

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [What makes Luminosity to be such a stable rat?](#)

garypart101 - December 2, 2015, 7:21 pm

I have been an avid user of Nanocore for quite awhile and just recently discovered Luminosity on the radar. After recently getting adjusted to it, I have got to say its a really stable rat. After recently putting it to the test all my clients came back online safely upon login. My Indian call centers came 10 seconds late apart, but thats common because the Internet in over there is slow. Anyways what makes it such a good rat?

nabil779 - December 2, 2015, 7:27 pm

(Dec 2, 2015 08:21 PM)garypart101 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have been an avid user of Nanocore for quite awhile and just recently discovered Luminosity on the radar. After recently getting adjusted to it, I have got to say its a really stable rat. After recently putting it to the test all my clients came back online safely upon login. My Indian call centers came 10 seconds late apart, but thats common because the Internet in over there is slow. Anyways what makes it such a good rat?

bit overated but a good product i guess. probably stable because once apou a time it didnt even show you the online time what your client was doing etc..

Illuminati? - December 2, 2015, 7:29 pm

I heard the FBI are very good programmers.

garypart101 - December 2, 2015, 7:29 pm

(Dec 2, 2015 08:27 PM)nabil779 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) bit overated but a good product i guess. probably stable because once apou a time it didnt even show you the online time what your client was doing etc.. Well it sure as hell beats Nanocore. I lost 3/4 of my Indian call centers on Nanocore I do not understand your last sentence.

Yellowdock - December 2, 2015, 7:53 pm

(Dec 2, 2015 08:29 PM)Illuminati? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I heard the FBI are very good programmers.
/thread

Root - December 2, 2015, 10:39 pm

I personally do not like LL. Never liked it I liked Plasma more xD

goldpieces - December 2, 2015, 10:50 pm

i am personally using LL , i have also tried others but LL is a lot stable and never disappointed me yet :)

I will say its the best RAT out there

ToeCrusher - December 3, 2015, 12:18 am

the ability of the coder tbh

Incendiary. - December 3, 2015, 3:29 am

Features and the support.

Luminosity has the best support of any RAT on hackforums.

Armada - December 3, 2015, 4:05 am

(Dec 2, 2015 08:29 PM)Illuminati? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I heard the FBI are very good programmers.

Made me lol. KFC is no fed.

What makes the client and server so stable is the fact as complex as the code is, its designed to keep its functionality in its own modules. (Code level)

Programming anything especially after revisions gets complex. KFC has kept the run environment very clean in this aspect. as his back end code should be considered the same.

Xeri - December 3, 2015, 4:25 am

(Dec 2, 2015 11:39 PM)Cyber Army Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I personally do not like LL. Never liked it I liked Plasma more xD

Plasma rat was quite a mess tho ...
and the Miner was bugged

6e756c6c - December 3, 2015, 6:56 am

There is a ton of disinformation here, the code isn't more stable because it's in different modules and it's not more stable than other tools necessarily because of skill. The reason LL is more likely to retain clients is because of the system hooks it employs that hide it from the file system, startup, and task manager (as I understand it). Obviously this is going to prevent users from removing it in most cases unless they really know what they are doing; that is, for all intensive purposes, the sole reason it appears to be more stable. I'm not sure stable would be the correct term either, it's more so a consistency or persistence issue with other tools.

Edit:

Also, the anti-virus disabler really helps it keep clients too; but that's at huge risk to the user base.

JaGLY - December 3, 2015, 7:12 am

I am not a big fan of it myself although i do recommend it. As many people already mentioned is that it is stable due the code it has; So definitely a coder that knows how he's software rolls. ?

Armada - December 3, 2015, 7:25 am

(Dec 3, 2015 07:56 AM)Aeonhack Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There is a ton of disinformation here, the code isn't more stable because it's in different modules and it's not more stable than other tools necessarily because of skill. The reason LL is more likely to retain clients is because of the system hooks it employs that hide it from the file system, startup, and task manager (as I understand it). Obviously this is going to prevent users from removing it in most cases unless they really know what they are doing; that is, for all intensive purposes, the sole reason it appears to be more stable. I'm not sure stable would be the correct term either, it's more so a consistency or persistence issue with other tools.

Edit:

Also, the anti-virus disabler really helps it keep clients too; but that's at huge risk to the user base. But others employ similiar hooks to the system for binary retention and yet they fail?
My testing alone between the different binaries showed me LLs stability beyond others.

Luminosity handles requests more effectively than many other rats, nanocore included. When the user throws multiple requests to a client without waiting for the last requests reply, traditionally the binary either becomes unresponsive or simply terminates due to program exception.

Luminosity does not (usually). Be it whatever it might be, cleaner code, better error handling, whatever it is. The Binaries tend to remain longer and with less incident.

I agree with the AV killer statement, and avoid using such features myself.

Targaryen_ - December 3, 2015, 8:32 am

(Dec 3, 2015 08:25 AM)??mªĐa Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I agree with the AV killer statement, and avoid using such features myself.

Why? Isn't AV killer boss in keeping clients?

Armada - December 3, 2015, 8:47 am

(Dec 3, 2015 09:32 AM)Targaryen_ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why? Isn't AV killer boss in keeping clients?

It is a very useful feature at times but people tend to realize somethings up when their anti virus just suddenly stops functioning.

6e756c6c - December 3, 2015, 8:50 am

(Dec 3, 2015 08:25 AM)??mªĐa Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) But others employ similiar hooks to the system for binary retention and yet they fail?

My testing alone between the different binaries showed me LLs stability beyond others.

Luminosity handles requests more effectively than many other rats, nanocore included. When the user throws multiple requests to a client without waiting for the last requests reply, traditionally the binary either becomes unresponsive or simply terminates due to program exception.

Luminosity does not (usually). Be it whatever it might be, cleaner code, better error handling, whatever it is. The Binaries tend to remain longer and with less incident.

I agree with the AV killer statement, and avoid using such features myself.

The only other tool I know of that attempts to hook system functions is IM so there isn't really a huge pool to compare against. To say it handles requests more effectively is extremely subjective and really not a fair statement to make against or for any tools around here without being intimately familiar with the net code. Would you mind telling me the exact test you evaluated to come to this conclusion?

(Dec 3, 2015 08:25 AM)??mªĐa Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) When the user throws multiple requests to a client without waiting for the last requests reply, traditionally the binary either becomes unresponsive or simply terminates due to program exception.

Luminosity does not (usually).

What I take from this statement is that if you run two operations, say file manage and registry editor at the same time then most remote admin tools will crash or become unresponsive, correct? TCP is a stream based protocol and ALL tools on the market that use TCP receive packets in a stream, in order. So unless a tool implements multiple TCP connects (NC is the only one I am aware of that does this) or implements some kind of packet scheduling (I don't believe any tools around here do this) then all of them are going to handle the data in exactly the same way.

Armada - December 3, 2015, 9:06 am

When I speak of stability to the client from multiple requests. I was speaking on the user issuing a command request multiple times (Directory list for example) and assume the clients on a slower connection so reply is slower. If the user is impatient or thinks the request did not send, they reissue the command. The problem with stability comes in when the binary gets multiples like this.

Luminosity tends not to crash from testing, where others do. And this is after eliminating factors such as network connection or environmental variables. IMs latest update seems to be slightly more stable then the last v.3.

xchg - December 3, 2015, 12:06 pm

Being a user of all three, there isn't that huge of a difference imo (that is if you know what you are doing).

I find that most incidents of instability originate from user error, rather than program related ones.

As for Nanocore, I find it to be just as stable (if not even more stable) than most remote administration tools sold on HF.

I think you two are having a disagreement on the context of the word unstable.

garypart101 - December 3, 2015, 12:36 pm

(Dec 3, 2015 01:06 PM)Kygo Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Being a user of all three, there isn't that huge of a difference imo (that is if you know what you are doing).

I find that most incidents of instability originate from user error, rather than program related ones.

As for Nanocore, I find it to be just as stable (if not even more stable) than most remote administration tools sold on HF.

I think you two are having a disagreement on the context of the word unstable. Nope, on nanocore my Indian Call center client 60% of the time they never return back. Unlike LL they have always returned back

Root - December 3, 2015, 5:36 pm

(Dec 3, 2015 05:25 AM)Xeri Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Plasma rat was quite a mess tho ...
and the Miner was bugged
The only reason I hate LL is because the GUI. I like more darker programs.

3lectricWave - December 3, 2015, 5:50 pm

(Dec 3, 2015 06:36 PM)Cyber Army Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The only reason I hate LL is because the GUI. I like more darker programs.
this. wish LL had a sort of user-made theme system.

Targaryen_ - December 3, 2015, 8:30 pm

(Dec 3, 2015 06:36 PM)Cyber Army Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The only reason I hate LL is because the GUI. I like more darker programs.
I agree! LL's GUI is the worst ? But it's still the best RAT around?

IBotnet - October 20, 2018, 10:10 pm

Luminosity is the next Blackshades.

I'd be very careful using that net.

Voltaire - October 20, 2018, 10:43 pm

(Oct 20, 2018 10:10 PM)IBotnet Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Luminosity is the next Blackshades.

I'd be very careful using that net.
Another gravedig. Please stop now.

IBotnet - October 20, 2018, 10:46 pm

(Oct 20, 2018 10:43 PM)Voltaire Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Another gravedig. Please stop now.
If I want to grave dig, I will.

Go cry yourself to sleep.

Voltaire - October 20, 2018, 10:48 pm

(Oct 20, 2018 10:46 PM)IBotnet Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If I want to grave dig, I will.

Go cry yourself to sleep.

I really don't care if you gravedig, at least add some quality to it which will help other members.

hdhd - October 21, 2018, 2:02 am

(Oct 20, 2018 10:48 PM)Voltaire Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I really don't care if you gravedig, at least add some quality to it which will help other members.

It is bytesday, what do you expect,, you are using it well yourself :D :D :D

UpTheCrust - October 21, 2018, 6:16 am

(Oct 20, 2018 10:46 PM)IBotnet Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If I want to grave dig, I will.

Go cry yourself to sleep.

You're gonna end up with a warning for low quality posting