

Forum: [Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Endangered gorilla shot dead](#)

Urge - May 29, 2016, 10:37 am

Cincinnati Zoo shot and killed the 17-year-old Harambe after the boy fell into a moat inside gorilla exhibit on Saturday

Authorities said boy crawled through railing and fell about 10ft, and spent more than 10 minutes in the enclosure

Witness heard boy saying he wanted to get in the water, but his mother, who was taking care of several children, told him 'no'

Boy was taken to hospital with serious but 'non-life threatening' injuries

More than a thousand have joined Facebook group 'Justice for Harambe'

Others blamed parents for not looking after their son and called it 'murder'

Western lowland gorillas are deemed critically endangered by WWF

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-3615099/Outrage-directed-parents-Harambe-gorilla-s-senseless-death-four-year-old-son-fell-enclosure-led-zoo-officials-fatally-shoot-animal.html>

RIP Harambe

l0new0lf - May 29, 2016, 10:59 am

This reminds of the lions who were recently shot. It's so sad that people can't have some respect and foresight for these endangered animals... They clearly need to look into the design of their Zoo as well imo.

Urge - May 29, 2016, 11:02 am

(May 29, 2016 10:59 AM)l0new0lf Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This reminds of the lions who were recently shot. It's so sad that people can't have some respect and foresight for these endangered animals... They clearly need to look into the design of their Zoo as well imo.

why not just get rid of zoos. if you want to see animals go to the wild and spectate from afar

t0rch - May 29, 2016, 11:04 am

Disband the Zoo's and the aquariums like sea world. They're only adding to the continued increase of endangered species. I was going to make a comment about holding animals at the same respect we hold for each other but then I realized that we as a human race seem to hold no respect for any life, only money. (This obviously does not apply to every single human)

This was obviously a tough decision to make since in a situation like this tranquilizers wouldn't of been effective quick enough, and with a gorilla of that size he could rip of the child's head in an instant.

Stupid kid.

Lil Durk - May 29, 2016, 11:05 am

DOXXED: Nah but I live in Cincinnati, yeah shits all over my facebook right now, retarded parents raised a retarded son. Endangered gorilla should have been saved shoot the kid man. He was almost ready to mate so kind of a bad loss compared to one shitter.

l0new0lf - May 29, 2016, 11:13 am

(May 29, 2016 11:02 AM)Urge Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) why not just get rid of zoos. if you want to see animals go to the wild and spectate from afar

Well, I can't disagree with that, but tbh that won't happen. At least not right away. Even Sea World is still around after half a century of animal abuse.

(May 29, 2016 11:04 AM)Sheogorath Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Disband the Zoo's and the aquariums like sea world. They're only adding to the continued increase of endangered species. I was going to make a comment about holding animals at the same respect we hold for each other but then I realized that we as a human race seem to hold no respect for any life, only money. (This obviously does not apply to every single human)

This was obviously a tough decision to make since in a situation like this tranquilizers wouldn't of been effective quick enough, and with a gorilla of that size he could rip of the child's head in an instant.

Stupid kid.

I actually hold animals in higher standard to be completely honest. I agree with you completely. I would have been completely torn, even if it was a child, the gorilla didn't necessarily deserve to die.

t0rch - May 29, 2016, 11:22 am

(May 29, 2016 11:13 AM)l0new0lf Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well, I can't disagree with that, but tbh that won't happen. At least not right away. Even Sea World is still around after half a century of animal abuse.

I actually hold animals in higher standard to be completely honest. I agree with you completely. I would have been completely torn, even if it was a child, the gorilla didn't necessarily deserve to die. I sometimes feel the same way to be honest. I've never been fucked over by an animal. (Except when my dogs decided to battle a porcupine which resulted in a \$1000 vet trip)

I remember hearing one time this doctor saying that Animal's don't experience emotion, which any one who's ever owned a pet would know is not true in the slightest.

The gorilla didn't deserve to die. But neither did the kid. And in this case it goes to show that we do value human life more over animal life. But not many options were available that would of guaranteed the safety of the child while allowing the gorilla to live.

Hopefully the mother learns to keep an eye on her kids better. (Although as a 4 year old I did take off for almost an hour into the woods, came back, really confused why my mother was balling her eyes out lmao, I just wanted to go for a hike).

?

Xycon - May 29, 2016, 11:28 am

(May 29, 2016 11:02 AM)Urge Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) why not just get rid of zoos. if you want to see animals go to the wild and spectate from afar

I agree to a point.

But.

They would go extinct for sure then due to poachers and what not.

At least, even in captivity, they wont get poached into extinction ...

Leeching HF - May 29, 2016, 11:32 am

Really there was 1 of 2 options, 1) Take the risk of the child being endangered and shoot the gorilla
2) Take the risk of the Gorilla saving his life and change the future but if it fails then the Zoo gets closed down.

Its a hard one if you look at it from there perspective.

xMyth - May 29, 2016, 11:42 am

I saw the video of what happened and besides the part where the gorilla dragged the boy 10 feet through the water it didn't seem like he meant the boy harm.

I've seen this before. The gorilla was aiming to raise it as one of his own.

Not to mention it's funny how the media labels the Gorilla a thug. 100% the media would never show this side of him:

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

l0new0lf - May 29, 2016, 11:50 am

(May 29, 2016 11:42 AM)xMyth Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I saw the video of what happened and besides the part where the gorilla dragged the boy 10 feet through the water it didn't seem like he meant the boy harm.

I've seen this before. The gorilla was aiming to raise it as one of his own.

Not to mention it's funny how the media labels the Gorilla a thug. 100% the media would never show this side of him:

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

I was actually wondering this same thing. I'm not certain a gorilla would be intentionally aggressive toward a child. Animals are usually very smart when it comes to this kind of thing, especially primates. Yes, there are some exceptions, but my point remains. Some animals will even act docile toward pregnant women because they can sense that she's carrying a baby.

Expi?e - May 29, 2016, 12:33 pm

These sort of stories sadden me to no end, Zoo's fault for allowing a kid to access the cage no matter what, the gorilla didn't know any better it's not it's fault and it shouldn't die. Do gorillas even kill humans for the most part, most video's that I've seen they seemed passive and curious?

Jackie Chun - May 29, 2016, 2:39 pm

(May 29, 2016 11:50 AM)l0new0lf Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I was actually wondering this same thing. I'm not certain a gorilla would be intentionally aggressive toward a child. Animals are usually very smart when it comes to this kind of thing, especially primates. Yes, there are some exceptions, but my point remains. Some animals will even act docile toward pregnant women because they can sense that she's carrying a baby.

lol they can read body language just like we can but it's their main source of communication like with most animals. They don't physically sense an unborn child on another creature, they can just tell by how passive you appear.

l0new0lf - May 29, 2016, 2:46 pm

(May 29, 2016 12:33 PM)Expi?e Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) These sort of stories sadden me to no end, Zoo's fault for allowing a kid to access the cage no matter what, the gorilla didn't know any better it's not it's

fault and it shouldn't die. Do gorillas even kill humans for the most part, most video's that I've seen they seemed passive and curious?

I agree. I don't condone zoos, but there are plenty that operate without such easy access to animal pens. No, gorillas are incredibly passive when compared to other primates imo. Of course like any animal, they're quite dangerous when they feel threatened, even more so due to their size. However, I really don't think a gorilla would interpret a small child as a threat. If anything, he/she would be more curious than anything. Animals in captivity are notorious for being unpredictable though, and they can often suffer from mental illness. Sea World is more than enough proof of this. I definitely don't blame the poor gorilla. If that gorilla felt aggressive towards the child, I'm sure he would be dead. I'm not sure why zoos aren't equipped with powerful sedatives like sufentanil, carfentanil or ketamine.

(May 29, 2016 02:39 PM)Jackie Chun Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) lol they can read body language just like we can but it's their main source of communication like with most animals. They don't physically sense an unborn child on another creature, they can just tell by how passive you appear.

No, animals can detect subtle changes in biology such as scent. Animals don't necessarily possess the same senses as us, or to the same acuity. A lot of animals can identify a pregnant woman by her scent/pheromones.

Jackie Chun - May 29, 2016, 5:22 pm

(May 29, 2016 02:46 PM)l0new0lf Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I agree. I don't condone zoos, but there are plenty that operate without such easy access to animal pens. No, gorillas are incredibly passive when compared to other primates imo. Of course like any animal, they're quite dangerous when they feel threatened, even more so due to their size. However, I really don't think a gorilla would interpret a small child as a threat. If anything, he/she would be more curious than anything. Animals in captivity are notorious for being unpredictable though, and they can often suffer from mental illness. Sea World is more than enough proof of this. I definitely don't blame the poor gorilla. If that gorilla felt aggressive towards the child, I'm sure he would be dead. I'm not sure why zoos aren't equipped with powerful sedatives like sufentanil, carfentanil or ketamine.

No, animals can detect subtle changes in biology such as scent. Animals don't necessarily possess the same senses as us, or to the same acuity. A lot of animals can identify a pregnant woman by her scent/pheromones.

I've found no evidence supporting the claim primates can smell if you're pregnant.

http://www.babycenter.com/404_can-pets-sense-pregnancy_1379572.bc

"We don't have scientific proof that pets sense their owners' pregnancies"

Specifically pets are dogs, dogs smell much better than primates. If a dog cannot smell and determine you are pregnant, what makes you think a monkey can smell and determine that?

I stand by my original assumption that they just read body language well.

l0new0lf - May 29, 2016, 5:35 pm

(May 29, 2016 05:22 PM)Jackie Chun Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I've found no evidence supporting the claim primates can smell if you're pregnant.

http://www.babycenter.com/404_can-pets-sense-pregnancy_1379572.bc

"We don't have scientific proof that pets sense their owners' pregnancies"

Specifically pets are dogs, dogs smell much better than primates. If a dog cannot smell and

determine you are pregnant, what makes you think a monkey can smell and determine that?

I stand by my original assumption that they just read body language well.

I didn't say they did if you'd read my post. I simply said animals are very good with these things [dealing with children was my implication], especially primates. Some animals (not specifically primates, I didn't say that at all) can even detect pregnancies. I was only furthering my point that a lot of animals are universally passive toward children. Hence seeing a lot of incidences where animals will raise other species.

Jackie Chun - May 29, 2016, 5:39 pm

(May 29, 2016 05:35 PM)I0new0lf Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I didn't say they did if you'd read my post. I simply said animals are very good with these things [dealing with children was my implication], especially primates. Some animals (not specifically primates, I didn't say that at all) can even detect pregnancies. I was only furthering my point that a lot of animals are universally passive toward children. Hence seeing a lot of incidences where animals will raise other species.

That's what was implied because we're not talking about just any animal, we're talking about primates more specifically a gorilla.

You're the one who said no, and attempted to refute my argument that animals specifically primates are able to read body language which could be a reason as to why an ape could tell if someone is impregnate or a non-threat.

? TAQ ? - May 29, 2016, 5:43 pm

(May 29, 2016 11:02 AM)Urge Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) why not just get rid of zoos. if you want to see animals go to the wild and spectate from afar

They'll become extinct is the only issues imo.

(May 29, 2016 10:59 AM)I0new0lf Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This reminds of the lions who were recently shot. It's so sad that people can't have some respect and foresight for these endangered animals... They clearly need to look into the design of their Zoo as well imo.

My thoughts exactly, I was so pissed off after I heard the story.

b?xxy - May 29, 2016, 5:44 pm

One of many reasons why I don't believe in Zoo's.

I0new0lf - May 29, 2016, 5:44 pm

(May 29, 2016 05:39 PM)Jackie Chun Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That's what was implied because we're not talking about just any animal, we're talking about primates more specifically a gorilla.

No, I don't think that was implied, and if that's what you think then I'm sorry you misinterpreted my statement. I specifically said some animals, and again it was to further my point that a lot of animals are passive and empathetic toward infants/children. If I had meant gorillas specifically, I would have said gorillas specifically.

I said no, because I responded in the context of my statement, which was in reference to the animals that DO detect pregnancies... I'm not going to argue semantics with you, this is hardly relevant to the OT, and I know very well you feed on this kind of thing.

B?o?s?t?o?n - May 29, 2016, 5:47 pm

Harambe was protecting the kid he wasn't even attacking him. This is sad asf

I0new0lf - May 29, 2016, 5:51 pm

(May 29, 2016 05:47 PM)B?o?s?t?o?n Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Harambe was protecting the kid he wasn't even attacking him. This is sad asf

I had a similar impression to be honest. It didn't appear to be aggressive behaviour to me, yes a little rough, but that's what happens when you stir the curiosity of a 400lb gorilla. I only wish someone could have found a peaceful solution. :(Charges should be pressed imo, but that wouldn't bring back the gorilla.

Jackie Chun - May 29, 2016, 6:00 pm

(May 29, 2016 05:44 PM)IOnew0lf Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No, I don't think that was implied, and if that's what you think then I'm sorry you misinterpreted my statement. I specifically said some animals, and again it was to further my point that a lot of animals are passive and empathetic toward infants/children. If I had meant gorillas specifically, I would have said gorillas specifically.

I said no, because I responded in the context of my statement, which was in reference to the animals that DO detect pregnancies... I'm not going to argue semantics with you, this is hardly relevant to the OT, and I know very well you feed on this kind of thing.

I understand you said animals, but you are failing to realize I responded to that statement under the context animals are primates. And I explained a reason for why animals could "sense" if someone is pregnant. You literally said no, and attempted to refute that argument by stating: "animals can detect subtle changes in biology such as scent."

I clarified, even dogs cannot smell if someone is pregnant. So your argument is refuted.

"They don't physically sense an unborn child on another creature, they can just tell by how passive you appear."

That's what I said, under the premise "they" are primates. You're the one who is off topic by speaking about animals in general, when I stayed relevant to the topic by discussing apes.

The point is, primates do not smell if someone is pregnant, and according to my research earlier neither do dogs, which I can safely assume no animal can determine if you are pregnant based on scent. They may be able to tell a pregnant woman smells different from other human beings but they have no concept of that human being pregnant which is why she smells different from other human beings. Your original notion that the gorilla may not be hostile because many animals "are smart when it comes to these type of things". I elaborated on that notion under the premise of it being gorillas and stated it was due to body language. You are the one who attempted to refute that, and you were wrong.

Ha Haaa - May 29, 2016, 6:01 pm

Honestly the gorilla would of killed the kid once he saw him. Much like jumping into a lion den. Lions wouldn't wait to kill.

But the gorilla showed no harm to the kid.

These days we will kill anything if that means leaving a scratch on the kid.

Jackie Chun - May 29, 2016, 6:05 pm

(May 29, 2016 06:01 PM)Ha Haaa Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Honestly the gorilla would of killed the kid once he saw him. Much like jumping into a lion den. Lions wouldn't wait to kill.

But the gorilla showed no harm to the kid.

These days we will kill anything if that means leaving a scratch on the kid.

Lions are aggressive while gorillas are passive, especially in captivity.

Plus the way that kid was crying and how everyone was shouting I'm sure the gorilla understood that it didn't belong there, and was wondering what it is and doing there with it. Which is why it grabbed it.

Lions have actually protect whimpering children, it's thought the whimpers resemble a lion's cub? kitten? not sure what to refer to a baby lion.

http://www.nbcnews.com/id/8305836/ns/world_news-africa/t/ethiopian-girl-reportedly-guarded-lions/

l0new0lf - May 29, 2016, 6:11 pm

(May 29, 2016 06:00 PM)Jackie Chun Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I understand you said animals, but you are failing to realize I responded to that statement under the context animals are primates. And I explained a reason for why animals could "sense" if someone is pregnant. You literally said no, and attempted to refute that argument by stating: "animals can detect subtle changes in biology such as scent."

I clarified, even dogs cannot smell if someone is pregnant. So your argument is refuted.

"They don't physically sense an unborn child on another creature, they can just tell by how passive you appear."

That's what I said, under the premise "they" are primates. You're the one who is off topic by speaking about animals in general, when I stayed relevant to the topic by discussing apes.

The point is, primates do not smell if someone is pregnant, and according to my research earlier neither do dogs, which I can safely assume no animal can determine if you are pregnant based on scent. They may be able to tell a pregnant woman smells different from other human beings but they have no concept of that human being pregnant which is why she smells different from other human beings. Your original notion that the gorilla may not be hostile because many animals "are smart when it comes to these type of things". I elaborated on that notion under the premise of it being gorillas and stated it was due to body language. You are the one who attempted to refute that, and you were wrong.

Yes, domesticated dogs and cats do. As well as many wild cats such as lions and tigers, and potentially more animals with similar sensory systems. Feel free to look it up yourself, but I'm not willing to debate such things as I don't feel it's imperative to this topic... I simply questioned the likelihood of a gorilla acting aggressively toward a child. You took an inference from that post, which was beyond the scope of my argument. Yes, just like you misinterpreted my post, I misinterpreted yours. When you said they cannot detect pregnancies, I replied in relevance to my argument which is some animals do, but not specifically gorillas. I don't know why passivity would indicate pregnancy, but again I'm not arguing this. I refuted the argument that animals cannot detect pregnancies, I did not refute animals ability to interpret body language. That's another ridiculous inference on your part, as animals cannot speak. It goes without saying they communicate by body language. Please don't take my arguments out of context, and please don't attempt to argue with me about things I did not say... I did not say animals do not communicate by body language. I did not say gorillas detect pregnancies.

Jackie Chun - May 29, 2016, 6:21 pm

(May 29, 2016 06:11 PM)l0new0lf Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes, domesticated dogs and cats do. As well as many wild cats such as lions and tigers, and potentially more animals with similar sensory systems. Feel free to look it up yourself, but I'm not willing to debate such things as I don't feel it's imperative to this topic... I simply questioned the likelihood of a gorilla acting aggressively toward a child. You took an inference from that post, which was beyond the scope of my argument. Yes, just like you misinterpreted my post, I misinterpreted yours. When you said they cannot detect pregnancies, I replied in relevance to my argument which is some animals do, but not specifically gorillas. I don't know why passivity would indicate pregnancy, but again I'm not arguing this. I refuted the argument that animals cannot detect pregnancies, I did not refute animals inability to interpret body language. That's another ridiculous inference on your part, as animals cannot speak. It goes without saying

they communicate by body language. Please don't take my arguments out of context, and please don't attempt to argue with me about things I did not say... I did not say animals do not communicate by body language. I did not say gorillas detect pregnancies.

You argued animals don't communicate through body language. You said no, then said animals can "detect subtle changes in biology". Which for dogs, is true they can smell if a woman is pregnant, but like I clarified they have no concept of what pregnancy is for other animals. Teenage girls aren't buying a dog to make sure they aren't pregnant.

And now you're a strawman, I cited a source stating pets do not know if you are pregnant or not. You are simply telling me animals can sense things they cannot understand. A dog can smell the difference from a pregnant woman and a regular woman, does that mean he can sense pregnancy? No, it just means he can detect the change in body odor. Which is what that site I linked you too earlier was getting across.

(May 29, 2016 02:46 PM)I0new0lf Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) (May 29, 2016 02:39 PM)Jackie Chun Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) lol they can read body language just like we can but it's their main source of communication like with most animals. They don't physically sense an unborn child on another creature, they can just tell by how passive you appear.

No, animals can detect subtle changes in biology such as scent. Animals don't necessarily possess the same senses as us, or to the same acuity. A lot of animals can identify a pregnant woman by her scent/pheromones.

My argument is primates can tell by body language how you are doing, your argument is animals can smell things... If you shit your pants out of fear an animal may smell your shit but he does not know you are fearful, if you cower an animal can tell by your body language you are fearful to an extent considering they themselves are not overtaken by fear.

Reven?nt - May 29, 2016, 6:25 pm

It's horrible that the gorilla was killed, however, if it was your child, what would you want them to do? Nevertheless, they did this to save their ass, they would have been sued for all they are worth if the child was harmed by the animal.

I0new0lf - May 29, 2016, 6:30 pm

(May 29, 2016 06:21 PM)Jackie Chun Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You argued animals don't communicate through body language. You said no, then said animals can "detect subtle changes in biology". Which for dogs, is true they can smell if a woman is pregnant, but like I clarified they have no concept of what pregnancy is for other animals. Teenage girls aren't buying a dog to make sure they aren't pregnant.

And now you're a strawman, I cited a source stating pets do not know if you are pregnant or not. You are simply telling me animals can sense things they cannot understand. A dog can smell the difference from a pregnant woman and a regular woman, does that mean he can sense pregnancy? No, it just means he can detect the change in body odor. Which is what that site I linked you too earlier was getting across.

My argument is primates can tell by body language how you are doing, your argument is animals can smell things... If you shit your pants out of fear an animal may smell your shit but he does not know you are fearful, if you cower an animal can tell by your body language you are fearful to an

extent considering they themselves are not overtaken by fear.

It's not just about the smell, it's also about pheromones, you should probably look into that... How do you know what animals can and cannot understand? Your argument is invalid and I'm not continuing this OT discussion. You can't compare pregnancy to detecting an emotion like fear, those are two very different things.

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1CHBF_enCA694CA694&ion=1&spv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=can+animals+detect+our+pheromones

Jackie Chun - May 29, 2016, 7:12 pm

(May 29, 2016 06:30 PM)I0new0lf Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's not just about the smell, it's also about pheromones, you should probably look into that... How do you know what animals can and cannot understand? Your argument is invalid and I'm not continuing this OT discussion. You can't compare pregnancy to detecting an emotion like fear, those are two very different things.

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1CHBF_enCA694CA694&ion=1&spv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=can+animals+detect+our+pheromones

Do you know what pheromones are? That is literal body producing odor....

I know certain animals don't have object permanence, and it's safe to assume a dog can't think up the concept: this human female is pregnant and will give birth because she smells different than a regular female.

Your argument is if you are pregnant an animal can smell you are pregnant.

My argument in counter to that is if you shit your pants, does that mean an animal can determine you are afraid?

The answer is no, one being because shitting your pants is probably a self defense mechanism to deter predators from eating you. The main being because animals unlike human beings cannot create complex conclusions such as someone shits their self out of fear. Instead they rely much more heavily on body language, which expresses much more effectively you are afraid or you are pregnant.

I0new0lf - May 29, 2016, 7:26 pm

(May 29, 2016 07:12 PM)Jackie Chun Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Do you know what pheromones are? That is literal body producing odor....

I know certain animals don't have object permanence, and it's safe to assume a dog can't think up the concept: this human female is pregnant and will give birth because she smells different than a regular female.

Your argument is if you are pregnant an animal can smell you are pregnant.

My argument in counter to that is if you shit your pants, does that mean an animal can determine you are afraid?

The answer is no, one being because shitting your pants is probably a self defense mechanism to deter predators from eating you. The main being because animals unlike human beings cannot create complex conclusions such as someone shits their self out of fear. Instead they rely much more heavily on body language, which expresses much more effectively you are afraid or you are

pregnant.

You clearly have a severe lack in understanding of what pheromones are, and what they do. I suggest brushing up on the topic before arguing with me.

Jackie Chun - May 29, 2016, 7:41 pm

(May 29, 2016 07:26 PM)I0new0lf Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You clearly have a severe lack in understanding of what pheromones are, and what they do. I suggest brushing up on the topic before arguing with me. So you are just ignorant.

Does your brain just stop here? Do you not have any reasoning for how life is able to sense pheromones? Through smell.

guess what I found out with a little bit of research?

<http://antark.net/ant-life/ant-communication/pheromones/>

"Pheromones are detected at the tips of the ants super sensitive antennae"

<https://www.quora.com/How-do-ants-sense-food>

"The antennae of ants have hair-like sensilia that contain one or more sensory neurons. Most of the sensilia contain olfactory neurons. Each neuron sends a long nerve fiber through the antennal nerve that terminate in the antennal lobe of its brain. The axons from the olfactory neurons terminate in the lobe in globular structures called glomeruli. The antennal lobe of ants is particularly large and it has around 420-430 glomeruli. (A honey bee has 160). The more the glomeruli, the more variety of odors the ant can process. This provides for the acute smell sense of ants."

Conclusion: Ants smell pheromones, just like bees, humans... etc...

I0new0lf - May 29, 2016, 7:48 pm

(May 29, 2016 07:41 PM)Jackie Chun Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So you are just ignorant.

Does your brain just stop here? Do you not have any reasoning for how life is able to sense pheromones? Through smell.

guess what I found out with a little bit of research?

<http://antark.net/ant-life/ant-communication/pheromones/>

"Pheromones are detected at the tips of the ants super sensitive antennae"

<https://www.quora.com/How-do-ants-sense-food>

"The antennae of ants have hair-like sensilia that contain one or more sensory neurons. Most of the sensilia contain olfactory neurons. Each neuron sends a long nerve fiber through the antennal nerve that terminate in the antennal lobe of its brain. The axons from the olfactory neurons terminate in

the lobe in globular structures called glomeruli. The antennal lobe of ants is particularly large and it has around 420-430 glomeruli. (A honey bee has 160). The more the glomeruli, the more variety of odors the ant can process. This provides for the acute smell sense of ants."

Conclusion: Ants smell pheromones, just like bees, humans... etc...

Do you misread and take EVERYTHING out of context? LOL. You're actually a joke, and your arguments are garbage... Where did I say pheromones weren't related to your sense of smell? I SAID IT'S NOT JUST ABOUT SMELL, IT'S ABOUT THE PHEROMONES, AND THE EFFECTS THEY HAVE ON BEHAVIOR. NOT EVERY SMELL IS A PHEROMONE. You're literally arguing FOR my point now... Do you not realize that? HOLY SHIT, you are hands down the most illiterate, LQ person on this forum. I understand your rep now. Good day, and welcome to ignore.

Jackie Chun - May 29, 2016, 8:04 pm

(May 29, 2016 07:48 PM)l0new0lf Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Do you misread and take EVERYTHING out of context? LOL. You're actually a joke, and your arguments are garbage... Where did I say pheromones weren't related to your sense of smell? I SAID IT'S NOT JUST ABOUT SMELL, IT'S ABOUT THE PHEROMONES, AND THE EFFECTS THEY HAVE ON BEHAVIOR. NOT EVERY SMELL IS A PHEROMONE. You're literally arguing FOR my point now... Do you not realize that? HOLY SHIT, you are hands down the most illiterate, LQ person on this forum. I understand your rep now. Good day, and welcome to ignore.

Thank you for elaborating. I apologize for not understanding your meaning, but at least through our discussion you began to understand why I am so negatively repped.

?

Temp_neightworks - May 29, 2016, 8:51 pm

Ayee my local zoo.

Absolutely destroying my social media.

I haven't been there in a few years so I'm confused at how it even happened (well the gorilla being there, I haven't watched the video because I don't care, but the picture looks like the waterfall in front of the exhibit that cannot be reached by the gorillas).

If they didn't shoot and it hurt the kid "OMG why didn't they do something"
If they shoot and he doesn't do anything "OMG why did they do that"

And it's easy to blame the parents however I'd suggest reading the article written from the 911 caller who was standing next to the parents when it happened.

The Supreme Leader - May 29, 2016, 8:54 pm

The gorilla was shot dead because some bitch couldn't take care and watch her kid.

Temp_neightworks - May 29, 2016, 8:57 pm

And I'm just going to throw this out there, but I don't know about y'all niggas but dipping when my mother turned around was too easy.

Jackie Chun - May 29, 2016, 10:20 pm

(May 29, 2016 08:54 PM) Fat Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The gorilla was shot dead because some bitch couldn't take care and watch her kid.

that had some flow... Kid and dead I suppose. (you dont know what im talking about probably lol)

But liberally I got to point out, what if this was your kid. Would you freak out or not? I personally would jump down with the gorilla but hey it's your decision.

Webmasters - May 29, 2016, 10:24 pm

The zoo needs to be redesigned so something like that CAN NOT happen..

If the zoo did their part, nothing like this would happen. Simple enough.

CordCutter - May 29, 2016, 10:48 pm

Parents are fully responsible for such a careless behavior. Shame

Xerotic - May 29, 2016, 10:52 pm

That is awful. I feel bad for the gorilla. They had very few options considering most people value human lives over the gorilla's.

Squadie - May 29, 2016, 10:58 pm

I saw the video and it seem lik the gorilla was not hurting the child

The Supreme Leader - May 29, 2016, 10:59 pm

(May 29, 2016 10:52 PM)Xerotic Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That is awful. I feel bad for the gorilla. They had very few options considering most people value human lives over the gorilla's.

Idk why they didn't just tranquilize the gorilla

Farshad97 - May 29, 2016, 11:34 pm

Good rather the gorilla be dead than the 3 year old mauled to death. some of u are sick putting that gorillas life over a fucking 3 year old. what if that was ur lil brother?

Elegant - May 29, 2016, 11:52 pm

You guys never put yourselves in that perspective is what I believe.

If you were that little boy, you'd love for the gorilla to be shot because YOUR FUCKING LIFE IS IN DANGER.

Jackie Chun - May 30, 2016, 12:09 am

(May 29, 2016 11:52 PM)Elegant Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You guys never put yourselves in that perspective is what I believe.

If you were that little boy, you'd love for the gorilla to be shot because YOUR FUCKING LIFE IS IN DANGER.

If you're that little boy you have no idea what is going on. You may want to stop that gorilla but listen to what you're actually typing. You're creating what you think the perspective of a child would be and using that as a justification for killing one of the few species of that gorilla left in the world. The parents have every right to be upset, but they are the ones at fault. The child wasn't hurt severely was he? Being dragged a little bit didn't kill him? If his parents were allowing him to fall into a cage at the zoo, of course he must be capable of handling a small bit of uncivil punishment am i right you conservative bastards?

The primate is the closest thing to human intelligence another animal is capable of. Even more so than dolphins and elephants (who mourn their dead, and even take hindsight revenge). To suggest the will of a newborn child outweighs the primal instincts of a 17 year old gorilla is absurd. In this situation where you have seemingly no choice, you are giving your consent to this animal to take care of your child. As I pointed out, nobody jumped down in any attempt to save their child. They literally gave their hope and faith into an animal of the highest intelligence among the other life forms aside from our self's. The animal did not kill or harm the child right? Some cultures sling babies

around by their limbs and it does not harm them. You really think by dragging this child he was endangering his life? Ever heard of wrestling? The human body can take much more damage than you may think.

TheMarco-temp - May 30, 2016, 5:15 am

(May 29, 2016 11:34 PM)Farshad97 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Good rather the gorilla be dead than the 3 year old mauled to death. some of u are sick putting that gorillas life over a fucking 3 year old. what if that was ur lil brother?

I'm not saying the gorilla's life is more important than the 3 year old's. It's simply the fact that most people say that the gorilla did not even attack the child. If you read and see the video it's as if the gorilla is simply helping the kid. As for the "terrible injuries" that they say the child has, it was confirmed that any injuries it sustained were not from the gorilla, and not even serious. And even if the gorilla had attacked the child, it's the children's parents that are at fault. Like seriously, how the hell do you lose your kid in a ZOO and in such a way that it's able to get in the GORILLA CAGE. Stupid people IMHO.

Farshad97 - May 30, 2016, 5:31 am

(May 30, 2016 05:15 AM)TheMarco-temp Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm not saying the gorilla's life is more important than the 3 year old's. It's simply the fact that most people say that the gorilla did not even attack the child. If you read and see the video it's as if the gorilla is simply helping the kid. As for the "terrible injuries" that they say the child has, it was confirmed that any injuries it sustained were not from the gorilla, and not even serious. And even if the gorilla had attacked the child, it's the children's parents that are at fault. Like seriously, how the hell do you lose your kid in a ZOO and in such a way that it's able to get in the GORILLA CAGE. Stupid people IMHO.

of course its the parents fault but that doesnt mean a 3 year old should suffer for it. Also its the zoo's fault. they should have better security seriously. What should they have done to the gorilla? use Tranquilizer? Those take some time to work and by then if the gorilla is shot by a Tranquilizer he would have been angry and killed the 3 year old. so shooting it was the only option. The gorilla didnt help it. Thats stupid a gorilla dont have that cognitive thinking.... It was just confused . It would probably had killed it had the kid been there longer then he was. Yah the parents r stupid but human lives r more important . Imagine the gorilla could have ripped the kid in half . that would be terrible. There is no edvidence that the gorilla helped him . He just stared and picked him up and at the end of the video you see the gorilla drag him and run away. The kid should not have been there in the first place but HE was so nothing we can do about it. Saying Justicforharambe or watever the gorilla name is doesnt change anything.

TheMarco-temp - May 30, 2016, 5:41 am

(May 30, 2016 05:31 AM)Farshad97 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) of course its the parents fault but that doesnt mean a 3 year old should suffer for it. Also its the zoo's fault. they should have better security seriously. What should they have done to the gorilla? use Tranquilizer? Those take some time to work and by then if the gorilla is shot by a Tranquilizer he would have been angry and killed the 3 year old. so shooting it was the only option. The gorilla didnt help it. Thats stupid a gorilla dont have that cognitive thinking.... It was just confused . It would probably had killed it had the kid been there longer then he was. Yah the parents r stupid but human lives r more important . Imagine the gorilla could have ripped the kid in half . that would be terrible. There is no edvidence that the gorilla helped him . He just stared and picked him up and at the end of the video you see the gorilla drag him and run away. The kid should not have been there in the first place but HE was so nothing we can do about it. Saying Justicforharambe or watever the gorilla name is doesnt change anything. I agree, both parents and the zoo are at fault. As I said. Stupid people.

Mutes - May 30, 2016, 6:22 am

I don't support zoos, or aquariums such a Sea a World, and Marineland near me. Before you comment further, I don't eat meat either.

I've watched this video more than once, and I don't see the gorilla trying to harm the kid. Yes he dragged him, but he was trying to pull the kid to safety because everyone was yelling. There's also a point where the gorilla pats the kid and sort of pulls him closer as if it's his own baby. There's zookeepers for a reason. Send 2 in. One can distract the gorilla, the other can grab the kid. There's other options other than shooting it as it posed no threat.

pcanningg - May 30, 2016, 6:35 am

(May 29, 2016 11:05 AM)Lil Durk Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) DOXXED: Nah but I live in Cincinnati, yeah shits all over my facebook right now, retarded parents raised a retarded son. Endangered gorilla should have been saved shoot the kid man. He was almost ready to mate so kind of a bad loss compared to one shitter.

Are you fucking retarded?

goliath1336 - May 30, 2016, 12:02 pm

The gorilla was shot dead because some idiot couldn't take care of her kid.

Phen - May 30, 2016, 4:22 pm

That is outrageous! The decision which was taken by the staffs was clearly not thought through. The parenting instinct in the gorilla kicked in because of the child being in despair, and they decided to use lethal force when they could have but used anesthesia ammunition.

I'd rather have my "life depending" decisions made by that gorilla than those stupid muscleheads who dares to call themselves for animal experts.

Krule - May 30, 2016, 4:39 pm

parents should be killed like the gorilla

5yberwolf - May 30, 2016, 5:08 pm

Fuck her, useless mother. They should have just used a tranquilizer. If they didn't reach the kid in time so what? It's her fault.

B?o?s?t?o?n - May 30, 2016, 5:46 pm

Here's a picture of HARAMBE when he was little

A1R M4X - May 30, 2016, 6:10 pm

Stupid parents , human mistake cost that gorillas life :(

hugsyou - May 30, 2016, 7:35 pm

<http://littleblossomscincy.com/>

Here is her business website.

?KinG? - May 30, 2016, 8:21 pm

Boy fell, Gorilla was trying to protect him..

People thought Gorilla will kill him but the little boy killed him... technically... and we say Kid was rescued...

GG

RIP harambe

Mutes - May 31, 2016, 4:35 am

(May 30, 2016 07:35 PM)hugsyou Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) <http://littleblossomscincy.com/>

Here is her business website.

"Path to a bright future" LOL!

The only bright future kids around her apparently see is a bright light taking them to heaven because of her stupid ass not watching them.

donalen - May 31, 2016, 7:28 am

All of you people valuing a Gorillas life over a 3 year old kids are fucking retarded.

If that was your little brother, or a relative, you wouldnt be talking the way you are. It was a fucking three year old that fell into the cage. Three fucking years old, and you people think he has the mental capacity to even know what was going on, and condemn him to die over a fucking Gorilla. You people are dispicable.

If a tranquilizer dart was used, the Gorilla could have killed the boy due to a delay between the Gorilla feeling the dart and the sedative kicking in.

Also, if a zoo has such a poorly guarded exhibit that a 3 year old can accidentally fall into a Gorilla cage, that is the zoos problem. This should have never been possible for an adult, let alone a 3 year old kid.

You guys can pass blame around to whoever. The point is, if you think that a little 3 year old deserved to die over a Gorilla, you are a piece of trash. Thats all I have to say about you.

Corpse - May 31, 2016, 7:33 am

Some people deserve to be castrated tbh.

Stiches - May 31, 2016, 8:09 am

Man that is just fucking stupid, that gorilla was being pretty fucking gentle in my book. But instead of tranquilizing him, they fucking shot him because someone couldn't fucking watch their kid, my god.

IMT WildTurtle - May 31, 2016, 8:13 am

Very sad that they had to shoot the gorilla. His life could have been spared if the mother payed some attention to her kid...

donalen - May 31, 2016, 8:31 am

The gorilla could not be tranquilized. I dont know why people keep mentioning this.

First of all, it dragged the kid over 20 yards, and through water.

Second, as soon as the gorilla felt the dart, it could have become aggressive, potentially harming the child before the sedative kicked in.

Ultimately, this comes down to poor planning and engineering of the Gorilla's enclosure. It's really the fault of the Zoo. This could have happened to anybody, and the woman who was supposedly "not watching her child" was busy watching multiple small little children. She had her hands full, and a three year old should not have been able to just fall into the enclosure of a "potentially dangerous" animal.

Farshad97 - May 31, 2016, 9:21 am

(May 31, 2016 07:28 AM)donalen Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) All of you people valuing a Gorillas life over a 3 year old kids are fucking retarded.

If that was your little brother, or a relative, you wouldnt be talking the way you are. It was a fucking three year old that fell into the cage. Three fucking years old, and you people think he has the mental capacity to even know what was going on, and condemn him to die over a fucking Gorilla. You people are dispicable.

If a tranquilizer dart was used, the Gorilla could have killed the boy due to a delay between the

Gorilla feeling the dart and the sedative kicking in.

Also, if a zoo has such a poorly guarded exhibit that a 3 year old can accidentally fall into a Gorilla cage, that is the zoos problem. This should have never been possible for an adult, let alone a 3 year old kid.

You guys can pass blame around to whoever. The point is, if you think that a little 3 year old deserved to die over a Gorilla, you are a piece of trash. Thats all I have to say about you. agree with you 100% couldnt have said it better. Cant belive what people are saying on the internet ,it makes me lose faith in humanity .

donalen - May 31, 2016, 9:37 am

[Video: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uv4Fvs9jQpc>]

Humanity has now gone full retard.

The black lives matter movement is now claiming racism over the gorilla cage incident. I am literally smashing my face into my desk now. Why do I have to share the same air with these people?

First, people condemn a three year old to death.

Now, black people are shouting racism over the incident. Just blow up the earth. It's the final and only solution for the stupidity at this point.

Rolly Joger - May 31, 2016, 9:50 am

So millions of people die everyday and we are worried about a caged gorilla? Also, shouldn't we be worried about why these gorillas are caged in the first place?

[Rn] 7s^2 - May 31, 2016, 9:51 am

(May 31, 2016 09:37 AM)donalen Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) [Video: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uv4Fvs9jQpc>]

Humanity has now gone full retard.

The black lives matter movement is now claiming racism over the gorilla cage incident. I am literally smashing my face into my desk now. Why do I have to share the same air with these people?

First, people condemn a three year old to death.

Now, black people are shouting racism over the incident. Just blow up the earth. It's the final and only solution for the stupidity at this point.

I agree. That's some real fucked up shit. Sometimes I feel frustrated like you but then I stop because it's of no use.

Six Double 0 - May 31, 2016, 9:52 am

My friend told me about this yesterday, just a terrible situation to be honest.

Farshad97 - May 31, 2016, 9:56 am

(May 31, 2016 09:37 AM)donalen Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) [Video: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uv4Fvs9jQpc>]

Humanity has now gone full retard.

The black lives matter movement is now claiming racism over the gorilla cage incident. I am literally smashing my face into my desk now. Why do I have to share the same air with these people?

First, people condemn a three year old to death.

Now, black people are shouting racism over the incident. Just blow up the earth. It's the final and only solution for the stupidity at this point.LOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOL THE KID IS BLACK THO!

lucky. - May 31, 2016, 10:08 am

Unpopular opinion here.

Not going on the whole tranquilizer idea some have because I get the flaws in that -- it makes sense to likely make things a hell of a lot worse before better. I'm going off the idea of removing the kid without any of that, and I think if the kid were to die, then shit that sucks but it happened, he is in a fucking gorilla enclosure naturally that is a possibility. Its a bit irritating that the gorilla was killed for doing whatever he wants in his domain, and because someone else had a fuckup it ended this way. Probably sound like an asshole, probably worded this poorly. Oh well, the event has already happened so I don't care too much.

SIM-2285183 - May 31, 2016, 10:10 am

Everything dies, get over yourself.

G Money - May 31, 2016, 2:19 pm

I don't see that they had any other choice but to shoot the gorilla. The child was clearly in danger although open to interpretation, one cannot take a chance here in this situation.

alejo1396 - June 4, 2016, 2:08 pm

Idk why people has to be so idiot, the life of an animal is the same as killing a human....

Biker BoyZ - June 4, 2016, 2:11 pm

I personally thinking that the Gorilla helped the child. he kept it up and looked after it. (helped it from drowning) . Why did they not use a injection tranquilizer gun to put it to sleep for 30 minutes. we have pretty intense doses to handle these animals. I sudden to think it was becuz he was black.

\$uicide - June 4, 2016, 2:11 pm

(Jun 4, 2016 02:08 PM)alejo1396 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Idk why people has to be so idiot, the life of an animal is the same as killing a human....

Why did you have to bump this and make me cry again you little fuck

sp??k? - June 5, 2016, 11:20 am

I'm sure the gorilla was trying to protect the child:/

Tutelary - June 5, 2016, 11:21 am

With regards to zookeepers, they tend to put the life of a human higher than the life of the gorilla. Twice the gorilla dragged the kid around and could've hit a rock or something and killed him. I think they were justified.

Brendan - June 5, 2016, 8:00 pm

Honestly, everyone is making such a big deal over this when they are just going to forget about it soon.

barbarbar1 - June 6, 2016, 4:20 am

His parents should've paid more attention. This is a child, he needs to be taken care of! Where were

his parents?

Farshad97 - June 6, 2016, 4:36 am

(Jun 4, 2016 02:08 PM)alejo1396 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Idk why people has to be so idiot, the life of an animal is the same as killing a human....

Definaty not. People like you should be killed valuing animal lifes more important.

We have a soul, animals do not. We have free will, animals only act on instinct.

So humans are given more importance , Dogs are called mans best friend , but why are they chained up . Because no one like them and no one believes them . Animals cannot save the earth ,only human can save the future . So human should be given more importance.

something that people seem to forget, in the wild where animals live, they are brutal and ferocious. They will rib a baby apart naturally, they eat their own young. Humans are the ones who have been protecting these animals from extinction, because we show compassion to others. If you want to bring religion into this, it states right there that we are superior to animals. Which we are. I'm not saying that animals don't deserve rights etc I believe very much in good ethical treatment of animals but I maintain that a human life is worth more. If you were faced with the dilemma of saving your human friend or your dog, I'm sure everyone would choose there friend.

Because of the potential we have to rise to ever higher levels of awareness/consciousness, which, by the way, is our duty. Now that doesn't mean that the lives of the animals don't matter. It only means that a human life is something that one needs to preserve due to the potential that human has for achieving what the Hindus call "samadhi," the very highest level of consciousness. Animals cannot do this. So, yes, spiritually speaking, a human life is more important. And do remember that the PC save-the-planet people believe that all lives, whether human or insect, are all "the same." Wrong. Those people have never investigated life ... and never will ...

man is packed up with infinite intellectual capabilities. Also, he can think critically and act logically. Hence, there is no doubt t state that man is a SUPERIOR creature.

Deany - June 6, 2016, 4:38 am

It's fucking bullshit I tell ya what. Harambe did nothing wrong!

AMD Graphics - June 22, 2016, 4:45 am

His parents are the one to blame.

? TAQ ? - June 22, 2016, 4:46 am

(Jun 22, 2016 04:45 AM)AMD Graphics Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) His parents are the one to blame.

You trying to boost your post count or something with this LQ late post?