

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Chk4Run | The #1 and ONLY Runtime AV Scanner on the market! | Test Your Crypter! |](#)

Codefuser - February 14, 2017, 3:03 am

There currently is an error in the engine! Do not purchase until fixed.

[Chk4Run](#)

[The only runtime scanner on the market](#)

- [Ever wondered whether your crypted executable bypasses defense solutions or not? Do you want to install virtual machines to test your files against antivirus products but don't have the resource and time to do so? This service is just for you!](#)

- [Our site is coded from scratch. No backdoors or information leakage is possible.](#)
- [Absolutely no distribution. All of the VMs used are not connected to the internet, therefore the file is never distributed to the AVs. We also delete your file immediately after the scan.](#)
- [SSL/HTTPS for your security.](#)
- [Most common antivirus solutions are present.](#)
- [Autobuy with BTC. Completely anonymous. No information is recorded. We check the payment status every 5 minutes with a cron job.](#)
- [2 options: Pay per scan and a time based token.](#)

- [Antivirus lists:](#)

- [MSE](#)
- [DrWeb](#)
- [Avira](#)
- [AVG](#)
- [Bitdefender](#)
- [360 Total Security](#)
- [ESET](#)
- [GData](#)
- [Kaspersky](#)

- [Notes:](#)

- [Scans WILL take time.](#)
- [Payments are handled manually too.](#)

- [TOS:](#)

- [Do not attempt to hack the site.](#)
- [Don't harass the support.](#)
- [No refunds.](#)
- [No sharing the token.](#)

Screenshots:

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

Some scans:

<https://www.chk4run.com/index.php?do=result&id=10> (ExterBYte))

Koopa. - February 14, 2017, 4:29 am

Seems like a nice service. How long would a file take to scan?

It would be nice to see crypters with a scan from this to check their "Runtime FUD" title and make sure false adverts are differentiated from truthful adverts.

Slavoj Žižek - February 14, 2017, 4:30 am

ohhhhhhhh shiet, this is good ty codefurry

Codefuser - February 14, 2017, 4:38 am

(Feb 14, 2017 05:29 AM)Koopa. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Seems like a nice service. How long would a file take to scan?

It would be nice to see crypters with a scan from this to check their "Runtime FUD" title and make sure false adverts are differentiated from truthful adverts.

Approximately 20 minutes, it takes around 2 minutes/AV and we got 9 ATM

More AVs coming.

Purple Kief - February 14, 2017, 7:16 am

HF needed something like this.

Best of luck with your sales.

s?hane - February 14, 2017, 7:36 am

Vouch for Codefuser, GLWS.

VirtualMon3y - February 14, 2017, 7:54 am

Nice scanner Op. Good luck with it.

Malicious Intent - February 14, 2017, 9:47 am

Great job man .. very useful service .. I can already see some shit crypter sellers afraid of this baby.

The Unknown Coder - February 14, 2017, 10:46 am

\$5 dollars will include unlimited runtime scanning for a day with multiple files?

Amazing alternative to dyncheck, tho avs should be selectable imo.

Meedman - February 14, 2017, 11:19 am

That was really nice project I have buy a similar project and have been scammed --'

xHeaven - February 14, 2017, 11:21 am

Huge vouch for my buddy Codefuser <3

My Orcus stub bitches. <https://www.chk4run.com/index.php?do=result&id=9>

? [\$(1000)\$] ? - February 14, 2017, 2:14 pm

Hell yes. Thank you brother

Codefuser - February 14, 2017, 5:30 pm

(Feb 14, 2017 11:46 AM)The Unknown Coder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) \$5 dollars will include unlimited runtime scanning for a day with multiple files?

Amazing alternative to dyncheck, tho avs should be selectable imo.

Yes, it is a time based system. Pay per scan is also possible.

And AVs are not selectable, else crypter coders will remove some AVs and act like their shit is clean runtime.

onlinecrypt - February 14, 2017, 6:43 pm

hello

try enable distribution and internet

and compare scan

without distribution, like useless results

with distribution, real world condition, accurate results

nothing more but like dyncheck

adjust as you wish

bye

Codefuser - February 14, 2017, 6:51 pm

(Feb 14, 2017 07:43 PM)onlinecrypt Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) hello

try enable distribution and internet

and compare scan

without distribution, like useless results

with distribution, real world condition, accurate results

nothing more but like dyncheck

adjust as you wish

bye

We will consider adding that as an option and displaying whether internet is enabled on scan result page. Thank you for your input. And yes, I know that without internet connection, the runtime would be less aggressive due to not being able to connect to the AV's cloud server.

Edit: Got a bug atm, fixing it.

onlinecrypt - February 14, 2017, 6:52 pm

(Feb 14, 2017 07:51 PM)Codefuser Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) We will consider adding that as an option and

displaying whether internet is enabled on scan result page. Thank you for your input. And yes, I know that without internet connection, the runtime would be less aggressive due to not being able to connect to the AV's cloud server.

not only this

really useless

The Unknown Coder - February 14, 2017, 9:51 pm

(Feb 14, 2017 07:52 PM)onlinecrypt Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) not only this

really useless

Not connecting to the cloud doesn't really make it useless. I bet you anything that if bypasses here it will be bypassed with or without internet connection. Perhaps with the exclusion of 360. And you shouldn't talk about products being useless when you use putty to prove the validity of your product. ?

onlinecrypt - February 14, 2017, 9:56 pm

(Feb 14, 2017 10:51 PM)The Unknown Coder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Not connecting to the cloud doesn't really make it useless. I bet you anything that if bypasses here it will be bypassed with or without internet connection. Perhaps with the exclusion of 360. And you shouldn't talk about products being useless when you use putty to prove the validity of your product. ?

you talk without knowledge

the day you really need crypting and buy you know that you are stupid

The Unknown Coder - February 14, 2017, 9:58 pm

(Feb 14, 2017 10:56 PM)onlinecrypt Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) you talk without knowledge

the day you really need crypting and buy you know that you are stupid
If you say so. ?

Best of luck OP.

Codefuser - February 15, 2017, 12:57 am

A bug in the payment gateway was fixed. Everything should be fine now.

eKKiM - February 15, 2017, 9:10 am

Service works great and user is very helpfull!

Result of a crypted Zykon HTTP bot: <https://www.chk4run.com/index.php?do=result&id=18>

n0\$f3ratu\$ - February 15, 2017, 11:09 am

You have a great site.

Good luck with it baby.

Codefuser - February 15, 2017, 12:13 pm

(Feb 15, 2017 12:09 PM)n0\$f3ratu\$ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You have a great site.

Good luck with it baby.

ty nosboi

jujuger - February 15, 2017, 12:18 pm

Inb4 this server gets hacked

Codefuser - February 15, 2017, 12:21 pm

(Feb 15, 2017 01:18 PM)jujuger Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Inb4 this server gets hacked
pls don't upload a RAT!!!! it hurt very much when we get hacked!

xHeaven - February 15, 2017, 12:23 pm

(Feb 15, 2017 01:18 PM)jujuger Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Inb4 this server gets hacked
Wow dude, so much #1337. Please don't hax me.

Codefuser - February 15, 2017, 12:45 pm

(Feb 15, 2017 01:23 PM)xHeaven Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Wow dude, so much #1337. Please don't hax me.
No worries, we have a polymorphic cyber firewall with CBC encryption for protection.

Meedman - February 15, 2017, 12:48 pm

(Feb 15, 2017 01:45 PM)Codefuser Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No worries, we have a polymorphic cyber firewall
with CBC encryption for protection.
LUULZ

jujuger - February 15, 2017, 1:13 pm

In all honesty, this looks like a useful service. Can I use it to test if a program is a virus?

Or is it not meant for that?

xHeaven - February 15, 2017, 2:40 pm

(Feb 15, 2017 02:13 PM)jujuger Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) In all honesty, this looks like a useful service. Can I
use it to test if a program is a virus?

Or is it not meant for that?

If you have/bought a crypter, you can test the performance of that with this site.

If your stub is FUD (Fully UnDetected), your crypter is good.

Codefuser - February 15, 2017, 5:38 pm

(Feb 15, 2017 02:13 PM)jujuger Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) In all honesty, this looks like a useful service. Can I
use it to test if a program is a virus?

Or is it not meant for that?

It is meant to check on whether the malware bypasses AVs or not, but sure, if that's what you want,
do it. We do not enforce any trashy ToS that does not hold in court.

Computerjul - February 16, 2017, 3:05 am

(Feb 15, 2017 06:38 PM)Codefuser Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) . We do not enforce any trashy ToS that does not
hold in court.
Hahaha very true !!!

Codefuser - February 16, 2017, 8:33 am

Still offering this very dank service. Test your crypters now to see the true runtime quality!

Cyber Coder - February 16, 2017, 9:26 am

https://www.chk4run.com/results/cap1_32_3.png

please check dr web it says detected but there wasnt any detection, thanks

The Unknown Coder - February 16, 2017, 9:31 am

(Feb 16, 2017 10:26 AM)Cyber Coder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

https://www.chk4run.com/results/cap1_32_3.png

please check dr web it says detected but there wasnt any detection, thanks
No it says its outdated.

Cyber Coder - February 16, 2017, 9:33 am

(Feb 16, 2017 10:31 AM)The Unknown Coder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No it says its outdated.

NO, it says detected in scan results because it confuses that window with a detection window ;) , he needs to close those 2 windows

also is stuck on dr web for 1 hour now and not moving on with the scan, i'll probably test again in few weeks when the owner fixes all bugs,is normal for new projects, either way great service ?

xHeaven - February 16, 2017, 10:19 am

(Feb 16, 2017 10:33 AM)Cyber Coder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) NO, it says detected in scan results because it confuses that window with a detection window ;) , he needs to close those 2 windows

also is stuck on dr web for 1 hour now and not moving on with the scan, i'll probably test again in few weeks when the owner fixes all bugs,is normal for new projects, either way great service ?

I don't think it says detected. I tested my file in VM with DrWeb and I didn't get any detection window (latest virusdatabase), but I got the same window on chk4run. ->

<https://www.chk4run.com/index.php?do=result&id=9>

Cyber Coder - February 16, 2017, 10:22 am

(Feb 16, 2017 11:19 AM)xHeaven Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't think it says detected. I tested my file in VM with DrWeb and I didn't get any detection window (latest virusdatabase), but I got the same window on chk4run. ->

<https://www.chk4run.com/index.php?do=result&id=9>

<https://imgur.com/a/EZCvw>

is stuck on dr web and if try to scan again it says i can't scan more files at the same time

xHeaven - February 16, 2017, 10:38 am

(Feb 16, 2017 11:22 AM)Cyber Coder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) <https://imgur.com/a/EZCvw>

is stuck on dr web and if try to scan again it says i can't scan more files at the same time
Scanning works again.

kres - February 16, 2017, 2:01 pm

Just bought 1 scan and nothing happend.I wait like 6 hours already , scan nr. 29 if u can fix then thanks ...

xHeaven - February 16, 2017, 2:08 pm

(Feb 16, 2017 03:01 PM)kres Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Just bought 1 scan and nothing happend.I wait like 6 hours already , scan nr. 29 if u can fix then thanks ...

Proof of the payment?

kres - February 16, 2017, 2:36 pm

yea sure , can't send pm's can u send me your skype or something ?

Codefuser - February 16, 2017, 7:51 pm

(Feb 16, 2017 10:26 AM)Cyber Coder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

https://www.chk4run.com/results/cap1_32_3.png

please check dr web it says detected but there wasnt any detection, thanks

This is not because of the DrWeb popup, it is because of the extra window the popped up from your file (Tibia installer)

(Feb 16, 2017 03:01 PM)kres Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Just bought 1 scan and nothing happend.I wait like 6 hours already , scan nr. 29 if u can fix then thanks ...

You sent 0.0002 instead of 0.002 BTC

Codefuser - February 17, 2017, 8:22 pm

Sophos, McAfee and Panda Security will be added to the site very soon.

Transporter007 - February 17, 2017, 10:41 pm

Looks great. But you should allow to give 1 scan for FREE.

Codefuser - February 17, 2017, 10:52 pm

(Feb 17, 2017 11:41 PM)Transporter007 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Looks great. But you should allow to give 1 scan for FREE.

No, this will not and never happen.

Many people here, including Cyber Coder, French Crypter and many more have used our service and they are very pleased with it.

Free lunch is a lie.

ze-slade - February 17, 2017, 11:06 pm

We all know what happened tot he last realtime scanner... ?

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5068680&highlight=killamuvz>

Codefuser - February 17, 2017, 11:19 pm

(Feb 18, 2017 12:06 AM)ze-slade Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) We all know what happened tot he last realtime scanner... ?

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5068680&highlight=killamuvz>

Yes we do, so use it while it last. ?

I personally am in a bulletproof location, I use proxies for HF, and I do have precautions. Killamuvz left his name in his stubs (if you watch !lnk you will know), and so I doubt this is the same scenario.

xna81425 - February 18, 2017, 1:56 am

Nice service I vouch for this shit \$.

Marwix - February 19, 2017, 3:11 am

This is definitely a much better scanner compared to most other online scanners out there.

Glws man.

Rhez - February 19, 2017, 3:15 am

(Feb 19, 2017 04:11 AM)Marwix Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is definitely a much better crypter compared to EZprotector.

Glws man.

Chk4Run is a scanner bro. It is different to EZProtector.

?

Marwix - February 19, 2017, 3:18 am

(Feb 19, 2017 04:15 AM)Rhez Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Chk4Run is a scanner bro. It is different to EZProtector.

?

Just noticed, thanks.

SIM-2303144 - February 19, 2017, 5:26 am

this result of my new crypter it will be in market very soon

<https://www.chk4run.com/index.php?do=result&id=21>

SIM-3432714 - February 19, 2017, 5:34 am

(Feb 19, 2017 06:26 AM)??z3?o Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) this result of my new crypter it will be in market very soon

<https://www.chk4run.com/index.php?do=result&id=21>

Whats the stub coded in ?

SIM-2303144 - February 19, 2017, 1:20 pm

(Feb 19, 2017 06:34 AM)ucwpotkan Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Whats the stub coded in ?
C# my bro

NullCrypter

Codefuser - February 20, 2017, 6:38 am

(Feb 19, 2017 02:20 PM)??z3?o Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) C# my bro

NullCrypter

This is not the place to advertise your crypter.

Bumping this, our service is Krebs-Proof verified!

kauf - February 21, 2017, 12:55 am

(Feb 15, 2017 10:10 AM)eKKiM Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Service works great and user is very helpfull!
Result of a crypted Zykon HTTP bot: <https://www.chk4run.com/index.php?do=result&id=18>
which crypter use for this?

Codefuser - February 21, 2017, 12:57 am

(Feb 21, 2017 01:55 AM)hostbob Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) which crypter use for this?
His service, Lotus Crypt. That question is not relevant to this service at all btw.

Bump, still offering this dank runtime scanners.

It will be shut down if no one purchase, as the servers cost money.

Novoo - February 21, 2017, 12:04 pm

I have used this service today, bought a token, and received it shortly after.
Everything went smooth, good service.

Codefuser - February 21, 2017, 3:39 pm

(Feb 21, 2017 01:04 PM)Novoo Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have used this service today, bought a token, and received it shortly after.
Everything went smooth, good service.
Thank you for the use of our service!

Codefuser - February 22, 2017, 9:29 pm

Service still up and running, check your runtime detection here!

RepForums - February 23, 2017, 3:00 pm

this dude, seems to like to help the community, I LIKE THAT, :)

Cat Warrior - February 23, 2017, 5:24 pm

HQ Products like always! GLWS, seems better than scan4you and other scanners like majaxscanner

xHeaven - February 24, 2017, 6:57 am

(Feb 23, 2017 06:24 PM)Cat Warrior Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) HQ Products like always! GLWS, seems better than scan4you and other scanners like majaxscanner
Scan4You and Majyx are scantime scanners, this scanner is runtime.

GhostintheShock - February 25, 2017, 7:55 pm

Yesterday, got my file crypted by xHeaven.

To check run time scan results, I had purchased pay per scan but the BTC transaction was very slow and I turned my PC off. The last status I remembered was there was a message displayed and it was saying that there was 2 more files in the que.

Is there any way that I can check the results of the scan. Sharing here the pics of the transaction I have done.

[http:// prntscr.com/edaiwv](http://prntscr.com/edaiwv)

[http:// prntscr.com/edajoa](http://prntscr.com/edajoa)

Got the URL here it is:[https://www. chk4run.com/index.php?do=result&id=80](https://www.chk4run.com/index.php?do=result&id=80)

It is still saying 2 files in the que.

Codefuser - February 25, 2017, 10:07 pm

(Feb 25, 2017 08:55 PM)mikewaals Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yesterday, got my file crypted by xHeaven.

To check run time scan results, I had purchased pay per scan but the BTC transaction was very slow and I turned my PC off. The last status I remembered was there was a message displayed and it was saying that there was 2 more files in the que.

Is there any way that I can check the results of the scan. Sharing here the pics of the transaction I have done.

[http:// prntscr.com/edaiwv](http://prntscr.com/edaiwv)

[http:// prntscr.com/edajoa](http://prntscr.com/edajoa)

Got the URL here it is:[https://www. chk4run.com/index.php?do=result&id=80](https://www.chk4run.com/index.php?do=result&id=80)

It is still saying 2 files in the que.

Hmmm I will check it with the other guy.

<https://www.chk4run.com/index.php?do=result&id=78>

Currently stuck @ this file.

GhostintheShock - February 26, 2017, 3:24 am

(Feb 25, 2017 11:07 PM)Codefuser Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hmmm I will check it with the other guy.

<https://www.chk4run.com/index.php?do=result&id=78>

Currently stuck @ this file.

Hey bro,

Its more than half a day. Can you please check on this.

Codefuser - February 26, 2017, 4:45 am

(Feb 26, 2017 04:24 AM)mikewaals Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hey bro,

Its more than half a day. Can you please check on this.
I do not have full access to the server, and my partner is not online.

If you want to talk directly to him contact him on the jabber on the website.

Press the Button - February 26, 2017, 4:47 am

Very nice service, goodluck with sales op.

GhostintheShock - February 26, 2017, 5:23 am

(Feb 26, 2017 05:45 AM)Codefuser Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I do not have full access to the server, and my partner is not online.

If you want to talk directly to him contact him on the jabber on the website.
Ok understood, will wait no problem....

EDIT: Can you give me his Jabber as I can not found it on the first page.

This is struck for 24 hours man and I can still see you guys are offering for scan for new files. Can you share me another token so that I can scan my file and get the results timely. Because its been since 24 hours your scanner is struck. I am still waiting for the scan results whether the file for which I have paid is FUD or not.

If you scanner is struck than you should post a message officially on your thread that you are putting your service on hold until this is resolved, rather than keep a customer waiting or taking payments for new scans.

It feels strange when you say that you can not contact your partner and asking a customer to contact him. Its not about \$2 but its about the service you are providing to your customers. I do not understand this, when all files are in que than why you guys are asking for payment for new scans?

[http:// prntscr.com/edmx1b](http://prntscr.com/edmx1b)

Got the results thanks Codefuser. Very nice real scanner, wish its price could be bit low for bulk.

Edit: Scanner is asking for \$2.0 BTC for payper scan but the amount is asking is more than \$2 in BTC.

[http:// prntscr.com/edt7hc](http://prntscr.com/edt7hc)

Codefuser - February 26, 2017, 10:29 pm

Everything was fixed and all scans were completed.

GhostintheShock - February 27, 2017, 5:50 am

(Feb 26, 2017 11:29 PM)Codefuser Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Everything was fixed and all scans were completed.

Yes I have scanned today and I can see results in no time, thanks codefuser. Good service, keep it up. Vouched+

Codefuser - February 27, 2017, 5:53 am

(Feb 27, 2017 06:50 AM)mikewaals Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes I have scanned today and I can see results in no time, thanks codefuser. Good service, keep it up. Vouched+

Enjoy the service! Sorry for the delay yesterday.

SameFish95 - February 27, 2017, 8:15 am

Do we need to disable "Don't run in VM" before sending the file for a scan?

Also I use a crypter that when I set startup persistence it restarts the client machine where the stub is executed on to get to achieve it. Will the reboot false the detection rate? Like giving undetected

when maybe it would have been caught at the next startup?

Codefuser - February 27, 2017, 8:16 am

(Feb 27, 2017 09:15 AM)kokyx92 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Do we need to disable "Don't run in VM" before sending the file for a scan?

Also I use a crypter that when I set startup persistence it restarts the client machine where the stub is executed on to get to achieve it. Will the reboot false the detection rate? Like giving undetected when maybe it would have been caught at the next startup?

If it shuts down the machine then you shouldn't enable it.

You should disable anti VM.

SameFish95 - February 27, 2017, 8:53 am

Thanks for the reply.

Currently running some test scans.

Is it possible that CHK4RUN doesn't catch my file even if it's scantime detected?

Check Avast for example.

CHK4RUN:

<https://www.chk4run.com/?do=result&id=92>

MAJYX SCAN:

<https://scan.majyx.net/scans/result/8367a2174ca095d227121bcf63b6da76a7ec035f>

Edit: regarding McAfee detections on CHK4RUN it's my fault since I opened a webpage in your VM while it was scanning.

Meedman - February 27, 2017, 10:47 am

(Feb 27, 2017 09:53 AM)kokyx92 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thanks for the reply.

Currently running some test scans.

Is it possible that CHK4RUN doesn't catch my file even if it's scantime detected?

Check Avast for example.

CHK4RUN:

<https://www.chk4run.com/?do=result&id=92>

MAJYX SCAN:

<https://scan.majyx.net/scans/result/8367a2174ca095d227121bcf63b6da76a7ec035f>

Edit: regarding McAfee detections on CHK4RUN it's my fault since I opened a webpage in your VM while it was scanning.

Try on a VM with avast to be sure of the result ?

SameFish95 - February 27, 2017, 10:55 am

(Feb 27, 2017 11:47 AM)Meedman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Try on a VM with avast to be sure of the result ?
Yes setting it up right now. Was just to know how much I can trust the scan results.

s?hane - February 27, 2017, 2:09 pm

Been using this a lot lately, thanks again.

Codefuser - February 27, 2017, 5:24 pm

(Feb 27, 2017 11:55 AM)kokyx92 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes setting it up right now. Was just to know how much I can trust the scan results.

The VMs have internet disabled and the definition might be 1 day old.

SameFish95 - February 27, 2017, 5:44 pm

(Feb 27, 2017 06:24 PM)Codefuser Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The VMs have internet disabled and the definition might be 1 day old. Alright thanks.

FYI McAfee VM could have Internet access enabled since it's the only one i have been able to interact to (twice).

roosterbabe36 - February 27, 2017, 7:39 pm

Hello, what are the av's getting added up ?

Slavoj Žižek - March 2, 2017, 8:58 am

(Mar 2, 2017 04:19 AM)Codefuser Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Bump bump. Service is up and all good. yes it is papi ?

xC0re - March 5, 2017, 12:33 pm

I tried scanning a file (simple form containing a random text) and it doesn't appear on the second screenshot (just wanna make sure it runs the file fine), is that normal ?

My file came out fully clean and just want to make sure the file runs file on your servers.

Codefuser - March 5, 2017, 5:30 pm

(Mar 5, 2017 01:33 PM)xC0re Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I tried scanning a file (simple form containing a random text) and it doesn't appear on the second screenshot (just wanna make sure it runs the file fine), is that normal ?

My file came out fully clean and just want to make sure the file runs file on your servers.

Yes it should run fine.

\$@d0k - March 14, 2017, 2:57 pm

It would be great to choose one of the AVs. It helps reduce the burden on your servers plus it's faster if one has to scan with one AV only.

Codefuser - March 19, 2017, 9:07 pm

This is closed until my partner returns.