

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [\[Rate my GFX\] Signature Design](#)

SIM-2908357 - April 6, 2016, 9:09 pm

v1

v2

Version 1 vs. Version 2, which one is better?
Is there anything I could improve on?

Thanks.

eucl0tan1 - April 6, 2016, 9:10 pm

looks so regular tbh, like ive seen it a million times

98329101-41201 - April 6, 2016, 9:24 pm

I like where version two is going, but something you did created this weird haze effect and it's making everything look soft and blurred.

The stars are a nice touch, still follows the color scheme and plain and simple is almost always a good choice for designs, but the text feels a little too high when I read it, you could lower it a bit and you'd be on point I think.

prada ? - April 6, 2016, 9:27 pm

(Apr 6, 2016 09:10 PM)eucl0tan1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) looks so regular tbh, like ive seen it a million times pretty much this. extremely saturated concept

the size is also awkward and small

V?rt - April 6, 2016, 9:29 pm

I say version 2 if you make the corners rounded. Also, Line up the stars and diamonds, they are uneven.

SIM-2908357 - April 6, 2016, 10:38 pm

Slight edit on version 3, let me know what you think.

Tried to make it in a similar style of my signature.

RaiderNation - April 6, 2016, 10:55 pm

I like the style of your art on your site, how much do you charge?

SIM-2908357 - April 6, 2016, 11:00 pm

(Apr 6, 2016 10:55 PM)ChromosomeCrew Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I like the style of your art on your site, how much do you charge?

I only do designs for personal use as a hobby.

Currently not doing any graphics for anyone, sorry.

98329101-41201 - April 6, 2016, 11:03 pm

I like the improvements to version 2, but make the text a bit brighter, it's so faded in color that it's not even popping out at the viewer and make the diamond in the background a little bit darker, it's very hard to see and would give it a nice touch.

Start earning same day of purchase needs to be given more opacity because it's very transparent and with smaller signatures like this that's a bad thing, puts unwanted strain that most people won't bother with.

SIM-2908357 - April 6, 2016, 11:14 pm

(Apr 6, 2016 11:03 PM)Reset Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I like the improvements to version 2, but make the text a bit brighter, it's so faded in color that it's not even popping out at the viewer and make the diamond in the background a little bit darker, it's very hard to see and would give it a nice touch.

Start earning same day of purchase needs to be given more opacity because it's very transparent and with smaller signatures like this that's a bad thing, puts unwanted strain that most people won't bother with.

Improved the lighting of the text.

Thanks for the tip.

98329101-41201 - April 6, 2016, 11:46 pm

The text for Sparkling Cash is still pretty dim at the moment, you just need to make it brighter man, you've been raising it up slowly, but I don't know if it's design choice and preference or what, but if you like it that's fine, but it's going to suffer in the end if you plan on using it to attract people because it doesn't pop out.

I'm not sure if it's just the font or what, but it looks like you didn't properly scale this down or you didn't work in a bigger scale first.

You should always try to make the image at least 4 times bigger than the final result and work on it that way, gives the design a much sharper look and allows you to go in and edit much easier for the final product.

It also says it's 650x200, but that's clearly not 650x200, you forgot to crop it down mate, center tags work on images if that's why it's not cropped down or you might of just forgot, I'm not sure.

I'm not trying to be a stickler, but just some points and tips I'm trying to throw out coming from a viewer sample point of view.

Gh0stOfSparta - April 7, 2016, 4:19 am

v2 for me, i prefer v2. :)

SIM-2908357 - April 7, 2016, 7:23 am

(Apr 6, 2016 11:46 PM)Reset Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The text for Sparkling Cash is still pretty dim at the moment, you just need to make it brighter man, you've been raising it up slowly, but I don't know if it's design choice and preference or what, but if you like it that's fine, but it's going to suffer in the end if you plan on using it to attract people because it doesn't pop out.

I'm not sure if it's just the font or what, but it looks like you didn't properly scale this down or you didn't work in a bigger scale first.

You should always try to make the image at least 4 times bigger than the final result and work on it that way, gives the design a much sharper look and allows you to go in and edit much easier for the final product.

It also says it's 650x200, but that's clearly not 650x200, you forgot to crop it down mate, center tags work on images if that's why it's not cropped down or you might of just forgot, I'm not sure.

I'm not trying to be a stickler, but just some points and tips I'm trying to throw out coming from a viewer sample point of view.

Good suggestion with the scaling, I'll definitely have to try that out.

Also, I didn't make the text brighter because it wouldn't fit the color scheme of the background anymore.

Overall, do you think it's a decent signature?

98329101-41201 - April 7, 2016, 11:52 am

(Apr 7, 2016 07:23 AM)Akzius Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Good suggestion with the scaling, I'll definitely have to try that out.

Also, I didn't make the text brighter because it wouldn't fit the color scheme of the background anymore.

Overall, do you think it's a decent signature?

I mean personally for me it's a little too dark to pop out and a bit too small, but it's a lot better than most signatures I see on here.

iYeah I would say it's decent in the very least, much better than your average on here.

SIM-2908357 - April 7, 2016, 6:10 pm

(Apr 7, 2016 11:52 AM)Reset Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I mean personally for me it's a little too dark to pop out and a bit too small, but it's a lot better than most signatures I see on here.

iYeah I would say it's decent in the very least, much better than your average on here.

Well that's good to hear, thanks.

Going to keep practicing ;)

Proximity. - April 10, 2016, 11:56 pm

Looks great and I like the idea but I don't necessarily like the alignment of the text areas. The subtext seems like moving it a tiny smidge to the right would center it better as well. -Personally I like version two better.

farooch - April 11, 2016, 12:40 am

Not bad, v1 and v2 look similar, but i think v2 is better (more dark)

SIM-2908357 - April 11, 2016, 2:55 am

(Apr 10, 2016 11:56 PM)Proximity. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Looks great and I like the idea but I don't necessarily like the alignment of the text areas. The subtext seems like moving it a tiny smidge to the right would center it better as well. -Personally I like version two better.

I see what you mean, good catch.

CHAOs - April 11, 2016, 2:59 am

V2 is better in my opinion ?

SIM-2884191 - April 11, 2016, 6:30 am

dope bro 9/10 i liked it alot

Gucci_ - April 11, 2016, 7:26 am

Colors are a bit dull and dark. But overall I do like it. :-)

NieR - April 11, 2016, 12:47 pm

I don't like the grey header at the top with the squares at the end, it seems to break the flow pattern you have going on throughout the entire sig. Your colors should transition more as you have that dark cyan on the left but then it just becomes grey and black. I like the second one more just because the subtext is much more legible and easier to see.

Ecto - April 11, 2016, 1:18 pm

v1 and v2 look exactly the same except the text is a tad transparent on one. It honestly doesn't even make that much of a difference to choose between which one looks better.

Saint - April 11, 2016, 1:20 pm

Version 2 for me, looks really good, i like it alot.