

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Havockas has threatened to leak my ebook | UID = 1693080](#)

V For Vendetta - July 24, 2016, 7:30 am

Link to user in question:

<https://hackforums.net/member.php?action=profile&uid=1693080>

I sold Havockas my method for \$125 Skrill:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5323018>

Proof that I'm talking to him via skype:

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

Basically, user does not know how to follow instructions in the e-book to get the method working for him. Havockas has berated me by calling me a liar which affected my psychological well-being and state of mind, but I ignored it. I clearly state on my thread:

Berating me leads to the loss of support and/or a refund at my discretion.

Proof he berated me:

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

The text crossed out in red is to protect the integrity of my method.

I ignored it, but shit hit the fan today when he said he was going to leak my e-book.

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

I was willing to refund him if he showed me recorded calls, chat transcripts etc, as that is "sufficient proof". However, after threatening to leak my e-book, I remove his right to support and a refund.

Regards,

V For Vendetta.

?yden - July 24, 2016, 7:34 am

Seems like a valid case. Good luck resolving this.

minimalist - July 24, 2016, 7:34 am

I'm backing you on this one. Normally I don't really do this for eBook sellers, but you are entirely in the right here. He knew what he'd be getting when he bought an eBook from your thread (where

proof was posted) and there's no way that the method wouldn't work unless he wasn't trying.

Good luck getting this resolved.

0x000R - July 24, 2016, 7:35 am

Are you fucking retarded??

Berating me leads to the loss of support and/or a refund at my discretion.

Proof he berated me:
Spoiler (Click to Hide)

"why the fuck u lyin"

You're a fucking loser man

V For Vendetta - July 24, 2016, 7:39 am

(Jul 24, 2016 07:35 AM)0x000R Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Are you fucking retarded??

Berating me leads to the loss of support and/or a refund at my discretion.

Proof he berated me:
Spoiler (Click to Hide)

"why the fuck u lyin"

You're a fucking loser man

According to the definition, he berated me.

0x000R - July 24, 2016, 7:39 am

You must be a bitch, seriously. If you seriously get offended because of what someone says a and make a bullshit excuse out of it to keep their money, you don't deserve shit.

minimalist - July 24, 2016, 7:40 am

(Jul 24, 2016 07:35 AM)0x000R Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Are you fucking retarded??

Berating me leads to the loss of support and/or a refund at my discretion.

Proof he berated me:
Spoiler (Click to Hide)

"why the fuck u lyin"

You're a fucking loser man

That piece of proof goes hand in hand with the picture that follows. You can clearly see that Havockas is angrily scolding Vendetta.

granz???????????????? - July 24, 2016, 7:41 am

I have the same thought as Minimalist on this. I never back e-book sellers considering they do everything to void the refund guarantee but this is a case where you are right.

Best of luck resolving this man.

Tommy Chong - July 24, 2016, 7:41 am

(Jul 24, 2016 07:39 AM)V For Vendetta. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

According to the definition, he berated me.

Sorry, but if you call that berating. then you should build up some shield or something because you are gonna have a hard time in life.

Maybe your ebook was plain shit. Can't trust vouches nowadays.

Gotta have 2 sides of the story here

V For Vendetta - July 24, 2016, 7:41 am

(Jul 24, 2016 07:39 AM)0x000R Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You must be a bitch, seriously. If you seriously get offended because of what someone says a and make a bullshit excuse out of it to keep their money, you don't deserve shit.

I didn't care. I ignored it. I was willing to refund him if he showed me sufficient proof, which he has failed to do so.

(Jul 24, 2016 07:41 AM)Steff™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Sorry, but if you call that berating. then you should build up some shield or something because you are gonna have a hard time in life.

Maybe your ebook was plain shit. Can't trust vouches nowadays.

Gotta have 2 sides of the story here

Users have actually earned with this method.

Tommy Chong - July 24, 2016, 7:42 am

(Jul 24, 2016 07:41 AM)V For Vendetta. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I didn't care. I ignored it. I was willing to refund him if he showed me sufficient proof, which he has failed to do so.

Users have actually earned with this method.

As i said, vouches aren't trusted anymore nowadays. especially when it comes to ebooks and high repped members

RegularJoe - July 24, 2016, 7:47 am

@Havockas

Posting rude comments will not help your case. If you have a legitimate case, I suggest you voice it in a calm mature and manner.

??????? - July 24, 2016, 8:07 am

I don't know you, V For Vendetta.

Please take this advice for what it is, exactly that...Advice.

I don't know what you do outside of the forums, nor does it matter but as a whole this is the issue with forum sellers pushing eBooks and acting like "wanna be business men".

The amount in question is \$125.

Unless your methods aren't earning you what you say they are / are possible of, why would you not just refund him the \$125 take the loss, delete him and move on?

Maybe this comes with doing business for a while, or experience but an unhappy / angry customer (Be it online, in person whatever) is not one that you want around.

The general rule is refund them and delete / forget it ever happened.

Since the admins don't get involved in deal disputes this is what is going to happen.
He is going to keep harassing you however he can, he may leak your method on this forum or others.
You're going to get a headache from it and be annoyed.

It's \$125. You are actively selling a method that you advertise as bringing in \$1000/week.

Next thing that will happen, any one who sees this thread and probably my post will now think "He can't refund \$125?"
It's all well and good standing by your terms and conditions if this was a brick and mortar business and you was dealing with educated, rational people but on a forum like this? It's usually one or the other.

You'll respond to this with "I said I'd refund him but he got rude and abusive so..."
You'll make triple this on the sale of the last copy of your eBook so why lose sleep and get annoyed about all this?

V For Vendetta - July 24, 2016, 8:09 am

(Jul 24, 2016 08:07 AM)TheKnightCollective Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't know you, V For Vendetta.
Please take this advice for what it is, exactly that...Advice.

I don't know what you do outside of the forums, nor does it matter but as a whole this is the issue with forum sellers pushing eBooks and acting like "wanna be business men".

The amount in question is \$125.
Unless your methods aren't earning you what you say they are / are possible of, why would you not just refund him the \$125 take the loss, delete him and move on?
Maybe this comes with doing business for a while, or experience but an unhappy / angry customer (Be it online, in person whatever) is not one that you want around.

The general rule is refund them and delete / forget it ever happened.

Since the admins don't get involved in deal disputes this is what is going to happen.
He is going to keep harassing you however he can, he may leak your method on this forum or others.
You're going to get a headache from it and be annoyed.

It's \$125. You are actively selling a method that you advertise as bringing in \$1000/week.

Next thing that will happen, any one who sees this thread and probably my post will now think "He can't refund \$125?"
It's all well and good standing by your terms and conditions if this was a brick and mortar business and you was dealing with educated, rational people but on a forum like this? It's usually one or the other.

You'll respond to this with "I said I'd refund him but he got rude and abusive so..."
You'll make triple this on the sale of the last copy of your eBook so why lose sleep and get annoyed about all this? I completely understand. I was more than happy to refund him if he shows me proof, which he has not done so. Any brick or mortar company also needs proof, which Havockas has failed to provide.

Angelus - July 24, 2016, 10:48 am

Good luck with your "case" V, on your side

~Ang3lus

Ambien - July 24, 2016, 10:56 am

"which affected my psychological well-being and state of mind"

Squadie - July 24, 2016, 10:59 am

When you buy an ebook but doesn't like it lol this what happens

Havockas - July 24, 2016, 2:27 pm

I have been waiting for his proofs from amazon.co.uk over 3 weeks. He told me that method works on .co.uk I have tried plenty time and it didn't worked for me every time I get investigated. So he told that he is going to try method and will show me proofs. I've been waiting like I said over 3 weeks for his proofs and I really get pissed off, every time I ask him about amazon.co.uk he tells me it's on investigation need to wait, come on already waiting all 3 weeks for amazon response?? It's bullshit, refund or investigation takes maximum 7 days... I asked him for refund, now he's telling me to show mine proofs, what the heck?? So like I told him if I'm not getting refund for this crap e-book. If I do not get refund I will leak the method. I'm not that person who is trying to scam or to make some profit here.. I'm trying to be honest here, but it takes too long for me right now.. Good luck.

Skype chat:

[img]http://image.prntscr.com/image/acc6507a5d9d4f779112ea624434cb92.png[/img]

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/1252b0d6f8de4332867619be1503bf0c.png>

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/35ba66908f7249aea6c391cda8baf267.png>

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/6a14d514ee83400098040e8275082a9e.png>

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/45acfcda3a1d4361a8433c28ed0b0f27.png>

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/455f1e7bc31f4931b97738acb0306b61.png>

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/129fd97f3a9f4fa2b0b0803879208093.png>

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/9afb417d97f4c60b0414b46906325ed.png>

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/aaff7f1f631240d081510d16c86fb1de.png>

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/5db393e572da4f77b670bfaebcf9dcea.png>

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/18574fd7d92b419fa0e8d1e8200b9797.png>

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/a68e3b2e6181491e9fcce57ac4d32187.png>

Tommy Chong - July 24, 2016, 2:38 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 02:27 PM)Havockas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have been waiting for his proofs from amazon.co.uk over 3 weeks. He told me that method works on .co.uk I have tried plenty time and it didn't worked for me every time I get investigated. So he told that he is going to try method and will show me proofs. I've been waiting like I said over 3 weeks for his proofs and I really get pissed off, every time I ask him about amazon.co.uk he tells me it's on investigation need to wait, come on already waiting all 3 weeks for amazon response?? It's bullshit, refund or investigation takes maximum 7 days... I asked him for refund, now he's telling me to show mine proofs, what the heck?? So like I told him if I'm not getting refund for this crap e-book. I'm not that person who is trying to scam or to make some profit here.. I'm trying to be honest here, but it takes too long for me right now.. If I do not get refund I will leak it method. Good luck.

Skype chat:

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/1252b0d6f8de4332867619be1503bf0c.png>

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/35ba66908f7249aea6c391cda8baf267.png>

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/6a14d514ee83400098040e8275082a9e.png>

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/45acfcda3a1d4361a8433c28ed0b0f27.png>
<http://image.prntscr.com/image/455f1e7bc31f4931b97738acb0306b61.png>
<http://image.prntscr.com/image/129fd97f3a9f4fa2b0b0803879208093.png>
<http://image.prntscr.com/image/9afba417d97f4c60b0414b46906325ed.png>
<http://image.prntscr.com/image/aaff7f1f631240d081510d16c86fb1de.png>
<http://image.prntscr.com/image/5db393e572da4f77b670bfaebcf9dcea.png>
<http://image.prntscr.com/image/18574fd7d92b419fa0e8d1e8200b9797.png>
<http://image.prntscr.com/image/a68e3b2e6181491e9fcce57ac4d32187.png>

Seems like OP is holding shit back ?

I would be pissed too, the wait is WAY to long for a \$125 product.

The fact that he had to wait that long himself proves his ebook flawed.

I fully support you on this one Havockas.

\$125 ebook and the support is shit and proves that it doesnt work because of the investigation.

I see this as legit proof and OP got some explaining to do

PS. I dont support leaking products. But shit ebooks should be in my honest opinion.

V For Vendetta - July 24, 2016, 2:42 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 02:38 PM)Steff™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Seems like OP is holding shit back ?

I would be pissed too, the wait is WAY to long for a \$125 product.

The fact that he had to wait that long himself proves his ebook flawed.

I fully support you on this one Havockas.

\$125 ebook and the support is shit and proves that it doesnt work because of the investigation.

I see this as legit proof and OP got some explaining to do

PS. I dont support leaking products. But shit ebooks should be in my honest opinion. Shit e-books?
Please tell me more about how people haven't earned. Like I said, show me sufficient proof and I'll refund you.

TAOS - July 24, 2016, 2:44 pm

Same here, i support

Havockas.

i mean OP, please read your own thread. like.. come on man.

clearly you found a working method to get you money, and you got some money coming from here aswell. this is just 120\$. refund him and move on. and reading

Havockas latest post does make your ebook sound like it's shit. and your just running away from refunding him with pathetic excuses.

V For Vendetta - July 24, 2016, 2:45 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 02:44 PM)Bazooka Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Same here, i support

Havockas.

i mean OP, please read your own thread. like.. come on man.

clearly you found a working method to get you money, and you got some money coming from here aswell. this is just 120\$. refund him and move on. and reading

Havockas latest post does make your ebook sound like it's shit. and your just running away from refunding him with pathetic excuses.

Show me sufficient proof and I'll refund. Simple as that.

Tommy Chong - July 24, 2016, 2:47 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 02:42 PM)V For Vendetta. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Shit e-books? Please tell me more about how people haven't earned. Like I said, show me sufficient proof and I'll refund you.

You not being able to pull it off clearly is sufficient proof that it flawed.

Your method claims to make \$1000/week. It takes you over a week trying to pull it off but you couldnt.

This in fact, is proof enough. You've proven it yourself.

Your vouches are shady. Probably people you know. They vouch for YOU not for your product. and payment proofs are easily to be faked.

It smells fishy bro.

You let him wait for a long ass time for \$125.

Refund him if your 1337 methods actually make that money you claim it shouldnt be a problem for you. you should be sleeping on cash stacks if i have to believe your threads bro.

Why trying to fuck him over when youve proven it yourself.

This is a clear example of high repped members selling shit methods,.

V For Vendetta. - July 24, 2016, 2:50 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 02:47 PM)Steff™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You not being able to pull it off clearly is sufficient proof that it flawed.

Your method claims to make \$1000/week. It takes you over a week trying to pull it off but you couldnt.

This in fact, is proof enough. You've proven it yourself.

Your vouches are shady. Probably people you know. They vouch for YOU not for your product. and payment proofs are easily to be faked.

It smells fishy bro.

You let him wait for a long ass time for \$125.

Refund him if your 1337 methods actually make that money you claim it shouldnt be a problem for you. you should be sleeping on cash stacks if i have to believe your threads bro.

Why trying to fuck him over when youve proven it yourself.

This is a clear example of high repped members selling shit methods,.

Message any one of my buyers and they have ALL earned. I'm willing to refund him but he isn't working with me.

Tommy Chong- - July 24, 2016, 2:52 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 02:50 PM)V For Vendetta. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Message any one of my buyers and they have ALL earned. I'm willing to refund him but he isn't working with me.

I dont have to message any of your buyers. Like people on HF are trusted. As if Ebook sellers are trusted Imfao.

Send him the money get it over and done with.

You flawed, take your loss and move on.

V For Vendetta. - July 24, 2016, 2:54 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 02:52 PM)Steff™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I dont have to message any of your buyers. Like people on HF are trusted. As if Ebook sellers are trusted Imfao.

Send him the money get it over and done with.

You flawed, take your loss and move on.I'm willing to refund him if he proves it. My method works, and I know it does, as well as all 9 other buyers who bought it.

I shouldn't even be offering him a refund as he threatened to leak my e-book, but am doing so out of good will.

Havockas - July 24, 2016, 2:55 pm

If you refund my money I keep my word I'm not going to leak/share your e-book. I don't have any recorded or chat scripts to give you proofs. Also I was waiting for your proofs because you said that you have tried .co.uk all that time you just been messing around with me, probably didn't do anything.

Tommy Chong - July 24, 2016, 2:59 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 02:54 PM)V For Vendetta. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm willing to refund him if he proves it. My method works, and I know it does, as well as all 9 other buyers who bought it.

I shouldn't even be offering him a refund as he threatened to leak my e-book, but am doing so out of good will.

Dude are you seriously retarded?

Let me break it down for you again.

1. You claim you make \$1000/week with that method. Yet, you need more than a week to pull it off? and you fail?
2. your shit tier ebook is \$125 and you try to brush him off every time he asks you about it.
3. You've proven YOURSELF your ebook flawed since you werent able to pull it off at all/within time

Yet you are still asking him for proof? Dude you must be the most cancerous user here on the forums.

EDIT: Added some color maybe you'll get it

You shot yourself in the foot here fam

SIM-2502833 - July 24, 2016, 3:07 pm

Have any of your customers even earned more than 125 dollars with it?

V For Vendetta - July 24, 2016, 3:08 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:07 PM)MrMcShroom Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Have any of your customers even earned more than 125 dollars with it?

Users have earned their money back with this method.

Woods - July 24, 2016, 3:12 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 02:27 PM)Havockas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have been waiting for his proofs from amazon.co.uk over 3 weeks. He told me that method works on .co.uk I have tried plenty time and it didn't worked for me every time I get investigated. So he told that he is going to try method and will show me proofs. I've been waiting like I said over 3 weeks for his proofs and I really get pissed off, every time I ask him about amazon.co.uk he tells me it's on investigation need to wait, come on already waiting all 3 weeks for amazon response?? It's bullshit, refund or investigation takes maximum 7 days... I asked him for refund, now he's telling me to show mine proofs, what the heck?? So like I told him if I'm not getting refund for this crap e-book. If I do not get refund I will leak the method. I'm not that person who is trying to scam or to make some profit here.. I'm trying to be honest here, but it takes too long for me right now.. Good luck.

Skype chat:

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/1252b0d6f8de4332867619be1503bf0c.png>

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/35ba66908f7249aea6c391cda8baf267.png>

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/6a14d514ee83400098040e8275082a9e.png>

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/45acfcda3a1d4361a8433c28ed0b0f27.png>
<http://image.prntscr.com/image/455f1e7bc31f4931b97738acb0306b61.png>
<http://image.prntscr.com/image/129fd97f3a9f4fa2b0b0803879208093.png>
<http://image.prntscr.com/image/9afba417d97f4c60b0414b46906325ed.png>
<http://image.prntscr.com/image/aaff7f1f631240d081510d16c86fb1de.png>
<http://image.prntscr.com/image/5db393e572da4f77b670bfaebcf9dcea.png>
<http://image.prntscr.com/image/18574fd7d92b419fa0e8d1e8200b9797.png>
<http://image.prntscr.com/image/a68e3b2e6181491e9fcce57ac4d32187.png>

You do understand that you are at your own risk when buying these ebooks. With that said, by buying his eBook you automatically agree to his TOS.

TOS:

Quote:Terms of Service (TOS):

You will get a partial refund (50%) if need be.

By purchasing you automatically agree and assume responsibility of the TOS.

You may not leak this e-book.

Not following or agreeing to any form of this TOS results in a scam report.

I may modify the TOS at any time.

Berating me leads to the loss of support and/or a refund at my discretion.

You lost support since you berated him. (scold him angrily) It doesn't matter if you believe it is unfair you agreed to it. Now he said he will refund you if you give him sufficient proof. Now with the screenshots I have read you never attempted to give him proof, your head was too far up your ass. You attempted to extort him by threatening a leak. WHICH IS ALSO IN HIS TOS. If you do leak his eBook (which is screenshotted and your account can be closed for leaking an eBook) then he will open a report and if a staff is sent this and reviews it then it is possible that your account will get closed. So in my eyes you are in the wrong.

Tommy Chong - July 24, 2016, 3:12 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:08 PM)V For Vendetta. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Users have earned their money back with this method.

Stop ignoring the fact that you flawed. Admit it, pay him the overpriced amount for your flawed method.

(Jul 24, 2016 03:12 PM)The Owl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You do understand that you are at your own risk when buying these ebooks. With that said, by buying his eBook you automatically agree to his TOS.
TOS:

You lost support since you berated him. (scold him angrily) It doesn't matter if you believe it is unfair you agreed to it. Now he said he will refund you if you give him sufficient proof. Now with the screenshots I have read you never attempted to give him proof, your head was too far up your ass. You attempted to extort him by threatening a leak. WHICH IS ALSO IN HIS TOS. If you do leak his eBook (which is screenshotted and your account can be closed for leaking an eBook) then he will open a report and if a staff is sent this and reviews it then it is possible that your account will get closed. So in my eyes you are in the wrong.

take a look at my earlier post

V For Vendetta - July 24, 2016, 3:15 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:12 PM)Steff™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Stop ignoring the fact that you flawed. Admit it, pay him the overpriced amount for your flawed method.

take a look at my earlier post

I flawed? Then tell me more why my method has worked for everybody but him, even for

amazon.co.uk. I'm willing to give it another shot for him, but he would need to prove it didn't work for him. At the moment, it seems like he is trying to get his money back by extorting me.

Woods - July 24, 2016, 3:16 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:12 PM)Steff™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Stop ignoring the fact that you flawed. Admit it, pay him the overpriced amount for your flawed method.

take a look at my earlier post

Your earlier post doesn't touch the fact that everything the OP is doing is justified by his TOS.

Havockas - July 24, 2016, 3:17 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:12 PM)The Owl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You do understand that you are at your own risk when buying these ebooks. With that said, by buying his eBook you automatically agree to his TOS. TOS:

You lost support since you berated him. (scold him angrily) It doesn't matter if you believe it is unfair you agreed to it. Now he said he will refund you if you give him sufficient proof. Now with the screenshots I have read you never attempted to give him proof, your head was too far up your ass. You attempted to extort him by threatening a leak. WHICH IS ALSO IN HIS TOS. If you do leak his eBook (which is screenshotted and your account can be closed for leaking an eBook) then he will open a report and if a staff is sent this and reviews it then it is possible that your account will get closed. So in my eyes you are in the wrong.

Why he didn't ask me before 1-2 weeks ago? He clearly says his going to try it his self amazon.co.uk maybe I was doing something wrong etc.. But I did plenty time all fail. He didn't provide any proofs and now he is asking my proofs I didn't save anything. I'm not going to try this e-book any more I don't want to waste my time any more with that.. I'm working guy 12 hours shift, tried to trust the OP method, but it looks like not trusted, again reputation, vouches and proofs never gives trust about method and user.

Tommy Chong - July 24, 2016, 3:18 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:15 PM)V For Vendetta. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I flawed? Then tell me more why my method has worked for everybody but him, even for amazon.co.uk. I'm willing to give it another shot for him, but he would need to prove it didn't work for him. At the moment, it seems like he is trying to get his money back by extorting me.

I'll keep posting this until you finally admit YOU flawed.

YOU wasn't able to pull off your OWN method. It took you LONGER than a week which already proves your failure

You failed hard and you denying it pisses me off even though it's not my purchase.

You are part of a growing tumor on hackforums, ebook sellers.

And you perfectly, and I say perfectly, fit the description of the shameless scamming ebook sellers.

soooooo here we go again:

Let me break it down for you again.

1. You claim you make \$1000/week with that method. Yet, you need more than a week to pull it off? and you fail?
2. your shit tier ebook is \$125 and you try to brush him off every time he asks you about it.
3. You've proven YOURSELF your ebook flawed since you weren't able to pull it off at all/within time

V For Vendetta - July 24, 2016, 3:19 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:18 PM)Steff™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'll keep posting this until you finally admit YOU flawed.

YOU wasn't able to pull off your OWN method.

You failed hard and you denying it pisses me off even though it's not my purchase.

You are part of a growing tumor on hackforums, ebook sellers.

And you perfectly, and i say perfectly, fit the discription of the shameless scamming ebook sellers.

soooooo here we go again:

Let me break it down for you again.

1. You claim you make \$1000/week with that method. Yet, you need more than a week to pull it off? and you fail?
2. your shit tier ebook is \$125 and you try to brush him off every time he asks you about it.
3. You've proven YOURSELF your ebook flawed since you werent able to pull it off at all/within time
This method worked within the week for most users, and can be easily scaled higher. For the user who it didn't work for within the week, he didn't care BECAUSE THE METHOD WORKED FOR HIM although it took him a bit longer. Show me proof that the method didn't work for you Havockas and I'll refund you.

Woods - July 24, 2016, 3:19 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:17 PM)Havockas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why he didn't asked me before 1-2 weeks ago? He clearly says his going to try it his self amazon.co.uk maybe I'm doing something wrong etc. He didn't provide any proofs and now he is asking my proofs I didn't save anything. I'm not going to try this e-book any more I don't want to waste my time any more with that.. I'm working guy 12 hours shift, tried to trust the OP method, but it looks like not trusted, again reputation, vouches and proofs never gives trust about method and user.

Sorry to say buddy, but he isn't liable to do anything for you.

Tommy Chong - July 24, 2016, 3:22 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:19 PM)V For Vendetta. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This method worked within the week for most users, and can be easily scaled higher. For the user who it didn't work for within the week, he didn't care BECAUSE THE METHOD WORKED FOR HIM although it took him a bit longer. Show me proof that the method didn't work for you Havockas and I'll refund you.

Why the actual fuck should he be showing proof when YOU were trying to prove it worked and YOU fucking failed

YOU are the person who provided sufficient proof that it didnt work.

Let me break it down for you again.

1. You claim you make \$1000/week with that method. Yet, you need more than a week to pull it off? and you fail?
2. your shit tier ebook is \$125 and you try to brush him off every time he asks you about it.
3. You've proven YOURSELF your ebook flawed since you werent able to pull it off at all/within time

V For Vendetta - July 24, 2016, 3:22 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:22 PM)Steff™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why the actual fuck should he be showing proof when YOU were trying to prove it worked and YOU fucking failed

YOU are the person who provided sufficient proof that it didnt work.

Let me break it down for you again.

1. You claim you make \$1000/week with that method. Yet, you need more than a week to pull it off? and you fail?
2. your shit tier ebook is \$125 and you try to brush him off every time he asks you about it.

3. You've proven YOURSELF your ebook flawed since you weren't able to pull it off at all/within time I am not liable to do the method for other people. I am providing support, not running a charity/doing someone's bitchwork.

Tommy Chong - July 24, 2016, 3:25 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:22 PM)V For Vendetta. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I am not liable to do the method for other people. I am providing support, not running a charity/doing someone's bitchwork.

Yet you were going to prove him it actually worked and you failed. and you you're just hiding and brushing it off

You, you are a complete total cunt.

You've proven yourself it didn't work. No one has to show prove when the actual owner fails on his own shitty method.

No further proof needed as yours is WAY more than just sufficient proof. It busts your whole method.

You flawed, admit it. The proof is there, yet you're evading every accusation (build up by straight up fucking facts) I throw at you.

Method - July 24, 2016, 3:26 pm

I somewhat see where Havockas is coming from.. he paid \$125 for an ebook that didn't work for him. He didn't think he'd need to keep proof back as your other reviews/vouches seemed legit so he just thought of it as a promising investment. The e-book backfired and it probably could be because of any reason (lack of effort, not paying enough detail) or maybe we blame the e-book itself. There's definitely 2 sides to this but Vendetta wins automatically as he states without sufficient proof of not earning whilst using his e-book, there's no refunds. So it's kind of Havockas' fault for buying the e-book only in hope.

Tommy Chong - July 24, 2016, 3:27 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:26 PM)Black Bullet Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I somewhat see where Havockas is coming from.. he paid \$125 for an ebook that didn't work for him. He didn't think he'd need to keep proof back as your other reviews/vouches seemed legit so he just thought of it as a promising investment. The e-book backfired and it probably could be because of any reason (lack of effort, not paying enough detail) or maybe we blame the e-book itself. There's definitely 2 sides to this but Vendetta wins automatically as he states without sufficient proof of not earning whilst using his e-book, there's no refunds. So it's kind of Havockas' fault for buying the e-book only in hope.

I think you missed that OP was 'proving' it worked but actually ended up shooting himself in the foot because he failed.

To start with, it took longer than a week LOL

Woods - July 24, 2016, 3:28 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:22 PM)Steff™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why the actual fuck should he be showing proof when YOU were trying to prove it worked and YOU fucking failed

YOU are the person who provided sufficient proof that it didn't work.

Let me break it down for you again.

1. You claim you make \$1000/week with that method. Yet, you need more than a week to pull it off? and you fail?
 2. your shit tier ebook is \$125 and you try to brush him off every time he asks you about it.
 3. You've proven YOURSELF your ebook flawed since you weren't able to pull it off at all/within time
- You do understand that the OP didn't promise a 100% success rate on the method. If he were to fail

then just try again. Is it his fault that his client is impatient and fails it one or two times gets upset then threatened him? He is giving him a chance to get a refund at the moment. He is lucky he is doing that in good will, I personally wouldn't be giving him SHIT since he had threatened him.

Havockas - July 24, 2016, 3:29 pm

Here where we started:

[img]http://image.prntscr.com/image/acc6507a5d9d4f779112ea624434cb92.png[/img]

Method - July 24, 2016, 3:29 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:27 PM)Steff™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think you missed that OP was 'proving' it worked but actually ended up shooting himself in the foot because he failed.

To start with, it took longer than a week LOL

Oh I did miss that. That does kind of make OP dig a hole for himself. But then again, he states he had people purchase the e-book and make earnings off it. I don't know, at the end of the day I wouldn't personally ever buy an e-book for cases like this.

V For Vendetta - July 24, 2016, 3:29 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:28 PM)The Owl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You do understand that the OP didn't promise a 100% success rate on the method. If he were to fail then just try again. Is it his fault that his client is impatient and fails it one or two times gets upset then threatened him? He is giving him a chance to get a refund at the moment. He is lucky he is doing that in good will, I personally wouldn't be giving him SHIT since he had threatened him. Someone who purchased it tried it THREE times to get it to work, as this isn't an easy method.

Tommy Chong - July 24, 2016, 3:30 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:28 PM)The Owl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You do understand that the OP didn't promise a 100% success rate on the method. If he were to fail then just try again. Is it his fault that his client is impatient and fails it one or two times gets upset then threatened him? He is giving him a chance to get a refund at the moment. He is lucky he is doing that in good will, I personally wouldn't be giving him SHIT since he had threatened him.

It doesnt work at all as OP himself put out i believe 3 orders and none of them came through.

That sir, is a 0% success rate. and selling a flawed method is more of a scam.

Don't act like he is doing it in good will.. He's acting like this because he's a complete cunt. He, himself, the owner/creator of the method, seller of the method, FAILS executing his own method.

as i said, no further proof needed as he proved himself his method flawed.

(Jul 24, 2016 03:29 PM)V For Vendetta. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Someone who purchased it tried it THREE times to get it to work, as this isn't an easy method.

what the actual fuck. you tried it yourself 3 times and you failed 3 times..... what the heck are you on about here.

Refund the kid. He deserves it

V For Vendetta - July 24, 2016, 3:30 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:29 PM)Black Bullet Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Oh I did miss that. That does kind of make OP dig a hole for himself. But then again, he states he had people purchase the e-book and make earnings off it. I don't know, at the end of the day I wouldn't personally ever buy an e-book for cases like this.

Then answer me why it worked for 9 other people hmm?

(Jul 24, 2016 03:30 PM)Steff™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It doesnt work at all as OP himself put out i believe 3 orders and none of them came through.

That sir, is a 0% success rate. and selling a flawed method is more of a scam.

Don't act like he is doing it in good will.. He's acting like this because he's a complete cunt. He, himself, the owner/creator of the method, seller of the method, FAILS executing his own method.

as i said, no further proof needed as he proved himself his method flawed.I put out 1 for the amazon.co.uk and they're investigating at the moment. My method isn't flawed.

Method - July 24, 2016, 3:32 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:30 PM)V For Vendetta. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Then answer me why it worked for 9 other people hmm?

That is why I'm siding with both parties. You had previous people pay with good success rate. Havockas has no success rate but a loss of money and insufficient proof that it works that was established being capable first hand by yourself. Your terms are that they must supply proof of not earning before any refund, but yet you were not able to supply proof that you can work your own e-book.

So it is really hard to tell who's in the right. Goodluck resolving anyhow.

Woods - July 24, 2016, 3:34 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:30 PM)Steff™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It doesnt work at all as OP himself put out i believe 3 orders and none of them came through.

That sir, is a 0% success rate. and selling a flawed method is more of a scam.

Don't act like he is doing it in good will.. He's acting like this because he's a complete cunt. He, himself, the owner/creator of the method, seller of the method, FAILS executing his own method.

as i said, no further proof needed as he proved himself his method flawed.

what the actual fuck. you tried it yourself 3 times and you failed 3 times..... what the heck are you on about here.

Refund the kid. He deserves itWhere are you getting the fact that he tried 3 times?

Tommy Chong- - July 24, 2016, 3:34 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:30 PM)V For Vendetta. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Then answer me why it worked for 9 other people hmm?

I put out 1 for the amazon.co.uk and they're investigating at the moment. My method isn't flawed. 'at the moment'

I believe it already took over a week? you flawed kiddo, accept it. How long is the wait gonna be? 2-3-4-5 weeks? bro your method obviously flawed there.

And as i mentioned before, Vouches and payment proofs prove jack shit as they are easily faked. I'm witness of how easy it happens here.

V For Vendetta - July 24, 2016, 3:35 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:34 PM)Steff™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 'at the moment'

I believe it already took over a week? you flawed kiddo, accept it. How long is the wait gonna be? 2-3-4-5 weeks? bro your method obviously flawed there.

And as i mentioned before, Vouches and payment proofs prove jack shit as they are easily faked. I'm witness of how easy it happens here.

When did I say I tried 3 times? I tried it once for Havockas, not 3 times.

Tommy Chong - July 24, 2016, 3:35 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:35 PM)V For Vendetta. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) When did I say I tried 3 times? I tried it once for Havockas, not 3 times.

My bad then because here it seems like you handled 3 orders.

But that doesnt take away the fact you flawed in executing your own method properly

Havockas deserves a refund.

V For Vendetta - July 24, 2016, 3:36 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:35 PM)Steff™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) My bad then because here it seems like you handled 3 orders.

But that doesnt take away the fact you flawed in executing your own method properly

Havockas deserves a refund.

Steps 1 2 and 3 in the method...

Woods - July 24, 2016, 3:37 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:36 PM)V For Vendetta. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Steps 1 2 and 3 in the method...
Lol, kid actually thought you tried it 3 times.

Tommy Chong - July 24, 2016, 3:38 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:36 PM)V For Vendetta. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Steps 1 2 and 3 in the method...
Like i can fucking smell that?

As i said, it doesnt take away the fact that you failed executing your own method as it is taking longer than a week for it even to be investigated.

You can admit now that you failed

You can refund him now

You can stop being a total cunt now

(Jul 24, 2016 03:37 PM)The Owl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Lol, kid actually thought you tried it 3 times.

Lol V got his buttbuddy in the thread. Imfao

Woods - July 24, 2016, 3:39 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:38 PM)Steff™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Like i can fucking smell that?

As i said, it doesnt take away the fact that you failed executing your own method as it is taking longer than a week for it even to be investigated.

You can admit now that you failed

You can refund him now

You can stop being a total cunt now

He can admit that he threatened to leak his eBook

He can admit he broke the TOS

He can fuck off ?

V For Vendetta - July 24, 2016, 3:39 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:38 PM)Steff™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Like i can fucking smell that?

As i said, it doesnt take away the fact that you failed executing your own method as it is taking longer than a week for it even to be investigated.

You can admit now that you failed

You can refund him now

You can stop being a total cunt now

Lol V got his buttbuddy in the thread. ImfaoThen don't like you know stuff without knowing the method?

And no, The Owl is not my buttbuddy. He's here on his own accord.

Tommy Chong- July 24, 2016, 3:41 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:39 PM)The Owl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) He can admit that he threatened to leak his eBook

He can admit he broke the TOS

He can fuck off ?

You're just his buttbuddy who bends over for him trying to defend him while he has no damn leg to stand on.

He failed executing his own method.

You both are trying to evade the facts

The fact that you take it up for him, makes you just as wrong as he is.

Refund the kid, he deserves it since you failed

Havockas - July 24, 2016, 3:41 pm

By the way he broke the rules with his method, because it's black hat.

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/c3c4c2b242a54818aba4adc1632e53b1.png>

Method - July 24, 2016, 3:43 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:41 PM)Havockas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) By the way he broke the rules with his method, because it's black hat.

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/c3c4c2b242a54818aba4adc1632e53b1.png>

Bro you can't use that in your argument you're the one that bought the damn thing lol

Tommy Chong- July 24, 2016, 3:43 pm

Just dropping this here in case OP trying to evade the facts again.
Like im talking to some fucking kid here, stubborn af

(Jul 24, 2016 02:59 PM)Steff™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Dude are you seriously retarded?

Let me break it down for you again.

1. You claim you make \$1000/week with that method. Yet, you need more than a week to pull it off? and you fail?
2. your shit tier ebook is \$125 and you try to brush him off every time he asks you about it.
3. You've proven YOURSELF your ebook flawed since you werent able to pull it off at all/within time

Yet you are still asking him for proof? Dude you must be the most cancerous user here on the forums.

EDIT: Added some color maybe you'll get it

You shot yourself in the foot here fam

Woods - July 24, 2016, 3:44 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:41 PM)Steff™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You're just his buttbuddy who bends over for him trying to defend him while he has no damn leg to stand on.

He failed executing his own method.

You both are trying to evade the facts

The fact that you take it up for him, makes you just as wrong as he is.

Refund the kid, he deserves it since you failed

Are you his lawyer? Does the prosecution rest? He hasn't sent a message in a while. You received a screenshot from him. You sure you two aren't holding hands ATM? He looks like he runs behind you for protection. How cute.

Yet I'm just a mutual friend who understands how business works unlike some people. ?

Havockas - July 24, 2016, 3:45 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:43 PM)Black Bullet Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Bro you can't use that in your argument you're the one that bought the damn thing lol

Well, I'm trying to get my money back not leaking or sharing the method. On his thread says white or gray hat.

Tommy Chong- - July 24, 2016, 3:47 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:44 PM)The Owl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Are you his lawyer? Does the prosecution rest? He hasn't sent a message in a while. You received a screenshot from him. You sure you two aren't holding hands ATM? He looks like he runs behind you for protection. How cute.

Yet I'm just a mutual friend who understands how business works unlike some people. ?

Nope, i just honestly shit over high repped ebook sellers

Me and the person who bought it off him are in no way related.

I'm here on my own because OP flawed and is still being a stubborn little kid.

He just gotta admit his failure, refund the guy. and move on and forget.

You know how business works? So business works for you as in selling a flawed method and pretty

much scamming?
Good to know

Woods - July 24, 2016, 3:48 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:41 PM)Havockas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) By the way he broke the rules with his method, because it's black hat.

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/c3c4c2b242a54818aba4adc1632e53b1.png>

You do understand that almost all AGC here is obtained illegally. Mostly by eWhoring which uses social engineering.

(Jul 24, 2016 03:47 PM)Steff™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Nope, i just honestly shit over high repped ebook sellers

Me and the person who bought it off him are in no way related.

I'm here on my own because OP flawed and is still being a stubborn little kid.

He just gotta admit his failure, refund the guy. and move on and forget.

You know how business works? So business works for you as in selling a flawed method and pretty much scamming?

Good to knowPlease explain to me what a Flawed eBook is and tell me how it is scamming? User paid for a product and seller gave such product! User doesn't like method tries to get a refund but is unable to follow TOS.

Tommy Chong - July 24, 2016, 3:52 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:48 PM)The Owl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You do understand that almost all AGC here is obtained illegally. Mostly by eWhoring which uses social engineering.

Please explain to me what a Flawed eBook is and tell me how it is scamming? User paid for a product and seller gave such product! User doesn't like method tries to get a refund but is unable to follow TOS.

There's a difference between disliking a method, and a flawed method.

Disliking a method means you dont like the method itself.

A flawed method is a method of wich the creator even fails executing it properly.

Bro stop talking, your IQ is dropping by the post

minimalist - July 24, 2016, 3:52 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:47 PM)Steff™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You know how business works? So business works for you as in selling a flawed method and pretty much scamming?

Good to know

It's not a flawed method. Vendetta is in the process of showing Havockas that the method works, but it takes time. A 100% failure rate from a sample size that's larger than 1 would prove the method to be non-working, but Vendetta has only tried it once.

Leave Vendetta to do his own thing, because this is an alert that was supposed to inform the community that Havockas threatened to leak an eBook. This has gone way off topic.

Tommy Chong - July 24, 2016, 3:55 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:52 PM)Minimalist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's not a flawed method. Vendetta is in the process of showing Havockas that the method works, but it takes time. A 100% failure rate from a sample size that's larger than 1 would prove the method to be non-working, but Vendetta has only tried it once.

Leave Vendetta to do his own thing, because this is an alert that was supposed to inform the community that Havockas threatened to leak an eBook. This has gone way off topic.

I wouldn't say off topic, since he actually pretty much flawed.

He claims that his method makes \$1000/week but it takes him longer than a week to pull it off. then something's wrong there ain't it?

minimalist - July 24, 2016, 3:59 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:55 PM)Steff™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I wouldn't say off topic, since he actually pretty much flawed.

He claims that his method makes \$1000/week but it takes him longer than a week to pull it off. then something's wrong there ain't it?

You should wait until Amazon accepts or declines his support request. In theory, you could execute the method dozens of times over the week and make (\$ * 12x) which can add up to thousands per week.

If Vendetta's attempt fails, then I suppose you may be right.

Tommy Chong - July 24, 2016, 4:02 pm

Posting this on behalf of [Nightmaree](#)

Nightmaree Wrote:I can't post in the thread because of my negative rep so can you write this?

"Vendetta, In your thread you stated before that you have never attempted the method for .co.uk AGC. You stalled for 3 weeks delaying support for your PAYING customers. You were never able to successfully attempt the method (posted in "leakers" screenshots) Could you also explain how you were selling a method that you didn't test FULLY???? Oh yea, It's because you sell bullshit methods with fake earnings proof and vouches from the marketplace skype group. If you look at pages and pages of your vouches they're all from the marketplace group or friends with you. All your eBooks are legit trash just how you are. You can make \$1000/ week but can't repay the user YOU scammed a year ago? The report is still open and you stated "I will pay July 31st" yet the report was a fucking year ago and you seem to be making a killing with your methods ?" Broke idiot. You NEED to refund this user. You attempted your own method and failed...That says a lot.

Seems like it's not the first

V For Vendetta - July 24, 2016, 4:03 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 03:59 PM)Minimalist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You should wait until Amazon accepts or declines his support request. In theory, you could execute the method dozens of times over the week and make (\$ * 12x) which can add up to thousands per week.

If Vendetta's attempt fails, then I suppose you may be right.

Thank you Minimalist. And yes, the fact that this method can EASILY be scaled (just make a new Amazon account...) proves that you can make \$1k/week.

(Jul 24, 2016 04:02 PM)Steff™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Posting this on behalf of [Nightmaree](#)

Seems like it's not the firstI have not failed, I'm still waiting.

Havockas, I have a question for you directly on this thread.
Yes or no, you threatened to leak my e-book?

minimalist - July 24, 2016, 4:06 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 04:02 PM)Steff™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Posting this on behalf of [Nightmaree](#)

Seems like it's not the first

From what I can understand, he did try the method, just not on the .co.uk site. Only after a customer tested that it worked on the .co.uk site did he mention that it worked. Again, he was (I'd assume) going off of customer vouches. I don't think you understand the purpose of a vouch.

btw: the report was made against Vendetta for a scam that he didn't actually commit. bullets jacked his account and scammed a user.

Havockas - July 24, 2016, 4:09 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 04:03 PM)V For Vendetta. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thank you Minimalist. And yes, the fact that this method can EASILY be scaled (just make a new Amazon account...) proves that you can make \$1k/week.

I have not failed, I'm still waiting.

Havockas, I have a question for you directly on this thread.

Yes or no, you threatened to leak my e-book?I not going to leak your method, I'm waiting for refund. If I get refund your method not going to be leaked. That's all I'm asking for refund, it's enough to waste mine and any others time...

V For Vendetta - July 24, 2016, 4:10 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 04:09 PM)Havockas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I not going to leak your method, I'm waiting for refund. If I get refund your method not going to be leaked. That's all I'm asking for refund, it's enough to waste mine and any others time...

Don't avoid the question.

Yes or no, did you threaten to leak my e-book?

Havockas - July 24, 2016, 4:13 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 04:10 PM)V For Vendetta. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Don't avoid the question.

Yes or no, did you threaten to leak my e-book?No. I'm still waiting for some action. I'm not avoiding question, just saying that I give up with you man. Asking for refund that's all..

V For Vendetta - July 24, 2016, 4:13 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 04:13 PM)Havockas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No. I'm still waiting for some action. I'm not avoiding question, just saying that I give up with you man. Asking for refund that's all..You're avoiding the question.

Yes or no, did you threaten to leak my e-book?

Tommy Chong - July 24, 2016, 4:16 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 04:06 PM)Minimalist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) From what I can understand, he did try the method, just not on the .co.uk site. Only after a customer tested that it worked on the .co.uk site did he mention that it worked. Again, he was (I'd assume) going off of customer vouches. I don't think you understand the purpose of a vouch.

btw: the report was made against Vendetta for a scam that he didn't actually commit. bullets jacked his account and scammed a user.

Nightmaree Wrote:To answer to his response

"You said on your thread it would take 1-2 weeks, It's been 3. The method clearly doesn't work for .co.uk. Why would you sell a method you never tested fully? Please answer this. Doesn't make sense to me at all."

minimalist - July 24, 2016, 4:20 pm

It is not a big deal that a method takes 3 weeks instead of 2. Who knows, Amazon support could be dealing with a huge amount of requests because of the Prime Day not too long ago.

He did test it, just not on the .co.uk website. I'm sure that Amazon has similar if not identical procedures worldwide.

V For Vendetta - July 24, 2016, 4:21 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 04:20 PM)Minimalist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It is not a big deal that a method takes 3 weeks instead of 2. Who knows, Amazon support could be dealing with a huge amount of requests because of the Prime Day not too long ago.

He did test it, just not on the .co.uk website. I'm sure that Amazon has similar if not identical procedures worldwide.

Then don't put this on me. Put this on Amazon's support team. I'm currently dealing with one of the head supervisors and they take a while to respond.

THE RULER - July 24, 2016, 4:26 pm

'Berated', man c'mon if you were legitimately irritated so much by that that you tried to get out of refunding him by referencing your ToS you are not the user I thought you were.

Amazon UK/CA are aids, shouldn't assume that your method works for every amazon domain when their procedures for CSA/CIS are COMPLETELY DIFFERENT.

If you had taken the time to verify that this indeed worked on .co.uk before selling it to him, or could even provide some proof this works on the .co.uk domain this dispute wouldn't be here.

V For Vendetta - July 24, 2016, 4:27 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 04:26 PM)?N Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 'Berated', man c'mon if you were legitimately irritated so much by that that you tried to get out of refunding him by referencing your ToS you are not the user I thought you were.

Amazon UK/CA are aids, shouldn't assume that your method works for every amazon domain when their procedures for CSA/CIS are COMPLETELY DIFFERENT.

If you had taken the time to verify that this indeed worked on .co.uk before selling it to him, or could even provide some proof this works on the .co.uk domain this dispute wouldn't be here.

A customer posted proof it worked for him. And this method worked for me twice on Amazon.ca. Also, I ignored the fact that he called me a liar, but threatening me? Cmon, that's bullshit.

Havockas - July 24, 2016, 4:40 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 04:27 PM)V For Vendetta. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) A customer posted proof it worked for him. And this method worked for me twice on Amazon.ca. Also, I ignored the fact that he called me a liar, but threatening me? Cmon, that's bullshit.

As I thought that your costumer's proof is fake. Here is thread that he was buying AGC..

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5338373>
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5325074>

And you just simply asked him to take a screen shot of his amazon account and give a review. Probably paid some money for simple review. I'm not saying 100% but it's looks like that is true.

His vouch before "buying":

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/f8431f85b8f04f16a419ca150a2a5088.png>

Of course it's good:

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/2ea29246fea64c00aafda13ba23bceba.png>

Yes he is super active:

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/2ff03f487ace4d4dbc7932b3e85ef82c.png>

It gave him after 2 hours.. AGC balance, not too bad.

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/cd040866660c4e3ebbbf7971c36ed77a.png>

For you not enough 3 weeks I see.

Here is also legit review, just somehow user gets banned.. hmm..

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/54b103b511204f269adef47d061af5e1.png>

V For Vendetta. - July 24, 2016, 4:44 pm

(Jul 24, 2016 04:40 PM)Havockas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) As I thought that your costumer's proof is fake. Here is thread that he was buying AGC..

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5338373>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5325074>

And you just simply asked him to take a screen shot of his amazon account and give a review. Probably paid some money for simple review. I'm not saying 100% but it's looks like that is true.

His vouch before "buying":

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/f8431f85b8f04f16a419ca150a2a5088.png>

It gave him after 2 hours.. AGC balance, not too bad.

<http://image.prntscr.com/image/cd040866660c4e3ebbbf7971c36ed77a.png>

For you not enough 3 weeks I see.

WakenBake purchased the method early on and made money with it. It's common knowledge here that people buy AGC and sell it on /giftcardexchange for a 30% profit, or reselling it to use.