

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [NFA Feedback and Views](#)

Omniscient - May 28, 2016, 12:21 pm

In an effort to remove the many free NFA threads I had them removed completely from all sections except NFA. In NFA there was the policy added that no free accounts were to be given.

5. No free account giveaways or free generators. Market sales only.

Recently it's been brought to my attention that members are abusing the policy making penny sales for accounts. Technically not free but for intent purposes might as well be. It's unfair to sellers and it's also a way to buy cheap reputations which is why these policies exist to begin with.

I'd like some feedback on this because I can't say what would be a fair minimum for a sale in the NFA. Unsure if it's 10 cents, a quarter of dollar or even a dollar. But I need to set some sort of required minimum sale to end the practice of cheap accounts used to gain reputation.

Feedback?

Xepher The Cat - May 28, 2016, 12:23 pm

I think around 5-10 cents is fair if you're selling a large amount.

Banana - May 28, 2016, 12:25 pm

50 cents per account seems fair assuming everyone uses dank ebooks to make \$250 an hour amirite?

Nah but 25-50 cents seems pretty fair imo.

Travis Bickle - May 28, 2016, 12:25 pm

\$0.25? Sounds fair to me?

But anywhere between 0.50 to 1 would be fine

Edit: I think \$1 actually, would make it easier.

Rick Roll - May 28, 2016, 12:26 pm

Make it at least a dollar. A dollar should be fair.

Travis Bickle - May 28, 2016, 12:26 pm

(May 28, 2016 12:26 PM)Rick Roll Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Make it at least a dollar. A dollar should be fair. I agree as well tbh

?XiS - May 28, 2016, 12:27 pm

Honestly, I don't think imposing a "minimum price" per account would make much of a difference. There are reasons behind my thinking, let me explain.

Firstly, account generators. Unless you impost a minimum price on these generators, it would void the whole rule. For example, you may impose a \$1 minimum price per Netflix account, but if someone is selling a generator that consists of a list of 1000 accounts at \$10, that still brings the

accounts down to \$0.10 per account.

Also, although the threads may specify "\$1 per Netflix account", if the threads links to an offsite website, such as my personal website, for example, where I'd be selling accounts for \$0.01 each in reality, would this still be abusing this rule?

To add to this, although the sale of bulk accounts is technically not allowed, people still do it, and I suppose if you do decide to impose a minimum price, such as \$1, it will heavily deter people from buying in bulk.

Like with most rules, I guess there will be a lot of both pros and cons to think about before imposing it. I guess it's up to you to decide whether the benefits will outweigh the negatives, and if it will improve the site for the better.

Lor?d - May 28, 2016, 12:27 pm

(May 28, 2016 12:26 PM)Rick Roll Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Make it at least a dollar. A dollar should be fair. I'm down with this.
Sounds fair enough to me.

Zettabit - May 28, 2016, 12:28 pm

I would say \$0.25 is a good minimum sale price.

GrandpaSherman - May 28, 2016, 12:29 pm

(May 28, 2016 12:21 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) In an effort to remove the many free NFA threads I had them removed completely from all sections except NFA. In NFA there was the policy added that no free accounts were to be given.

5. No free account giveaways or free generators. Market sales only.

Feedback?

So when it says "No free account giveaways" does that also mean "No non-free account giveaways" because that's what I'm getting from the top.

We're no longer allowed to give away accounts? Whether free or paid?

As for the price of each account, \$0.25 minimum. 3 Vouch copies maximum (people would have sales threads with 1000 vouch copies).

?XiS - May 28, 2016, 12:31 pm

(May 28, 2016 12:29 PM)GrandpaSherman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So when it says "No free account giveaways" does that also mean "No non-free account giveaways" because that's what I'm getting from the top.

We're no longer allowed to give away accounts? Whether free or paid?

As for the price of each account, \$0.25 minimum.No free account giveaways means, I'm assuming, you're not allowed to give away accounts for free.

Remember those "Free Netflix Accounts" threads that were in the Free Services & Giveaways section. They're not allowed at all anymore.

GrandpaSherman - May 28, 2016, 12:34 pm

(May 28, 2016 12:26 PM)Rick Roll Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Make it at least a dollar. A dollar should be fair.

(May 28, 2016 12:27 PM)That One Random Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm down with this.

Sounds fair enough to me.

Making the price for an account \$1 will destroy the whole market. Why buy one account for \$1 instead of blowing \$9-15 on a generator that gets updated on the daily.

And I'm sure the only people that are advocating \$1 minimum prices are the ones that want to sell accounts (prob from generators) for massive profits.

(May 28, 2016 12:31 PM)?XiS Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No free account giveaways means, I'm assuming, you're not allowed to give away accounts for free.

Remember those "Free Netflix Accounts" threads that were in the Free Services & Giveaways section. They're not allowed at all anymore.

Okay. Then I think the rule should be edited to "No NFA giveaways" or something similar.

I was going to open a thread to give out HBO accounts to the fellow Game of Thrones watchers. Glad I didn't

Omniscient - May 28, 2016, 12:46 pm

Quote:Okay. Then I think the rule should be edited to "No NFA giveaways" or something similar.

The policy has been that way for a while. That's why you now have these penny sales.

@?XiS...maybe just banning you would solve the problems. You seem bent on finding a way to circumvent the intent of the policy.

Heart of Kings - May 28, 2016, 12:50 pm

Just don't allow it in general. People do it to gain rep they don't give a fuck about the petty \$0.01-\$1.00 they make off of them.

AXiS it was nice knowing you!

grady - May 28, 2016, 12:51 pm

\$1

You can walk around on the street picking up coins to buy anything cheaper, so there's really no point.

Grammar Rays - May 28, 2016, 12:54 pm

Have you got other ideas to try and achieve the same/similar result or is this idea the only one so far?

I'm not too active in the Marketplace but if sub \$1 sales are quite popular, this could kill off some legitimate activity.

minimalist - May 28, 2016, 12:54 pm

It appears that account sellers (rep buyers) are too intent on gaining in the real material items here (rep) and selling their non-free accounts to realize your intentions. I say that \$1/account and banning all generators (which are really dumps of non-free accounts) would be ideal, but the latter is your call.

????CK345? - May 28, 2016, 1:05 pm

I don't wanna be that guy, but I was wondering about this as well. I'm all for people getting accounts, but some of these price drops were getting ridiculous. Also, one reason why I never really cared to sell Netflix is because of the market value for them. Also, I always thought they were primarily doing for rep begs as well. A few years back when I sold Hulu's and Netflix, nobody had a problem buying

accounts over 1\$ now, that seems to be high for some people.

Edit: Lol generators have to be the biggest scam on the NFA section, but I'll leave that alone.

?XiS - May 28, 2016, 1:14 pm

LOL. Yeah I'm stupid for taking the bait and replying, but whatever.

[img]http://image.prntscr.com/image/5ee2ba74dc3042698bc52ee611bdbb7f.png[/img]

[img]http://image.prntscr.com/image/6995bc3a63c84685afdc73232f3e626c.png[/img]

[img]http://image.prntscr.com/image/6f1f697030d94a039faf2df44bfb0a79.png[/img]

(May 28, 2016 12:46 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The policy has been that way for a while. That's why you now have these penny sales.

@?XiS...maybe just banning you would solve the problems. You seem bent on finding a way to circumvent the intent of the policy. Why, because I'm just telling you the inevitable?

I don't see you saying anything to him:

(May 28, 2016 12:34 PM)GrandpaSherman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Making the price for an account \$1 will destroy the whole market. Why buy one account for \$1 instead of blowing \$9-15 on a generator that gets updated on the daily.

If I was looking for a way to "bend the rules" so to speak, I would have just made a generator AFTER you imposed the rule, no?

I don't see why you keep thinking I'm looking for a way to bend the rules. The user above literally said the same thing as me, so it's obvious what's going to be going through peoples' heads if the rules was implemented. I was just telling you beforehand, you could just make the rule more in depth NOW, as it would save you possibly adding additional rules on the sale of generators in the future.

Stop thinking I have something against you and this forum, you asked for feedback, I was simply trying to help you. I'm not looking to get banned, closed, or RK'd, I simply gave you feedback. By all means, impose the rule, I won't make a generator and I have no intention to anyway, but countless other members will.

It's not like I suggested a brand new, never seen before program (the generators), in order to break the rules, but they already exist.

(May 28, 2016 12:50 PM)Heart of Kings Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Just don't allow it in general. People do it to gain rep they don't give a fuck about the petty \$0.01-\$1.00 they make off of them.

AXiS it was nice knowing you!\$1 would be quite profitable, IF the market isn't ruined.

Vitani - May 28, 2016, 1:25 pm

I'd say about 0,50\$ to 1\$ as a minimum for one account wouldn't destroy the market at all, and it would make it fair in my opinion.

Just look at the CE section as an example. CE vendors are charging ridiculous rates (like 10% or 15%), and still loads of people use their services.

Point being, if there would be a set minimum price on HF it wouldn't ruin the market at all, aslong as things don't get too expensive.

EagleScream - May 28, 2016, 1:27 pm

Very nice idea to boost the market.

50cent to 1 dolla would we really nice

J?son - May 28, 2016, 1:27 pm

I strongly believe a dollar is fair enough.

Happeh - May 28, 2016, 1:33 pm

(May 28, 2016 12:54 PM)Minimalist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It appears that account sellers (rep buyers) are too intent on gaining in the real material items here (rep) and selling their non-free accounts to realize your intentions. I say that \$1/account and banning all generators (which are really dumps of non-free accounts) would be ideal, but the latter is your call.

Probably the best opinion here.

TheChi?f - May 28, 2016, 1:34 pm

I would say \$0.5 is a fairly good value as these are cracked accounts usually. For example, A user might rather pay \$6 a month for netflix than \$1 for a netflix account which stops working in a day or two. Anyways its entirely upto the user but I would say a dollar would be a bit high for the minimum.

Bluffer - May 28, 2016, 1:35 pm

(May 28, 2016 12:54 PM)Minimalist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It appears that account sellers (rep buyers) are too intent on gaining in the real material items here (rep) and selling their non-free accounts to realize your intentions. I say that \$1/account and banning all generators (which are really dumps of non-free accounts) would be ideal, but the latter is your call.

That would be very stupid to ban the sales of generators.. that's not what the problem is here... not to mention that's the main sellers of stickies in the NFA section. I do agree that accounts should be sold for at least \$1.00 each though.

joepvand - May 28, 2016, 1:37 pm

I dont think there should be a minimal, hackforums is great because you have relatively great amounts of freedom.

nique ta mere - May 28, 2016, 1:37 pm

(May 28, 2016 12:27 PM)?XiS Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Honestly, I don't think imposing a "minimum price" per account would make much of a difference. There are reasons behind my thinking, let me explain.

Firstly, account generators. Unless you impost a minimum price on these generators, it would void the whole rule. For example, you may impose a \$1 minimum price per Netflix account, but if someone is selling a generator that consists of a list of 1000 accounts at \$10, that still brings the accounts down to \$0.10 per account.

Also, although the threads may specify "\$1 per Netflix account", if the threads links to an offsite website, such as my personal website, for example, where I'd be selling accounts for \$0.01 each in reality, would this still be abusing this rule?

To add to this, although the sale of bulk accounts is technically not allowed, people still do it, and I suppose if you do decide to impose a minimum price, such as \$1, it will heavily deter people from buying in bulk.

Like with most rules, I guess there will be a lot of both pros and cons to think about before imposing it. I guess it's up to you to decide whether the benefits will outweigh the negatives, and if it will improve the site for the better.

I agree, it's just gonna get more people selling them also.

Lust. - May 28, 2016, 1:37 pm

RIP Axis, ya tried, ya failed.

\$1 could be a good start, if you could enforce this shit, but most of these fucking free account threads are just for rep-whoring or whatever else. I mean I'm not against some charity, but it's all for the wrong reasons here.

Who Soup - May 28, 2016, 1:38 pm

Should mirror the currency give aways and make it no less than \$5

stackoverflowin - May 28, 2016, 1:38 pm

I think people should be required to sell their products through a payment processor rather than through personal message at a rate of around \$0.15-\$0.20

minimalist - May 28, 2016, 1:39 pm

(May 28, 2016 01:35 PM)Bluffer™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That would be very stupid to ban the sales of generators.. that's not what the problem is here... not to mention that's the main sellers of stickies in the NFA section. I do agree that accounts should be sold for at least \$1.00 each though.

After the setting of a minimum price per non-free account, the only purpose of a generator would be to circumvent the very rule that was made to prevent accounts being had for cheap.

Bluffer - May 28, 2016, 1:40 pm

(May 28, 2016 01:39 PM)Minimalist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) After the setting of a minimum price per non-free account, the only purpose of a generator would be to circumvent the very rule that was made to prevent accounts being had for cheap. So ban all generators??? No you would just put a minimum price on generators too.

minimalist - May 28, 2016, 1:43 pm

(May 28, 2016 01:40 PM)Bluffer™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So ban all generators??? No you would just put a minimum price on generators too.

And what is a generator? A collection of non-free account dumps?

?

Omniscient - May 28, 2016, 1:47 pm

Realistically I don't think I have a choice when it comes to account generators. They have to be banned.

I'm going to set a \$1 minimum price per account in NFA.

Adding policy data to the [Help Docs](#) and forum sections now.

stackoverflowin - May 28, 2016, 1:49 pm

(May 28, 2016 01:47 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Realistically I don't think I have a choice when it comes to account generators. They have to be banned.

I'm going to set a \$1 minimum price per account in NFA.

Adding policy data to the [Help Docs](#) and forum sections now.

That could kill this section though rendering it useless?

Grammar Rays - May 28, 2016, 1:52 pm

(May 28, 2016 01:49 PM)stackoverflowin Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That could kill this section though rendering it useless?

If it doesn't work as intended, the rule can be changed or removed.
The amount of fake reputation floating around isn't cool. ?

Plus this kinda thing is closely related to phishing however I'm not sure if there are many if any at all contacts to HF from the likes of Netflix, Spotify, even the police regarding these accounts / phishing. Netflix and Spotify are cool, fraud, phishing, and the like, is not. ?

????CK345? - May 28, 2016, 1:56 pm

If there was a limit it shouldn't be \$1. Probably like .25 or .50. I don't think Spotify's and netflix are worth \$1, especially since they've been saturated to death by the penny sellers. And generators.

Grammar Rays - May 28, 2016, 1:58 pm

(May 28, 2016 01:56 PM)????CK345? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If there was a limit it shouldn't be \$1. Probably like .25 or .50. I don't think Spotify's and netflix are worth \$1, especially since they've been saturated to death by the penny sellers. And generators.

Generators and penny sellers have both just been wiped out.
So saturation should decrease, which is a good thing right?

????CK345? - May 28, 2016, 2:00 pm

Lol, I didn't realize the minimal was imposed, I guess I need to change my spotify prices.

To the above: I don't think we needed a \$1, but the market is already saturated. I was just saying, nobody is going to want to by \$1 for a spotify or netflix because they've been sold for nearly free for so long.

Bluffer - May 28, 2016, 2:01 pm

(May 28, 2016 02:00 PM)????CK345? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Lol, I didn't realize the minimal was imposed, I guess I need to change my spotify prices.

To the above: I don't think we needed a \$1, but the market is already saturated. I was just saying, nobody is going to want to by \$1 for a spotify or netflix because they've been sold for nearly free for so long.

Adapt or perish I guess.

FamousHater - May 28, 2016, 2:03 pm

(May 28, 2016 02:00 PM)????CK345? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Lol, I didn't realize the minimal was imposed, I guess I need to change my spotify prices.

To the above: I don't think we needed a \$1, but the market is already saturated. I was just saying, nobody is going to want to by \$1 for a spotify or netflix because they've been sold for nearly free for so long.

people will if people actually honor the warranties they claim they will give on the accounts.

minimalist - May 28, 2016, 2:10 pm

Will any rules on lifetime "warranties" (replacements) be imposed as well? No rule suggestions allowed, but I think this would help the market.

What I mean this is, will buyers be able to claim replacements on accounts sold for less than \$1?

?XiS - May 28, 2016, 2:13 pm

(May 28, 2016 02:10 PM)Minimalist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Will any rules on lifetime "warranties" (replacements) be imposed as well? No rule suggestions allowed, but I think this would help the market.

What I mean this is, will buyers be able to claim replacements on accounts sold for less than \$1? Accounts can't be sold for less than \$1...

FamousHater - May 28, 2016, 2:14 pm

(May 28, 2016 02:10 PM)Minimalist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Will any rules on lifetime "warranties" (replacements) be imposed as well? No rule suggestions allowed, but I think this would help the market.

What I mean this is, will buyers be able to claim replacements on accounts sold for less than \$1? lol omni is not gonna force people to give warranties on accounts.

Grammar Rays - May 28, 2016, 2:16 pm

(May 28, 2016 02:13 PM)?XiS Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Accounts can't be sold for less than \$1... I think he mean existing/previous sales. Could be wrong though.

?XiS - May 28, 2016, 2:17 pm

(May 28, 2016 02:16 PM)Grammar Rays Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think he mean existing/previous sales. Could be wrong though. Well then, Minimalist should know Omni doesn't get involved in deals.

minimalist - May 28, 2016, 2:23 pm

(May 28, 2016 02:17 PM)?XiS Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well then, Minimalist should know Omni doesn't get involved in deals.

It was a suggestion that he disallows users to abuse their unlimited "warranties" on the accounts that they paid \$0.01 for.

?XiS - May 28, 2016, 2:24 pm

(May 28, 2016 02:23 PM)Minimalist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It was a suggestion that he disallows users to abuse their unlimited "warranties" on the accounts that they paid \$0.01 for. Ahh, I get what you mean now.

Cryptic™ - May 28, 2016, 2:31 pm

I'd like the 'gay fucking requests section cuz u want free shit' section to come back. Was very useful.

A lot of ppl create threads in nfa and buyers bay asking for netflix and they just get it for free anyways.

Omniscient - May 28, 2016, 2:33 pm

Thanks for the feedback. I took what I believe is appropriate action. Policy changes were posted in the correct areas.

Thank you.