

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Understanding the recent changes made by RAT Owners/Developers](#)

Armada - September 13, 2016, 8:46 am

I honestly have not seen this section so active in quite some time. I suppose this comes with good reason given the changes which has been implemented to the main stream Remote Administrative Tools.

Firstly, The watermarking.

Lets start with the first common element.pretty much every RAT binary in one way or another had an existing watermark already embedded with it. Many however were not directly intentional, so finding and extracting it was not always the easiest process. Luminosity dropped a file on the host machine which had a type of watermark already. So I fail to understand why the news of a more apparent watermark being implemented is new to anyone.

Secondly, EULA/TOS

I know most people tend to just scroll through these without actually reading them, however had anyone actually bothered, you would already know, the software was never designed or intended to malicious uses, and violation of the agreement would have gotten the license banned anyways. Nothing new here either.

Thirdly, Legalities.

This is by far one of the most important points for Remote Administrative developers,owners and operators. As owner to Orcus my portion of the business is the office end or stuff, which includes ensuring legal compliance to all the laws governing the software and business.

Here is where the misconception lies with majority of RAT users. They believe that as a owner of a Remote Admin tool and actively selling and developing the software, so long as I put a Disclaimer and TOS saying I wont be held responsible for misuse, that is the legal end of it. This is so far from the truth people would be surprised.

Example:

I sell user A my Remote Admin product, tell them no malicious use, etc. And I am no longer legally liable for this user and their misuse of their product.

But if it becomes apparent that they are intentionally abusing the software and that I also have knowledge of the matter and take no preventive action to stop the abuse. I am now breaking the law, for failing to prevent the abuse of my software, be it either by banning the license, shutting down servers, or even going as far as reporting illegal use to the appropriate authorities. If I fail to take these actions I am now Aiding and Abetting and possibly an Accomplice to the end users illegal activity.

tl;dr

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

No RAT Developer is going to willingly go to jail for someone elses stupidity and illegal misuse of the software they developed.

sisno1 - September 13, 2016, 8:52 am

Word! But they should probably refund new buyers, after they realize they are not ready to go to jail..so they bail.I'm just trying to make common sense.

Purple Kief - September 13, 2016, 8:52 am

(Sep 13, 2016 08:46 AM)Armada Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Example:

I sell user A my Remote Admin product, tell them no malicious use, etc. And I am no longer legally liable for this user and their misuse of their product.

But if it becomes apparent that they are intentionally abusing the software and that I also have knowledge of the matter and take no preventive action to stop the abuse. I am now breaking the law, for failing to prevent the abuse of my software, be it either by banning the license, shutting down servers, or even going as far as reporting illegal use to the appropriate authorities. If I fail to take these actions I am now Aiding and Abetting and possibly an Accomplice to the end users illegal activity.

Get it through your skull people.

Thanks Armada. :)

m4dh4ck3r - September 13, 2016, 8:54 am

It is a pretty good move made by RAT owners. They should probably just ban all users asking for refund because they can't use for "malicious" use.

dukesman24 - September 13, 2016, 9:21 am

Armada is simply saying the same thing, that we all have been hearing since 2days after all these drama here. Guys lets count our teeth using our tongue. Both rat developers,owners and users knows what its been used for and they know too well that it will Always be misused (see it like in the scenario of giving a rat fish to keep); Guys move ON, those into biz., Find other toolZ and do your thing; and the RAT Owners, i wish u guys the best with your sales... PEACE I'M OUT OF HERE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

hfaccount78894714312 - September 13, 2016, 9:27 am

Honestly last person to not watermark on here was Shockwave lol

Ainaj - September 13, 2016, 9:30 am

(Sep 13, 2016 09:27 AM)HackerRos_ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Honestly last person to not watermark on here was Shockwave lol

Technically every rat has some form of water mark, the connection host, aka your IP address.

creki - September 13, 2016, 9:32 am

(Sep 13, 2016 09:30 AM)cesarvb689 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Technically every rat has some form of water mark, the connection host, aka your IP address.

Thus use of a VPN or something similar

Ainaj - September 13, 2016, 9:34 am

(Sep 13, 2016 09:32 AM)cræcky Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thus use of a VPN or something similar

But rat owners can still track that ip back to you via the licencing system, and ban you if needed. Adding in that extra watermark really doesn't change much.

Dostoevsky - September 13, 2016, 9:35 am

(Sep 13, 2016 08:46 AM)Armada Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) But if it becomes apparent that they are intentionally abusing the software and that I also have knowledge of the matter and take no preventive action to stop the abuse. I am now breaking the law, for failing to prevent the abuse of my software, be it either by banning the license, shutting down servers, or even going as far as reporting illegal use to the appropriate authorities. If I fail to take these actions I am now Aiding and Abetting and possibly an Accomplice to the end users illegal activity.

I'm sure you've went to great efforts to ensure your product isn't enabling skid hax0rz. Oh wait, you sell it on HF. You're a deluded moron as my threads have already highlighted. Stop legitimising your cancer; maybe you can spool this bullshit to your next/current employer and see if they show the same grace as the one that fired you for operating an (essentially) illegally-motivated service.

creki - September 13, 2016, 9:36 am

(Sep 13, 2016 09:34 AM)cesarvb689 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) But rat owners can still track that ip back to your client via the licencing system, and ban you if needed. Adding in that extra watermark really doesn't change much.

It is/was against the ratted user, not the rat owner :p

Dagurmeyo - September 13, 2016, 9:39 am

If you can't assume doing directly or indirectly cyber crime then don't do it at all, stop being pussies you little skids

what's the issue with paying the \$10 fee to Omniscient to hide your personal IP Address to HackForums?

pfff

Paulin23 - September 13, 2016, 9:40 am

In other words: you and the other Devs need to get the youknowwhat off ->HACK<- forums and start doing traditional advertising for your software because your software at this point is not even useful to pentesters.

Going even further, nothing you said here explains why devs kept money from people who paid within 48 hrs of the DRASTIC change. Furthermore there was no warning.

You can sit up there and tell the community "everything's going to be okay" but that doesn't change a damn thing.

There is a new paradigm on Hackforums. There are two categories of RATs: Watermarked and Non-watermarked

That being said: I call for an immediate motion to require all devs who implement watermarking functions on their RATs to edit their sales thread and disclose that their software has it and explaining what it means. The current ToS on affected RATs do NOT cover this. This disclosure should be in plain sight and not hidden. Each seller should explicitly designate their RAT as Watermarked (W) or Non-Watermarked(W).

Failure to disclose Watermarking functions should lead to loss of sticking priveledges in the RAT section of HF.

You guys are free to change your software however you like: but transparency is important going forward so as not to mislead people as was done recently.

If anyone agrees with this stance, please voice your support. Let's make this a thing and move past this so consumers can make the right choice and devs can continue developing their products.

hfaccount78894714312 - September 13, 2016, 9:40 am

(Sep 13, 2016 09:34 AM)cesarvb689 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) But rat owners can still track that ip back to you via the licencing system, and ban you if needed. Adding in that extra watermark really doesn't change much.

Many user's here probably share the same ip' fro mthe same servers because using same VPN server so no LOL

Dagurmeyo - September 13, 2016, 9:42 am

I'd like to see you rat 'coders' be raided and jailed just for the sake of all your personal informations being in the HackForums order database lolllll

hfaccount78894714312 - September 13, 2016, 11:29 am

(Sep 13, 2016 09:34 AM)cesarvb689 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) But rat owners can still track that ip back to you via the licencing system, and ban you if needed. Adding in that extra watermark really doesn't change much.

what yo udont understand? most kids share ip's on here.....

Ainaj - September 13, 2016, 11:31 am

(Sep 13, 2016 11:29 AM)hackeroso Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) what yo udont understand? most kids share ip's on here.....

They'll all be banned then.

Armada - September 13, 2016, 11:36 am

(Sep 13, 2016 11:29 AM)hackeroso Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) what yo udont understand? most kids share ip's on here.....

Jesus change your name before you get banned.

That type of character fuckery is not allowed, and I have seen staff ban for it before. More importantly its royally annoying.

12chainz_Temp - September 13, 2016, 11:58 am

(Sep 13, 2016 09:40 AM)Paulin23 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That being said: I call for an immediate motion to require all devs who implement watermarking functions on their RATs to edit their sales thread and disclose that their software has it and explaining what it means. The current ToS on affected RATs do NOT cover this. This disclosure should be in plain sight and not hidden. Each seller should explicitly designate their RAT as Watermarked (W) or Non-Watermarked(W).

Failure to disclose Watermarking functions should lead to loss of sticking priveledges in the RAT section of HF.

I shall shall summon the gods of R.A.T's to protect us from the evil that is being done to our fellow bretheren! THIS INJUSTICE CANNOT STAND, HOW DARE THEY DO THIS TO US!

Quote:You guys are free to change your software however you like: but transparency is important going forward so as not to mislead people as was done recently.

Lol all they did was enforce the (the same old)Terms of Service to a much better degree than they have before. Lol the sellers know and understand that this will affect business and they will lose money, it's worth it for the peace of mind though. Sorry no one wants to go to jail because the people in this section are irresponsible and 12. When you have media attention from around the world on your product and more and more buyers every day, and more and more complaints every day it's time to change shit up, and that's what's happening here.

taco85 - September 13, 2016, 1:24 pm

(Sep 13, 2016 08:54 AM)m4dh4ck3r Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It is a pretty good move made by RAT owners. They should probably just ban all users asking for refund because they can't use for "malicious" use. I completly agree with you and armada but i still dont understand this drama, whole rat section is spammed with bullshit threads.

im traveling a lot, personally i use im3 and II to manage my devices and at beginning i didnt realize what happend, nothing changed for me. thanks for TLDR

kpown - September 13, 2016, 1:29 pm

(Sep 13, 2016 01:24 PM)taco85 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I completly agree with you and armada but i still dont understand this drama, whole rat section is spammed with bullshit threads.

im traveling a lot, personally i use im3 and II to manage my devices and at beginning i didnt realize what happend, nothing changed for me. thanks for TLDR

Why buy IM3 for remote managing of your home pc when you can use teamviewer xD

Unless of course you forgot password and needed to get them back or something? I don't mean to make you sound like an idiot or anything mate cuz I'm sure you have your reasons, but personally I find free teamviewer to be much more useful for remote management due to the fact it's free and fast and gets the job done. There's also SSH and FTP ofc.

yotoprules - September 13, 2016, 1:47 pm

(Sep 13, 2016 08:54 AM)m4dh4ck3r Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It is a pretty good move made by RAT owners. They should probably just ban all users asking for refund because they can't use for "malicious" use. Don't suppose you read the title of this forum?

AC5L4T3R - September 13, 2016, 1:50 pm

(Sep 13, 2016 09:35 AM)Dostoevsky Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm sure you've went to great efforts to ensure your product isn't enabling skid hax0rz. Oh wait, you sell it on HF. You're a deluded moron as my threads have already highlighted. Stop legitimising your cancer; maybe you can spool this bullshit to your next/current employer and see if they show the same grace as the one that fired you for operating an (essentially) illegally-motivated service.

Yeah this is whats so hilariously funny. Unbelievable lolz that they're all now saying this.

It's literally no effort whatsoever to sell the rat and distribute illegally so I don't know why they're all shitting themselves. Tor. Proxies. Encrypted VM on an encrypted USB. BitCoin for transactions. Tumble to clean the BTC. LocalBitcoins to cash out if needed. Not fucking rocket science is it?

Armada - September 13, 2016, 1:58 pm

(Sep 13, 2016 01:50 PM)AC5L4T3R Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah this is whats so hilariously funny. Unbelievable lolz that they're all now saying this.

It's literally no effort whatsoever to sell the rat and distribute illegally so I don't know why they're all shitting themselves. Tor. Proxies. Encrypted VM on an encrypted USB. BitCoin for transactions. Tumble to clean the BTC. LocalBitcoins to cash out if needed. Not fucking rocket science is it?

Point is, this is all designed and sold as legal software.

People taking a hissy fit over the fact this is now a feature, well it only displays the true use they had intended.

If users want to be using such Remote tools for such illicit uses why in the holy fuck are they using anything on HF to begin with? there are numerous other sites which have much more powerful well designed software for such uses.

Paulin23 - September 13, 2016, 2:48 pm

(Sep 13, 2016 01:58 PM)Armada Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Point is, this is all designed and sold as legal software.

People taking a hissy fit over the fact this is now a feature, well it only displays the true use they had intended.

If users want to be using such Remote tools for such illicit uses why in the holy fuck are they using anything on HF to begin with? there are numerous other sites which have much more powerful well designed software for such uses.

You act like people don't have a right to be upset. You're a joke.

Change the software all you like. At least put up a damn warning and advanced notice for buyers

Armada - September 13, 2016, 2:54 pm

(Sep 13, 2016 02:48 PM)Paulin23 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You act like people don't have a right to be upset. You're a joke.

Change the software all you like. At least put up a damn warning and advanced notice for buyers
No, users are free to be upset.
Users have now been notified, future users should head the notice.

What more can you honestly expect owners to do at this point?
Microsoft changes their terms of service wheres your bitching and complaints go? Straight to the shredder. Be happy they are still listening and trying to work with existing customers rather than saying "Thats it, case closed"

You were given your notice. Argue all you want it was not enough, when the uniform decision went around between the owners to implement this universally what good would have advanced notice have done, you would have been blocked from another RAT anyways.

Argument is irrelevant, and your all blowing this WAY out of proportion.

Paulin23 - September 13, 2016, 3:00 pm

Itsjjsjshshsheuwuwuwuysgsgs

Armada - September 13, 2016, 3:14 pm

(Sep 13, 2016 03:00 PM)Paulin23 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Notice?

Show me WHERE on the GODDAMN LL official selling thread does it say that it implements a watermarking system??

WHERE??

Nowhere.

Argument is completely relevant, and it's exactly proportional when there were no refunds given for a certain window.

Read the Fucking TERMS OF SERVICE.

Every owner reserves the right to modify at will without notice any portion of their application and or TOS at will WITHOUT NOTICE.

Maybe you should not have clicked that accept button so quickly.

Paulin23 - September 13, 2016, 3:36 pm

[quote='Armada' pid='52436297' dateline='1473804850']

Read the Fucking TERMS OF SERVICE.

Every owner reserves the right to modify at will without notice any portion of their application and or TOS at will WITHOUT NOTICE.

Maybe you should not have clicked that accept button so quickly

romantic179 - September 13, 2016, 4:48 pm

(Sep 13, 2016 09:35 AM)Dostoevsky Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm sure you've went to great efforts to ensure your product isn't enabling skid hax0rz. Oh wait, you sell it on HF. You're a deluded moron as my threads have already highlighted. Stop legitimising your cancer; maybe you can spool this bullshit to your next/current employer and see if they show the same grace as the one that fired you for operating an (essentially) illegally-motivated service.

great post. you may think the devs of the rats on here think we are just stupid xD or they think its totally irrelevant what we say. the main point of this whole situation is: the rat devs want to save their asses. and therefore for them it doesnt matter what we say, they simply will write the same bullshit again and again here that its inly for legal uses etc to save their asses. ohhhh boy they are so wrong. they will go to jail for this shit they develop.

Gt403cyl - September 13, 2016, 5:03 pm

(Sep 13, 2016 03:36 PM)Paulin23 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You are a dumbass. The ToS didn't change. The entire RAT did

I guess you failed to read that people who actually use the tool noticed no change?

No I will not do your work for you finding those posts.

(Sep 13, 2016 04:48 PM)romantic179 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) great post. you may think the devs of the rats on here think we are just stupid xD or they think its totally irrelevant what we say. the main point of this whole situation is: the rat devs want to save their asses. and therefore for them it doesnt matter what we say, they simply will write the same bullshit again and again here that its inly for legal uses etc to save their asses. ohhhh boy they are so wrong. they will go to jail for this shit they develop.

Yet another uneducated post.

A R.A.T. In and of itself is not illegal, the LE has already been approached by at least 1 of the owners I know for sure and they have told them they have nothing to worry about, long before this big mess started.

Remember children, intent.

SIM-2580909 - September 13, 2016, 5:08 pm

Thanks armada, you summed this up really well, its a shame everyone still doesnt know this.

xEliteWaffle - September 13, 2016, 7:29 pm

Quote:No RAT Developer is going to willingly go to jail for someone elses stupidity and illegal misuse of the software they developed

This is like making a firearm. Should the manufacturer go to jail because someone used their gun to kill someone else?

Did it ever occur to you that maybe those abusing software should go to jail, and not those who made the software and took the precautionary steps against abuse?

From my understanding, the developers have already included the "I will not use this for malicious purposes" in the TOS that users agreed to, and have stated they are against illegal use many times. As far as I know, they have taken sufficient steps in distancing themselves from illegal conduct. So, I ask again, how is this different than my firearm analogy?

Gt403cyl - September 13, 2016, 8:15 pm

(Sep 13, 2016 07:29 PM)xEliteWaffle Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is like making a firearm. Should the manufacturer go to jail because someone used their gun to kill someone else?

Did it ever occur to you that maybe those abusing software should go to jail, and not those who made the software and took the precautionary steps against abuse?

From my understanding, the developers have already included the "I will not use this for malicious purposes" in the TOS that users agreed to, and have stated they are against illegal use many times. As far as I know, they have taken sufficient steps in distancing themselves from illegal conduct. So, I ask again, how is this different than my firearm analogy?

While neither of us (you and I) are lawyers versed in the law regarding all this, it's not as clean cut as comparing it to a gun or any other physical item.

Cyber laws are different.

Tell your analogy to other tech companies who have ever faced criminal charges.

xEliteWaffle - September 14, 2016, 3:21 pm

(Sep 13, 2016 08:15 PM)Gt403cyl Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) While neither of us (you and I) are lawyers versed in the law regarding all this, it's not as clean cut as comparing it to a gun or any other physical item.

Cyber laws are different.

Tell your analogy to other tech companies who have ever faced criminal charges.

Tell me exactly how they're different. Tell me about these "tech companies who have ever faced criminal charges". You need to step up your reading comprehension if you think that's anything near what I implied at all.

Armada - September 14, 2016, 3:26 pm

(Sep 14, 2016 03:21 PM)xEliteWaffle Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Tell me exactly how they're different. Tell me about these "tech companies who have ever faced criminal charges". You need to step up your reading comprehension if you think that's anything near what I implied at all.

Consider it this way.

Lets say I buy a Beretta CX4 Storm Rifle.

There is nothing illegal with this alone, assuming I have my permits.

But if I turn around and ask Beretta what the best place to shoot a human being is within their firearm and they reply with an answer, Beretta has now enabled me to commit a crime with this firearm they created.

RAT Developers are taking this same precaution. And it is just that a precaution for legalities. In the event one of them gets served legal paperwork or gets raided for example. They have a legal backing to justify their position to providing such software.

Same as Beretta or any other firearm manufacturer will openly tell anyone buying a gun to not point it at another human being.

Irrational - September 14, 2016, 3:35 pm

(Sep 14, 2016 03:26 PM)Armada Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Consider it this way.

Lets say I buy a Beretta CX4 Storm Rifle.

There is nothing illegal with this alone, assuming I have my permits.

But if I turn around and ask Beretta what the best place to shoot a human being is within their firearm and they reply with an answer, Beretta has now enabled me to commit a crime with this firearm they created.

RAT Developers are taking this same precaution. And it is just that a precaution for legalities. In the event one of them gets served legal paperwork or gets raided for example. They have a legal backing to justify their position to providing such software.

Same as Beretta or any other firearm manufacturer will openly tell anyone buying a gun to not point it at another human being.

This. This explains it perfectly. Although the I'm not sure how serious these TOS's will be taken by a court system. But I understand where OP is coming from as well.

Dostoevsky - September 14, 2016, 4:29 pm

(Sep 14, 2016 03:35 PM)Irrational Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This. This explains it perfectly. Although the I'm not sure how serious these TOS's will be taken by a court system. But I understand where OP is coming from as well.

These ToS are disregarded in a court of law.

"Oh great, you provided us with a terms of service that we have evidence to prove you never tried to uphold at any point, we'll just put that over here.. in the fireplace".

Xerxes. - September 14, 2016, 4:39 pm

This is a good point. I completely understand WHY the developer's did what they did. If i was in their shoes I would have done it a little differently. All though I HIGHLY doubt that any developer creating a "legit + legal " product for specific sales on hackforum's for remote administration. These update's don't affect me the least bit, it would have just been nice to have been warned that all of my clients would be disconnected.

xEliteWaffle - September 15, 2016, 9:36 am

(Sep 14, 2016 03:26 PM)Armada Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Consider it this way.

Lets say I buy a Beretta CX4 Storm Rifle.

There is nothing illegal with this alone, assuming I have my permits.

But if I turn around and ask Beretta what the best place to shoot a human being is within their firearm and they reply with an answer, Beretta has now enabled me to commit a crime with this firearm they created.

RAT Developers are taking this same precaution. And it is just that a precaution for legalities. In the event one of them gets served legal paperwork or gets raided for example. They have a legal backing to justify their position to providing such software.

Same as Beretta or any other firearm manufacturer will openly tell anyone buying a gun to not point it at another human being.

WTF is the RAT equivalent of "asking Beretta what the best place to shoot a human being is"? No shit if that's the case, Beretta has responsibility over enabling a crime.

As far as I know, RAT developers never told you how to spread maliciously, etc.

Cmon, I'm sure you could make a better comparison than that...

Armada - September 15, 2016, 9:43 am

(Sep 15, 2016 09:36 AM)xEliteWaffle Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) WTF is the RAT equivalent of "asking Beretta what the best place to shoot a human being is"? No shit if that's the case, Beretta has responsibility over enabling a crime.

As far as I know, RAT developers never told you how to spread maliciously, etc.

Cmon, I'm sure you could make a better comparison than that...

There is no point to make another comparison as this is perfect the way it is.

RAT Developers by advising people how to bypass AVs and Security measures, or other such items. It is the same difference, just a different analogy.

This is considered Aiding and the Developer would then be guilty of being a accomplice to the crime committed.

moufmouf - September 15, 2016, 9:44 am

if u build orcus for legal use

why u sell it in hackforum HACKKKKK forum ????????

Gt403cyl - September 15, 2016, 9:54 am

(Sep 15, 2016 09:44 AM)moufmouf Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) if u build orcus for legal use

why u sell it in hackforum HACKKKKK forum ????????

Because not everyone on HACKforums is doing illegal shit period.

Armada - September 15, 2016, 10:02 am

(Sep 15, 2016 09:44 AM)moufmouf Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) if u build orcus for legal use

why u sell it in hackforum HACKKKKK forum ????????

Quote:The word "hacker" derives from the seventeenth century word of a "lusty laborer" who harvested fields by dogged and rough swings of his hoe. Although the idea of "hacking" has existed long before the term "hacker"—with the most notable example of Lightning Ellsworth, it was not a word that the first programmers used to describe themselves. In fact, many of the first programmers were from engineering or physics backgrounds.

There was a growing awareness of a style of programming different from the cut and dried methods employed at first, but it was not until the 1960s that the term hackers began to be used to describe proficient computer programmers. Therefore, the fundamental characteristic that links all who identify themselves as hackers are ones who enjoy "...the intellectual challenge of creatively overcoming and circumventing limitations of programming systems and who tries to extend their capabilities". With this definition in mind, it can be clear where the negative implications of the word "hacker" and the subculture of "hackers" came from.

I am done with this retarded argument "Because the sites name has HACK in it, it MUST be illegal"

Stay the fuck in school children, you might learn something, like the PROPER definition to a word thrown around here so casually.

tweety - September 15, 2016, 10:04 am

if u think a judge is gonna say ur protected by a simple tos then your mistaken

Armada - September 15, 2016, 10:14 am

(Sep 15, 2016 10:04 AM)Tweety. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) if u think a judge is gonna say ur protected by a simple tos then your mistaken

You are absolutely correct. the TOS and EULA must also be enforced for it to be a valid legal defense. However people saying the TOS and EULA does nothing. Well Id like to see their legal degrees to be making such stupid comments.

The Terms of Service and End User License Agreement are Legally Binding Agreements. Which means YES, it does stand up in the court of law. Anyone saying otherwise has no clue what in the flying hell they are talking about.

moufmouf - September 15, 2016, 10:19 am

(Sep 15, 2016 10:02 AM)Armada Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I am done with this retarded argument "Because the sites name has HACK in it, it MUST be illegal"

Stay the fuck in school children, you might learn something, like the PROPER definition to a word thrown around here so casually.

u and ur bullshit again if u build this for legal use u must add the warning installation in the first release of orcus not after u get warning by the police

Armada - September 15, 2016, 10:24 am

(Sep 15, 2016 10:19 AM)moufmouf Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) u and ur bullshit again if u build this for legal use u must add the warning installation in the first release of LL not after u get warning by the police
I have nothing to do with Luminosity Link's development.

Again, get your facts straight.

moufmouf - September 15, 2016, 10:26 am

(Sep 15, 2016 10:24 AM)Armada Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have nothing to do with Luminosity Link's development.

Again, get your facts straight.
orcus and LL both

Armada - September 15, 2016, 10:29 am

(Sep 15, 2016 10:26 AM)moufmouf Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) orcus and LL both
Oh you mean like this?

Luminosity, IM, Nano and others are following Orcus on this one.
We were the first of HF RATs to implement such a feature.

How about you go sit down now before you make yourself look like more of an ass.

moufmouf - September 15, 2016, 10:33 am

(Sep 15, 2016 10:29 AM)Armada Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Oh you mean like this?

Luminosity, IM, Nano and others are following Orcus on this one.
We were the first of HF RATs to implement such a feature.

How about you go sit down now before you make yourself look like more of an ass.u build plugin to remove this and why is that ? if it is for legal use u must not remove the installation warning

Armada - September 15, 2016, 10:34 am

(Sep 15, 2016 10:33 AM)moufmouf Wrote: [CITE](#) u build plugin to remove this and why is that ? if it is for legal use u must not remove the installation warning

Orcus has no plugin to remove the install screen. Again get your fucking facts straight.