

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Thread Sort Order](#)

Omniscient - February 20, 2016, 9:22 pm

I'm going to try an experiment. I've altered the default sort order for this section to be based on the thread creation date.

This should help sort the section in a more relative manner vs older threads bumped constantly and possibly hiding new problems.

Scymo - February 20, 2016, 9:22 pm

Excellent addition Omni.
I'll see how it works.

w?????illiam???? - February 20, 2016, 9:23 pm

That isn't a bad idea. I hope this is one of the first new features you mentioned. :)

Stealth™ - February 20, 2016, 9:23 pm

I feel like this will heavily benefit the section. Thanks, Jesse!

i a m g o n e - February 20, 2016, 9:23 pm

Scammed - (inserthowmuchhackforumscosts) by "my bb 1.7x" uuid=null

Seems like a nice implementation
edit: I figured it out

Zexo - February 20, 2016, 9:23 pm

Sounds interesting will see what I think about it the next few days.

Grim - February 20, 2016, 9:24 pm

(Feb 20, 2016 10:23 PM)tl:dr Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Scammed - (inserthowmuchhackforumscosts) by "my bb 1.7x" uuid=null

Nah but seriously, this is the first time im genuinely confused what this change does
it literally says it right in the thread. what dont you get?

(Feb 20, 2016 10:22 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) altered the default sort order for this section to be based on the thread creation date.

Arptastic - February 20, 2016, 9:25 pm

Great addition omni, pls notice me senpai

i a m g o n e - February 20, 2016, 9:25 pm

(Feb 20, 2016 10:24 PM)?Sith Lord Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) it literally says it right in the thread. what dont you get?

Im really sleepy, apologies

Grim - February 20, 2016, 9:27 pm

(Feb 20, 2016 10:25 PM)tl:dr Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Im really sleepy, apologiesall good. I think this will

definitely help with people who have been scammed recently and not getting any attention to there threads. Do you plan on implementing this in any other sections if this test goes well?

Raymond Reddington - February 20, 2016, 9:29 pm

So no more bump and expose?

Also, lets say a deal dispute isn't resolved for long, it stays that way without coming into people's attention unless they check the trust scan.

Griev?us - February 20, 2016, 9:38 pm

(Feb 20, 2016 10:29 PM)Raymond Reddington Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So no more bump and expose?

Also, lets say a deal dispute isn't resolved for long, it stays that way without coming into people's attention unless they check the trust scan.

Hmm, it can go both ways though.

Most disputes that aren't made by big people are usually just lost into the abyss.

But.. I see your point where those big reports won't be able to be seen by the normal viewer of that section unless they go to page, lets say.. 500.

Omniscient - February 21, 2016, 12:18 am

(Feb 20, 2016 10:29 PM)Raymond Reddington Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So no more bump and expose?

Also, lets say a deal dispute isn't resolved for long, it stays that way without coming into people's attention unless they check the trust scan.

A report is a report. The Deal Dispute Database should be used more often to locate the existing thread. Most replies to DD threads are pointless anyways.

grady - February 21, 2016, 12:20 am

When you realize there's a sort order...

(Feb 21, 2016 01:18 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) A report is a report. The Deal Dispute Database should be used more often to locate the existing thread. Most replies to DD threads are pointless anyways.

That's very true.

In another forum, their player reports section was only allowed posts by the author, the accused, and any staff members. That might be something that'd cut down a lot of unnecessary posts within this section.

That also takes a reason for most members to check this section, but it further enforces how this section and the deal dispute db should be used.

Verizur - February 22, 2016, 9:02 am

I think this is a great change and It will probably do a LOT of good!

BeNT - March 10, 2016, 2:29 pm

(Feb 21, 2016 01:20 AM)?Infamy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) When you realize there's a sort order...

That's very true.

In another forum, their player reports section was only allowed posts by the author, the accused, and any staff members. That might be something that'd cut down a lot of unnecessary posts within this section.

That also takes a reason for most members to check this section, but it further enforces how this section and the deal dispute db should be used.

This makes 100% sense to me.

Implementation may or may not be feasible, mybb system I have no knowledge of.

Downside is without Staff intervention it will be a he said/he said flame war.

What about a disputes (like a mentors) group that just read x and y arguments and point blank say provide this. Proof within 48hours or not. A decision would be made fairly fast.

Not sure on legalities of site and do decision makers. so i doubt Omni would go for the trouble. Unless maybe the system uses arbitration that was build into tos on sign up.

Don't see him I doing it.. Tho I do think k it would be great for the the community /market.

Cheers.

B.

Omniscient - March 11, 2016, 11:49 am

Quote:Downside is without Staff intervention it will be a he said/he said flame war.

Staff could only takes sides with not much more additional information than regular members have.

Quote:What about a disputes (like a mentors) group that just read x and y arguments and point blank say provide this. Proof within 48hours or not. A decision would be made fairly fast.

Then do what? So let's say it's decided one person is wrong in the deal. Banning the member will do very little, if nothing, to get the member their money or product back. An open account there is a chance the dispute can be worked out. Happens plenty of times. An open account with a Deal Dispute in the database gives other members the ability to review the situation and make their own best choice.

The dangerous scammers are the ones who buy accounts then burn them within 1-2 days with as many scams as possible. Those accounts normally get closed simply due to the policy violation of a sold or stolen account.

Staff and I are not law enforcement. We can't properly investigate legal claims of theft and fraud. Members should be reporting it to the proper authorities and if you think I'm joking, I'm not. Because reporting theft and fraud to a forum administrator that was not involved in your deal is the biggest joke of all.

Our Marketplace is a community driven one where the responsibility of good judgment is placed in the hands of members. I build tools to make decision making as good as possible. That's my responsibility as the forum admin and that's where it ends.