

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Require Proof of Funds for Giveaways](#)

(?°?°)?(???? - October 23, 2016, 6:46 am

I don't know if anybody cares but the Giveaways section should require proof of funds if you're giving away money. There's a thread currently by a new member (last month) that is giving away \$500 after starting the thread with only like 20 posts. I know fake Giveaways closes your account (or a short ban, can't remember); it feels suspicious that somebody would join a website and a month later give away \$500 to its users.

FiftyTwo - October 23, 2016, 6:47 am

I don't think it'd be necessary in my opinion.

At the end of the day, if you enter and it turns out the giveaway was fake, a punishment will be given to them.

But if it turns out there are a lot of fake giveaways, then maybe.

M?xim - October 23, 2016, 6:53 am

Better off would be to require proof of payment after the giveaway ended.

(?°?°)?(???? - October 23, 2016, 7:14 am

(Oct 23, 2016 06:53 AM)M?xim Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Better off would be to require proof of payment after the giveaway ended.

Yeah the transaction ID would help out, agreed.

Forsen - October 23, 2016, 10:31 am

I don't get how this would help with anything.

Please explain the problem that we have.

Riyu - October 23, 2016, 10:38 am

(Oct 23, 2016 10:31 AM)Forsen Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't get how this would help with anything.

Please explain the problem that we have.

People posting contests and not paying out but saying they did etc

McDolands - October 23, 2016, 10:40 am

Maybe a middleman for giveaways that would get the funds and then send them out when the giveaway ends.

FamousHater - October 23, 2016, 10:41 am

not needed.

if u feel like the giveaway never happened or is fake pm staff or omni and they will then take action if the user cannot show proof that he paid the winner.

as a user got closed a week ago for holding a fake giveaway.

(Oct 23, 2016 10:40 AM)McDolands Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Maybe a middleman for giveaways that would get the funds and then send them out when the giveaway ends.no one is that trusted on here. members

arent gonna trust someone else with there funds cause as soon as they realize they have x amount of money there actions are gonna change.

God Failed - October 23, 2016, 1:15 pm

I agree with it somewhat but it would just be a hassle. I have just started doing giveaways and have not posted proof of my funds. I have only upgraded 2 users as of now so I have not put all that much money into the site yet. I hope there is something we can do to weed out all the fake giveaways.

SAUCEGOD - October 23, 2016, 1:25 pm

Are you joking?

A gift is a gift, even if the giveaway was faked or falsified are you going to bully the rest of the legits? Are you going to ban knives because mama cut her finger, no..

Trevon - October 23, 2016, 1:26 pm

(Oct 23, 2016 01:14 PM)- Sam - Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah then you won't be able to fake a giveaway like you did. <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5421635>
Lel. ?

Well boy oh boy, look who shit the bed. :p
Nice research Sam.

OT; I support this idea to an extent.. I like the MM idea, i'm sure certain people are trusted enough to handle the funds, and the OP can deem someone worthy, if members dont trust said MM, then they dont have to enter. Simple.?

Bluffer - October 23, 2016, 4:41 pm

Not an issue that needs solving in that way if you ask me.

Most contests are legit, that's what matters.

70s - October 23, 2016, 5:20 pm

I feel like this isnt a big enough issue to deal with as well as it would be very easy to make fake proof with a simple inspect element picture

M?xim - October 23, 2016, 5:29 pm

(Oct 23, 2016 05:28 PM)- Sam - Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Not sure about this idea but the only thing we need to add is a new rule. This rule should require all members who post a giveaway thread to state the end date, this should be mandatory.

There are so many contests which go on forever because there is no end date, it's stupid.
Absolutely true. Support.

FamousHater - October 23, 2016, 5:30 pm

(Oct 23, 2016 05:28 PM)- Sam - Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Not sure about this idea but the only thing we need to add is a new rule. This rule should require all members who post a giveaway thread to state the end date, this should be mandatory.

There are so many contests which go on forever because there is no end date, it's stupid.the reason this happens is because people open contests without having the funds to pay out the winner so goes on forever.

only thing i saw omni said on it is that he would require the winner to be posted instead of users claiming there was a winner and closing thread

Prince™ - October 23, 2016, 5:53 pm

(Oct 23, 2016 01:14 PM)- Sam - Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah then you won't be able to fake a giveaway like you did. <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5421635>
Give this man a medal. ?

VirtualFrost - October 23, 2016, 6:46 pm

I'd think it'd be a better system if it was just required to post who the winners of the contest was. Then the users can find out themselves if they really did win or not. Anyone can post proof that they have something, it's harder to post proof of actually giving something out.

Egregious Jr. - October 23, 2016, 6:56 pm

(Oct 23, 2016 06:46 PM)VirtualFrost Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'd think it'd be a better system if it was just required to post who the winners of the contest was. Then the users can find out themselves if they really did win or not. Anyone can post proof that they have something, it's harder to post proof of actually giving something out. I agree with this. Having to post proof of funds causes issues as it can be faked, therefore we'll have to investigate whether it's legitimate or not. Requiring to post the winners is a much better solution. And I mean, there was a user who got closed a few days ago for making a fake giveaway.

Don't try to fix something that's not broken.

daymon - October 23, 2016, 7:58 pm

\$500 isn't typically a lot of money, so showing proof of possessing \$500 wouldn't change anything. He could still never send the money to anyone, and get away with it. But typically, if its fake, they choose the winner as someone they know, and so this further more wouldn't change jack shit.

FamousHater - October 23, 2016, 7:59 pm

(Oct 23, 2016 07:38 PM)- Sam - Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah but something needs to be added sooner or later because pretty much everyday a new contest thread gets posted which is never ending.

I don't wanna point fingers but this is exactly what I'm talking about. Apparently this thread ends at 2k posts, consider the fact it's already been 2 months and yet the thread hasn't reached 500 posts just proves this thread will be ongoing throughout next year.

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5394957>

My idea would be more practical than what OP is suggestion.

This is because you will know when the contest ends because of the mandatory date you must state. When the contest ends and the member has failed to post a winner then you can see the contest was fake therefore it should be reported.

If we take OPs idea and add a rule to post proof the funds there will be still ongoing contests regardless of how much money the thread holder has posted proof of. This won't prevent these ongoing contests which have no end date. I do agree with your points. and that contest would not be allowed as cannot use certain number of posts to end a contest. as 1 percent of threads on HF even hit 2k replies

?Enlightened - October 23, 2016, 8:19 pm

They'll get closed and then that's the end of it....

No one loses anything except the 1 minute they took to enter the contest lol

BR?ND?N - October 23, 2016, 10:14 pm

People get closed if they run a fake contest already. Proof of funds is not necessary.

/pH/ - October 23, 2016, 11:02 pm

Maybe just crack down harder on fake contests that make you wear a sig for X amount of days to promote whatever it is that they're marketing.

Mr.Peanut - October 23, 2016, 11:04 pm

(Oct 23, 2016 06:53 AM)M?xim Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Better off would be to require proof of payment after the giveaway ended.

This. Completely flipping the idea upside down and making it much more feasible/reasonable imho. Good suggestions, both of you.

b?xxy - October 23, 2016, 11:04 pm

Proof of funds is so easy to fake anyways.

xitoxic - October 23, 2016, 11:49 pm

(Oct 23, 2016 11:04 PM)b?xxy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Proof of funds is so easy to fake anyways. exactly my thought on this.

Vapist - October 23, 2016, 11:53 pm

I agree with the suggestion or atleast the OP should be required to post proof of transaction.

McDolands - October 24, 2016, 1:41 am

(Oct 23, 2016 01:14 PM)- Sam - Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah then you won't be able to fake a giveaway like you did. <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5421635>

Legit verified it with omni you retard and check my rep lmfao