

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [How to null a NFO \[For the many people who fail\]](#)

spacelaser - January 20, 2016, 7:37 pm

This is a target that pretty much 87% of the forum fail to down.

1. You do NOT require a botnet.
2. You can null it with DNS and Chargen
3. You require atleast 90-170Gbps of any of those.
4. It's easily obtainable if 2-3 of your servers have good NICS (network cards).
5. Do not use a DNS Scanner, that just scans for ips, you must scan for resolvers

Or just null it with 20Gbps of raw

Servers On The Go - January 20, 2016, 7:48 pm

I can null it with public ass PMA exploit.

Doesn't take long to get enough for 25gbps of raw udp power

Finity - January 20, 2016, 7:48 pm

It takes usually 50 gigs of raw power to null premiums. It's beyond easy.

spacelaser - January 20, 2016, 7:52 pm

(Jan 20, 2016 08:48 PM)Finity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It takes usually 50 gigs of raw power to null premiums. It's beyond easy.

I can vouch for this, let alone 7-10Mpps of spoofed TCP destroys all of there locations, however there amp filtering is quite decent.

Dx Basics - January 20, 2016, 9:24 pm

I null them down with basically TCP their not hard.

Knowledgeable - January 20, 2016, 9:59 pm

(Jan 20, 2016 08:37 PM)spacelaser Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is a target that pretty much 87% of the forum fail to down.

1. You do NOT require a botnet.
2. You can null it with DNS and Chargen
3. You require atleast 90-170Gbps of any of those.
4. It's easily obtainable if 2-3 of your servers have good NICS (network cards).
5. Do not use a DNS Scanner, that just scans for ips, you must scan for resolvers

Or just null it with 20Gbps of raw

I've done 150G with dns, chargen, ntp and with ssdp when ssdp was still decent and wasn't too successful with nulls.

and what do you mean by if 2-3 servers have good NICS. if the server is doing 1Gbps out stable doesn't always mean it will get a full amp. it just means it has a stable/good NIC.

And can you elaborate anything on don't use a dns scanner.... a dns scanner is supposed to scan for resolvers.

kiwiirc - January 20, 2016, 10:10 pm

(Jan 20, 2016 10:59 PM)Knowledgeable Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I've done 150G with dns, chargen, ntp and with ssdp when ssdp was still decent and wasn't too successful with nulls.

and what do you mean by if 2-3 servers have good NICs. if the server is doing 1Gbps out stable doesn't always mean it will get a full amp. it just means it has a stable/good NIC.

And can you elaborate anything on don't use a dns scanner.... a dns scanner is supposed to scan for resolvers.

You're clearly not doing 150Gbps then, that amount of DNS power is a instant 5 second null from what i have tried. Where you measured your "150Gbs" Guessing a estimation?

NFO is generally pure garbage.

Knowledgeable - January 21, 2016, 1:04 am

(Jan 20, 2016 11:10 PM)kiwiirc Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You're clearly not doing 150Gbps then, that amount of DNS power is a instant 5 second null from what i have tried. Where you measured your "150Gbs" Guessing a estimation?

NFO is generally pure garbage.

no, if one server can do 50Gbps with one list, another same thing with different list, and 3rd, and a 4th, and a 5th, then combining them should be easy math.

even if all only did 20 or 30G, that'd be enough when combining by means of this thread

kiwiirc - January 21, 2016, 1:07 am

(Jan 21, 2016 02:04 AM)Knowledgeable Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) no, if one server can do 50Gbps with one list, another same thing with different list, and 3rd, and a 4th, and a 5th, then combining them should be easy math.

even if all only did 20 or 30G, that'd be enough when combining by means of this thread

Sorry to say, but your lists could have been poorly scanned, the scanning server you where using, likely filtered out DNS/NTP whilst you where scanning giving you low results. Or maybe the attack script you where using was unstable

At most you where likely hitting 70Gbps, i can confirm this as i just nulled a Chicago with 150Gbps DNS which i have measured

ProtectNull™ - January 21, 2016, 2:38 am

(Jan 21, 2016 02:07 AM)kiwiirc Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Sorry to say, but your lists could have been poorly scanned, the scanning server you where using, likely filtered out DNS/NTP whilst you where scanning giving you low results. Or maybe the attack script you where using was unstable

At most you where likely hitting 70Gbps, i can confirm this as i just nulled a Chicago with 150Gbps DNS which i have measured

How many servers are you using for 150Gbps output?

kiwiirc - January 21, 2016, 2:39 am

(Jan 21, 2016 03:38 AM)ProtectNull™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How many servers are you using for 150Gbps output?

4

Proxseas - January 21, 2016, 2:46 am

(Jan 21, 2016 03:39 AM)kiwiirc Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 4
Goodluck with that.

kiwiirc - January 21, 2016, 4:54 am

(Jan 21, 2016 03:46 AM)Proxseas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Goodluck with that.

Implying it's impossible?, hit VOX shows the exact result and broken a Timewarners 80Gbps SMTP server.

SigmaticLegend - *November 18, 2018, 2:08 pm*

RAW UDP on port 67 downs premium locations fairly easily. Don't use amp attacks, they just get filtered out.