer you'll end up with discounts when price rises.

Best Regards

Waiting for the update..hope it comes asap.

Mitlar - May 20, 2016, 8:16 pm

Preety sure this needs to get refuted asap 12 detections?

Wardow - May 20, 2016, 10:28 pm

(May 20, 2016 08:16 PM)Imfao605914 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Preety sure this needs to get refuded asap 12 detections?

The autocheck is only one single file, its just not updated

Minun - May 22, 2016, 1:46 am

Great crypter, the stub is a bit heavy but it's still good.

Vouch

Wardow - May 22, 2016, 10:08 pm

Hello!

PE Loader and new startup method has been added to the crypter, the PE Loader is in beta stage and might not work with all files on every operating systems, at least Netwire appears to work very fine from XP to Windows 10.

This new execution method means that BosonLight should be now 100% Runtime FUD(Without using Startup), I will be making all the required Videos for that in the near future, I have also made changes in the way I fight scantime detections and removed every of the heavy obfuscation I was doing, I changed that to encrypting the shellcode and adding a self decryptor, means that the shellcode will be encrypted differently on every crypt, but yet the AutoIt script will not have any code related to decryption, due to that the stub size has been reduced by quite a lot.

I hope that you enjoy the update and please do report me any issues you encounter, here, by PM, or with the support contacts provided.

0x0F0C - May 23, 2016, 12:18 am

(May 22, 2016 10:08 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hello!

PE Loader and new startup method has been added to the crypter, the PE Loader is in beta stage and might not work with all files on every operating systems, at least Netwire appears to work very fine from XP to Windows 10.

This new execution method means that BosonLight should be now 100% Runtime FUD(Without using Startup), I will be making all the required Videos for that in the near future, I have also made changes in the way I fight scantime detections and removed every of the heavy obfuscation I was doing, I changed that to encrypting the shellcode and adding a self decryptor, means that the shellcode will be encrypted differently on every crypt, but yet the AutoIt script will not have any code related to decryption, due to that the stub size has been reduced by quite a lot.

I hope that you enjoy the update and please do report me any issues you encounter, here, by PM, or with the support contacts provided. By PE Loader you mean load pe without new process ?

Wardow - May 23, 2016, 2:39 am

(May 23, 2016 12:18 AM)jvdz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) By PE Loader you mean load pe without new process ?

By that I mean something alike as Windows uses to load your applications, and yes without a new process

0x0F0C - May 23, 2016, 3:16 am

(May 23, 2016 02:39 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) By that I mean something alike as Windows uses to load your applications, and yes without a new processtry it with darkcomet

Wardow - May 23, 2016, 4:29 am

(May 23, 2016 03:16 AM)jvdz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) try it with darkcomet

Yes it does work with DarkComet, but not on Windows XP apparently, it exits itself on here, but on Windows 7 and Windows 10, and I believe all of the others exept XP, it works fine!

Xenderquito - May 23, 2016, 4:40 am

Thanks for the updates Wardow,

I was wondering when exactly do I need to tag at these options: RunPE/PE Loader when using .net programs like LL with the startup being in LL.

Wardow - May 23, 2016, 4:49 am

(May 23, 2016 04:40 AM)Xenderquito Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thanks for the updates Wardow,

I was wondering when exactly do I need to tag at these options: RunPE/PE Loader when using .net programs like LL with the startup being in LL.
oh my god

0x0F0C - May 23, 2016, 4:50 am

(May 23, 2016 04:29 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes it does work with DarkComet, but not on Windows XP apparently, it exits itself on here, but on Windows 7 and Windows 10, and I believe all of the others except XP, it works fine!
so it should support TLS ? ?

Wardow - May 23, 2016, 4:54 am

(May 23, 2016 04:50 AM)jvdz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) so it should support TLS ? ?
Reading it ?

<https://i.imgur.com/GWmisPU.png>

Might be the reason it does not work on Windows XP

0x0F0C - May 23, 2016, 4:56 am

(May 23, 2016 04:54 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Reading it ?

Might be the reason it does not work on Windows XPyeap ? ?

Wardow - May 23, 2016, 4:58 am

(May 23, 2016 04:56 AM)jvdz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) yeap ? ?
Did you use CreateThread to solve it? (your last post) ?

0x0F0C - May 23, 2016, 5:15 am

(May 23, 2016 04:58 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Did you use CreateThread to solve it? (your last post) ?yeap , it solved by CreateThread in win7+ but in xp its have some bugs (close itself some times and other times show "Program not working" then works)

Wardow - May 23, 2016, 5:26 am

(May 23, 2016 05:15 AM)jvdz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) yeap , it solved by CreateThread in win7+ but in xp its have some bugs (close itself some times and other times show "Program not working" then works)
Looking at the Blackbone source right now, it's containing a lot of precious informations, also looking at ReactOS source code, as for myself, I don't use CreateThread, but just a jmp to the entrypoint.

0x0F0C - May 23, 2016, 5:46 am

(May 23, 2016 05:26 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Looking at the Blackbone source right now, it's containing a lot of precious informations, also looking at ReactOS source code, as for myself, I don't use CreateThread, but just a jmp to the entrypoint.mmmmm for me, when jmp to entrypoint directly

its crashes at TLS

Wardow - May 23, 2016, 7:46 am

(May 23, 2016 05:46 AM)jvdz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) mmmmm for me, when jmp to entrypoint directly its crashes at TLS

Those TLS callbacks seems to just be a nightmare to handle, many tutorials says so, and they all say to pre-add a dummy TLS Directory to the packed executable, and in my case I cannot permit to do this unless I don't use Autolt anymore, so I'm just gonna not support them for now.

If you're interested I looked at this:

<http://coder.pub/2014/09/pe-file-packer-step-by-step-step-6-tls/>

<https://svn.reactos.org/svn/reactos/trunk/reactos/dll/ntdll/ldr/ldrinit.c?revision=69259&view=markup>

<https://github.com/DarthTon/Blackbone/blob/master/src/BlackBone/ManualMap>

0x0F0C - May 23, 2016, 7:54 am

(May 23, 2016 07:46 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Those TLS callbacks seems to just be a nightmare to handle, many tutorials says so, and they all say to pre-add a dummy TLS Directory to the packed executable, and in my case I cannot permit to do this unless I don't use Autolt anymore, so I'm just gonna not support them for now.i seen these before .

thnx bro and good luck with your sells

Wardow - May 23, 2016, 8:01 am

(May 23, 2016 07:54 AM)jvdz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) i seen these before .

thnx bro and good luck with your sells

Thank you, I'll take a look at some other day, when I find the bore for it.

titoum76 - May 23, 2016, 8:11 pm

One of the best crypter for runtime rate. However when you crypt an Ozone Server, the "Password recovery" option didn't work.

For LL all is ok.

yeswecandoit22331 - May 24, 2016, 6:12 am

(May 23, 2016 08:11 PM)titoum76 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) One of the best crypter for runtime rate. However when you crypt an Ozone Server, the "Password recovery" option didn't work.

For LL all is ok.

Yes, this crypter is really good on runtime (and scantime of course)

i have tested runtime bypass with kaspersky at the moment and.....??

Really really good crypter

Thanks Wardow

Wardow - May 24, 2016, 7:10 am

(May 23, 2016 08:11 PM)titoum76 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) One of the best crypter for runtime rate. However when you crypt an Ozone Server, the "Password recovery" option didn't work.

For LL all is ok.

Hello,

Concerning this issue I advice you to contact the Ozone's support about it

Thank you

(May 24, 2016 06:12 AM)yeswecandoit22331 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes, this crypter is really good on runtime (and scantime of course)

i have tested runtime bypass with kaspersky at the moment and.....??

Really really good crypter

Thanks Wardow

Yes! I have updated the startup method and Kaspersky is now fully bypassed even with Startup, I am currently along with DJPunisher making the videos showing a lot of antiviruses being bypassed, and that includes AVG and more!

richard205 - May 24, 2016, 3:01 pm

please i wanna buy this crypter i have write you a pm severally buh no reply

Wardow - May 24, 2016, 3:20 pm

My skype has been updated on the thread until I solve the issues with the current one, if you were a customer, someone looking to purchase or anyone that wanted to have me on skype, add this new one.

richard205 - May 24, 2016, 3:44 pm

i just added you on skype now buh you not accept yet
and i also sent you a pm

markworld - May 25, 2016, 5:35 am

How do i make payment for this boson crypter as no autobuy link is displayed

D?ceived - May 25, 2016, 5:38 am

Looks like a nice product good luck ?

Wardow - May 25, 2016, 11:28 pm

Thanks for the sticky,

Issues with my skype are resolved and I changed it back on the thread!

G0dl1k3 - May 27, 2016, 1:05 pm

what about AVG and ESET runtime bypass? - i am interested in lifetime version if possible :)

Wardow - May 27, 2016, 1:17 pm

(May 27, 2016 01:05 PM)G0dl1k3 Wrote: [CITE](#) what about AVG and ESET runtime bypass? - i am interested in lifetime version if possible :)

avg(PE Loader, does not work with files having TLS Directory, or using the Microsoft C Runtime)
and eset are bypassed runtime and there is no lifetime, only 1 month

wilgary43 - May 27, 2016, 2:09 pm

Do you still sell this crypter? i messaged you twice asking for details to buy through btc. Got no response from you.

huongviet - May 30, 2016, 2:38 am

I sent you a PM bro please check

This works on BB?

And what is the crypted file stub?

drewkasp - May 30, 2016, 9:24 am

Nice crypter, more tweaks here and there will make it perfect..hope to see it stand the test of time.

Plexcoo - May 31, 2016, 3:18 pm

Does this crypter support LuminosityLink startup?

xRaiders - May 31, 2016, 5:07 pm

(May 31, 2016 03:18 PM)Plexcoo Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Does this crypter support LuminosityLink startup?
yes you can use the startup from LL

eternal101 - June 1, 2016, 4:16 am

Do you still sell this crypter. I sent u a PM and also messaged u on Skype to renew my license but I have gotten no reply from you.

drewkasp - June 2, 2016, 3:07 am

We need you Wardow..Where you @?

Wardow - June 2, 2016, 3:17 pm

Hello!

I just added a new obfuscation method!

I am not accepting sales until August, and I will be away in July, so don't expect updates in that month, the time lost will be added back to those who ask me when I am back in August.

Best Regards

eternal101 - June 3, 2016, 3:27 am

(Jun 2, 2016 03:17 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hello!

I just added a new obfuscation method!

I am not accepting sales until August, and I will be away in July, so don't expect updates in that month, the time lost will be added back to those who ask me when I am back in August.

Best Regards

U re not accepting sales till August, is that for new customers or does that mean old customers cannot renew their license too?

Wardow - June 3, 2016, 3:28 am

(Jun 3, 2016 03:27 AM)eternal101 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) U re not accepting sales till August, is that for new customers or does that mean old customers cannot renew their license too?

everyone, I wont be here to update so seriously what is the point to purchase if this aint gonna be FUD?

QU?CKSILVER - June 3, 2016, 2:09 pm

Hey there crypter-seekers and customers, this is what you will get if you buy BosonLight ?

Here are some of the bypass videos as promised! ? Will record and upload more videos bypassing MORE antiviruses in a few hours.

BosonLight vs AVG Internet Security 2016

[Video: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=n7V2dR-zGWU>]

Unfortunately, I'm limited to 1 video per post. Click on these hyperlinks below and watch the other ones ?

[BosonLight vs ESET Smart Security 9](#)

[BosonLight vs MalwareBytes Anti-Malware \[Premium\]](#)

Avast Premier, Kaspersky Internet Security, BitDefender Total Security and 360 Antivirus coming soon!

nemosky - June 5, 2016, 5:18 am

(Jun 3, 2016 02:09 PM)DJPunisher Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hey there crypter-seekers and customers, this is what you will get if you buy BosonLight ?

Here are some of the bypass videos as promised! ? Will record and upload more videos bypassing MORE antiviruses in a few hours.

BosonLight vs AVG Internet Security 2016

[Video: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=n7V2dR-zGWU>]

Unfortunately, I'm limited to 1 video per post. Click on these hyperlinks below and watch the other ones ?

[BosonLight vs ESET Smart Security 9](#)

[BosonLight vs MalwareBytes Anti-Malware \[Premium\]](#)

Avast Premier, Kaspersky Internet Security, BitDefender Total Security and 360 Antivirus coming soon!

OP just posted that he wont be around to update the crypter till august and you are posting videos of the same crypting bypassing AV..Whats the essence of this videos??

Wardow - June 5, 2016, 5:27 am

(Jun 5, 2016 05:18 AM)nemosky Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) OP just posted that he wont be around to update the crypter till august and you are posting videos of the same crypting bypassing AV..Whats the essence of this videos??

I'm arround here until end of june

nemosky - June 5, 2016, 5:30 am

ok wardow..i want to buy your crypter cos am having seriuous issue with another crypter i bought of late (cant mention name)..Please can you come on skype lets talk Skype ID : nemosky10

Plexcoo - June 5, 2016, 9:49 am

(Jun 5, 2016 05:27 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm arround here until end of june
And after that you will be gone for a whole month correct?

Wardow - June 5, 2016, 10:31 am

(Jun 5, 2016 09:49 AM)Plexcoo Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) And after that you will be gone for a whole month correct?

That's correct

(Jun 5, 2016 05:30 AM)nemosky Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) ok wardow..i want to buy your crypter cos am having seriuous issue with another crypter i bought of late (cant mention name)..Please can you come on skype lets talk Skype ID : nemosky10

I do not sell until August

QU?CKSILVER - June 6, 2016, 6:07 am

(Jun 5, 2016 05:18 AM)nemosky Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) OP just posted that he wont be around to update the crypter till august and you are posting videos of the same crypting bypassing AV..Whats the essence of this videos??

The fact that Wardow is going to be away for a month, does not mean BosonLight is gone forever.

This project won't be discontinued or abandoned for now. I'm posting the bypass videos because I want to show people what this crypter is capable of doing. All these videos were done for the sole purpose of telling people the TRUTH about this product...

More Bypass videos for BosonLight ?

BosonLight vs Norton Security Premium (Bypassing SONAR)

[Video: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ROMWfL3K4zI>]

[BosonLight vs 360 Total Security](#)

[BosonLight vs Avast Premier](#)

[BosonLight vs Avira Free Antivirus](#)

[BosonLight vs Kaspersky Total Security on Trusted Applications MODE!](#)

[BosonLight vs McAfee LiveSafe Internet Security](#)

To be honest, BosonLight is rocking right now. You will not find crypters like this so easily on the marketplace. Don't hesitate to buy whenever the sales are open again.

Thank you Wardow ?

Wardow - June 6, 2016, 9:34 pm

Hello guys and womens!

Thanks a bunch to DJPunisher for the videos, and I advice you congratulate him for getting gifted the L33T Upgrade ?!

I've just applied a new update adding compression for the payload and binded file!

I've also applied few fixes to the PE Loader adding compatibility for few more WinAPI Functions!

Enjoy ?

DeluxeSoftware - June 6, 2016, 10:17 pm

@DJPunisher

Congratulations!^^

@Wardow

Good job for all those runtime bypasses ?

Wardow - June 7, 2016, 9:29 pm

Hello guys and womens!

I've just updated the crypter with a 20kb native C stub (No icon, version info, manifest)!

The shellcode is encrypted with a different key on each crypt which makes the stubs different from about 90%!

Enjoy ?

baazouzia411 - June 9, 2016, 4:01 am

Hello, Can this tool bind the crypt file into Image (jpg)?

Wardow - June 9, 2016, 4:03 am

(Jun 9, 2016 04:01 AM)baazouzia411 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hello, Can this tool bind the crypt file into Image (jpg)?

Refer to this post,

(Jun 8, 2016 11:14 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) JPG, PDF, and DOC files are opened by their appropriate openers defined in the user settings on Windows, executable file types, pif, scr, com, bat and exe are executed directly, it's not possible to execute an executable using the .jpg extension unless you had access to the PC before and modified the registry or have an code execution exploit to the opener the user configured on his computer, as for Windows SmartScreen you need to purchase a code signing certificate that costs \$200+/-Year and is very likely to be revoked in less then few weeks if you use it maliciously aswell as you'll get police at your door as they will require you to provide a valid address(they verify it by sending you a paper which tells you what to do next, its either that or they require gov papers about it, and they have strong verifications on it so don't try faking them) and identity papers.

President Morty - June 9, 2016, 2:14 pm

Is this compatible with Orcus?

Wardow - June 9, 2016, 2:28 pm

(Jun 9, 2016 02:14 PM)L_USER Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Is this compatible with Orcus?

It's compatible with .NET 2.0, .NET 3.5 and .NET 4.0 files without using external processes (itself/PE Loader, no new process), if Orcus is obfuscated and has invalid metadata or methods it would not be compatible, if it's a normalized .NET file, it is compatible

President Morty - June 9, 2016, 2:39 pm

(Jun 9, 2016 02:28 PM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's compatible with .NET 2.0, .NET 3.5 and .NET 4.0 files without using external processes (itself/PE Loader, no new process), if Orcus is obfuscated and has invalid metadata or methods it would not be compatible, if it's a normalized .NET file, it is compatible

Thanks for the fast response ? Dedication.

SleepyTyme - June 11, 2016, 5:20 am

Vouch for my man Wardow! Very nice product

Wardow - June 11, 2016, 10:28 am

(Jun 11, 2016 05:20 AM)SleepyTyme Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Vouch for my man Wardow! Very nice product
Wish you to enjoy that free license to the very last day, I've been looking at the crypter statistics and the stub is looking to stay a little longer FUD then before, I've updated the storage method to something more heur correct and currently looking at increasing the file trust score to get better trust at AVG Identity Protection and alike engines.

Mormons - June 12, 2016, 1:27 am

(Jun 11, 2016 10:28 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Wish you to enjoy that free license to the very last day, I've been looking at the crypter statistics and the stub is looking to stay a little longer FUD then before, I've updated the storage method to something more heur correct and currently looking at increasing the file trust score to get better trust at AVG Identity Protection and alike engines.
Working hard to satisfy his customers, keep it up man.

Armitage - June 12, 2016, 2:06 am

(Jun 11, 2016 10:28 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Wish you to enjoy that free license to the very last day, I've been looking at the crypter statistics and the stub is looking to stay a little longer FUD then before, I've updated the storage method to something more heur correct and currently looking at increasing the file trust score to get better trust at AVG Identity Protection and alike engines. Good luck with your crypter, i think you are the only one here who owns a native crypter and says the truth about runtime detections, keep it up ?
If you need something feel free to pm me :)

Twixles - June 13, 2016, 2:01 am

Man I'm going to miss this crypter next month. Don't know what I'd do without Bosonlight :c

Wardow - June 13, 2016, 3:10 am

(Jun 12, 2016 02:06 AM)Armitage Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Good luck with your crypter, i think you are the only one here who owns a native crypter and says the truth about runtime detections, keep it up ?
If you need something feel free to pm me :)

(Jun 13, 2016 02:01 AM)Twixles Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Man I'm going to miss this crypter next month. Don't know what I'd do without Bosonlight :c

(Jun 12, 2016 01:27 AM)Pontius Pilate Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Working hard to satisfy his customers, keep it up man.

Thank you all for the comments!!

I'm currently trying out rotating between stubs and I've made few of the tools I had for Autolt working for C, you should expect some better results in the next days, if anyone is spreading at a massive rate you will be forced to purchase(In august) a private stub to avoid decreasing the public stub fud time!

neger20 - June 13, 2016, 3:30 am

(Jun 13, 2016 03:10 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thank you all for the comments!!

I'm currently trying out rotating between stubs and I've made few of the tools I had for Autolt working for C, you should expect some better results in the next days, if anyone is spreading at a massive rate you will be forced to purchase(In august) a private stub to avoid decreasing the public stub fud time!It is a good idea, i dont want to suck for mass spreaders because i spread low rate, but stub is detected fast, the best solution just ban the mass spreaders and they need to buy a private stub ?

Mormons - June 13, 2016, 12:39 pm

Private stub will be cool waiting till August for that...

ronkelly1 - June 14, 2016, 2:08 am

bro, why dont you answer on skype ??

Born2Kill - June 14, 2016, 2:36 am

Contacted on Skype, no reply. waitin' to you.

Twixles - June 14, 2016, 2:37 am

(Jun 13, 2016 03:10 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thank you all for the comments!!

I'm currently trying out rotating between stubs and I've made few of the tools I had for Autolt working for C, you should expect some better results in the next days, if anyone is spreading at a massive rate you will be forced to purchase(In august) a private stub to avoid decreasing the public stub fud time!

Got a price for this private stub?

Wardow - June 14, 2016, 5:14 am

(Jun 13, 2016 12:39 PM)Pontius Pilate Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Private stub will be cool waiting till August for that...Indeed it is, multiple languages can be used aswell!

(Jun 14, 2016 02:08 AM)ronkelly1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) bro, why dont you answer on skype ?? (Jun 14, 2016 02:36 AM)Born2Kill Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Contacted on Skype, no reply. waitin' to you.
Crypter is not for sale until August, please keep yourself updated.

(Jun 14, 2016 02:37 AM)Twixles Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Got a price for this private stub?
Wait until August for that, not too planned as for pricing right now.