

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Any scanning websites that does not fuck up the fud?](#)

LulzClerk - April 12, 2016, 3:51 am

I've been using viruscheckmate.com but it does not work atm, any other website?

Sleek. - April 12, 2016, 5:38 am

viruscheckmate works fine for me?

vfragtasticv - April 12, 2016, 5:46 am

Checked. Safe/Fast is. [www.nodistribute.com] [scan.majyx.net]
No distribute.

Miki - April 12, 2016, 9:22 am

(Apr 12, 2016 05:38 AM)Strikecarl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) viruscheckmate works fine for me?
That distributes, so you been using it & messing up your fud times...

OP

www.scan4you.net
www.nodistribute.com (they use scan4you api)

Sleek. - April 12, 2016, 9:46 am

(Apr 12, 2016 09:22 AM)Miki Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That distributes, so you been using it & messing up your fud times...

OP

www.scan4you.net
www.nodistribute.com (they use scan4you api)
I've been fud for weeks now, it 100% doesnt distribute.

Proof or gtfo

Thomaz000 - April 12, 2016, 2:54 pm

I try to just try to trust the crypter developer and avoid scanning at any site.

???? - April 12, 2016, 3:24 pm

(Apr 12, 2016 05:38 AM)Strikecarl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) viruscheckmate works fine for me?Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

(Apr 12, 2016 09:22 AM)Miki Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That distributes, so you been using it & messing up your fud times...

OP

www.scan4you.net

www.nodistribute.com (they use scan4you api)

Viruscheckmate doesn't distribute.

Paulin23 - April 12, 2016, 6:04 pm

virus checkmate is the safest one afaik

Dark.Shadow - April 12, 2016, 9:24 pm

viruscheckmate.com/free/ works fine

and

<https://scan.majyx.net/>

also

Sleek. - April 12, 2016, 9:54 pm

(Apr 12, 2016 03:24 PM)ScorpiOn Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Spoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)

Yea i have premium, still works fine tho

Miki - April 13, 2016, 2:13 am

(Apr 12, 2016 09:46 AM)Strikecarl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I've been fud for weeks now, it 100% doesnt distribute.

Proof or gtfo

How about stop being cocky, I've uplaoded a stub last year from my other crypter's just for test purposes, private stub 1 day later was dected only scanned there so stfu kiddie

Sleek. - April 13, 2016, 3:46 am

(Apr 13, 2016 02:13 AM)Miki Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How about stop being cocky, I've uplaoded a stub last year from my other crypter's just for test purposes, private stub 1 day later was dected only scanned there so stfu kiddie

Why so hostile?

I just said that without any evidence no one will believe it

Miki - April 13, 2016, 3:54 am

(Apr 13, 2016 03:46 AM)Strikecarl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why so hostile?

I just said that without any evidence no one will believe itBecause you spread shit in your posts about my crypter, you think I haven't seen them?

sit down get off your high horse...

can you use google to find posts on forums? ???

Sleek. - April 13, 2016, 6:19 am

(Apr 13, 2016 03:54 AM)Miki Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Because you spread shit in your posts about my crypter, you think I haven't seen them?

sit down get off your high horse...

can you use google to find posts on forums? ???

Oh you're mad cause i don't lie
heh

just post proof thank you

Miki - April 13, 2016, 8:14 am

(Apr 13, 2016 06:19 AM)Strikecarl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Oh you're mad cause i don't lie
heh

just post proof thank you

Don't lie jog on outa this forum skid and code your own crypter... ?

Wardow - April 13, 2016, 8:49 am

(Apr 13, 2016 08:14 AM)Miki Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Don't lie jog on outa this forum skid and code your own
crypter... ?

Yet you're too poor to pay the paid version, proof or get the fuck out!

(Apr 13, 2016 02:13 AM)Miki Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How about stop being cocky, I've uplaoded a stub last
year from my other crypter's just for test purposes, private stub 1 day later was dected only scanned
there so stfu kiddie

Maybe because the code has similarities with other's?

Sleek. - April 13, 2016, 9:18 am

(Apr 13, 2016 08:14 AM)Miki Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) code your own crypter
I already did?

Miki - April 13, 2016, 9:29 am

(Apr 13, 2016 08:49 AM)Wardow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yet you're too poor to pay the paid version, proof or
get the fuck out!

Maybe because the code has similarities with other's?

paid version are you high this is a scanner not crypter, and i'm standing by my claims and so are
others... ??????????

MARVID - April 13, 2016, 10:36 am

(Apr 13, 2016 08:14 AM)Miki Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Don't lie jog on outa this forum skid and code your own
crypter... ?

Why are you repping him saying I coded his crypter?

First of all I did not.

Second of all I don't know .NET Wardow can vouch for that I told him before.

Third of all Wardow and Strikecarl had a discussion about each others crypters so even Wardow
knows Strikecarl made his crypter.

I appreciate the credit but you never talked to me nor did you confirm anything. No idea why you
are saying you did.

HeavenSleep - April 13, 2016, 10:42 am

Hey guys, the topic is about scanner :)

Wardow - April 13, 2016, 11:03 am

(Apr 13, 2016 10:36 AM)MARVID Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why are you repping him saying I coded his crypter?

First of all I did not.

Second of all I don't know .NET Wardow can vouch for that I told him before.

Third of all Wardow and Strikecarl had a discussion about each others crypters so even Wardow knows Strikecarl made his crypter.

I appreciate the credit but you never talked to me nor did you confirm anything. No idea why you are saying you did.

He also repped me saying my crypter was coded by some indian from "lfk" forum lol

C43S4R - April 13, 2016, 11:03 am

It all works for me mate :)

Universe_X - April 13, 2016, 1:17 pm

I think that viruscheckmate is a selective distributor. For some reason, 40% of the times I used them they distributed my files lol. This happened more than once. Here is a good question, how come if they don't distribute, it's not stated on their website that they don't? If it is idk where.

huongviet - April 24, 2016, 2:57 am

scan.majyx.net has always worked charms for me and does not distribute
nodistribute.com is also good