

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [\[Suggestion\] 2FA - Obligation for sellers](#)

Silver Fang - October 22, 2016, 7:20 pm

Topic, those who create selling threads must have 2FA enabled on their account.

What do you guys think? ?

Eternal. - October 22, 2016, 7:22 pm

Only upgraded members would be able to sell if that was the case. I don't disapprove but something would have to be changed in regards to regular members having the option. Unless Omni just required people to upgrade to sell, which doesn't seem unreasonable.

Stashy - October 22, 2016, 7:23 pm

good idea

that would be nice

Silver Fang - October 22, 2016, 7:25 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 07:22 PM)Eternal. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Only upgraded members would be able to sell if that was the case. I don't disapprove but something would have to be changed in regards to regular members having the option. Unless Omni just required people to upgrade to sell, which doesn't seem unreasonable.

Then only for those who create thread in the premium selling section (as you have to be upgraded to post there)

M?xim - October 22, 2016, 7:45 pm

I do not get it, why only sellers?

Egregious Jr. - October 22, 2016, 7:51 pm

No. Your account security is your own responsibility. If they wanna be stupid and not enable 2FA that's their choice.

Stashy - October 22, 2016, 7:53 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 07:45 PM)M?xim Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I do not get it, why only sellers?
it's common sense

for a user to conduct sales or handle any kind of money in the marketplace should require forced 2-factor authentication for two reasons

1) so that their account is protected by a second layer of security in the case of someone attempting to hijack their account and scam users

2) so that nobody can lie and say "oopsies got hacked sorry i didnt have 2fa i promise it wasnt me!!!"

(Oct 22, 2016 07:51 PM)?Zach Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No. Your account security is your own responsibility. If they wanna be stupid and not enable 2FA that's their choice.

bro that's like telling people it's their responsibility if they are hit by a wreckless driver but are

law-abiding and careful drivers themselves

i think the bigger idea of the 2fa forced thing is not to protect the seller but to protect the customer

M?xim - October 22, 2016, 7:58 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 07:53 PM)Hubba Bubba Gum Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 1) so that their account is protected by a second layer of security in the case of someone attempting to hijack their account and scam users

2) so that nobody can lie and say "oopsies got hacked sorry i didnt have 2fa i promise it wasnt me!!!"

So nobody could hijack my account and scam just because I am not a seller?

Any account can get hijacked and be used to scam with. Does not matter if the hijacked account is a seller on the market or not...

Stashy - October 22, 2016, 8:03 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 07:58 PM)M?xim Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So nobody could hijack my account and scam just because I am not a seller?

Any account can get hijacked and be used to scam with. Does not matter if the hijacked account is a seller on the market or not...

well no shit

but if they were a seller they would be required to have 2fa on

so in the case of them saying "it wasnt me i swear!!!" 2fa would prove differently (excluding extremely special cases which would require proof)

as for a customer... it's up to the seller's discretion to sell to them

this system wouldn't prevent scamming but regardless the community would do better than worse with sellers being required to have an extra layer of security added to their account

Egregious Jr. - October 22, 2016, 8:03 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 07:53 PM)Hubba Bubba Gum Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) bro that's like telling people it's their responsibility if they are hit by a wreckless driver but are law-abiding and careful drivers themselves

i think the bigger idea of the 2fa forced thing is not to protect the seller but to protect the customer. If you use a strong password and don't use them cross-platform, there won't be any issues. And also, someone can get ratted, so it's not like 2FA helps everything.

Stashy - October 22, 2016, 8:04 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 08:03 PM)?Zach Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If you use a strong password and don't use them cross-platform, there won't be any issues. And also, someone can get ratted, so it's not like 2FA helps everything.

it doesn't help everything but it's an added layer of security and still does more good than bad

Lithe - October 22, 2016, 8:09 pm

Extra security literally never hurt anyone. It's a fair suggestion and would 100% be a good thing to have.

Not sure why Zack is so against it. Where's the harm in having more security?

FamousHater - October 22, 2016, 8:10 pm

actually only section should be demanded in is the CE so kids can stop using it as an excuse

crazylue - October 22, 2016, 8:13 pm

I'm a lazy shit and will never use 2FA, if it becomes required, then I'm done with this site
I'm probably not the only one too

Lithe - October 22, 2016, 8:13 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 08:13 PM)crazylue Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm a lazy shit and will never use 2FA, if it becomes required, then I'm done with this site
I'm probably not the only one too
Do you log out of the site every time you get off?

Otherwise its a 1 time, 30 second thing and you just stay logged in on that device. Even the laziest of people would admit it's a fairly easy task.

Stashy - October 22, 2016, 8:18 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 08:13 PM)crazylue Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm a lazy shit and will never use 2FA, if it becomes required, then I'm done with this site
I'm probably not the only one too
lol leaving a site that forces something to help your account security

funny meme

Orgy - October 22, 2016, 8:19 pm

Imo all users should require 2fa as well as require 2fa for any nonuber that wants to create a thread in the marketplace

(Oct 22, 2016 08:13 PM)crazylue Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm a lazy shit and will never use 2FA, if it becomes required, then I'm done with this site
I'm probably not the only one too
You're a retard

crazylue - October 22, 2016, 8:20 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 08:13 PM)Sterling Archer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Do you log out of the site every time you get off?

Otherwise its a 1 time, 30 second thing and you just stay logged in on that device. Even the laziest of people would admit it's a fairly easy task.

I don't know my password, and if I accidentally log out I usually don't get on for several months after that

Stashy - October 22, 2016, 8:20 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 08:19 PM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Imo all users should require 2fa as well as require 2fa for any nonuber that wants to create a thread in the marketplace

You're a retard
uber or not 2fa should be required

i see just as many uber scammers as there are leet scammers

Nomox - October 22, 2016, 8:22 pm

i don't understand why is that so important, i turned my on and there is no difference, would that really stop the scammers or something like that

Orgy - October 22, 2016, 8:22 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 08:20 PM)Hubba Bubba Gum Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) uber or not 2fa should be required

i see just as many uber scammers as there are leet scammers
Yeah but leets usually can't afford phones that's why they're leet

Stashy - October 22, 2016, 8:23 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 08:22 PM)Nomox Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) i don't understand why is that so important, i turned my on and there is no difference, would that really stop the scammers or something like that
yes it would if you read up a few posts

prevents people from saying "i didnt have 2fa it wasnt me on my account!!"

and it just adds a second layer of security in case somebody got a hold of your password some way

(Oct 22, 2016 08:22 PM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah but leets usually can't afford phones that's why they're leet
no we just get denied

Egregious Jr. - October 22, 2016, 8:23 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 08:09 PM)Sterling Archer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Extra security literally never hurt anyone. It's a fair suggestion and would 100% be a good thing to have.

Not sure why Zack is so against it. Where's the harm in having more security?I'm not against 2FA, I'm against forcing it. A user should have the choice.

Orgy - October 22, 2016, 8:23 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 08:22 PM)Nomox Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) i don't understand why is that so important, i turned my on and there is no difference, would that really stop the scammers or something like that
???????

It stops "hackers" because they need your 2fa to log in

M?xim - October 22, 2016, 8:23 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 08:22 PM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah but leets usually can't afford phones that's why they're leet
Orgy I love you lol.

Orgy - October 22, 2016, 8:25 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 08:23 PM)?Zach Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm not against 2FA, I'm against forcing it. A user should have the choice.

If they want to sell things then the extra privilege should require extra security

(Oct 22, 2016 08:23 PM)Hubba Bubba Gum Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) no we just get denied
I said usually

Stashy - October 22, 2016, 8:26 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 08:25 PM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If they want to sell things then the extra privilege should require extra security
exactly

same reason why people should have to pay for a rank to sell anything on this site in the first place -- so that there's a consequence (they wasted money) if they just end up scamming people

and plus you should have to invest in a site if you want to make any money off of it

Orgy - October 22, 2016, 8:28 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 08:26 PM)Hubba Bubba Gum Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) exactly

same reason why people should have to pay for a rank to sell anything on this site in the first place -- so that there's a consequence (they wasted money) if they just end up scamming people
Also why some sites require a \$200 deposit for ve... sellers

Bluffer - October 22, 2016, 8:32 pm

I think account security is becoming less of a problem because people should know to use a unique email, unique password and 2FA and they'll be fine as long as they don't get a rat.

I am not opposed to this suggestion but don't think it's entirely necessary at this time... maybe if account security became a huge problem again.

Am I wrong to assume that the account security issue isn't as big as it was say earlier this year?

Stashy - October 22, 2016, 8:33 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 08:32 PM)Bluffer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think account security is becoming less of a problem because people should know to use a unique email, unique password and 2FA and they'll be fine as long as they don't get a rat.

I am not opposed to this suggestion but don't think it's entirely necessary at this time... maybe if account security became a huge problem again.

Am I wrong to assume that the account security issue isn't as big as it was say earlier this year?
it's not account security as much as it is people saying "it wasn't me guys someone hacked my account!"

Orgy - October 22, 2016, 8:36 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 08:33 PM)Hubba Bubba Gum Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) it's not account security as much as it is people saying "it wasn't me guys someone hacked my account!"

Anyone that gets "hacked" with 2fa on should just get exiled. Like that banana dude in marketplace discussions where the dude looked at his btc addresses and proved he lied about being rated

VirtualFrost - October 22, 2016, 8:37 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 08:32 PM)Bluffer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think account security is becoming less of a problem because people should know to use a unique email, unique password and 2FA and they'll be fine as long as they don't get a rat.

I am not opposed to this suggestion but don't think it's entirely necessary at this time... maybe if account security became a huge problem again.

Am I wrong to assume that the account security issue isn't as big as it was say earlier this year?
They SHOULD know, but it doesn't mean they do. Just like the common rule being not to use the same passwords, but I could bet over 50% of users are using their HF password everywhere else. Or how not to use easy passwords but lots of users do that. I think the issue is still just as big imo.

Stashy - October 22, 2016, 8:37 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 08:36 PM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Anyone that gets "hacked" with 2fa on should just get exiled. Like that banana dude in marketplace discussions where the dude looked at his btc addresses and proved he lied about being rated that banana guy was retarded anyways

should have been exiled months ago

Silver Fang - October 22, 2016, 8:46 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 07:45 PM)M?xim Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I do not get it, why only sellers?Because thread-makers are site related. Buyers often buys e-books are related things offsite which is not controllable. It's an security measure for sellers and buyers can comfort themselves knowing that it's a 2FA account that is being used.

(Oct 22, 2016 07:51 PM)?Zach Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No. Your account security is your own responsibility. If they wanna be stupid and not enable 2FA that's their choice.I don't understand you, it's not about being stupid. It's about using common sense and protecting yourself and your buyers. If you have an upgraded account and using the premium market on hackforums then i would say i would like to have quality sellers, sure upgraded members only is good yet 2fa would even provide a better quality on the premium seller section. It's positive both for sellers and buyers, if there is something that people want to sell without having 2FA activated then they maybe should redirect themselves to the regular seller place as it's lower quality and probably scammers over there.

(Oct 22, 2016 08:03 PM)?Zach Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If you use a strong password and don't use them cross-platform, there won't be any issues. And also, someone can get ratted, so it's not like 2FA helps everything.1. No you are not right about that, people can still try other methods to get the passwords.

2. Yes people can get ratted and hacker collect their cookies to get on the account, however the extra layer of security is there which actually helps a lot. For example if the password has been taken or guessed or bruteforced.

3. Can you give something concrete why it shouldn't be good for the community?

(Oct 22, 2016 08:13 PM)crazyhue Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm a lazy shit and will never use 2FA, if it becomes required, then I'm done with this site

I'm probably not the only one tooNo, you're probably not.

But it's kinda ironic because you literally need to log on once with the 2FA and never have to login again, except if you clear cookies.

(Oct 22, 2016 08:22 PM)Nomox Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) i don't understand why is that so important, i turned my on and there is no difference, would that really stop the scammers or something like thatThere is a difference even if you don't see it.

1. People are blaming that they don't have 2FA activated and that's why their account had been compromised, which the account then was used to scam users. Now if 2FA was used this wouldn't happen (excluded the cookie stealers)

2. It would also be easier to feel safe when dealing with an account on the premium section, and the premium section is based on quality threads sellers for the community. 2FA just adds quality to the section.

3. 2FA is a huge impact on the security levels, there is a reason why it's popular nowadays. Any quality place offers 2FA as a security measure as it's really good.

(Oct 22, 2016 08:23 PM)?Zach Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm not against 2FA, I'm against forcing it. A user should have the choice.Not in the premium seller section, there should be quality.

There is a seller section for regulars which is fine to sell in.

But yet again this is a suggestion.

To be honest i thought no one would be against it, or well maybe some blackhatters that doesn't like the idea of getting attached via an physical phone or alike.

It really stunned me that this suggestion haven't been brought up earlier. Well anyway more data to the poll would be good guys! Keep it coming ?

Egregious Jr. - October 22, 2016, 8:58 pm

If someone wants to use a password like "abc123", that's their responsibility. If your account gets stolen, you are not security minded. It's your own responsibility to make sure your account doesn't get stolen, and some people don't want the hassle of 2FA. If they want to enable it it's their choice, if they don't then the same applies.

About why this should not be implemented, Omniscient would need a custom plugin which would force users to use 2FA. If he didn't know how to do it himself, then it'd be fairly costly.

M?xim - October 22, 2016, 9:03 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 08:58 PM)?Zach Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) About why this should not be implemented, Omniscient would need a custom plugin which would force users to use 2FA. If he didn't know how to do it himself, then it'd be fairly costly.

Finally this gets mentioned.

Orgy - October 22, 2016, 9:26 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 09:03 PM)M?xim Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Finally this gets mentioned.

Yeah but omni DOES know how to do it himself. He's a webmaster

Stashy - October 22, 2016, 9:39 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 08:58 PM)?Zach Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If someone wants to use a password like "abc123", that's their responsibility. If your account gets stolen, you are not security minded. It's your own responsibility to make sure your account doesn't get stolen, and some people don't want the hassle of 2FA. If they want to enable it it's their choice, if they don't then the same applies.

About why this should not be implemented, Omniscient would need a custom plugin which would force users to use 2FA. If he didn't know how to do it himself, then it'd be fairly costly.
custom plugin???

simple

user doesn't have 2fa? can't post in specific subforum

Silver Fang - October 22, 2016, 9:40 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 08:58 PM)?Zach Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) About why this should not be implemented, Omniscient would need a custom plugin which would force users to use 2FA. If he didn't know how to do it himself, then it'd be fairly costly. Is it that hard to do? I mean to apply 2FA to people who are making threads in the premium section? As it's already implemented as a choice for upgraded members wouldn't it be quite easy to do this?

I'm in no way an expert but as it's already implemented with the site wouldn't it be easier to implement? However this is a suggestion board, we can't always do the exact math on how and how much things would cost. We are here to do the suggestions and it's up to the admin to decide whether it's worth to implement or not.

Bj?cksi-i?d?w™ - October 22, 2016, 11:53 pm

(Oct 22, 2016 07:22 PM)Eternal. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Only upgraded members would be able to sell if that was the case. I don't disapprove but something would have to be changed in regards to regular members having the option. Unless Omni just required people to upgrade to sell, which doesn't seem unreasonable.

that's a good suggestion indeed ... i guess this should be implemented that only Upgraded members allowed to sell in HF or may be new membership introduced as "Seller" who can only have access to create new thread in marketplace and pass through verification process probably , so the new account and multi account users can't scam others . Also besides this is not bad to must have 2FA for the thread creators .

Linux™ - October 23, 2016, 7:46 am

Only one way to find out, but this was in the forum sticky. Located [here](#).

Code 1. Rule suggestions. I will not take them at all. No one but me makes the rules here.

M?xim - October 23, 2016, 7:49 am

(Oct 23, 2016 07:46 AM)Linux™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Only one way to find out, but this was in the forum sticky. Located [here](#).

Code 1. Rule suggestions. I will not take them at all. No one but me makes the rules here.

Mhm it is actually not a rule suggestion. This would be something forced and there would be no way around it, therefore not a rule. This would be a new system implant.

Linux™ - October 23, 2016, 7:54 am

(Oct 23, 2016 07:49 AM)M?xim Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Mhm it is actually not a rule suggestion. This would be something forced and there would be no way around it, therefore not a rule. This would be a new system implant.

What about number 8 "member restrictions", isn't 2fa an upgraded feature only? And if you're thinking of it being handed down there's another subsection to handle it. Saying that paid features won't be handed down? Who knows let's wait it out.

M?xim - October 23, 2016, 8:02 am

(Oct 23, 2016 07:54 AM)Linux™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What about number 8 "member restrictions", isn't 2fa an upgraded feature only?

That is true. This would make non-upgraded users not allowed/able to use the marketplace.

Orgy - October 23, 2016, 8:41 am

(Oct 23, 2016 07:54 AM)Linux™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What about number 8 "member restrictions", isn't 2fa an upgraded feature only? And if you're thinking of it being handed down there's another subsection to handle it. Saying that paid features won't be handed down? Who knows let's wait it out.

even so i feel like this is a fair suggestion

(Oct 23, 2016 08:02 AM)M?xim Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That is true. This would make non-upgraded users not allowed/able to use the marketplace.

Isn't 2FA available to all members now?

edit: nvm i see its leet and above

in that case, it could be a restriction for the premium seller section

Linux™ - October 23, 2016, 9:17 am

(Oct 23, 2016 08:41 AM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) even so i feel like this is a fair suggestion

Isn't 2FA available to all members now?

edit: nvm i see its leet and above

in that case, it could be a restriction for the premium seller sectionRead over the thread it rejects "restrictions" if I recall right.

Orgy - October 23, 2016, 9:29 am

(Oct 23, 2016 09:17 AM)Linux™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Read over the thread it rejects "restrictions" if I recall right.

even so i feel like this is a fair suggestion

Egregious Jr. - October 23, 2016, 9:41 am

(Oct 23, 2016 09:29 AM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) even so i feel like this is a fair suggestionThe main issue would arise with how to implement this. Omniscient would need a way to force 2FA, and he has a lot of other things he needs to focus on that are more important.

Orgy - October 23, 2016, 10:53 am

(Oct 23, 2016 09:41 AM)?Zach Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The main issue would arise with how to implement this. Omniscient would need a way to force 2FA, and he has a lot of other things he needs to focus on that are more important.

It's not up to us to prioritize for him. This section is for suggestions. This is a suggestion. Whether he wants to make it a priority or not or even implement it at all is up to him.

70s - October 25, 2016, 4:47 am

Personally i like this idea would make the marketplace just a bit safer from people getting accounts stolen and such and making it so regular members couldnt sell would solve a very small but just a bit of the scammers who come here not to say upgraded members arent a big issue but i think this solves some of it and thats better then nothing