

# Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [\[OFFICIAL GROUP\] Prove your worth for the Occult](#)

**?ane** - December 29, 2016, 2:10 pm

Illuminati is a group of individuals who've proved their worth and power to the forum.

Userbar

Today the Occult is going to give open minded members of HackForums a chance to get into the Occult. However, only a hand full of people will be let in.

Rules: You must write a report, on at least one of the subjects below, you have complete freedom as far as topics come, please post all sources in your report. Please feel free to post personal opinions in your report.

Subjects to choose from:

Conspiracies, War, Society, Religion, Suppressed Technology, CIA Experiments, Politics, Wild Card(if you come up with a subject of your own).

**False King** - December 29, 2016, 2:13 pm

I'm excited to see all the entries! The Occult is a great section of a great group. Remember that when choosing the wildcard, you pick a subject that fits the Occult. You could always ask a Occult member if you're in doubt your wildcard subject fits.

**Skin** - December 29, 2016, 2:15 pm

Looking very forward to read the entries, Occult is a great SF to be a part of. Good luck to all applicants. ?

**Nygma** - December 29, 2016, 2:21 pm

Good luck to all the applicants, Occult is a very HQ sector to be apart of.

**Fortunate** - December 29, 2016, 2:22 pm

Good luck to every applicant. I will be reading through these reports as well.

**Really Cool** - December 29, 2016, 2:24 pm

posting here to come back and post my application when i have completed it.

**Career** - December 29, 2016, 2:30 pm

Good luck to all applicants. This is an amazing sector to be a part of so make sure to take your time with your application and make it stand out.

**CheGuevara?** - December 29, 2016, 2:33 pm

Good luck to everyone. And only do this if you are really & actually interested in these topics, it's not worth your time nor the time of Occult otherwise.

Again, good luck to everyone! ?

**False King** - December 29, 2016, 2:42 pm

(Dec 29, 2016 03:35 PM)Cute Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Sounds interesting.

I'm more of a novelist than a reporter but I might take a shot at this if I find the time.

You don't have to report on facts. The Occult includes a lot of open minded thinkers. As long as you bring your own opinion to certain subjects that you stand behind. An example, one of the latest threads is about Mesoamerican Mythology.

**?-? ??? ?????** - December 29, 2016, 2:48 pm

Might enter this in a second, I have a lot of opinions, so we'll see.

**Elitas** - December 29, 2016, 2:49 pm

I will be exploring the possibility that 9/11 was carried out by the US Government themselves.

Can I post this in PM or must I post it out in the open?

Thanks for the opportunity, this sounds interesting.

**?ane** - December 29, 2016, 2:50 pm

(Dec 29, 2016 03:49 PM)Elitas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I will be exploring the possibility that 9/11 was carried out by the US Government themselves.

Can I post this in PM or must I post it out in the open?

Thanks for the opportunity, this sounds interesting.

Please post on the thread!

**Elitas** - December 29, 2016, 3:11 pm

To start this off, I'd like to point out that 9/11 was an attack on the 11th of September 2001 on the Twin Towers in the United States of America. How did these planes fly into these buildings without the interference of the US Defense? Air Pilots were ordered to not interfere which could have potentially saved thousands of lives when on average planes which go off radar tend to be intercepted by the air defenses inside of the first 10 minutes.

Officials stated an attack like this could never had been anticipated when the FBI had been carrying out a formal investigation into the possibility of exactly this type of attack happening prior to 9/11. Had these officials been telling the truth, how come the 2 main suspects were named within hours of the attack?

My favorite moment was when George Bush spoke about watching the first plane hit the north tower when there was no live coverage of the plane hitting the tower anywhere.

Now, the pentagon had only 1 hole in it. A single hole for an entire airplane? The wings of the plane did no damage? What?

Those were my 'facts' which were memorized off by myself for the most part.

The following is simply my own theory.....

So, why did the US government want to do 9/11?

Well, this one is simple. The US government, throughout 2002, carried out a series of invasions on eastern Asia, where they stole oil from the nations of these terrorist, allowing the US to prosper. Protests regarding these invasions were obviously held, however nobody would really care because people just thought "oh well they attacked us first". This type of logic allowed the US government to takeover large areas of Asia which were rich with oil and bring it back to the US.

Hope you guys enjoyed reading this, I'm now tired and need to rest soon, please tell me your opinions on my theory!

**Really Cool** - December 29, 2016, 4:25 pm

(Dec 29, 2016 04:11 PM)Elitas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hope you guys enjoyed reading this, I'm now tired and need to rest soon, please tell me your opinions on my theory!

the bin laden family have invested a lot of money into the US and have worked together for many years. members of the bin laden extended family were actually in the US at the time of the attack im pretty sure... and were flown out of the country shortly after it.  
i believe that 911 was done for a few reasons.

the building was riddled in asbestos and it was way cheaper for them to do this then to stop the work, dissect the building and do the necessary work needed to remove it. and Bush couldnt care less about thousands of innocent americans dying!

also they wanted a reason to start attacking, and 911 got all Americans in the mood to go and kill, even tho they were only attacking these countries for the oil.

but you got some good points bro, 911 will always be an interesting topic

**D4rk0** - December 29, 2016, 4:40 pm

In the process of finalizing my submission because it's a quite night.

We almost never stop and think how and where we got here. And I am not talking about the world as a whole, or fragments of society or anything like that, no. I'm talking about how frightening our daily relationships and communication pathways have been almost entirely consumed by technology. Think about it, a robot wakes you up, and the alarm clock was just the beginning, before it amalgamated with other stuff into your phone.

We are living in the age of possibilities. And those possibilities themselves are, in fact, technological possibilities. It would be remiss to cast aside the benefits and progress which technology has contributed to our lives. Man himself created the ability to fly using planes, cross uncharted water with boats, and channel the power of 300 horses into one single car engine, but all of this eventually comes at a cost. A cost which we have dearly paid for. Have we become too dependent on technology? There's a large difference between being dependent on something, as opposed to being over dependent on something else. Look at it this way: Being dependent on something means that once it's gone, it doesn't necessarily leave any damaging or withdrawal-inducing effects on its host; but when the host is too dependent on that particular thing, its disappearance could spell an endgame to that host's being.

According to an article by the New York Daily News, 84 percent of people surveyed in a new TIME Mobility Poll said they couldn't go a single day without their mobile device in hand. If anything, this is a sobering statistic which reflects upon our current reality. I believe that people have become attached to their mobile phones to the extent which it can be compared to as an extra limb,

overflowing with different functions, necessary to stimulate activity or perform complicated tasks. It has reached the point where restaurants are now advertising the non-availability of wifi to its patrons so that they engage in conversations with each other and communicate, rather than be idle, techno-zombies and stare at their screens all the time before the food finally arrives. It doesn't persist in these places alone, though. It even happens at home. There are workplaces where the labor literally relies on computers and the internet to continue. If there's a blackout or crash, no work for the rest of the day. So you tell me, how does an employee, working an 8-hour shift behind a blinding LED screen crash on their couch at the end of the day and scroll that same LED screen they were staring at early today? It's crazy.

What's even crazier is when there's a newborn child in the family. In the past, pre-internet days, our parents took photos of us growing up using polaroids and older camera models, and everything was stacked in albums crammed with photos of us in diapers and eating ice cream with our hands. The first thing to happen once a baby's born today, is getting their pictures publicized on Facebook and Instagram. It's unreal, it's disgusting and it's screwed up. A baby's image is now online, can be saved by anyone, and can be circulated and posted literally anywhere on the web. No longer are we conscious, ethical beings. We are egocentric monsters looking for a thrill. We don't help that suicidal jumper, or that person stuck in a car accident, or help tsunami victims. No, we just want to capture the moment instead of living in it and experiencing it firsthand. 90% of Americans have a cellphone, it is nearly impossible to find someone so disconnected from society and the online world, not looking for that binary adrenaline rush which follows every touch and swipe. Most Americans today have smartphones which contain documents, phone numbers, GPS, and other capabilities. Capabilities which people depend on and cannot live without, because they forgot to remember, and just feed everything these days into a mobile device. Even students today can't live without these smartphones. They use autocorrect, spell-checking, texting, and homework solving apps to get a GPA boost or even push their academic performance further. With millennials, it runs even further deeper and on an emotional level. Boyfriends and girlfriends break up with each other over texts and messages, sparing themselves the humiliation and emotions which come with a breakup. What's even worse than all of this, is how we cope with tragedy online. The replies we get to see on posts such as "R.I.P Grandma" or "Save Aleppo" are just likes and sad faces now, no one really gives a damn about anything anymore, and it's just virtual sadness and misery.

So, yeah, that's basically everything I had to say about this particular subject and how dangerously addictive it has become. The addiction is real and how we're dealing with it is even worse. Go out and enjoy the sunshine from time to time and remember to live. Disconnect and enjoy life, there's no wifi where we're going at the end of our lives.

Sources:

"America has become too dependent on technology." UAB Kaleidoscope. N.p., n.d. Web. 2 July 2014. "

<http://www.nydailynews.com/life-style/addicted-phones-84-worldwide-couldn-single-day-mobile-device-hand-article-1.1137811>

Perfect - December 29, 2016, 5:53 pm

(Dec 29, 2016 04:11 PM)Elitas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) To start this off, I'd like to point out that 9/11 was an attack on the 11th of September 2001 on the Twin Towers in the United States of America. How did these planes fly into these buildings without the interference of the US Defense? Air Pilots were

ordered to not interfere which could have potentially saved thousands of lives when on average planes which go off radar tend to be intercepted by the air defenses inside of the first 10 minutes.

Officials stated an attack like this could never had been anticipated when the FBI had been carrying out a formal investigation into the possibility of exactly this type of attack happening prior to 9/11. Had these officials been telling the truth, how come the 2 main suspects were named within hours of the attack?

My favorite moment was when George Bush spoke about watching the first plane hit the north tower when there was no live coverage of the plane hitting the tower anywhere.

Now, the pentagon had only 1 hole in it. A single hole for an entire airplane? The wings of the plane did no damage? What?

Those were my 'facts' which were memorized off by myself for the most part.

The following is simply my own theory.....

So, why did the US government want to do 9/11?

Well, this one is simple. The US government, throughout 2002, carried out a series of invasions on eastern Asia, where they stole oil from the nations of these terrorist, allowing the US to prosper. Protests regarding these invasions were obviously held, however nobody would really care because people just thought "oh well they attacked us first". This type of logic allowed the US government to takeover large areas of Asia which were rich with oil and bring it back to the US.

Hope you guys enjoyed reading this, I'm now tired and need to rest soon, please tell me your opinions on my theory!

You have my vouch.

Completed: 100% Checked  
0% Plagiarism

**y-y-youtoo** - December 30, 2016, 3:48 am

PM'ed you about this (as you know). Will post the report here for the other ones to read, once you've given your feedback on it.

**Elitas** - December 30, 2016, 5:16 am

(Dec 29, 2016 06:53 PM)Perfec?t Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You have my vouch.

Completed: 100% Checked  
0% Plagiarism

Thanks! I've looked into this as well as many other theories in the past, always interested in conspiracies, this one in particular.

**False King** - December 30, 2016, 6:21 pm

(Dec 29, 2016 05:25 PM)faux. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) the bin laden family have invested a lot of money into the US and have worked together for many many years. members of the bin laden extended family

were actually in the US at the time of the attack im pretty sure... and were flown out of the country shortly after it.

i believe that 911 was done for a few reasons.

the building was riddled in asbestos and it was way cheaper for them to do this then to stop the work, dissect the building and do the necessary work needed to remove it. and Bush couldnt care less about thousands of innocent americans dying!

also they wanted a reason to start attacking, and 911 got all Americans in the mood to go and kill, even tho they were only attacking these countries for the oil.

but you got some good points bro, 911 will always be an interesting topic  
Seems like you have an interesting point of view. Why don't you post an entry?

**?ane** - December 30, 2016, 11:42 pm

This is still going! Don't be shy I know there are a lot of smart members here.

**05317832892** - December 31, 2016, 3:12 am

I'm going to write a report early next week, sorry for the delay but I'm exceptionally busy with other stuff today and tomorrow.

**Really Cool** - December 31, 2016, 10:47 am

EDIT: removed as topic was not accepted

**?ane** - December 31, 2016, 10:54 am

Lets try to choose a different topic then 9/11, show some creativity people. We all know what happened.

**A NEW ERA...** - December 31, 2016, 10:58 am

what does the illuminati have to offer me?

**Mom** - December 31, 2016, 10:59 am

It's an amazing sector in the Illuminati, you might learn a thing or two, so don't hesitate applying!

**Elitas** - December 31, 2016, 11:23 am

The Yorkshire Ripper & Jimmy Savile

Yes, this is going to be a grim affair, and this theory isn't really for the faint of heart.

Did you know former BBC employee and notorious paedophile, Jimmy Savile, was friends with the Yorkshire ripper?

Frank Bruno being tricked into shaking the hand of The Yorkshire Ripper by Jimmy Savile

Peter Sutcliffe is a British serial killer nicknamed the "Yorkshire Ripper" by the media. In 1981, Sutcliffe was convicted of murdering thirteen women and attempting to murder seven others.

With all of this information in mind, why did Jimmy Savile know the Yorkshire ripper? This is very interesting, because Jimmy Savile owned an apartment, and outside of his apartment, one of Sutcliffe's victims was found dead. Sutcliffe may have been staying with Mr. Savile and was assisted by him in these attacks, which wouldn't be a shocking display or morality coming from someone as disgustingly twisted as Jimmy Savile.

I genuinely believe Jimmy Saville was a serial killer and assisted Peter Sutcliffe in his gruesome, grisly attacks.

Savile had living quarters in Broadmoor psychiatric hospital, and also at Stoke Mandeville. He directed institutions, with access to every part of them, including morgues, where he took inappropriate pictures of dead people. Savile was also notoriously a necrophiliac, with no moral compass and could not tell the difference between right and wrong (or if he could; he chose to do wrong). Could Savile had been a murderer? It's not a big stretch at all.

Please tell me YOUR opinion? I'd like to know YOUR point of view on my theory, and would be very interested in debating it with you and coming to a more informed opinion regarding my own theory; there isn't much about it online for the most part.

**big dick rick** - December 31, 2016, 11:25 am  
if only that UB wasnt visual cancer

**The Dark Hacker** - December 31, 2016, 11:26 am  
Hoping to read some detailed reports. Occult is best SF, which provides you freedom of expression. Good luck all.

**TheVBScripiter** - December 31, 2016, 11:33 am  
(Dec 31, 2016 12:25 PM)big dick rick Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) if only that UB wasnt visual cancer  
I don't think your qualified to be part of the Illuminati.  
Not only are you too ignorant to do some research about this group to talk about in this post, you're also already complaining about the userbar while that's the last thing you should pay attention too.

Contributing HQ threads, being able to discuss topics without flaming others, doing research regarding conspiracies, bonding with other members, helping each other, sharing ideas & suggestions.

If you only care about a fancy userbar, you shouldn't post at group applications at all.

Bandors, as well as Bane are great leaders.  
Not only are they highly intelligent regarding a lot of topics, they are also to run & maintain the Illuminati group (Bane = leader of Occult).  
If you're already talking like this, I highly doubt you'll ever learn what's more important than a fancy (or ugly) userbar.

@Bane:  
I am not here to flame, I just hate ignorant members like him.  
I'm sorry for this aggressive post.

@ALL:  
Do your best when posting an application, there are a lot of HQ threads to be read at the Illuminati group.  
You won't regret joining the Illuminati, that's one thing I can promise you. ?  
(Dec 31, 2016 11:54 AM)?ane Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Lets try to choose a different topic then 9/11, show some creativity people. We all know what happened.  
To help you guys out to think about anything (examples).

Try to think about new topics perhaps, like:  
- Berlin (the truck accident, this was after Paris)

- Paris (the truck accident, the first one if I'm right?)
- Turkey accusing the USA of ISIS related connections
- Russia hacking the "elections" + other news regarding this
- etc.

There are a lot of things going out there in the world, that we don't "know" about. Use your creative thoughts & research skills to come up with something great. ?

**Elitas** - December 31, 2016, 12:05 pm

I'm really interested in joining this section...

**Trappy** - December 31, 2016, 12:18 pm

The U.S government, along with it's overseas foreign allies, has an active hand in popularity of popular culture by manipulating the content distributed to sway the minds, mostly young minds, to their cause and agenda.

All people know that media outlets and news networks, CNN, Fox News, your local newspaper, all have a bias. A conservative bias, liberal bias, there exists very few media outlets with no bias at all. So the government has taken to outlets where the reader, watcher, or listener wouldn't know there was a bias at all, the easiest people to manipulate, young people, and the easiest places, social media.

The root of viral content and memes is mostly twitter, twitter content is often reposted to Instagram and other social media mediums due to the ease of creating the content.

The CEO of twitter, Jack Dorsey, has expressed his liberal views multiple times and, with the help of national leaders, has configured twitter to spread his views all over the network to his peers, and to the millions of young people using Twitter.

Of course, the government has no problem helping to spread liberal views, under the Obama administration, America became more liberal than it had in recent years due to a number of factors, but one of them being overwhelming support by minors and millennials mostly being outspoken on social media. The government had (has) an active role in the distribution of content and what gets popular and what does not, at least in popular culture. The government can make opinions almost universal using only a picture and a witty caption.

Sometimes, the government fails in their objective, our president-elect Donald Trump is the example to this. The government, under Obama, did everything they could to prevent him from winning the election, they crafted most social networks to be in overwhelming support of Hilary over Trump (but not before they took to Bernie), but in their anguish, they failed, and Trump won. The backlash over Trump's victory can be observed in the Obama administration with his actions regarding Russia, as he and the administration doing their best to force Trump to assume office with a negative view from minors and millennials.

Expanding on this, I believe Bernie Sanders was inserted to the democratic race and gained popularity directly from the orders of the Obama administration. A candidate with progressive views was necessary for Hilary to have any chance. Bernie's job was to stir up progressive ideas and overwhelming dislike for Trump in young people, he was never meant to win the nomination and he didn't. But when he lost his supporters saw only two options, Hilary or Trump. Those democrats who previously may not have casted ballots for Hilary now felt obligated to, under Bernie's command, vote for her to avoid a Trump presidency, which he, however, and Obama failed at

accomplishing, as Trump is about to assume office. Russia noticed Obama's efforts to promote Hillary and counter-acted with their own power, by directly doing the opposite Obama was trying to do, and promoted Trump instead of Hillary, something we are still seeing the unwinding of.

**SIM-3247471** - December 31, 2016, 12:23 pm

Goodluck to everyone who wants to join the Spoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)

Sorry I just had to post this.

**Angelus** - December 31, 2016, 6:27 pm

Here ya go, enjoy... sorry if it is a bit choppy... I could do better, did it in about 45 minutes

In this essay I will talk about events of World War One and Two... and (technically) my opinions on the humans that fought and/or experienced it

My Grandfather was a WW2 bomber pilot... it inspired me to write about this

Spoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)

World War One and Two

Twenty-One years after "The war to end all wars", 1939... A new generation born and some who have reached adulthood, a new batch of young men and women to populate and replace lives lost in the first war.

But quite ironically... they were not only there as the new generation of men and women... they were there as the next generation of fighters... warriors... and dead men...

Flashback to the 28th of July, 1914... the day World War 1 had started... the infamous "war to end all wars"

For some... war starting meant little to nothing at that point... but for others... fear... fear of suffering the fate of their ancestors... their mothers and fathers... uncles and aunts... death or permanent scarring from the horrors of killing... shooting, blowing up, cutting, incinerating, gassing, starvation, torture... the fear was realized into their hearts when Austria-Hungary declared war on Serbia... revenge for many after the assassination of Archduke Franz Ferdinand, but for others... pure fear.

The Country in which I hail from (United States of America) and 3 other countries (Britain, France, and Italy) imposed their terms in a series of treaties at the Paris Peace Conference of 1919. With that the League of Nations formed, hoping to halt a climax in similar war-starting events like the one that had triggered WW1... but those fateful 21 years later... the humiliation of many countries, Germany especially, economic depression, renewed nationalism, and weakened successor states... led to the beginning of a new war... bloodier... more advanced... and a bigger turnout in the afterlife afterwards...

My country had not gotten involved in this war until 2 years or so after it started... but in the shadows was Germany... sending Jewish people to their doom in concentration camps and labor camps... the presence of God was seemingly nowhere to be found... while brothers, sisters, mothers, and fathers were being slaughtered and persecuted for their beliefs, Japan had surprised America by sending a fleet of fighters to bomb Pearl Harbor... a naval outpost... it influenced America to confront the war head-on and join the fight... leading many to their death... but in all... many to be saved...

The ultimate war between Japan and America ended when we (US) dropped two experimental atomic bombs on Hiroshima and Nagasaki... unexpected... and at the cost of lives and structural

damage... such as of Pearl Harbor... this event not only ended it with Japan... but a somewhat secret "race" to create a fully functional, war-ready plutonium bomb was finished... the United States had beaten Germany to it, and in turn prevented possibly a long war, and more casualties.

In 1945... Adolf Hitler shot himself, and ended the war for good... with a death toll now estimated to be around 73,000,000 people... all fighters... warriors... no matter what position they held... a shopkeeper... an infantry member... a Nazi... an Allied troop... they were all people... with hearts, souls... and with a similar goal: to do what they thought was right.

World War One was a war that brought many technological advances, and opportunities for the weaponry seen in the War that followed... and World War Two saw not only more advances... but more death and destruction... it showed us the true abilities of a human... mentally and physically... and those who live to tell their tales of the wars... show signs of scarring and damage... emotional, physical, and mentally... it has affected many... and hasn't failed to morbidly amaze those who gaze upon it... a reflection of savagery and mass killing of brothers and sisters... cruelty because of race, color, and belief... it brought attention to these things... not only spreading it.. but also trying to find ways to stop it... which is one war still being fought of this day...

?ane - January 1, 2017, 12:12 am

I will read the new reports in the morning. Thank you to everyone showing interest in the group.

y-y-youtoo - January 1, 2017, 9:10 am

I got accepted into Occult, but did not post my report publically.

For the ones interested, here it is:

BIG TEXT:Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

What potential damage could terrorism inflict on the Dutch economy (in the future)?

Terrorism, a social issue present in most developed countries like the Netherlands. In the past, the Netherlands had to deal with several terrorist attacks, mostly from Moluccans, though it is only since 9/11 that terrorism has been an everyday concern. 70 attacks and 30 deaths further, the Netherlands keep spending millions on the battle against terrorism, which could affect the Dutch economy more and more in the future. We investigated how terrorism could affect the Dutch economy and its separate sectors.

Terrorism has not had a severe effect on the Dutch economy yet. We have, however, had to fund the military operations that are being carried out in Syria and other countries, where IS/Daesh is currently active. The Netherlands is not only funding these operations, but they are carrying out military operations too. Dutch F16's are bombing East-Syria, which on its own costs a fair amount of money too. Back in mid-2006, the Dutch government spent 900 million euros on fighting terrorism, according to a research done by the magazine Elsevier. The state budget for fighting terrorism (together with uncategorized national safety) was a total of 16 million euros in 2015.

On the other hand, the state budget is more than 17.5 million euros for this year (2016).

It immediately stands out that 17.5 million euros in 2016 is a lot less than 900 million in 2006. This is remarkable because in March 2006, the Netherlands had the same threat level as in March 2016, which is and was 'substantial'. A possible reason for this big difference is that the state budget is just a budget, it is more of a guidance than an actual limit. There is always the possibility that the state will exceed the budget limit and spend more than they agreed upon. In 2006, they did not expect that 900 million euros would be spent on fighting terrorism. They had expected to spend at least 10 times less. The same goes for this year's state budget. Nobody can predict whether something is going to happen in the coming months. If suddenly a terrorist attack takes place in the Netherlands,

the state will have to spend a lot more money on recovering and extra security. This way, the state will widely exceed the state budget. In other words, how much we will actually spend on fighting terrorism is unclear until the actual year is over.

Terrorism has also damaged the trust that the consumer has in the economy. They see unemployment and money problems as a bigger risk than they did before the threat of terrorism was apparent as it gives a sense of uncertainty, while the economy itself is not necessarily doing much worse. People will think twice before they buy sustainable food, which is more expensive. The people will also consume less, on average, consciously or unconsciously.

Another way the Netherlands and actually the entire world is influenced is by the damaging of the travel stock market. They are unable to sell all their stocks and the stocks have greatly dropped in value due to travel being complicated by anti-terroristic measures and fear of visiting certain areas of the world due to the likelihood of terrorism or due to damage inflicted by terrorism making the area less attractive.

Moreover, if the Netherlands will have to face a terrorist attack inside its borders in the future (which has been predicted several times over the last years), it will be inevitable that buildings, public transport or similar structures will get damaged or completely destroyed. On a large scale, this could have some influence on the Dutch economy.

Consumers, but also entrepreneurs will try to avoid all unnecessary risk. Therefore the first thing consumers (and others) would do after a terrorist attack, is insuring most of the things that they possess. This would result in insurance companies earning a lot more money than before, theoretically.

The influences of terrorism on the Dutch economy in the future (of which some of them are already mentioned) can be separated into 2 different types influences. The direct influences and the indirect influences. The biggest indirect influence is trust, or distrust in this case.

This distrust can lead to less consumption, or at least postpone the consumption of a certain product. Secondly, the distrust can lead to postponing investments. The money which can be used for investments could have been given to a company or to a service, but instead consumers are keeping the money in their pocket. Not to forget the direct influences. There are several of them, including the already mentioned tourism, the destruction of buildings or similar structures, anti-terrorism campaigns and the possible damage to transport (including oil pipe lines).

All these direct influences can have a negative influence on the Dutch economy, because a lot of money has to be spent to recover.

The government actually spends a lot of money on anti-terroristic measures. Since the government is making sure that most of the public places with the presence of thousands of people are well-secured, the level of security is quite high compared to a normal situation. This high level of security can lead to the increasing costs of transportation (think of aeroplanes, trains, trucks etc.) . This is because security guards (and others who are in charge) will check almost every vehicle, to see if it has nothing suspicious in it (like weapons, potential terrorists etc.). All the extra guards, equipment and the time which has be put in this, costs the government extra money.

Overall, apart from the counter-terrorism spendings, there has not been a lot of influence on the Dutch economy on a large scale at this point. It is hard to predict at this point because everything is possible. The indirect influence, which is distrust, has been present since the 9/11 attacks and will not go extinct soon. Moreover, terrorism did not actually damage the economy, it had a slight influence on it because of the counter-terrorism spendings and the distrust, but apart from that, terrorism has not yet inflicted damage (on a large scale) on the Dutch economy. In the future this could however be the case in the aftermath of a major terrorist attack on the Netherlands and all the direct influences this would have on the various sectors of the Dutch economy.

## SOURCES:

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

[https://nl.wikipedia.org/wiki/Terrorisme\\_in\\_Nederland](https://nl.wikipedia.org/wiki/Terrorisme_in_Nederland)

<http://www.volkskrant.nl/buitenland/kabinet-akkoord-nederland-gaat-is-bombarderen-in-syrie~a4234763/>

<http://www.trouw.nl/tr/nl/4492/Nederland/article/detail/1443786/2006/06/21/Bestrijding-terrorisme-ko-st-overheid-bijna-miljard.dhtml>

[http://www.rijksbegroting.nl/2016/voorbereiding/begroting\\_kst212222\\_10.html](http://www.rijksbegroting.nl/2016/voorbereiding/begroting_kst212222_10.html)

<http://repubca.home.xs4all.nl/pdf/DTN-4dreigingsbeeldterrorisemenederland-4.pdf>

<https://www.nctv.nl/onderwerpen/tb/dtn/actueeldreigingsniveau/>

<http://www.trouw.nl/tr/nl/4492/Nederland/article/detail/1443786/2006/06/21/Bestrijding-terrorisme-ko-st-overheid-bijna-miljard.dhtml>

<http://www.kennislink.nl/publicaties/terrorisme-maakt-nederlander-negatief-over-moslimbevolking>

[http://www.dnb.nl/binaries/kb2005q305\\_tcm46-147190.pdf](http://www.dnb.nl/binaries/kb2005q305_tcm46-147190.pdf)

**Brewin** - January 1, 2017, 10:02 am

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

CIA Mind Control

Brewin @ HF

A subject that has always interested me and made me think, the CIA. One agency funded by our government that is "connected" with many things that could be considered illegal and inhumane. We'll start the timeline at the climax of the cold war prior the the Cuban Missile Crisis. Interested in unseeable threats, the CIA was testing a massive variety of warfare ranging from biological, chemical and most importantly mind control. They gathered psychiatric doctors, people top of their class and from the most prestigious academic institutions to work with them. In declassified documents from the CIA, they seem to have proven the ability to control people's minds. In a document from January 7th, 1953 claims were made that the CIA could [1] create multiple personalities in 19-year old girls.

Many people were subject to these tests without consent or prior knowledge. Children from the age of five all the way up to people in our own army were subjected to LSD testing for the project MKULTRA. LSD wasn't the only test run on these innocent people. Some underwent injections of radiation, hypnosis with the intent to brain wash, electric shock therapy (claimed to be the cause of a permanent and complete amnesia to people) and even implantation of electrodes inside the subjects brain.

People weren't the only ones subjected to this torture. Dr. Jose Delgado [2] a neurosurgeon who worked at Yale received funding to work on brain electrode research. During his work in the 50's he was able to develop a small electrode (not unlike electrode mentioned prior) that could be placed inside a cranium and still able to receive and transmit electronic signals. Armed with his remote, he was able to control the very movements of a full grown bull. He successfully moved the bull inside a ring and charged it towards himself. Pressing one simple button was able to stop the monster in a full charge.

All of these tests were done by our own government, the people sworn to protect us and abide by the constitution that we live under. No one was apprehended for any of this testing as it was so covert. By the time the documents were declassified most of the people that participated would have already been dead. I do believe there are ways that mind control can be used against people like us today. Like the test done by Dr. Jose Delgado, if in 1950 he could make this device imagine with advances in technology what could be made now. Nano technology could be implanted with none of us ever knowing the better. Fully undetectable, making us fully controlled and unable to stop it.

In summary, the CIA has done much more than just gather intelligence. They have captured people and without consent tested them in various ways. Their motives unclear only knowing they want to search the inner workings of one's mind and find out how to control it. The biggest of all the CIA's science projects, mind control.

[1]

[http://www.wanttoknow.info/mind\\_control/foia\\_mind\\_control/190684.1\\_hypnosis\\_mind\\_control\\_girls](http://www.wanttoknow.info/mind_control/foia_mind_control/190684.1_hypnosis_mind_control_girls)

[2] <http://oai.dtic.mil/oai/oai?verb=getRecord&metadataPrefix=html&identifier=AD0096319>

Sorry if it lost it's format I typed it out in Word. If it sort of is hard to read I found it was pretty easy to read from pastebin so I left the link here.

<http://pastebin.com/D4bHfLgP>

**y-y-youtoo** - January 1, 2017, 10:46 am

I've made an extended version of my report posted here (already worked some good hours on this before i posted my small report):

<http://pastebin.com/LbiB30b1>

It might be hard to read, the reason is because my laptop can't handle microsoft office lol, so I had to do it this way.

**White.** - January 1, 2017, 10:57 am

(Jan 1, 2017 11:46 AM)Mountain Dew™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I've made an extended version of my report posted here (already worked some good hours on this before i posted my small report):

<http://pastebin.com/LbiB30b1>

It might be hard to read, the reason is because my laptop can't handle microsoft office lol, so I had to do it this way.

I was reading it, but I'd suggest you spell-check it.

Further, it is a good to read report.

**Inked ?Feather** - January 1, 2017, 11:01 am

Politics and psychology/suppressed technology are the fields that I enjoy the most.

I have a deep understanding that I love deepening. I would be perfect for your group as your group is perfect for me.

Thank you

y-y-youtoo - January 1, 2017, 11:14 am

(Jan 1, 2017 11:57 AM)ThatUnnamedWhiteDude Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I was reading it, but I'd suggest you spell-check it.

Further, it is a good to read report.  
Here is the fixed version:

<http://pastebin.com/VsfVhyUm>

A few reasons why grammarly claims to found this much issues is:

- I wrote in British English and grammarly settings were in US English, so everything with 'sing' instead of 'zing' (and that was a lot) was marked as an issue. Moreover things like neighbor instead of neighbour triggered grammarly.
- I used some Dutch words, that was marked as incorrect too.
- It marked several things in the Bibliography as incorrect, but again this is not the case.

To conclude, there were minor issues but most marked issues were undeserved because of the already mentioned reasons.

I think it's a decent report to read and ThatUnnamedWhiteDude vouched for it :P