

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Increase in new and repeat scammers.](#)

Francis Gallagher - September 4, 2016, 8:52 pm

While browsing one day, the new post section, I noticed 4 threads all made about the same user. All deal disputes, all scams over 100\$ each. And it got me to thinking, while the trust scan feature is good it doesn't always get the justice done.

My idea:

When someone who is ub3r scams(because let's be honest 99.9% if scammers are ub3r), there would be a multi level disciplinary system in place.

First offence:

Revoke of ub3r, and all associated privileges until the report is made good on.

Second offence:

Revoke of Uber, and all associated privileges until the report is made good on and 30 days to follow.

Third offence:

Permanent Revoke of ub3r all together with no chance of getting ub3r back.

I feel this may change the multiple scamming users, as well as deterring the would be scammers. With l33t. It would be the same. Except, they would lose all ability to ever upgrade to ub3r as well as get their l33t taken away.

I've noticed an increase in scamming, and hopefully this would change that.

Just a thought. Good day all.

Danny Khalifa - September 4, 2016, 8:54 pm

I don't fully agree with you but I like your idea.

But don't forget that HackForum staff members doesn't get involved into deal disputes.

Black Kodak - September 4, 2016, 8:59 pm

I doubt a idea ever will be implemented for scamming.

You deal at your own risk, if you're dumb enough to deal with some users; you deserve to get scammed..

As bad as that sounds, it's true.

Francis Gallagher - September 4, 2016, 9:03 pm

I get what both of you are saying.

Just, brings some integrity to the forums. I understand, it's hackforums. It's a forum dedicated to hacking.

But, omni has taken things away because of the nature of them. Ex. 'Phishing'.

I also understand, staff doesn't want to get involved. Just sounded good, you know? Sometimes even those with a good reputation will scam. Or without any open scam reports. Building up rep, until their exit scam. I feel this may help with deterring any of those would be scammers.

Bluffer - September 4, 2016, 9:25 pm

It won't happen, Hackforums doesn't involve themselves in disputes in any way at all.

Target™ - September 4, 2016, 9:26 pm

It they would get involved I think they would just start banning. Not revoking uber. It doesn't affect

people who buy accounts

Francis Gallagher - September 4, 2016, 9:31 pm

(Sep 4, 2016 09:26 PM)Target™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It they would get involved I tho k thru would just start banning. Not revoking uber. It doesn't affect people who buy accounts
Could argue the same thing about banning.

Cameron - September 4, 2016, 9:32 pm

Bad idea as people would make fake reports to intentionally screw other members over.

Here's an even better idea, the potential buyer takes 2 seconds to search the member they're dealing with to make sure there aren't any open/unresolved deal disputes before proceeding with the deal. It's not Staff's job to make sure you deal safely.

Jimmie - September 4, 2016, 9:34 pm

This isn't going to do anything the only way to stop scammers is hire a dispute mod/admin and ban them when solid proof is given.

Francis Gallagher - September 4, 2016, 9:37 pm

(Sep 4, 2016 09:32 PM)Camer?n Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Bad idea as people would make fake reports to intentionally screw other members over.

Here's an even better idea, the potential buyer takes 2 seconds to search the member they're dealing with to make sure there aren't any open/unresolved deal disputes before proceeding with the deal. It's not Staff's job to make sure you deal safely.

I mean, it's not hard to differentiate between a legit and fake report.

Cameron - September 4, 2016, 10:55 pm

(Sep 4, 2016 09:37 PM)Furcas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I mean, it's not hard to differentiate between a legit and fake report.

It's not hard to search a members UID before dealing either.

Tonight - September 4, 2016, 11:07 pm

This is an insanely terrible idea

Secrets - September 4, 2016, 11:09 pm

(Sep 4, 2016 08:59 PM)Transvestite Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I doubt a idea ever will be implemented for scamming.

You deal at your own risk, if you're dumb enough to deal with some users; you deserve to get scammed..

As bad as that sounds, it's true.

Until shit happens to one of you and then ya want something done; but it is true though when dealing on HF ??

Francis Gallagher - September 5, 2016, 12:27 am

(Sep 4, 2016 11:07 PM)Tonight? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is an insanely terrible idea
Care to elaborate, how taking the privileges from a known scammer is a terrible idea?

(Sep 4, 2016 10:55 PM)Camer?n Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's not hard to search a members UID before dealing either.

As I stated before. I've seen members without a scam report and great rep, scam before. No sure your argument.

Secrets - September 5, 2016, 6:59 am

(Sep 5, 2016 12:27 AM)Furcas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Care to elaborate, how taking the privileges from a known scammer is a terrible idea?

Not just terrible but insanely terrible. You shouldn't be suggesting to take their ub3r cock away even if they scam. Remember HF will not be held responsible for deals made by members.

FiftyTwo - September 5, 2016, 7:01 am

The staff of HackForums do not get involved in any scam reports/deal disputes whatsoever and they are not liable or responsible for any scams that go on within the forum.

You deal at your own risk, so use your common sense to stay safe.

If you aren't using your common sense, then you shouldn't be doing deals in the first place.

Terrible idea imho.

Francis Gallagher - September 5, 2016, 9:37 am

You're all literally missing the point.

I said, multiple times mind you, that I have seen ub3rs with NO PRIOR scam reports and a positive rep, scam people. How does "being smart" have anything to do with that?

!?! ?z? ???? - September 5, 2016, 9:42 am

staff don't get involved with any deals/scam reports

Steve - September 5, 2016, 9:49 am

What I'm having trouble most with is your claims that there is an increase in scamming and that:

(Sep 4, 2016 08:52 PM)Furcas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) ...becsuse let's be honest 99.9% if(sic) scammers are ub3r

While this might be what you see, I'd like to see the stats to back this up.

Your concern about a lot of scamming going around shared by many users, but HF has to tread a fine line of not getting involved in deals while at the same time pruning cancerous members (which includes scammers), and I don't think HF will lean toward getting more involved in deals.

Francis Gallagher - September 5, 2016, 12:22 pm

I just don't know the why, to back up their not getting involved.

While l33t, and lower members do scam. It is generally noted that 'ub3r' status made you more trustworthy. Hence my generalization as to ub3rs being 99% of the scammers.

I observed such, when the same member had 4 threads all made about him on the same day. And he was "a trusted member", which was what was said time and time again by those commenting.

My idea also, addresses those non ub3r members. As well as those even lower. To strip them, and even ban is inevitable. It's meant to bring integrity to hackforums.

Elegant - September 5, 2016, 1:23 pm

Countless threads trying to resolve the deal dispute section on scammers.

Hack Forums does NOT deal with deal disputes. Deal with caution with doing ANY transactions with ANY members.

Francis Gallagher - September 5, 2016, 1:32 pm

(Sep 5, 2016 01:23 PM)Elegant Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Countless threads trying to resolve the deal dispute section on scammers.

Hack Forums does NOT deal with deal disputes. Deal with caution with doing ANY transactions with ANY members.

Deal with caution?

No scam reports.

No negative reps.

Over 2k rep.

Scams.

Where's the caution there?

Or the caution in a "trusted" middleman running off with someone's money?

You're just repeating what everyone else has said. And given NO CONSTRUCTIVE criticism to this conversation.

?D?gê? - September 5, 2016, 1:33 pm

As the staff does not get involved into deal disputes , doubt this will ever happen

FiftyTwo - September 5, 2016, 1:38 pm

(Sep 5, 2016 09:37 AM)Furcas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You're all literally missing the point.

I said, multiple times mind you, that I have seen ub3rs with NO PRIOR scam reports and a positive rep, scam people. How does "being smart" have anything to do with that?

Using an MM.

Using a safe method of payment.

Reviewing how the deal would work in your mind to see if it makes sense.

Oh, thought the "being smart" ends there? Nope.

Not doing the deal if it sounds good to be true.

If an MM isn't available to be used in the deal, not to risk it.

If it's a large sum that the deal includes, send it in installments as each part of the product is delivered.

That's how "being smart" is involved in each and every deal.

Be smart yourself and you would figure that out.

Francis Gallagher - September 5, 2016, 2:32 pm

(Sep 5, 2016 01:38 PM)FiftyTwo Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Using an MM.

Using a safe method of payment.

Reviewing how the deal would work in your mind to see if it makes sense.

Oh, thought the "being smart" ends there? Nope.

Not doing the deal if it sounds good to be true.

If an MM isn't available to be used in the deal, not to risk it.

If it's a large sum that the deal includes, send it in installments as each part of the product is delivered.

That's how "being smart" is involved in each and every deal.

Be smart yourself and you would figure that out.

Being smart. Has nothing to do, with a trusted member turning shady. Literally ANYBODY can do it. Even Omni.

Deatives - September 5, 2016, 2:37 pm

This is an interesting idea and would definitely stop upgraded members from scamming. It won't stop normal members though who have no reason to not scam. Lets be honest, they can make a new account at any time :/

FiftyTwo - September 5, 2016, 2:57 pm

(Sep 5, 2016 02:32 PM)Furcas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Being smart. Has nothing to do, with a trusted member turning shady. Literally ANYBODY can do it. Even Omni.

Read my post again.

kthx

Francis Gallagher - September 5, 2016, 3:02 pm

(Sep 5, 2016 02:57 PM)FiftyTwo Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Read my post again.
kthx
Read it 3X.
You missed the point, completely.

Doctor Blue - *September 5, 2016, 3:18 pm*

(Sep 5, 2016 02:32 PM)Furcas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Being smart. Has nothing to do, with a trusted member turning shady. Literally ANYBODY can do it. Even Omni.
Yes it does. Be smart: Don't trust people on the internet.

Implementing the suggestion in the OP would require staff to judge the legitimacy of deal disputes.
There's a pretty good mantra that everyone should be familiar with by now: Staff does not get involved in deal disputes.